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ABSTRACT

We use CANDELS imaging, 3D-HST spectroscopy, and Chandra X-ray data to investigate if active galactic nuclei (AGNs) are preferentially fueled by violent disk instabilities funneling gas into galaxy centers at $1.3 < z < 2.4$. We select galaxies undergoing gravitational instabilities using the number of clumps and degree of patchiness as proxies. The CANDELS visual classification system is used to identify 44 clumpy disk galaxies, along with mass-matched comparison samples of smooth and intermediate morphology galaxies. We note that despite being mass-matched and having similar star formation rates, the smoother galaxies tend to be smaller disks with more prominent bulges compared to the clumpy galaxies. The lack of smooth extended disks is probably a general feature of the $z \sim 2$ galaxy population, and means we cannot directly compare with the clumpy and smooth extended disks observed at lower redshift. We find that $z \sim 2$ clumpy galaxies have slightly enhanced AGN fractions selected by integrated line ratios (in the mass-excitation method), but the spatially resolved line ratios indicate this is likely due to extended phenomena rather than nuclear AGNs. Meanwhile, the X-ray data show that clumpy, smooth, and intermediate galaxies have nearly indistinguishable AGN fractions derived from both individual detections and stacked non-detections. The data demonstrate that AGN fueling modes at $z \sim 1.85$—whether violent disk instabilities or secular processes—are as efficient in smooth galaxies as they are in clumpy galaxies.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The observed correlations between the mass of a supermassive black hole (SMBH) and the properties of its host galaxy bulge (e.g., Magorrian et al. 1998; Gültekin et al. 2009) imply that SMBH and bulge growth may be linked. To maintain the SMBH-bulge relations over a galaxy’s long evolutionary history, rapid SMBH accretion in active galactic nucleus (AGN) phases probably coincide with periods of high star formation rate (SFR) in the host galaxy. Indeed, rapidly accreting AGNs are observed to be predominantly located in the rapidly star-forming galaxies, from the local universe (Kauffmann et al. 2003; Trump et al. 2013a) to higher redshifts (Mullaney et al. 2012; Harrison et al. 2012; Chen et al. 2013; Rosario et al. 2013a, 2013b). However, the detailed physical processes behind the coupled growth of SMBHs and galaxies remain mysterious.

A key difficulty for any AGN/galaxy coevolution model is in efficiently funneling gas down to the SMBH sphere of influence. Gas-rich major mergers of galaxies are an effective means to accomplish this, simultaneously (or near-simultaneously) igniting both a starburst and a luminous AGN (Sanders et al. 1988; Di Matteo et al. 2005; Hopkins et al. 2008). However, many observations indicate that AGNs do not prefer merger remnant hosts (Grogin et al. 2005; Gabor et al. 2009; Cisternas et al. 2011; Kocevski et al. 2012), and major mergers are likely to fuel only nearby AGNs (Koss et al. 2010; Ellison et al. 2011) or the rare population of very luminous quasars (Trump et al. 2013; Treister et al. 2012).

Violent disk instabilities might provide another solution to the gas inflow problem (Bournaud et al. 2011). These models are supported by the observation that massive galaxies at $z \sim 2$ frequently have most of their star formation in massive ($10^8–10^9 M_\odot$, 100–500 pc) clumps within irregular galaxies (Cowie et al. 1995; Elmegreen et al. 2004; Ravindranath et al. 2006; Guo et al. 2012), unlike the much smoother morphologies of similar-mass star-forming galaxies in the local universe. The...
kinematics of these clumps indicate that they are not accreted as minor mergers, but instead form as in situ gravitational instabilities (Shapiro et al. 2008; Bournaud et al. 2008; Förster Schreiber et al. 2009; Genzel et al. 2011; Mandelker et al. 2014). The high gas fractions observed in \( z \approx 2 \) galaxies (Tacconi et al. 2010; Daddi et al. 2010), likely accreted as cold gas along filaments of the cosmic web (Kereš et al. 2005; Dekel et al. 2006, 2009), would naturally result in highly turbulent star-forming clumps (Hernquist 1989; Shlosman & Noguchi 1993; Dekel et al. 2009).

