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The muon and tauon light-by-light scattering contributions to hyperfine splitting in muonium are calculated. These results conclude the calculation of all hard three-loop contributions to hyperfine splitting generated by the graphs with closed fermion loops. We discuss the special role that the lepton anomalous magnetic moments play in these calculations. The full result for all three-loop radiative-recoil corrections to hyperfine splitting generated by the graphs with closed lepton loops is presented.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Calculation of high-order corrections to hyperfine splitting in muonium is a classic application of high-precision bound-state quantum electrodynamics. For many years theory and experiment developed hand in hand, and the measurements of the hyperfine splitting (HFS) were the best source for the precise value of the electron-muon mass ratio (see, e.g., Refs. [1–3]). The current experimental error of HFS in muonium is in the interval 16–53 Hz (1.2–3.6 × 10⁻⁸) [4,5]. More than 10 years ago we declared the reduction of the theoretical error of HFS in muonium to the level of 10 Hz to be an achievable goal of the theoretical research [1,2]. This goal became recently even more pressing in view of a new high-accuracy measurement of muonium HFS planned now at J-PARC, Japan [6,7]. The goal of this experiment is to reduce the experimental error by an order of magnitude, to the level of a few parts per billion, which is below 10 Hz.

In order to reduce the theoretical error below 10 Hz one has to calculate single-logarithmic and nonlogarithmic in mass ratio hard radiative-recoil corrections of order α²(Zα)/(m/M)EF, as well as soft nonlogarithmic contributions of orders α(Zα)³/(m/M)EF and α(Zα)²/(m/M)EF.¹ We have concentrated our efforts on the calculation of hard radiative-recoil corrections of order α²(Zα)/(m/M)EF, and in recent years calculated all single-logarithmic and nonlogarithmic corrections to the HFS arising from the diagrams with closed lepton loops [8–17]. Below we will present the details of the recent calculation of the last previously unknown light-by-light scattering contribution to HFS arising from the virtual muon and tauon loops.² We will also discuss radiative-recoil corrections connected with the anomalous magnetic moments and present complete results for all corrections of order α²(Zα)/(m/M)EF generated by the three-loop diagrams containing closed lepton loops.

II. MUON AND TAUON LOOP LIGHT-BY-LIGHT INSERTIONS

A. General expressions and the infrared problems

Radiative insertions in the diagrams with two-photon exchanges in Fig. 1 generate all three-loop diagrams for the contributions of order α²(Zα)/(m/M)EF. It is well known that in any gauge-invariant set of diagrams radiative insertions suppress integration momenta that are small in comparison with the electron mass. As a result the characteristic integration momenta in these diagrams are of order of the electron mass or higher; these are hard corrections. This significantly simplifies calculations because then we can neglect momenta of the external wave functions and calculate the diagrams in the scattering approximation with the on-shell external momenta. The contribution to HFS is obtained by projecting the diagrams on the HFS spin structure and multiplying the result by the value of Schrödinger-Coulomb wave function at the origin squared (for more details see, e.g., Refs. [1,2]).

The general expression for the muon loop light-by-light (LBL) scattering contribution to HFS in Fig. 2 is similar to the respective electron loop contribution (see, e.g., Refs. [15,16]), and can be written in the form

¹Here α is the fine-structure constant, and m and M are the electron and muon masses, respectively. Z = 1 is the charge of the constituent muon; it is convenient to introduce it for the classification of different contributions. The Fermi energy is defined as EF = (8/3)(Zα)²/(m/M)((m_e/m)³)m, where m_e = m/m + M is the reduced mass.
where \( J \) is a dimensionless integral

\[
J = -\frac{3M^2}{128} \int \frac{d^4k}{i\pi^2k^4} \left( \frac{1}{k^2 + 2mk_0} + \frac{1}{k^2 - 2mk_0} \right) T(k^2, k_0).
\]

The dimensionless function \( T(k^2, k_0) \) is a sum of the ladder and crossed diagram contributions in Fig. 2

\[
T(k^2, k_0) = 2T_L(k^2, k_0) + T_C(k^2, k_0).
\]

Explicit expressions for the functions \( T_L(k^2, k_0) \) and \( T_C(k^2, k_0) \) can be obtained by the substitution \( m \to M, q_\mu \to k_\mu \) from the respective formulas in Refs. [15,16], where these functions were calculated in the case of the electron LBL scattering block.

Only the even in \( k_0 \) terms in the function \( T(k^2, k_0) \) contribute to the integral in Eq. (2). After the rescaling of the integration momentum \( k \to kM \), the Wick rotation, and the symmetrization of the function \( T(k^2, k_0) \) with respect to \( k_0 \), \( T(k^2, k_0) \to T(k^2, k_0^2) \), the integral in Eq. (2) turns into

\[
J = \frac{3}{32\pi} \int_{0}^\infty \frac{dk}{k^2} \int_{0}^\infty d\theta \sin^2 \theta \frac{T(k^2, \cos^2 \theta)}{k^2 + 16\mu^2 \cos^2 \theta},
\]

where we have parametrized the Euclidean four-vectors as \( k_0 = k \cos \theta, |k| = k \sin \theta, \mu = m/(2M) \), and the function \( T(k^2, \cos^2 \theta) \) is the same as in Eq. (2) but symmetrized with respect to \( k_0 \) and with the Wick-rotated momenta. The dimensionless function \( T(k^2, \cos^2 \theta) \) after rescaling depends on the dimensionless momentum \( k \) and does not contain any parameters with the dimension of mass. Below we will often write the integral in Eq. (4) as a sum

\[
J = 2J_L + J_C,
\]

where the terms on the rhs correspond to the respective terms on the rhs in Eq. (3).

We are looking for the \( \mu \)-independent contributions generated by the integral in Eq. (4). The term with \( \mu^2 \) in the denominator is irrelevant at large \( k \), and the integral is convergent at large \( k \) due to the ultraviolet convergence of all diagrams with the LBL insertions. The case of the small integration momenta is more involved. Due to gauge invariance, the LBL block is strongly suppressed at \( k \to 0 \), and we expect that the integral in Eq. (4) remains finite even at \( \mu = 0 \). As a result of this finiteness the diagrams in Fig. 2 should not generate either nonrecoil or logarithmically enhanced recoil contributions to HFS in accordance with our physical expectations. However, at \( \mu = 0 \) the convergence of the small integration momenta contributions from individual diagrams cannot be taken for granted, and we have to consider separate entries in more detail. The functions \( T_L(k^2, k_0) \) and \( T_C(k^2, k_0) \) are sums of terms each of which is a multidimensional integral over the Feynman parameters and an explicit function of the integration momentum squared \( k^2 \) and the integration angle \( \theta \). The dependence on angles can be easily separated and therefore we can explicitly calculate the integrals over angles. All these integrals are proportional to one of the two standard functions \( \Phi_0 \) and \( \Phi_1 \) (compare analogous functions in the case of the virtual electron light-by-light scattering loop in Ref. [16]):

\[
\Phi_0 = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} d\theta \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{k^2 + 16\mu^2 \cos^2 \theta} = \frac{1}{8\mu^2} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^2 + 16\mu^2}} - 1 \right],
\]

\[
\Phi_1 = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} d\theta \frac{\sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta}{k^2 + 16\mu^2 \cos^2 \theta} = \frac{1}{8\mu^2} \left[ -\frac{k}{16\mu^2} \sqrt{k^2 + 16\mu^2} + \frac{k^2}{16\mu^2} + \frac{1}{2} \right].
\]

