First Measurement of the Atomic Electric Dipole Moment of $^{225}\text{Ra}$

R. H. Parker  
*Argonne National Laboratory*

M. R. Dietrich  
*Argonne National Laboratory*

M. R. Kalita  
*Argonne National Laboratory*

N. D. Lemke  
*Argonne National Laboratory*

K. G. Bailey  
*Argonne National Laboratory*

*See next page for additional authors*

Click here to let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/physastron_facpub

Part of the [Astrophysics and Astronomy Commons](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/physastron_facpub), and the [Physics Commons](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/physastron_facpub)

Repository Citation

https://uknowledge.uky.edu/physastron_facpub/319

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Physics and Astronomy at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physics and Astronomy Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.
First Measurement of the Atomic Electric Dipole Moment of $^{225}$Ra

Notes/Citation Information
Published in Physical Review Letters, v. 114, no. 23, article 233002, p. 1-5.

©2015 American Physical Society

The copyright holder has granted permission for posting the article here.

Digital Object Identifier (DOI)
http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.233002
First Measurement of the Atomic Electric Dipole Moment of $^{225}$Ra

R. H. Parker,$^{1,2}$ M. R. Dietrich,$^{1,3}$ M. R. Kalita,$^{1,4}$ N. D. Lemke,$^{1,4}$ K. G. Bailey,$^{1}$ M. Bishof,$^{1}$ J. P. Greene,$^{1}$ R. J. Holt,$^{1}$ W. Korsch,$^{4}$ Z.-T. Lu,$^{1,2,4}$ P. Mueller,$^{1}$ T. P. O’Connor,$^{1}$ and J. T. Singh$^{1,5}$

$^{1}$Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA
$^{2}$Department of Physics and Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA
$^{3}$Department of Physics and Astronomy, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA
$^{4}$Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky 40506, USA
$^{5}$National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA

(Received 3 March 2015; published 9 June 2015)

The radioactive radium-$225$ ($^{225}$Ra) atom is a favorable case to search for a permanent electric dipole moment. Because of its strong nuclear octupole deformation and large atomic mass, $^{225}$Ra is particularly sensitive to interactions in the nuclear medium that violate both time-reversal symmetry and parity. We have developed a cold-atom technique to study the spin precession of $^{225}$Ra atoms held in an optical dipole trap, and demonstrated the principle of this method by completing the first measurement of its atomic electric dipole moment, reaching an upper limit of $|d(^{225}\text{Ra})| < 5.0 \times 10^{-22}$ e cm (95% confidence).
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The existence of a permanent electric dipole moment (EDM) would violate both time-reversal ($T$) and parity ($P$) symmetries [1], and, by the CPT theorem, charge-parity (CP) symmetry [2]. Although the standard model (SM) exhibits CP violation [3–5], its effects contribute to EDMs only at higher orders [2], resulting in EDM values too small to be detected in the foreseeable future. On the other hand, extensions of the SM, such as supersymmetry, naturally provide additional sources of CP violation that explain the matter-antimatter asymmetry of the Universe and result in observable EDMs [6]. Limits derived from recent EDM searches in the neutron [7], the $^{199}$Hg atom [8], and the electron (ThO) [9] provide some of the most stringent limits for these beyond-SM CP-violating interactions [10,11].

Searches in diatomic molecules ($^{199}$Hg) [8], $^{129}$Xe [12]) and molecules (TIF) [13] are primarily sensitive to CP-violating interactions in the nuclei [14]. Although the nuclear EDM is shielded by the atomic electrons, this shielding is imperfect due to the finite size of the nucleus [15]. The remaining measurable quantity, characterized by a radially weighted EDM of the nucleus, is called the nuclear Schiff moment, which induces a proportional and measurable atomic EDM. The best limits on CP-violating interactions originating within the nuclei are derived from the limit on the atomic EDM of $^{199}$Hg: $|d(^{199}\text{Hg})| < 3.1 \times 10^{-20}$ e cm [16]. Here, we report the first measurement of the EDM of the diatomic $^{225}$Ra atom. $P$-odd and $T$-odd effects in $^{225}$Ra are greatly enhanced by collective effects and a closely spaced parity doublet structure resulting from the octupole deformation of its nucleus [17]. Indeed, nuclear structure calculations [18,19], combined with atomic structure calculations [20], predict the EDM of $^{225}$Ra to be $2–3$ orders of magnitude larger than that of $^{199}$Hg [21]. This enhancement factor may be even larger given the sizable variations in the calculations of $^{199}$Hg [21]. Meanwhile, these special nuclear structure properties also allow the Schiff moment of $^{225}$Ra to be more reliably calculated than that of $^{199}$Hg [10].