Violent disk instabilities might lead to clumps rapidly falling into the center of a galaxy and efficiently fueling a luminous AGN (Bournaud et al. 2011). This remains a point of debate, however, as most simulations lack the resolution and detailed physics to follow the gas all the way into a galaxy’s center. Observations indicate that star-forming clumps at \( z \approx 2 \) typically make up only a small fraction of their galaxy’s stellar mass, suggesting that they may be too short-lived to migrate all the way into a galaxy center (Wuyts et al. 2012). Indeed, simulations show that clumps might be destroyed by feedback (Hopkins et al. 2012, 2013) or exhausted by star formation (Forbes et al. 2014) before reaching the nuclear AGN. On the other hand, other simulations find that clumps are sufficiently long-lived to form central bulges and fuel AGNs even with feedback and mass loss (Bournaud et al. 2014), and the gas between clumps, rather than the clumps themselves, may be more important for large-scale inflows anyway (e.g., Dekel et al. 2013). In support of AGN fueling from violent disk instabilities, Bournaud et al. (2012) found direct observational evidence for a higher AGN fraction in a small sample (14 of \( z \approx 0.7 \) clump galaxies compared to smooth (non-clumpy) extended disks matched in stellar mass and redshift.

Here we extend the search for a connection between clumps and AGNs to \( 1 < z < 2.4 \), using data from the Cosmic Assembly Near-Infrared Legacy Survey (CANDELS; Grogin et al. 2011; Koekemoer et al. 2011). This redshift range is particularly interesting because it represents the peak of both cosmic star formation and the AGN luminosity function (e.g., Hopkins & Beacom 2006; Aird et al. 2010). Cold gas inflows and resultant violent disk instabilities are also most prominent in simulations at \( z \approx 2 \) (Kereš et al. 2005; Dekel et al. 2009). In contrast to the local universe, AGNs at \( z > 1 \) may be unique in growing before a host galaxy bulge develops, with evidence from both evolution in BH-bulge relations (Peng et al. 2006; Jahnke et al. 2009; Bennert et al. 2011; Cisternas et al. 2011) and from stacked detection of AGN signatures in low-mass disk galaxies (Trump et al. 2011b; Xue et al. 2012). The gravitational torques provided by violent disk instabilities provide a potential way to fuel an AGN in a disk galaxy even while the bulge is still under construction.

Section 2 describes the combination of Hubble Space Telescope (HST) Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3) near-infrared (IR) imaging and spectroscopy used to construct and study our samples of \( z \approx 2 \) galaxies with clumpy, smooth, and intermediate morphologies. We identify AGNs using X-ray emission, emission-line diagnostics, and spatially resolved emission-line ratios: as Section 3 describes, this includes a broad range of AGN properties and avoids contamination by shock-dominated galaxies. We put these selection techniques together in Section 4, and demonstrate that clumpy galaxies are not more likely to host AGNs than smoother galaxies. Section 5 concludes with a discussion of what these results mean for AGN/galaxy coevolution at \( z \approx 2 \). Throughout the paper we use a standard \( \Lambda \)CDM cosmology with \( h_0 = 0.7 \).

2. OBSERVATIONAL DATA

We select a sample of 44 clumpy galaxies from the Great Observatories Origins Deep Survey South (GOODS-S; Giavalisco et al. 2004) region of CANDELS. For comparison, we also construct mass-matched samples of 41 smooth (non-clumpy) and 35 intermediate galaxies. All galaxies have \( H < 24 \) (to ensure reliable classification of clumpiness) and have [O III] detected at the 3σ level (for reliable AGN line ratio diagnostics) in the redshift range \( 1.3 < z < 2.4 \). The effective limits on SFR and stellar mass (\( M_* \)) caused by these flux limits are discussed in Section 2.3. Each morphology category has a median redshift of \( z = 1.85 \). Redshifts and emission line measurements come from HST/WFC3 grism spectroscopy taken by the 3D-HST survey (Brammer et al. 2012).