The ultraviolet asymptotics of these functions coincide with their exact values at \( \mu = 0 \)

\[
\Phi_0|_{\mu=0} = \frac{1}{k^2}, \quad \Phi_1|_{\mu=0} = \frac{1}{4k^2}.
\]

Using these integrals and the explicit expressions for the large-momentum asymptotic behavior of the functions \( T_L(k^2, \cos^2 \theta) \) (see Ref. [15]) we once again confirm that the momentum integral in Eq. (4) is ultraviolet finite.

The infrared region requires more attention. The functions \( T_L(k^2, \cos^2 \theta) \) and \( T_C(k^2, \cos^2 \theta) \) contain terms that decrease only as \( k^2 \) at small momenta. We would obtain logarithmically infrared divergent integrals if we substitute in the momentum integral in Eq. (4) such terms together with the angular integrals in Eq. (7). These are fake divergences since at \( \mu \neq 0 \)
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\[ \Phi_0 \approx \frac{1}{2\mu k} - \frac{1}{8\mu^2} + \cdots, \quad \Phi_1 \approx \frac{1}{16\mu^2} - \frac{k}{32\mu^3} + \cdots, \]

(8)

and then the momentum integrals of the separate terms in Eq. (4) are infrared finite. The would-be logarithmic divergences are cut off by the parameter \( \mu \) (the upper integration limit is irrelevant for the discussion of the infrared convergence)

\[ \int_0^1 \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \Phi_0 k^2 \approx 2 \ln \frac{1}{2\mu} + 1 + O(\mu), \]

\[ \int_0^1 \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \Phi_1 k^2 \approx \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{2\mu} - \frac{1}{8} + O(\mu). \]

(9)

We see that one cannot delete \( \mu \) in the integrals of separate terms in Eq. (4) without generating artificial infrared divergences. On the other hand, we know that due to gauge invariance all infrared logarithms cancel in the total integral in Eq. (4) that remains finite when \( \mu \) goes to zero. We are interested only in the value of the integral at \( \mu = 0 \), so our next goal is to organize the calculations in such a way that allows us to let \( \mu = 0 \) before integration. This approach leads to a significant simplification of numerical calculations since the integrals with \( \mu \neq 0 \) are much more involved and the final result arises as a result of the cancellation of big numbers.

To facilitate further calculations we represent the functions \( T_{L(3)}(k^2, \cos^2 \theta) \) in the form

\[ T_{L(3)}(k^2, \cos^2 \theta) = T_{L(3)}^{\text{reg}}(k^2, \cos^2 \theta) + T_{L(3)}^{\text{sing}}(k^2, \cos^2 \theta), \]

(10)

where the functions \( T_{L(3)}^{\text{reg}} \) decrease faster than \( k^2 \) at small \( k^2 \), and the functions \( T_{L(3)}^{\text{sing}} \) decrease as \( k^2 \) at small \( k^2 \).

In these terms the integral in Eq. (4) has the form

\[ J = J_{\text{reg}} + J_{\text{sing}}, \]

(11)

where

\[ J_{\text{reg}} = \frac{3}{4\pi k^2} \int_0^{\pi} d\theta \sin^2 \theta \frac{T_{\text{reg}}^{\text{sing}}(k^2, \cos^2 \theta)}{k^2 + 16\mu^2 \cos^2 \theta}, \]

(12)

and

\[ T_{\text{reg}}^{\text{sing}}(k^2, \cos^2 \theta) = 2T_{L(3)}^{\text{sing}}(k^2, \cos^2 \theta) + \frac{T_{L(3)}^{\text{reg}}(k^2, \cos^2 \theta)}{k(5k^2 + k^2_0)\tau d}. \]

(13)

B. Calculation of the infrared-safe integrals

First we consider the calculation of the infrared-safe integrals in Eq. (12). One can obtain an explicit expression for the infrared-safe function \( T_{\text{reg}}^{\text{sing}} \) by omitting all terms in the explicit representations for the functions \( T_{L(3)}^{\text{reg}} \) in Refs. [15,16] that decrease as \( k^2 \) or \( k^2_0 \) at small \( k \). To keep the formulas relatively compact we put down explicit expressions for the functions \( T_{\text{reg}}^{\text{sing}} \) as they are used in Eq. (3), before the Wick rotation and symmetrization. Then the regular ladder function has the form of a sum of nine multidimensional integrals

\[ T_{L(3)}^{\text{reg}}(k^2, k_0) = \frac{128}{3} \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 dz \int_0^1 du \int_0^1 dt \sum_i T_{L,i}^{\text{reg}}(y, z, u, t, k^2, k_0), \]

(14)

where

\[ T_{L,i}^{\text{reg}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{1-\tau} & 
\frac{1}{1-\tau} \left( \frac{1}{1-\tau} \right) (1-u)^2 - k_0(5k^2 + k^2_0)\tau d, \\
\frac{1}{1-\tau} & 
\frac{1}{1-\tau} \left( \frac{1}{1-\tau} \right) (1-u)^2 - k_0(5k^2 + k^2_0)\tau d, \\
\frac{1}{1-\tau} & 
\frac{1}{1-\tau} \left( \frac{1}{1-\tau} \right) (1-u)^2 - k_0(5k^2 + k^2_0)\tau d, \\
\frac{1}{1-\tau} & 
\frac{1}{1-\tau} \left( \frac{1}{1-\tau} \right) (1-u)^2 - k_0(5k^2 + k^2_0)\tau d, \\
\frac{1}{1-\tau} & 
\frac{1}{1-\tau} \left( \frac{1}{1-\tau} \right) (1-u)^2 - k_0(5k^2 + k^2_0)\tau d, \\
\frac{1}{1-\tau} & 
\frac{1}{1-\tau} \left( \frac{1}{1-\tau} \right) (1-u)^2 - k_0(5k^2 + k^2_0)\tau d, \\
\frac{1}{1-\tau} & 
\frac{1}{1-\tau} \left( \frac{1}{1-\tau} \right) (1-u)^2 - k_0(5k^2 + k^2_0)\tau d, \\
\frac{1}{1-\tau} & 
\frac{1}{1-\tau} \left( \frac{1}{1-\tau} \right) (1-u)^2 - k_0(5k^2 + k^2_0)\tau d, \\
\frac{1}{1-\tau} & 
\frac{1}{1-\tau} \left( \frac{1}{1-\tau} \right) (1-u)^2 - k_0(5k^2 + k^2_0)\tau d
\end{array} \right. \]
The regular crossed diagram contribution reduces to one multidimensional integral