$^{225}$Ra is also attractive from an experimental perspective. The $14.9$ day half-life allows this isotope to be obtained as a radioactive source in sufficient quantities for experiments to run off-line, away from an accelerator. Its nuclear ground state has spin $I=1/2$, which eliminates some systematic effects and decoherence arising from electric field gradients. Finally, the atomic structure of radium enables laser cooling and trapping [22,23]. To search for an EDM, spin precession frequencies ($\omega_\perp$) under the influence of both a uniform electric field ($E$) and a uniform magnetic field ($B$) are measured: $\hbar \omega_\perp = 2 \mu B \pm 2 \mu E$. Here $\mu$ is the magnetic dipole moment $|\mu_N| [24]$], and $\omega_\perp$ are precession frequencies corresponding to the $E$ field parallel or antiparallel to the $B$ field. The EDM measurements were performed with $^{225}$Ra atoms in a standing-wave optical dipole trap (ODT) (Fig. 1). This approach was theoretically examined in detail for $^{199}$Hg [25].

$^{225}$Ra is a decay product of the long-lived $^{229}$Th isotope ($t_{1/2} = 7300$ yr), and can be chemically separated from a $^{229}$Th stock sample. The U.S. National Isotope Development Center can provide 10–12 mCi of $^{225}$Ra every month [26]. For the two experimental runs presented in this Letter, $^{225}$Ra samples of 3 and 6 mCi (10$^{14}$ atoms), respectively, were loaded into an oven and used gradually over the following two weeks. In addition, the long-lived, naturally occurring $^{228}$Ra ($t_{1/2} = 1600$ yr) was a useful proxy during the development phase of the experiment, and for tuning the apparatus prior to the EDM measurements.
A typical load of $^{226}\text{Ra}$ is 2–5 $\mu$Ci, amounting to $10^{16}$ atoms, or 100 times more atoms than the typical $^{225}\text{Ra}$ sample. However, with $I = 0$, $^{226}\text{Ra}$ cannot be used in a nuclear spin precession measurement.

Laser manipulation of radium atoms has previously been described in detail [23]. Neutral radium atoms, both $^{225}\text{Ra}$ and $^{226}\text{Ra}$, pass through a transverse cooling region and a Zeeman slower, before being captured in a three-dimensional magneto-optical trap (MOT) based on the intercombination transition $7s^3{}^1S_0 F = 1/2 \rightarrow 7s7p^3{}^1P_1 F = 3/2$ at 714 nm. With an associated lifetime of 422 ± 20 ns [27], this transition is weak compared to those typically used for primary cooling and trapping of atoms. However, it is the transition in radium that is the nearest to being closed, with a branching ratio of only $4 \times 10^{-5}$ leaking to $7s6d^3{}^1D_1$ [28,29]. Atoms in $7s6d^3{}^1D_1$ are repumped to the ground state with a 1429 nm laser. Largely due to the weakness of the laser force, the trapping efficiency, from the sample in the oven to the MOT, is only $1 \times 10^{-6}$. Typically, $10^5$ $^{226}\text{Ra}$ atoms or $10^3$ $^{225}\text{Ra}$ atoms are accumulated over the MOT lifetime of 40 s and cooled to 40 ± 15 $\mu$K.