The observational data are described below, with particular attention to the methods for clumpiness classification and AGN identification. The derived data for the clumpy, smooth, and intermediate galaxies are presented in Table 1.

2.1. Visual Morphologies: Clumpy, Smooth, and Intermediate

Our samples of clumpy, smooth, and intermediate galaxies come from the 4 epoch CANDELS GOODS-S visual classification catalog (Kartaltepe et al. 2014). Each galaxy has high-resolution HST imaging in the rest-frame UV from ACS F606W and F850LP and in the rest-frame optical from WFC3 F125W and F160W (Grogin et al. 2011; Koekemoer et al. 2011). Galaxies were classified on the clumpiness/patchiness grid shown in Figure 1. Here “clumpiness” is a measure of the number of compact knots, while “patchiness” refers to the more diffuse irregularities within galaxies.

We use visual classification because automated selection of clumps and patchiness remains a difficult computational problem (e.g., Guo et al. 2012). Inspectors were instructed to focus on the bluest passband for clumpiness classification, as clumps are typically most evident in the rest-UV (Guo et al. 2012). All galaxies in our sample (from the 4-epoch catalog of Kartaltepe et al. 2014) were inspected by at least five classifiers, and we combine the different classifications into a single averaged result for each galaxy.

We use both clumpiness and patchiness as indicators of violent disk instabilities within a galaxy. This is motivated by the presence of both compact/round clumps and diffuse/elongated structures in simulations of \( z \approx 2 \) galaxies undergoing violent disk instabilities (Ceverino et al. 2012; Mandelker et al. 2014). In addition, if star-forming clumps are enshrouded by dust, their emission would likely be reprocessed in a patchy morphology. Observations show that galaxies appearing clumpy in the UV are merely patchy morphologies in the near-infrared: adding dust to such a system would cause it to appear patchy in the UV rather than clumpy. We also note that Guo et al. (2014) find better agreement between automated clump-finding and visual classification when patchiness is included in the visual clumpiness. Therefore we combine both axes in Figure 1 for the identification of clumpy galaxies.

The classifications on each axis are summed to give each galaxy a clumpiness+patchiness value ranging from 0 to 4. Here 0 represents the top left (no clumpiness, no patchiness) and 4 represents the lower right (3+ clumps and maximally patchy). The individual classification results are averaged together to assign “total clumpiness” parameter \( C \), which we use to define the galaxies most and least likely to be dominated by violent disk instabilities. We define \( C \geq 2 \) galaxies as “clumpy,”
Additionally shown in the Appendix (Figures 15–17). Intermediate galaxies in our sample. Images of all galaxies are

\[ C < 1 \] galaxies as “smooth,” and \[ 1 \leq C < 2 \] galaxies as “intermediate.” These divisions are chosen such that each category has roughly the same number of galaxies, for ease of comparing AGN fractions across morphologies.

We compare the visual classification \( C \) with the automated number of clumps from Guo et al. (2014) in Figure 2. There is a loose correlation between the two methods: the visually-identified smooth galaxies tend to have the fewest automated number of clumps, while the visually clumpy galaxies typically have the most automated number of clumps. There is some scatter due to the imperfection of both methods. Guo et al. (2014) include a more detailed comparison of automated clump-finding with the same visual classifications used here, finding \( \sim 75\% \) agreement between the two methods (see their Appendix).