\[
T_{c,2}^{\text{reg}}(k^2, k_0) = \frac{128}{3} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 dz \int_0^1 du \\
\times \int_0^1 dt \int_0^1 dT_{C}^{\text{reg}}(x, y, z, u, t, k^2, k_0),
\]

where \( T_{C,2}^{\text{reg}} = 0 \)

\[
T_{C}^{\text{reg}} = T_{C,1}^{\text{reg}} + T_{C,3}^{\text{reg}}
= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y}}{1 - xy} \left[ -4(k^2 - k_0^2) \frac{k^2 \tau^2 d^2}{\Delta^3} \\
-3k_0^2 \frac{k^2 \tau d^2}{\Delta^2} - 4(k^2 - k_0^2) \frac{k^2 \tau d^2}{\Delta^3} \right].
\]

In Eqs. (15)–(17)

\[
\Delta = g \left[-k^2 + 2bk_0 + a^2\right], \quad a^2 = \frac{1}{g} \left[t^2 + \frac{M^2 u}{xy(1-xy)}\right],
\]

\[
b = \frac{\tau d}{g}, \quad d = \xi u \left[z - \frac{1}{1-xy}\right], \quad \tau = M(1-u)t,
\]

\[
g = g_0 - d^2, \quad g_0 = \frac{u(1-yz)(1-x + xyz)}{y(1-xy)},
\]

and \( x = 1 \) in Eq. (15), while \( \xi = 1 \) in all functions in Eq. (15) and Eq. (17) except the function \( T_{L,6}^{\text{reg}} \).

We still need to make the Wick rotation and symmetrize the functions \( T^{\text{reg}} \) over \( k_0 \). The details of a similar symmetrization were described in Ref. 16, and we will not describe them here. After symmetrization we substitute the functions \( T^{\text{reg}} \) into the integral \( J^{\text{reg}} \) in Eq. (12). We can safely let \( \mu = 0 \) before integration, which makes the calculation straightforward. We collect the separate contributions in Table I. Summing all these regular contributions, we obtain

\[
J^{\text{reg}} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{9} J_{L,i}^{\text{reg}} + J_{C}^{\text{reg}} = -2.14639(3).
\]

C. Calculation of the apparently infrared-singular integrals

We now consider the apparently infrared-singular integrals \( J^{\text{sing}} \). The respective functions \( T_{L(C),i}^{\text{sing}} \) are again sums of multidimensional integrals similar to the ones in Eq. (14) and Eq. (16). The functions \( T_{L(C),i}^{\text{sing}} \) contain those terms from the general functions \( T_{L(C),i}^{\text{reg}} \) (see Refs. [15,16]) that were not included in the regular functions in Eq. (15) and Eq. (17). Explicitly

\[
\text{TABLE I. Regular integrals.}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( J_{L,i}^{\text{reg}} )</th>
<th>( J_{L,2}^{\text{reg}} )</th>
<th>( J_{L,3}^{\text{reg}} )</th>
<th>( J_{L,4}^{\text{reg}} )</th>
<th>( J_{L,6}^{\text{reg}} )</th>
<th>( J_{L,7}^{\text{reg}} )</th>
<th>( J_{L,8}^{\text{reg}} )</th>
<th>( J_{L,9}^{\text{reg}} )</th>
<th>( J_{C}^{\text{reg}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-0.014 805(4)</td>
<td>-1.202 396(4)</td>
<td>0.050 539(1)</td>
<td>0.162 208(6)</td>
<td>0.014 963(4)</td>
<td>-0.045 490(3)</td>
<td>-0.023 826(6)</td>
<td>-0.014 441(4)</td>
<td>0.000 106(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The integrals of these functions in Eq. (14) and Eq. (16) generate the terms proportional to \( k^2 \) and also the terms with higher powers of \( k^2 \). We would like to separate the terms proportional to \( k^2 \) (only such terms generate infrared logarithms after momentum integration) and check that all such terms cancel before the integration over \( k \). This separation can be achieved with the help of the substitution \( \Delta = g(k^2 + 2bk_0 + \alpha^2) \rightarrow g\Delta = \bar{\alpha}^2 \). After this substitution the integrals over the Feynman parameters are quadratic in \( k \). Let us demonstrate this explicitly. After the substitution \( \Delta \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}^2 \) the nonvanishing functions in Eq. (20) acquire the form

\[
T_{L,1}^{\text{quad}} = (2k + k_0^2)A_{11} + (k^2 + 2k_0^2)A_{13},
\]

\[
T_{L,2}^{\text{quad}} = (2k + k_0^2)(A_{21} + A_{22}),
\]

\[
T_{L,4}^{\text{quad}} = (2k + k_0^2)(A_{41} + A_{42}),
\]

\[
T_{L,5}^{\text{quad}} = (2k + k_0^2)A_{51} + k_0^2A_{52},
\]

\[
T_{C,1}^{\text{quad}} = (2k + k_0^2)B_{11} + k^2B_{13},
\]

\[
T_{C,2}^{\text{quad}} = (2k + k_0^2)B_{21} + (k^2 - k_0^2)B_{22}.
\]
Using the cancellation in Eq. (23) we observe
large and small momenta. Therefore, allowing us to safely
let \( \mu = 0 \) in the integral for \( J^{\text{sing}} \) before integration

\[
J^{\text{sing}} = \frac{3}{32\pi} \int_0^\infty dk^2 \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin^2 \theta \left[ 2 \sum_{ij} \frac{T^{\text{sing}}_{L,ij}(k^2, \cos^2 \theta) - T^{\text{quad}}_{L,ij}(k^2, \cos^2 \theta)}{k^4} \right. \\
+ \left. 2 \sum_{ij} \frac{T^{\text{sing}}_{C,ij}(k^2, \cos^2 \theta) - T^{\text{quad}}_{C,ij}(k^2, \cos^2 \theta)}{k^4} \right]
\]

\[
= 2 \sum_{ij} J^{<}_{L,ij} + 2 \sum_{ij} J^{<}_{C,ij} + 2 \sum_{ij} J^{>}_{L,ij} + 2 \sum_{ij} J^{>}_{C,ij},
\]

where we have chosen \( k = 1 \) to separate the regions of
large and small momenta.