Next, the atoms are transferred to an ODT formed by focusing a 40 W, 1550 nm, horizontal laser beam with a 2 m focal length lens. The resulting trap is elongated: 1 cm long and 100 $\mu$m across, with a depth of 500 $\mu$K. The transfer efficiency from the MOT to the ODT is typically 80%, helped by the fact that 1550 nm is nearly magic to the cooling transition, meaning the differential light shift of the ground and excited states is comparable to the natural linewidth [29]. The ODT is then translated axially over a distance of 1 m into a separate chamber. Here it overlaps a perpendicular standing-wave ODT formed by a single-mode, single-frequency, 10 W, 1550 nm retroreflected laser beam focused down to 100 $\mu$m in diameter, and linearly polarized in the horizontal direction. The transfer of atoms from the first ODT to the second is assisted by briefly turning on a 1D MOT to compress the aspect ratio of the atom cloud from 100:1 to 1:1. This 60 $\mu$m cloud retains its shape in the standing-wave ODT after the first ODT is turned off. The overall efficiency of transferring atoms from the 3D MOT to the standing-wave ODT is 5%. No residual magnetization of the shields (see below) was detected after the 1D MOT was switched off; any residual magnetic field was found to be less than the measurement sensitivity of 300 nG.

Figure 1 provides the layout surrounding the atoms in the standing-wave ODT. The trap is placed at the center of a pair of parallel copper electrodes, cylindrical with a vertical axis, whose end faces are 1.6 cm in diameter and 2.3(1) mm apart. The upper electrode is grounded; the lower one can be ramped to voltages between +15.5 and −15.5 kV, generating a uniform $E$ field of 67 kV/cm in either the up or down direction (parallel or antiparallel to the $B$ field, respectively). The leakage current measured on the grounded side is typically < 80 pA. The variation of the $E$ field near the center is < 1% per mm. The electrode assembly is inside a glass, nonmagnetic vacuum enclosure, which in turn is surrounded by a cosine-theta coil wound on an aluminum cylinder of 0.32 m diameter and 0.65 m length. Three layers of mu-metal shields surround this assembly and reduce the influence of external $B$ fields by a factor of $2 \times 10^4$ when measured in the vertical direction at the center. The coil inside the shields generates a stable and uniform $B$ field of 15–30 mG in the vertical direction (intentionally varied between experimental runs). Its spatial variation is <1% per cm; its instability is < 0.01% when averaged over a load-measurement cycle of 50 s.

The number of atoms in the standing-wave ODT is probed by a blue laser beam tuned to the resonance of $7s^3{}^1S_0 F = 1/2 \rightarrow 7s7p^1P_1 F = 3/2$ at 483 nm, copropagating along the standing-wave ODT laser beams. This transition can cycle for an average of about 1000 times before leaking to lower $D$ states. The shadow image produced by resonant absorption is cast onto a CCD camera (Fig. 1). To produce an absorption image (given about 200 $^{225}\text{Ra}$ atoms in the trap), the blue beam is pulsed for 1.45 ms, during which each atom absorbs on average 100 photons. A linear combination of images without pumping by a circularly polarized blue beam...
A measurement cycle. The first occurs prior to any atoms precess. Four images of atoms are recorded in each induced by light leaking through the AOM while the by an additional mechanical shutter to prevent decoherence. After each pulse, the 483 nm laser is blocked within 1 ms optimize the image signal-to-noise ratio, whereas longer number of atoms in the opposite-spin state (short pulses to polarize the atom cloud and optical modulator (AOM) switches, are used: 1.5 ms pulses result in a reduction of image contrast. The pulse sequence used for the EDM measurement is shown in Fig. 2. Two kinds of pulses, generated by acousto-optical modulator (AOM) switches, are used: 1.5 ms pulses to polarize the atom cloud and 60 μs pulses to measure the number of atoms in the opposite-spin state (short pulses optimize the image signal-to-noise ratio, whereas longer ones for optical pumping maximize atom polarization). After each pulse, the 483 nm laser is blocked within 1 ms by an additional mechanical shutter to prevent decoherence induced by light leaking through the AOM while the atoms precess. Four images of atoms are recorded in each measurement cycle. The first occurs prior to any polarization pulse and, thus, produces a signal at half of the maximum contrast. The second occurs after half of the spin precession period, following a polarization pulse, and has a signal at the maximum contrast. The third is taken about 2 s after polarization; it is during this time that the E field is applied. Then, the atoms are repolarized, followed by the fourth image also occurring about 2 s after polarization, but this time with no applied E field. The third and fourth images are normalized to the second one in order to reduce sensitivity to atom-number fluctuations. The third image is used to build the “E field on” spin precession curve, and the fourth builds the “E field off” spin precession curve. The data indicate that the decreasing contrast is consistent with the lifetime of the atoms in the ODT.