Figure 3 shows \( HST/1JH \) (rest UV-optical) color composite images for several representative clumpy, smooth, and intermediate galaxies in our sample. Images of all galaxies are additionally shown in the Appendix (Figures 15–17).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>R.A. (deg)</th>
<th>Decl. (J2000)</th>
<th>( z )</th>
<th>( f(H\beta) )</th>
<th>( f([O\text{iii}]) )</th>
<th>( \log(M_\odot) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7502</td>
<td>clumpy</td>
<td>53.07221603</td>
<td>(-27.84033012)</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>99.95 ( \pm 28.56 )</td>
<td>83.47 ( \pm 24.51 )</td>
<td>9.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7897</td>
<td>clumpy</td>
<td>53.14831924</td>
<td>(-27.83686638)</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>23.49 ( \pm 12.22 )</td>
<td>27.09 ( \pm 8.42 )</td>
<td>9.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7907</td>
<td>clumpy</td>
<td>53.15573502</td>
<td>(-27.83712769)</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>18.95 ( \pm 13.73 )</td>
<td>169.79 ( \pm 21.69 )</td>
<td>9.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7930</td>
<td>clumpy</td>
<td>53.15451050</td>
<td>(-27.83648491)</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>14.20 ( \pm 10.43 )</td>
<td>43.64 ( \pm 10.26 )</td>
<td>9.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8206</td>
<td>clumpy</td>
<td>53.14358902</td>
<td>(-27.83471107)</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>10.82 ( \pm 5.73 )</td>
<td>39.97 ( \pm 10.54 )</td>
<td>9.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5023</td>
<td>smooth</td>
<td>53.10656738</td>
<td>(-27.86481476)</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>&lt; 3.51</td>
<td>18.62 ( \pm 5.92 )</td>
<td>9.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5728</td>
<td>smooth</td>
<td>53.08922577</td>
<td>(-27.85734558)</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>16.80 ( \pm 7.95 )</td>
<td>79.73 ( \pm 11.31 )</td>
<td>10.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6278</td>
<td>smooth</td>
<td>53.06018448</td>
<td>(-27.85304642)</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>&lt; 2.73</td>
<td>240.61 ( \pm 21.63 )</td>
<td>10.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6678</td>
<td>smooth</td>
<td>53.07507324</td>
<td>(-27.84802055)</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>37.84 ( \pm 7.38 )</td>
<td>21.91 ( \pm 6.77 )</td>
<td>10.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7806</td>
<td>smooth</td>
<td>53.16970062</td>
<td>(-27.83383558)</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>8.18 ( \pm 7.71 )</td>
<td>38.97 ( \pm 11.10 )</td>
<td>10.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5552</td>
<td>intermed</td>
<td>53.06779480</td>
<td>(-27.85925293)</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>15.67 ( \pm 14.78 )</td>
<td>73.40 ( \pm 16.57 )</td>
<td>9.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6895</td>
<td>intermed</td>
<td>53.09495926</td>
<td>(-27.84582710)</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>&lt; 4.50</td>
<td>49.29 ( \pm 8.75 )</td>
<td>9.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7952</td>
<td>intermed</td>
<td>53.18961334</td>
<td>(-27.83626175)</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>39.79 ( \pm 13.92 )</td>
<td>52.87 ( \pm 9.57 )</td>
<td>9.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8124</td>
<td>intermed</td>
<td>53.10293198</td>
<td>(-27.83484268)</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>55.60 ( \pm 21.62 )</td>
<td>102.88 ( \pm 15.12 )</td>
<td>9.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8307</td>
<td>intermed</td>
<td>53.07443237</td>
<td>(-27.83235577)</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>&lt; 6.95</td>
<td>55.32 ( \pm 10.17 )</td>
<td>9.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Weak \( H\beta \) lines detected below a 1\( \sigma \) threshold are treated as upper limits (using the 1\( \sigma \) error as the limit). The full catalog of 44 clumpy galaxies, 41 smooth galaxies, and 35 intermediate galaxies appears in the online journal.

\( a \) X-ray detected, but in the soft band only and consistent with emission from a star-forming galaxy (Xue et al. 2011).

\( b \) X-ray detected and classified as an AGN by Xue et al. (2011).

(This table is available in its entirety in a machine-readable form in the online journal. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.)