We collect the results for the individual integrals in
Eq. (27) in Tables III and IV. Summing the results in these
tables we obtain

\[
J^{\text{sing}<} = 0.17448(1),
\]

\[
J^{\text{sing}>} = 1.12951(4).
\]

We have checked by direct calculations that the sum

| TABLE II. Integrals for coefficients before \( k^2 \). |
| \( A_{11} \) | \( T^{\text{quad}}_{L,1,11} = -\frac{\pi^2}{72} + \frac{5}{24} \) | \( B_{11} \) | \( T^{\text{quad}}_{C,1,11} = -\frac{\pi^2}{72} + \frac{5}{6} \) |
| \( A_{13} \) | \( T^{\text{quad}}_{L,1,13} = \frac{2}{27} - \frac{2}{27} \) | \( B_{13} \) | \( T^{\text{quad}}_{C,1,13} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} + \frac{2}{4} \) |
| \( A_{21} \) | \( T^{\text{quad}}_{L,1,21} = \frac{7}{12} + \frac{5}{3} \) | \( B_{21} \) | \( T^{\text{quad}}_{C,1,21} = \frac{\pi^2}{18} - \frac{3}{4} \) |
| \( A_{22} \) | \( T^{\text{quad}}_{L,1,22} = -\frac{\pi^2}{12} + \frac{7}{8} \) | \( B_{22} \) | \( T^{\text{quad}}_{C,1,22} = -\frac{\pi^2}{72} + \frac{10}{8} \) |
| \( A_{41} \) | \( T^{\text{quad}}_{L,1,41} = -\frac{\pi^2}{72} + \frac{5}{8} \) | \( B_{41} \) | \( T^{\text{quad}}_{C,1,41} = \frac{\pi^2}{72} + \frac{5}{8} \) |
| \( A_{42} \) | \( T^{\text{quad}}_{L,1,42} = \frac{23\pi^2}{1144} - \frac{10}{21} \) | \( B_{42} \) | \( T^{\text{quad}}_{C,1,42} = \frac{23\pi^2}{1144} + \frac{10}{21} \) |
| \( A_{51} \) | \( T^{\text{quad}}_{L,1,51} = \frac{5}{72} - \frac{7}{7} \) | \( B_{51} \) | \( T^{\text{quad}}_{C,1,51} = \frac{5}{72} - \frac{7}{7} \) |
| \( A_{52} \) | \( T^{\text{quad}}_{L,1,52} = -\frac{7\pi^2}{96} + \frac{5}{8} \) |

| TABLE III. Integrals \( J^{<} \). |
| \( J_{L,11} \) | 0.042322(5) | \( J_{C,11} \) | 0.174420(5) |
| \( J_{L,13} \) | -0.008528(1) | \( J_{C,13} \) | -0.034331(5) |
| \( J_{L,21} \) | -0.251765(5) | \( J_{C,21} \) | 0.284129(8) |
| \( J_{L,22} \) | 0.020742(5) | \( J_{C,22} \) | -0.060654(3) |
| \( J_{L,41} \) | 0.050982(3) | \( J_{C,41} \) | -0.002177(1) |
| \( J_{L,42} \) | 0.105192(3) | \( J_{C,42} \) | 0.068860(3) |
| \( J_{L,51} \) | 0.014977(1) |

| TABLE IV. Integrals \( J^{>} \). |
| \( J_{L,11} \) | -0.728794(1) | \( J_{C,11} \) | -2.883062(2) |
| \( J_{L,13} \) | 0.103289(1) | \( J_{C,13} \) | 0.412240(2) |
| \( J_{L,21} \) | 4.394262(2) | \( J_{C,21} \) | -1.142507(8) |
| \( J_{L,22} \) | -0.672947(5) | \( J_{C,22} \) | 0.205144(8) |
| \( J_{L,41} \) | -0.620559(3) | \( J_{C,41} \) | -0.560559(3) |
| \( J_{L,42} \) | 0.031714(7) |
| \( J_{L,51} \) | -0.291783(4) |
| \( J_{L,52} \) | 0.051666(6) |
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\( J^\text{sing} = J^\text{sing<} + J^\text{sing>} = 1.303 \, 99(5) \) (29)

does not depend on the arbitrary separation point.

D. Total muon and tauon contributions

Collecting the results in Eq. (19) and Eq. (29) we obtain

\[ J = -0.842 \, 39(6), \] (30)

and finally

\[ \Delta E = -0.842 \, 39(6) \frac{\alpha^2 (Z \alpha) \, m}{\pi^3} \frac{E_F}{M} \approx -0.2274 \text{ Hz}. \] (31)

Using the same methods as above we also calculated a tiny contribution to hyperfine splitting generated by the tauon LBL scattering block in Fig. 2

\[ \Delta E_t = -0.003 \, 58(1) \frac{\alpha^2 (Z \alpha) \, m}{\pi^3} \frac{E_F}{M} \approx -0.0010 \text{ Hz}. \] (32)

III. ANOMALOUS MAGNETIC MOMENTS AND THREE-LOOP CONTRIBUTIONS TO HYPERFINE SPLITTING

Our final goal is to collect all three-loop radiative-recoil corrections generated by the diagrams with closed fermion loops, but before that we would like to discuss the calculation of corrections that are connected with the anomalous magnetic moments (AMMs). Results for these three-loop radiative-recoil corrections were reported in Ref. [11] but their derivation was never presented.

In our notation the classical Fermi result [18] for the triplet-singlet splitting has the form

\[ \Delta E = (1 + a_e)(1 + a_\mu) \frac{E_F}{M}, \] (33)

where \( a_{e(\mu)} = (g_{e(\mu)} - 2)/2 \) are the electron and muon anomalous magnetic moments, respectively.

We see from Eq. (33) that the anomalous magnetic moments play a special role in the problem of hyperfine splitting and their contributions in certain cases can be calculated exactly without an expansion in small parameters. To avoid double counting we need to keep this fact in mind when performing perturbative calculations. Physically it is more or less obvious that in the external-field approximation only the total muon magnetic moment matters. Our goal below is to clarify how this happens and to calculate the three-loop radiative-recoil corrections generated by the electron and muon AMMs. In some cases we will obtain results that are exact with respect to the lepton AMMs.