Figure 3 presents the spin detection data with the E field on, pointing parallel to the B field, antiparallel, or with the E field off as functions of the free precession time. The E field is at its maximum value of 67 kV/cm for 1.2 s during the 2 s of precession. Each data point was corrected for trap losses using the measured trap lifetime. Data taken under the three E field configurations were simultaneously fit to a combined set of equations:

\[ y_{E\text{ field off}} = \frac{A}{1 + P} \left[ 1 - P \cos(\omega t) \right], \]
\[ y_{\text{parallel, antiparallel}} = \frac{A}{1 + P} \left[ 1 - P \cos(\omega t + \theta \pm \Delta \phi/2) \right]. \]

Five parameters A (normalization), P (atom polarization), \( \omega \) (precession frequency with E field off), \( \theta \), and \( \Delta \phi \) tuned to the resonance of the noncycling transition \( 7s^2^1S_0 F = 1/2 \rightarrow 7s^7p^1P_1 F = 1/2 \). An atom with a spin in the fully polarized state does not absorb photons, while one in the opposite-spin state absorbs on average three photons before becoming fully polarized. Compared to the atom-number measurement on a cycling transition, the spin-sensitive detection scatters 30 times fewer photons, resulting in a reduction of image contrast.

The pulse sequence used for the EDM measurement is shown in Fig. 2. Two kinds of pulses, generated by acousto-optical modulator (AOM) switches, are used: 1.5 ms pulses to polarize the atom cloud and 60 μs pulses to measure the number of atoms in the opposite-spin state (short pulses optimize the image signal-to-noise ratio, whereas longer ones for optical pumping maximize atom polarization). After each pulse, the 483 nm laser is blocked within 1 ms by an additional mechanical shutter to prevent decoherence induced by light leaking through the AOM while the atoms precess. Four images of atoms are recorded in each measurement cycle. The first occurs prior to any polarization pulse and, thus, produces a signal at half of the maximum contrast. The second occurs after half of the spin precession period, following a polarization pulse, and has a signal at the maximum contrast. The third is taken about 2 s after polarization; it is during this time that the E field is applied. Then, the atoms are repolarized, followed by the fourth image also occurring about 2 s after polarization, but this time with no applied E field. The third and fourth images are normalized to the second one in order to reduce sensitivity to atom-number fluctuations. The third image is used to build the “E field on” spin precession curve, and the fourth builds the “E field off” spin precession curve. The data indicate that the decreasing contrast is consistent with the lifetime of the atoms in the ODT.

Figure 3 presents the spin detection data with the E field on, pointing parallel to the B field, antiparallel, or with the E field off as functions of the free precession time. The E field is at its maximum value of 67 kV/cm for 1.2 s during the 2 s of precession. Each data point was corrected for trap losses using the measured trap lifetime. Data taken under the three E field configurations were simultaneously fit to a combined set of equations:

\[ y_{E\text{ field off}} = \frac{A}{1 + P} \left[ 1 - P \cos(\omega t) \right], \]
\[ y_{\text{parallel, antiparallel}} = \frac{A}{1 + P} \left[ 1 - P \cos(\omega t + \theta \pm \Delta \phi/2) \right]. \]

Five parameters A (normalization), P (atom polarization), \( \omega \) (precession frequency with E field off), \( \theta \), and \( \Delta \phi \)
(defined below) were fit without constraints. \( y_{\text{field-off}} \) is the
integrated signal in image no. 4 (Fig. 2) after normalization to
image no. 2 and background subtraction. Similarly,
\( y_{\text{parallel,antiparallel}} \) is derived from image no. 3. An EDM
would cause a polarity-dependent phase shift \( \Delta \phi \), with
the EDM given by \( d = \hbar \Delta \phi / (4E \tau) \) (here \( \tau \) is the spin
precession time with the \( E \) field applied). An effect common to both
\( E \)-field polarities would produce an overall phase offset \( \Delta \phi \) was found to be uncorrelated
with the other fit parameters. In both of the experimental
runs, the measured EDM was found to be consistent with
zero: \( -(4.0 \pm 5.2) \times 10^{-22} \, e \, cm \) in the first measurement,
done with 3 mCi of \( ^{225} \text{Ra} \), and \( (0.6 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-22} \, e \, cm \) in
the second one, done with 6 mCi of \( ^{225} \text{Ra} \). The uncertainties
listed above are statistical only.