2.2. \( HST/WFC3 \) \( G141 \) Slitless Grism

The GOODS-S region of CANDELS has near-complete spectroscopic coverage in the near-IR from publicly available 2 orbit \( HST/WFC3 \) \( G141 \) grism observations taken by the 3D-HST survey (Brammer et al. 2012). For redshifts and line measurements of individual galaxies, the data were reduced using the aXe software (Kümmel et al. 2009, available at http://axe-info.stsci.edu) to produce 2D and 1D wavelength- and flux-calibrated spectra at 1.1 < \( \lambda \) < 1.7 \( \mu \)m. We used the specpro IDL software\(^{15}\) (Masters & Capak 2011) to visually inspect and determine cross-correlation redshifts for the samples of clumpy, smooth, and intermediate galaxies. Spectra with significant (> 10\% ) contamination (from neighboring objects) in the \( H\beta+[O\text{iii}] \) region are rejected from each sample: this occurs for about ~15\% of galaxies.

\(^{15}\) The specpro package is available at http://specpro.caltech.edu.
Line fluxes and ratios of $H\beta$ and $[O\text{ III}]\lambda5007$ Å were also measured from the aXe-reduced 3D-HST spectra. The low resolution of the WFC3 slitless grism ($R \simeq 130$ and 46.5 Å pixel$^{-1}$, worse for extended sources) means that the $H\beta$ and $[O\text{ III}]\lambda4959,5007$ Å lines are somewhat blended. We simultaneously fit all three lines with Gaussians, constraining each line to be fit within (rest frame) 50 Å (∼2–3 pixels) of the line center. We do not constrain the width of each line because the spatial broadening of the slitless grism frequently causes unusual profiles which can differ for each line. The $H\beta$ line flux is measured directly from the Gaussian fit, while the $[O\text{ III}]\lambda5007$ flux is measured as 3/4 of the total flux from both blended $[O\text{ III}]$ Gaussians (Storey & Zeippen 2000). If we instead fix the two $[O\text{ III}]$ Gaussians to have total fluxes with a 1:3 ratio, the measured line fluxes do not significantly change. Examples of the line fits are shown in Figure 4.

Uncertainties in the line ratios are computed by re-fitting continua and Gaussians on 10000 realizations of the resampled data. Our sample includes only galaxies with $[O\text{ III}]\lambda5007$ Å fluxes measured at the 3σ level. We do not set any requirement on the $H\beta$ line flux measurements, and $H\beta$ line fluxes less than the 1σ error are treated as upper limits (using the 1σ error as the limit). In general, the unique asymmetric shape of the blended $[O\text{ III}]$ lines enables secure redshifts even when $H\beta$ is poorly detected.

To study spatially resolved line ratios, we used separate reductions of the WFC3 G141 data from the 3D-HST pipeline, as described in Brammer et al. (2012, 2013). The 3D-HST reduction method produces superior spatially resolved spectra because it interlaces rather than drizzles; this mitigates the correlated noise associated with drizzling in the final high-resolution (0′′.06 pixel$^{-1}$) combined 2D spectra.

2.3. Stellar Masses and Star Formation Rates

We calculate stellar masses and SFRs for the clumpy, smooth, and intermediate galaxies using the extensive UV/optical/IR photometry in GOODS-S, with 18 bands including 8 with high-resolution HST imaging (Guo et al. 2013). First, the spectroscopic redshift from the HST/WFC3 grism is used to shift the observed photometry to the rest frame. We then fit the rest-frame spectral energy distribution (SED) with Bruzual & Charlot (2003) models that include a Chabrier (2003) initial mass function, exponentially declining star-formation histories, and a Calzetti (2001) extinction law. Stellar mass is given by the best-fit model. Example SED fits (for the same galaxies shown in Figure 4) are shown in Figure 5.

There is some evidence that $z \sim 2$ galaxies are more likely to have constant star-formation histories rather than the exponentially declining models used here. In practice, our best-fit SEDs generally have $\tau$ values which are very long (parameterizing the star formation history as SFR $\sim e^{-t/\tau}$). We also tested the effects of forcing a constant star formation history, and found the masses to change by only $\lesssim0.1$ dex in all cases.