A. AMMs and recoil and radiative-recoil corrections

The leading recoil correction to hyperfine splitting in muonium is generated by the diagrams with two exchanged photons in Fig. 1 and was calculated a long time ago [19–21]. The characteristic loop momenta in these diagrams are much larger than the electron mass, and therefore the leading recoil correction to hyperfine splitting can be calculated in the scattering approximation, ignoring the wave function momenta of order \( mZ \alpha \) (see, e.g., Refs. [1,2]). Let us recall the main steps in calculation of this leading recoil correction. In the scattering approximation the sum of the diagrams in Fig. 1 can be written as

\[ -\frac{3}{8} (Z \alpha) m \frac{E_F}{E} \int \frac{d^4 k}{i \pi^3 k^4} \left[ L_{\mu\nu}^{(e)} (k) + L_{\mu\nu}^{(e)} (-k) \right] L_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu)} (-k), \] (34)

where the electron skeleton factor \( L_{\mu\nu}^{(e)} (k) \) is

\[ L_{\mu\nu}^{(e)} (k) = -\frac{k^2}{k^4 - 4m_e^2 k_0^2} \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu, \] (35)

and the muon skeleton factor \( L_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu)} (k) \) is obtained from the electron one by the substitution \( m \rightarrow M \). Projecting the product of the fermion factors on hyperfine splitting we obtain after the Wick rotation and transition to the four-dimensional spherical coordinates

\[ \Delta E = 4 \frac{(Z \alpha) m M}{\pi} \frac{E_F}{E} \int_0^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \int_0^\pi \frac{d\theta}{3} \frac{\sin^2 \theta (2 + \cos^2 \theta)}{(k^2 + 4m_e^2 \cos^2 \theta)(k^2 + 4M^2 \cos^2 \theta)}. \] (36)

Below we will also need the diagrams in Fig. 3 where one of the vertices in the skeleton diagrams in Fig. 1 is substituted by the AMM. This substitution reduces to

\[ \gamma_\mu \rightarrow -\gamma_\mu \sigma^{\mu\nu} k_\nu/(2m) = -\alpha/(2\pi) \gamma_\mu \sigma^{\mu\nu} k_\nu/(2m), \]

where \( k_\nu \) is the momentum of the outgoing photon; see Ref. [10]. In the scattering approximation the sum of these diagrams has the form

\[ -\frac{3}{8} (Z \alpha) m \frac{E_F}{E} \int \frac{d^4 k}{i \pi^3 k^4} \left[ L_{\mu\nu}^{(e,\text{AMM})} (k) + L_{\mu\nu}^{(e,\text{AMM})} (-k) \right] L_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu)} (-k), \] (37)

where [10]

\[ \text{See an explicit expression for the projector in Ref. [10], where there is a misprint in the overall sign of the projector.} \]

\[ \text{There is a misprint in the sign of this term in Ref. [10].} \]
\[ \Delta E_{\text{AMM}} = \frac{\alpha(Z\alpha)}{2\pi^2} \tilde{E}_F \frac{mM}{M^2 - m^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \left[ F(\mu k, \xi) - F\left(\frac{k}{2}, \xi\right)\right] \bigg|_{\xi = 4}, \]

and

\[ \Delta E_{\text{AMM}} = \frac{\alpha(Z\alpha)}{2\pi^2} \tilde{E}_F \frac{mM}{M^2 - m^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \left[ F(\mu k, \xi) - F\left(\frac{k}{2}, \xi\right)\right] \bigg|_{\xi = 4}. \]

Both of these integrals are linearly infrared divergent due to the singular behavior of the function \( F(k, \xi) \) at small \( k \), \( F(k, \xi)|_{k \to 0} \to 2/k \). In the case of \( \Delta E \) this divergence indicates that the integral in Eq. (44) contains a contribution of the previous order in \( Z\alpha \), namely the leading nonrecoil contribution \( \tilde{E}_F \). The integral in Eq. (45) contains a similar infrared divergence that again corresponds to the contribution of the previous order in \( Z\alpha \), and starts to build the contribution proportional to the anomalous magnetic moment in Eq. (33).
Subtracting the linear divergence \( F(\mu k, \xi) \to \tilde{F}(\mu k, \xi) = F(\mu k, \xi) - 2/\mu k \) and \( F(k/2, \xi) \to \tilde{F}(k/2, \xi) = F(k/2, \xi) - 4/k \) we easily calculate the finite integral
\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \left[ \tilde{F}(\mu k, \xi) - \tilde{F}\left(\frac{k}{2}, \xi\right) \right] = (\xi - 4) \ln \frac{M}{m}. \tag{46}
\]
Substituting this integral into Eq. (44) and Eq. (45) we obtain the leading recoil correction \([19–21]\)
\[
\Delta E_{\text{skelec,rec}} = -\frac{Z\alpha}{\pi} \tilde{E}_F \frac{3mM}{M^2 - m^2} \ln \frac{M}{m}, \tag{47}
\]
and the recoil contribution of the AMM
\[
\Delta E_{\text{AMM,rec}} = 0. \tag{48}
\]
This nullification of the radiative-recoil contribution of the AMM was discovered in Ref. [24]. We have obtained this result including only the one-loop contribution to the AMM but it is easy to see that it holds even for an exact AMM, since higher-order corrections to the AMM only change the coefficient before the AMM vertex \(-a_s \sigma^{\mu\nu} k_\nu/(2m)\).

Let us turn now to the principal subject of our interest, namely, contributions to HFS generated by the diagrams in Figs. 5–8 with simultaneous insertions of fermion factors and electron or muon polarizations in the two-photon exchange diagrams in Fig. 1.\(^5\) We consider only the AMM contributions generated by these graphs. The contributions generated by the soft parts of the fermion factors \(L^{(e)}(k)\) and \(L^{(\mu,\text{AMM})}(k)\) were calculated in Ref. [9].

For normalization and illustrative purposes we will consider the contribution to HFS of the diagrams in Figs. 5–8 in parallel with the diagrams with only the polarization insertions in Fig. 9, without radiation insertions in the fermion lines. The contribution to HFS of the diagrams in Fig. 9 with the electron polarization insertions is obtained from the expression in Eq. (44) by the insertion of the electron polarization operator \((\alpha/\pi) \mu^2 I_{e}(k^2)\), where (recall that the dimensionless momentum \(k\) is measured in units of electron mass)
\[
I_{e}(k^2) = \int_0^1 dv \frac{v^2(1 - \frac{v^2}{2})}{4 + k^2(1 - v^2)}. \tag{49}
\]
Multiplying also by the combinatorial factor 2 we obtain
\[
\Delta E_{\text{pol}} = \frac{\alpha(Z\alpha)}{\pi^2} \tilde{E}_F \frac{2mM}{M^2 - m^2} \times \int_0^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \left[ F(\mu k, \xi) - F\left(\frac{k}{2}, \xi\right) \right] k^2 I_{e}(k^2) = \frac{\alpha(Z\alpha)}{\pi^2} \tilde{E}_F \frac{1}{2} J_{e}(\xi)_{\xi=1}. \tag{50}
\]
\(\int_0^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \left[ F(\mu k, \xi) - F\left(\frac{k}{2}, \xi\right) \right] k^2 I_{e}(k^2)\]
\[
\approx \frac{\alpha(Z\alpha)}{\pi^2} \tilde{E}_F \frac{1}{2} J_{e}(\xi)_{\xi=1}. \tag{52}
\]
Below we omit the diagrams with the crossed photon lines in the figures.