A variety of possible systematic effects could poten-
tially lead to false EDM signals. Effects due to correlations
between the high voltage and the probe frequency, the
external \( B \) field, the current supply for the bias \( B \) field,
and the standing-wave ODT power were considered. Also
modeled were those due to imperfect \( E \)-field reversal,
induced \( B \) fields due to the \( E \)-field pulsing, leakage
current, \( \dot{E} \times \vec{v} \) effects, Stark interference in the excited
state hyperfine structure due to the presence of the ac laser
fields and the dc \( E \) field [25], and geometric phase. The
high voltage correlations were measured and, for each
systematic effect, models were used to place an upper
limit on the size of a potential false EDM. Using this
analysis, all of the systematic effects are calculated to be
smaller than \( 1 \times 10^{-25} \, e \, cm \).

The effects due to imperfect \( E \)-field switching can also be
constrained by direct measurements with trapped \( ^{225} \text{Ra} \)
atoms. Effects quadratic in the \( E \) field do not produce an
EDM-like signal, unless the \( E \)-field reversal is imperfect.
The voltage difference between the electrodes is recorded
for each polarity; the \( E \)-field imbalance is found to be less
than 0.7%. We use three \( E \)-field configurations (parallel,
antiparallel, and off) to directly measure both the linear
and quadratic terms; the term that gives the phase a
antiparallel, and off) to directly measure both the linear
and quadratic terms; the term that gives the phase a

Improvements on the probing sensitivity by many orders
of magnitude are possible. Detailed analysis indicates that
the systematic effects of EDM measurements in an ODT
can be reduced to below \( 10^{-28} \, e \, cm \) [25]. Here, we
discuss various approaches to improve statistical sensitivity. A
factor of 10 in EDM sensitivity can be gained by increasing
the standing-wave ODT lifetime, which can be achieved
by improving the vacuum and by reducing heating. The
gain in EDM sensitivity is linearly proportional to the gain
in lifetime because the load-measurement cycle, currently
50 s, is dominated by the time needed for loading the MOT,
and because an EDM measurement can be performed in
parallel with MOT loading.

The efficiency of detecting the spin state after the \( E \) field
has been turned off can be significantly improved by
shelving one spin state to the long-lived \( ^{2}D_{1} \) level.
Stimulated Raman adiabatic passage [31] can be used to
transfer atoms from the \( 7s^{2} \, ^{1}S_{0} \rightarrow 1/2, m_{F} = -1/2 \) state
to \( 7s7d\, ^{3}D_{1} \), while leaving those in the \( m_{F} = +1/2 \) state
undisturbed. This step turns the initial task of spin detection
into atom-number detection with much higher signal-to-

Along the way towards this long-term goal, a recent analysis [11]
suggests that a \( ^{225} \text{Ra} \) EDM limit at the level of \( 10^{13} \, \text{s}^{-1} \) [33], which is 5 orders
of magnitude stronger than the currently available supply.

A \( ^{225} \text{Ra} \) EDM experiment with an \( E \) field of 100 kV/cm,
\( N = 1 \times 10^{6} \) atoms, and \( \tau = 100 \) s of spin precession time
can reach a statistical sensitivity at the level of \( 10^{-28} \, e \, cm \)
in \( T = 100 \) days, according to \( \delta d = \hbar / (2E \sqrt{\tau NT}) \). Along
the way towards this long-term goal, a recent analysis [11]
suggests that a \( ^{225} \text{Ra} \) EDM limit at the level of \( 10^{-25} \, e \, cm \)
would tighten the limits on certain types of \( T \)-and
\( P \)-violating electron-nucleus interactions by at least an
order of magnitude.
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