Meanwhile SFRs are calculated following the method of Wuyts et al. (2011) when mid- and far-IR data are available, and SED-fitting following the method of Barro et al. (2013) otherwise. IR emission from an intrinsically luminous AGN might contaminate the IR with a hot dust bump (effectively observed as red IRAC colors, e.g., Donley et al. 2012), influencing both the stellar mass and SFR estimates. This occurs for one of our objects: the clumpy galaxy and X-ray AGN 16985, shown in Figure 5. The remainder of the sample have well-fit galaxy SEDs without evidence for significant AGN contribution. This is unsurprising, as only intrinsically luminous ($log(L_X) \gtrsim 44$) AGNs tend to have hot dust IR emission which dominates over the galaxy emission (Trump et al. 2011a; Donley et al. 2012).

Figure 2. Comparison between the automated number of clumps from Guo et al. (2014) and the visual clumpiness used in this work. There is a loose correlation between the two measures of clumpiness, matching the ∼75% agreement between automated and visual methods found by Guo et al. (2014). (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 3. Images of four clumpy, four smooth, and four intermediate morphology galaxies, chosen to be representative in redshift and stellar mass (stellar masses given in each panel are in units of solar mass). Each thumbnail is 10′′ on a side and is a color-composite using the HST/ACS i and WFC3 J H-band observations. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
Figure 4. Example line fits to the Hβ+[O\textsc{iii}] regions. The blended lines are simultaneously fit by three Gaussians, with the Hβ flux measured directly from the Hβ Gaussian fit, and the [O\textsc{iii}] \lambda 5007 line computed as 3/4 of the two [O\textsc{iii}] Gaussians. The top row shows spectra of two clumpy galaxies, the middle row is smooth galaxies, and the bottom row is intermediate galaxies. Both 19908 and 16985 have weak Hβ emission detected below 1σ significance, and for these galaxies the 1σ errors in Hβ are treated as upper limits.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 6 (top panel) shows the mass distributions for each of the clumpy, smooth, and intermediate galaxy samples. The three samples are selected to be matched in stellar mass: starting with the clumpy galaxy sample, we constructed the smooth and intermediate galaxy samples to have a similar mass distribution. All three samples have median and mean $M_*=10^{9.8} M_\odot$.

Our galaxies are plotted in SFR–$M_*$ space in Figure 6 (bottom panel). For comparison we also show the SFR and $M_*$ of the larger population of GOODS-S galaxies with photometric redshifts calculated by Dahlen et al. (2013) in the same $1.3<z<2.4$ redshift range. Our $z \sim 1.85$ galaxies are limited to $M_* \gtrsim 10^9 M_\odot$ by the $H<24$ selection criterion. The requirement for [O\textsc{iii}] detection at the 3σ level imposes a flux limit of $f([\text{O}\textsc{iii}]) \gtrsim 5 \times 10^{-17}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ in the 2 orbit WFC3 grism data. Although we estimate SFR from the SED (following Wuyts et al. 2011) rather than from emission lines,
Figure 5. Best-fit models to the SEDs of the same galaxies shown in Figure 4. Stellar masses are computed from these best-fit Bruzual & Charlot (2003) models. The SED of the galaxy 16985 (which is an X-ray AGN) has red near-IR colors suggestive of an AGN (e.g., Donley et al. 2012): it is the only galaxy in the sample which might have significant AGN contribution to the SED. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 6. Top: histograms showing stellar mass distribution for each sample. The smooth and intermediate galaxies are chosen to have the same mass distribution as the clumpy galaxies. Bottom: star formation rate vs. stellar mass for the clumpy, smooth, and intermediate galaxies studied in this work (colored points). The contours show the larger population of galaxies with $1.3 < z_{phot} < 2.4$ in the same GOODS-S region. While the SFR is estimated from the Wuyts et al. (2011) UV+IR method, it is correlated with the [O iii] emission line flux, and the observed SFR $\gtrsim 10 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ limit is a consequence of the $3\sigma$ [O iii] detection limit. Meanwhile, the $H < 24$ selection causes the $M_\ast \gtrsim 10^9 M_\odot$ limit. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