Similarly the contribution to HFS generated by the diagrams in Fig. 5 with the AMM and electron vacuum polarizations can be obtained by the insertion of the polarization operator in the integral in Eq. (45) (an extra factor of 2 is again due to combinatorics)
\[
\Delta E_{\text{AMM}} = \frac{\alpha^2(Z\alpha)}{\pi^2} \tilde{E}_F \frac{mM}{M^2 - m^2} \times \int_0^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \left[ F(\mu k, \xi) - F\left(\frac{k}{2}, \xi\right) \right]_{\xi=4} k^2 I_{e}(k^2) \]
\[
= \frac{\alpha^2(Z\alpha)}{\pi^2} \tilde{E}_F \frac{1}{2} J_{e}(\xi)_{\xi=4}. \tag{51}
\]

\(\int_0^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \left[ F(\mu k, \xi) - F\left(\frac{k}{2}, \xi\right) \right]_{\xi=4} k^2 I_{e}(k^2)\]
We see that the contributions of the diagrams in Fig. 9 and in Fig. 5 can be calculated in terms of the integral $J_\alpha(\xi)$, and, after some modifications, the contributions of the other diagrams in Figs. 6–8 can be calculated as well. Let us calculate this auxiliary integral. We represent it as a sum of terms

$$
J_\alpha(\xi) = J_{\alpha}(\xi)|_{NR} + J_{\alpha}(\xi)|_{recoil} = J_{\alpha}(\xi)|_{NR} + J_{\alpha}^\mu(\xi)|_{recoil} + J_{\alpha}^\nu(\xi)|_{recoil},
$$

(53)

where $J_{\alpha}(\xi)|_{NR}$ is the integral of the leading term when $\mu \to 0$, namely the term $2/(\mu k)$ in $F(\mu k, \xi)$, $J_{\alpha}^\mu(\xi)|_{recoil}$ is the integral of $F(\mu k, \xi) = F(\mu k, \xi) - 2/(\mu k)$, and $J_{\alpha}^\nu(\xi)|_{recoil}$ is the integral of $[-F(k/2)]$.

It is easy to see that

$$
J_{\alpha}(\xi)|_{NR} = \frac{16M^2}{M^2 - m^2} \int_0^{\infty} dk I_{1\alpha}(k^2) = \frac{M^2}{M^2 - m^2} \frac{3\pi^2}{4}.
$$

(54)

Next we calculate the integral

$$
J_{\alpha}^\mu(\xi)|_{recoil} = \frac{2mM}{M^2 - m^2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \tilde{F}(\mu k, \xi) k^2 I_{1\alpha}(k^2),
$$

(55)

with linear accuracy in the small parameter $\mu$. As usual with the integrals of this type (see, e.g., Refs. [1,2,25,26]) we introduce an auxiliary parameter $\sigma$ that satisfies the inequality $0 \ll \sigma \ll \mu^{-1}$. The parameter $\sigma$ is used to separate the momentum integration into two regions: a region of small momenta $0 \leq k \leq \sigma$, and a region of large momenta $\sigma \leq k < \infty$. In the region of small momenta one uses the condition $\mu k \ll 1$ to simplify the integrand, and in the region of large momenta the same goal is achieved with the help of the condition $k \gg 1$. For $k \approx \sigma$ both conditions on the integration momentum are valid simultaneously, so in the sum of the low-momenta and high-momenta integrals all $\sigma$-dependent terms cancel and one obtains a $\sigma$-independent result for the total momentum integral. The calculation of the integral in the small-momentum region $\mu k \ll 1$ is straightforward, and we obtain

$$
J_{\alpha}^\mu(\xi)|_{recoil} = \frac{2mM}{M^2 - m^2} \int_0^{\sigma} \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \tilde{F}(\mu k, \xi) k^2 I_{1\alpha}(k^2)
$$

$$
= \frac{mM}{M^2 - m^2} \left( (\xi - 4) \left( \frac{2}{3} \ln^2 \sigma + \frac{10}{9} \ln \sigma + \frac{28}{27} \right) + O(\mu \sigma) \right).
$$

(56)

The calculation of the contribution from large integration momenta

$$
J_{\alpha}^\nu(\xi)|_{recoil} = \frac{2mM}{M^2 - m^2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \tilde{F}(\mu k, \xi) k^2 I_{1\alpha}(k^2)
$$

is a bit more involved. In this region we use the well-known leading terms in the asymptotic expansion of the polarization operator $k^2 I_{1\alpha}(k^2)_{k \to \infty} \to (2/3) \ln k - 5/9$, and represent the subtracted weight function $\tilde{F}(\mu k, \xi)$ in the form

$$
\tilde{F}(\mu k, \xi) = \frac{2}{\mu k} \sqrt{1 + \mu^2 k^2 + \mu k - 1}
$$

$$
+ \xi \left( -\mu k \sqrt{1 + \mu^2 k^2 + \mu^2 k^2 + \frac{1}{2}} \right)
$$

$$
= 2\Phi^\mu_0(k) + \xi \Phi^\nu_1(k),
$$

(58)

where the functions $\Phi^\mu_0(k)$ were defined in Appendix A of Ref. [25]. In these terms

$$
J_{\alpha}^\nu(\xi)|_{recoil} = \frac{2mM}{M^2 - m^2} \left\{ \int_{\sigma^2}^{\infty} \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \left[ 2\Phi^\mu_0(k) + \xi \Phi^\nu_1(k) \right] \right. \right.
$$

$$
\times \left. \left( \frac{2}{3} \ln k - \frac{5}{9} \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right) \right\} \right.
$$

$$
= \frac{2mM}{M^2 - m^2} \left[ \frac{4}{3} V_{110} + \xi \frac{2}{3} V_{111} \right.
$$

$$
- \frac{10}{9} V_{100} - \xi \frac{5}{9} V_{101} \right],
$$

(59)

where the integrals $V_{nnl}$ were defined in Appendix C of Ref. [25]. These integrals were calculated in the limit of small $\mu \sigma$, and using these results we obtain

$$
J_{\alpha}(\xi)|_{recoil} = \frac{mM}{M^2 - m^2} \left[ (\xi - 4) \left( \frac{2}{3} \ln^2 \sigma + \frac{10}{9} \ln \sigma + \frac{28}{27} \right) + O(\mu \sigma) \right]
$$

$$
+ \frac{2}{3} \ln^2 (2\mu) + \frac{8(1 + 2\xi)}{9} \ln (2\mu) + \frac{8(\xi - 1)}{9}
$$

(60)

In the sum of the contributions of small [Eq. (56)] and large [Eq. (60)] integration momenta regions all $\sigma$-dependent terms cancel and we obtain

$$
J_{\alpha}(\xi)|_{recoil} = \frac{mM}{M^2 - m^2} \left[ \frac{2(\xi - 4)}{3} \ln^3 \frac{M}{m} - \frac{8(1 + 2\xi)}{9} \ln \frac{M}{m} \right.
$$

$$
+ \frac{\pi^2 (\xi - 4)}{9} + \frac{4(13\xi - 34)}{27} \right].
$$

(61)

The calculation of the $\mu$-independent integral $J_{\alpha}(\xi)$ is straightforward and we obtain
Collecting the results in Eq. (54), Eq. (61), and Eq. (62) we obtain the auxiliary integral \( J_\epsilon(\xi) \) with linear accuracy in the small parameter \( m/M \)

\[
J_\epsilon(\xi) = \frac{3\pi^2}{4} \frac{M^2}{M^2 - m^2} \left[ 2\alpha^2 (\xi - 4) \pi^2 + \frac{2(\xi - 4) M^2}{9} \ln \frac{m}{M} \right] + \frac{2\pi^2 (\xi - 4)}{9} + \frac{2(\xi - 4)}{3}.
\]

(63)

We also need to consider the diagrams with insertions of the muon vacuum polarizations. In this case the integrals in Eq. (50) and Eq. (52) turn into

\[
\Delta E_{\mu\text{pol}} = \frac{\alpha(Z\alpha)}{\pi^2} \bar{E}_F \frac{2mM}{M^2 - m^2} \times \int_0^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \left[ F(\mu k, \xi) - F\left(\frac{k}{2}, \xi\right)\right] \xi \kappa^2 I_{\mu}(k^2),
\]

(64)

and

\[
\Delta E_{\text{AMM}} = \frac{\alpha^2(Z\alpha)}{\pi^3} \bar{E}_F \frac{mM}{M^2 - m^2} \times \int_0^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \left[ F(\mu k, \xi) - F\left(\frac{k}{2}, \xi\right)\right] \xi \kappa^2 I_{\mu}(k^2),
\]

(65)

where (recall that the dimensionless momentum \( k \) is measured in units of electron mass)

\[
k^2 I_{\mu}(k^2) = k^2 m^2 \int_0^1 dv \frac{v^2 (1 - \xi^2)}{4M^2 + k^2 v^2 (1 - v^2)}.
\]

(66)

Let us rescale the dimensionless integration variable once more \( k \rightarrow (M/m) k = k/(2\mu) \). After this rescaling

\[
k^2 I_{\mu}(k^2) \rightarrow k^2 \int_0^1 dv \frac{v^2 (1 - \xi^2)}{4 + k^2 (1 - v^2)} = k^2 I_{\epsilon}(k^2),
\]

(67)

The integral with the function \( F(k/2, \xi) \) is exactly the integral with the same function that we calculated above in the electron case, but with an opposite sign; see Eq. (62). One can check that the integral with the function \( F(k/(4\mu), \xi) \) does not generate contributions linear in the mass ratio. Then to linear accuracy in the mass ratio we obtain

\[
J_\mu(\xi) = \frac{mM}{M^2 - m^2} \left[ (\xi - 4) \pi^2 \right. \left. - \frac{2(17\xi - 32)}{27} \right]
\]

(71)

We are now ready to use the integrals in Eq. (63) and Eq. (71) for the calculation of the radiative-recoil corrections. Let us first consider corrections due to one-loop polarization insertions in the exchanged photons in Fig. 9. Collecting the integrals in Eq. (63) and Eq. (71) we reproduce the well-known result [24]

\[
\Delta E_{\text{pol}} = \Delta E_{\text{pol}} + \Delta E_{\mu\text{pol}}
\]

(72)

Let us turn to the diagrams with AMM insertions in Figs. 5–8. Up to this moment we considered only calculations of the diagrams with AMM insertions in the electron line. But from the calculations above it is clear that the AMM enters only as a common factor and the integrals are identical for insertions of the electron and muon AMMs. Hence, the
contribution of Fig. 7 coincides with the contribution of Fig. 5 and the contribution of Fig. 8 coincides with the contribution of Fig. 6. As a result the total contribution to HFS with insertions of both the electron and muon AMMs is twice the result with only the electron AMM.

Now we are ready to present the results for the contributions to HFS generated by the diagrams with AMM insertions in Figs. 5–8. We obtain

\[ \Delta E_{\text{AMM}}^{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha^2(Za)}{\pi^3} \frac{E_F}{E_F} \left[ \frac{1}{2} J_\varepsilon(\xi)_{\xi=4} \right] \]

\[ = \frac{\alpha^2(Za)}{\pi^3} \frac{E_F}{E_F} \left[ \frac{3\pi^2}{8} + \frac{m}{M} \left( -4 \ln \frac{M}{m} \right) \right], \quad (73) \]

\[ \Delta E_{\text{AMM}}^{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha^2(Za)}{\pi^3} \frac{E_F}{E_F} \left[ \frac{1}{2} J_\mu(\xi)_{\xi=4} \right] = \frac{4 \alpha^2(Za) m}{3 \pi^3} \frac{E_F}{E_F}, \quad (74) \]

\[ \Delta E_{\text{AMM}}^{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha(Z^2a)(Za)}{\pi^3} \frac{E_F}{E_F} \left[ \frac{1}{2} J_\varepsilon(\xi)_{\xi=4} \right] \]

\[ = \frac{\alpha(Z^2a)(Za)}{\pi^3} \frac{E_F}{E_F} \left[ \frac{3\pi^2}{8} + \frac{m}{M} \left( -4 \ln \frac{M}{m} \right) \right], \quad (75) \]

\[ \Delta E_{\text{AMM}}^{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha(Z^2a)(Za)}{\pi^3} \frac{E_F}{E_F} \left[ \frac{1}{2} J_\mu(\xi)_{\xi=4} \right] = \frac{4 \alpha(Z^2a)(Za) m}{3 \pi^3} \frac{E_F}{E_F}. \quad (76) \]