this [O iii] line flux translates roughly to the observed SFR limit of $\text{SFR} \gtrsim 10 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ (assuming $f(H\alpha) \sim f([\text{O} \text{iii}])$ and using the Kennicutt 1998 relation). For the lower-mass half of the sample ($M_\ast \lesssim 10^{9.8} M_\odot$), this SFR limit restricts the galaxies to be starbursting and above the star-forming “main sequence” (see also Noeske et al. 2007; Daddi et al. 2007). On the other hand, the higher-mass half of the sample ($M_\ast \gtrsim 10^{9.8} M_\odot$) generally lies on the main sequence for star-forming galaxies at $1.3 < z < 2.4$. The only notable exception is a few high-mass smooth galaxies below the main sequence which might be quenching.

2.4. X-Ray Data

The CANDELS GOODS-S field also contains 4 Ms of Chandra X-ray data (Xue et al. 2011). The 4 Ms depth corresponds to an on-axis flux limit of $3.2 \times 10^{-17} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, which corresponds to a luminosity limit of $L_X > 10^{41.9} \text{erg s}^{-1}$ at our sample’s median redshift of $z \approx 1.85$. We use the classifications of Xue et al. (2011) to separate X-ray galaxies (with X-ray detections consistent with the $L_X$–SFR relation, Lehmer et al. 2010; Mineo et al. 2014) from the more luminous and hard-spectrum AGNs. The X-ray data for undetected sources in each morphology category are also stacked, as discussed in Section 3.3.

3. AGNs IN CLUMPY GALAXIES?

We compare the AGN fraction of clumpy galaxies with the AGN fraction among the mass-matched smooth and intermediate galaxies. AGN detection is accomplished in three different ways: with standard line ratio selection via the “mass-excitation” method (Juneau et al. 2011), with spatially resolved line ratios, and with X-rays (both for individual sources and stacks of each morphological category).

3.1. Mass Excitation Diagnostic for AGNs

It has long been known that the high-ionization emission of AGNs results in a different emission line signature than observed in typical H II regions associated with star formation (SF): in particular, AGN narrow line regions tend to exhibit higher ratios of collisionally excited “forbidden” lines to hydrogen recombination lines (Seyfert 1943; Osterbrock & Parker 1965). The classic Baldwin et al. (1981, BPT) and Veilleux & Osterbrock (1987; VO87) AGN/SF diagnostics use the ratios of $f([\text{O} \text{iii}]\lambda 5007)/f(H\beta)$ versus $f([\text{N} \text{ii}]\lambda 6584)/f(H\alpha)$ or $f([\text{S} \text{ii}]\lambda 6718 + 6731)/f(H\alpha)$: the small wavelength separation
of each line pair means that these ratios are effectively insensitive to reddening.

The low resolution of the WFC3 grism means that the [N ii] and Hα lines are not resolved from one another, and so the standard BPT or VO87 diagnostics cannot be used on our data. Instead we use the “mass-excitation” (MEx) method (Juneau et al. 2011), which uses the [O iii]/Hβ ratio with the stellar mass $M_*$ to separate AGNs and SF galaxies. Functionally, the MEx method uses the correlation between mass and metallicity (e.g., Tremonti et al. 2004) to separate low-metallicity galaxies from AGNs, both of which exhibit similarly high [O iii]/Hβ ratios. Compared to X-ray or infrared surveys, line ratio selection is often more sensitive to obscured and moderately accreting AGNs, but it also less reliable (e.g., Juneau et al. 2014). There is also some debate whether line ratio diagnostics remain applicable in $z > 1$ galaxies at all, since higher redshift galaxies may have different gas properties (Liu et al. 2008; Brinchmann et al. 2008; Kewley et al. 2013), changing their line ratios in the absence of AGNs (but for counterarguments, see Wright et al. 2010; Trump et al. 2011b, 2013b). Juneau et al. (2014) also demonstrate that the different selection effects in high- and low-redshift samples have important effects on their observed MEx distributions. For this reason we avoid comparing the $z \sim 1.5$ galaxy samples with local objects. Instead, we simply compare clumpy, smooth, and intermediate galaxies within the same redshift range.