### B. Discussion of three-loop AMM contributions

Usually, in discussions of the nonrecoil corrections to HFS, the muon AMM is included in the definition of the Fermi energy, \( E_F = (1 + a_\mu)E_F \). As a result of this convention some of the contributions generated by the AMM in Eqs. (73)–(76) are already taken into account in the standard compilations of all corrections to HFS. Our next task is to figure out what entries in Eq. (73) are new. The nonrecoil contribution in Eq. (73) was calculated a long time ago; see reviews in Refs. [1–3]. The nonrecoil contribution in Eq. (75) is taken into account when one writes the classical nonrecoil Kroll-Pollack contribution [27–29] in terms of the Fermi energy \( E_F \); see, e.g., Refs. [1–3]. The three-loop radiative-recoil terms in Eqs. (73)–(76) were obtained in Ref. [11] (see also Ref. [12])

\[ \Delta E_{\text{rec}} = \frac{\alpha^2(Za)}{\pi^3} \frac{E_F}{E_F} m \left( -4 \ln \frac{M}{m} + \frac{4}{3} \right) + \frac{\alpha(Z^2a)(Za)}{\pi^3} \frac{E_F}{E_F} m \left( -4 \ln \frac{M}{m} + \frac{4}{3} \right). \quad (77) \]

They were not included in Ref. [9], where only the contributions connected with the soft parts of the fermion factors \( L_{\mu\nu}^{(\nu)}(k) \) and \( L_{\mu\nu}^{(\mu)}(k) \) were considered. Only the one-loop anomalous magnetic moments of the electron and muon are accounted for in Eq. (77). However, as is obvious from the derivation of this contribution, one can account for the AMMs in this expression exactly by the trivial substitutions \( a/(2\pi) \rightarrow a_\epsilon \) and \( Z^2a/(2\pi) \rightarrow a_\mu \) in the first and second terms on the rhs in Eq. (77).

### IV. SUMMARY

The results presented above conclude the calculation of all radiative-recoil corrections to HFS of order \( \alpha^2(Za) \) \((m/M)E_F\) generated by the diagrams with closed fermion loops. The leading logarithm cubed and logarithm squared contributions are well known (see, e.g., Refs. [1–3]) and below we collect all hard single-logarithmic and nonlogarithmic three-loop radiative-recoil corrections that arise due to the diagrams with closed fermion loops. Consider first the single-logarithmic contributions. They were calculated in Refs. [8–11,13–15] \(^6\) (\( Z = 1 \) below)

\[ \Delta E_{\log} = \frac{\alpha^3 m}{\pi^3} \frac{E_F}{E_F} \left( -6\alpha^2 \ln 2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{3} + \frac{27}{8} \right) \ln \frac{M}{m}. \quad (78) \]

This is the total single-logarithmic contribution first calculated in Ref. [15].

Let us turn to the nonlogarithmic contributions, including the muon loop result in Eq. (31). They were calculated in Refs. [8–11,13,14,16,17] \(^7\)

\(^6\)The AMM contributions in Eq. (77) were not included in the result in Ref. [9]. The logarithmic (and nonlogarithmic) results from Refs. [8–10] were collected in Ref. [11], where they were amended by the AMM contributions in Eq. (77). Contributions of the subtracted electron (muon) factor and the respective AMM were written in Ref. [11] separately. After the calculation of the new contributions in Refs. [13,14] a new collection of all known results was presented in Ref. [12]. All expressions for logarithmic contributions in Ref. [12] are correct. The results for the diagrams with the electron and muon factors were written in Ref. [12] for the full electron and muon factors including the AMMs (sums of the respective contributions in Ref. [11]). Unfortunately, there were no references to Ref. [11] in Ref. [12] and there was only a reference to Ref. [9], where the AMMs were not included. So if a reader wanted to check the correct results in Ref. [12] by going to Ref. [9] he/she would discover a (fake) discrepancy between Ref. [12] and Ref. [9]. Once again, the full results with AMMs are in Refs. [11] and [12].

\(^7\)Let us mention that the term with the fourth power of the logarithm from Ref. [8] was swallowed in the nonlogarithmic “constant” in Ref. [12]. Here we choose to write it separately. Also notice that the nonlogarithmic result in Ref. [10] was later corrected; see Erratum in Ref. [10]. In addition we have slightly improved the contribution from Ref. [13]. Considering Eqs. (26) and (25) from Ref. [13] we see that the result in Eq. (27) in Ref. [13] can be written with an extra digit (but we do not change the error bars). Then the constant in Eq. (32) in Ref. [13] that is a sum of the improved number in Eq. (27) in Ref. [13] and the number in Eq. (29) in Ref. [13] is 11.2958(20). We use this number with its original error bars as the result of Ref. [13].
THREE-LOOP CONTRIBUTIONS TO HYPERFINE ...

$$\Delta E_{\text{nonlog}} = 68.507(2) \frac{\alpha^3 m}{\pi^3 M} E_F.$$  \hspace{1cm} (79)

Then the sum of all single-logarithmic and nonlogarithmic three-loop radiative-recoil corrections [12,15–17] has the form

$$\Delta E_{\text{tot}} = \frac{\alpha^3 m}{\pi^3 M} E_F \left[ -6\pi^2 \ln 2 + \frac{\pi^2}{3} + \frac{27}{8} \ln \frac{M}{m} 
+ 68.507(2) \right]. \hspace{1cm} (80)$$

Numerically this contribution to HFS in muonium is

$$\Delta E_{\text{tot}} = -30.99 \text{ Hz.} \hspace{1cm} (81)$$

For completeness let us cite also some other minor radiative-recoil corrections. They are the leading large logarithm quadrupled contribution [8]

$$\Delta E = \frac{8 \alpha^4 m}{9 \pi^3 M} E_F \ln^4 \frac{M}{m} = -0.4504 \text{ Hz,} \hspace{1cm} (82)$$

the tauon light-by-light contribution in Eq. (32)

We do not include the term with the fourth power of the logarithm from Ref. [8] and corrections due to the tauon and hadron loop light-by-light scattering blocks in the expression below; see Ref. [17].

$$\Delta E = -0.0065 \text{ Hz.} \hspace{1cm} (84)$$

The result in Eq. (80) includes all already known hard three-loop single-logarithmic and nonlogarithmic corrections of order $\alpha^3 (Z\alpha)/(m/M) E_F$ to HFS in muonium. There are still two gauge-invariant sets of diagrams that generate such corrections that remain uncalculated. These are the diagrams with two radiative photon insertions in one and the same fermion line, either electron or muon. Using the known results for the respective diagrams with one radiative photon insertion in either of the fermion lines (see, e.g., Refs. [1,2]) and the result in Ref. [10] for the diagrams with simultaneous insertions of radiative photons in both fermion lines we estimate the contribution of these diagrams to be about 10–15 Hz. The calculation of these diagrams is the next task for the theory.
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