Figure 7 shows the MEx diagram for the clumpy, smooth, and intermediate galaxies. The solid line in each panel shows the empirical line from Juneau et al. (2014) dividing AGN and SF galaxies, adjusted for the redshift ($z \sim 1.85$) and line flux limit ($f$([O iii]) $\gtrsim 5 \times 10^{-17}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$)

$$y = 0.375/(m - 10.4) + 1.14 \text{ if } m \leq 9.88$$

$$y = 290.2 - 76.34m + 6.69m^2 - 0.1955m^3 \text{ otherwise.} \tag{2}$$

Here $y = \log([\text{O iii}]/\text{H}β)$ and $m = \log(M_*/M_⊙) - 0.51$. Assuming that all galaxies above this line are AGNs, clumpy galaxies seem to have the highest AGN fraction (12/44, 27%), followed by smooth galaxies (11/41, 27%) and intermediate galaxies (7/35, 20%).

However, the simple binary classification of counting objects above and below the AGN/SF line is not entirely appropriate, given that most galaxies have emission lines with composite contribution from both SMBH accretion and H ii regions. The MEx diagram is much more suited to a probabilistic classification approach, as introduced by Juneau et al. (2011). The MEx probabilities, updated for use at $z > 1$ by Juneau et al. (2014) and assuming an error of 0.2 dex in $M_*$, indicate that 39$^{+8}_{-6}$% of clumpy, 36$^{+8}_{-6}$% of smooth, and 35$^{+5}_{-7}$% of intermediate galaxies are AGN-dominated. Given the uncertainty of the MEx diagram at $z > 1$ (e.g., Kewley et al. 2013; Newman et al. 2014; Juneau et al. 2014), these probabilities do not necessarily represent absolute AGN fractions, but they remain useful for comparing relative AGN fractions among the three morphology classes. The three AGN fractions are all consistent with one another, and the clumpy galaxies have only marginally (<1σ) more AGNs than smoother galaxies. In the next two subsections, we show that the higher line ratios in clumpy galaxies are likely an effect of high ionization in extended regions (due to shocks or dense star-forming clumps) rather than nuclear AGNs.

3.2. Spatially Resolved Line Ratios

The traditional BPT and MEx methods use line ratios integrated over the entire galaxy, and thus may be diluted by star formation and/or affected by non-nuclear ionization that has nothing to do with AGN activity. In particular, the violent disk instabilities of clumpy galaxies may lead to high-velocity shocks or dense H ii regions which can mimic AGN-like line ratios (e.g., Rich et al. 2011; Newman et al. 2014). For this reason, we use the spatial resolution of the WFC3 grism spectroscopy to go beyond integrated line ratios and investigate line ratio gradients, following Wright et al. (2010); Trump et al. (2011b). Spatially resolved line ratios can reveal if AGNs selected by integrated line ratios are the product of nuclear AGNs or extended phenomena (like shocks or high-ionization star formation).

The two-dimensional (2D) spectra of individual sources generally lack the signal to noise for well-measured spatially resolved line ratios, so we stack spectra of galaxies in each of the clumpy, smooth, and intermediate galaxy samples.
Two stacks are constructed for each morphology class: one with all galaxies, and another using only galaxies classified as AGNs on the MEx diagram in Section 3.1. Centers of galaxies are defined by the SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) coordinates in the F160W detection image, translated to a spectral trace in the dispersed G141 2D spectrum using well-calibrated polynomials from aXe which are typically accurate to \( \lesssim 0.2 \) pixels. The image centroid and resultant spectral trace may not coincide with the brightest (continuum or emission line) flux of a galaxy, particularly for clumpy galaxies: we investigate centering on the brightest flux rather than image centroids in Section 4.1. Each galaxy spectrum is also normalized by its total \([\text{O} \text{ iii}]\) flux so that the stack is equal-weighted and not dominated by the most \([\text{O} \text{ iii}]\)-luminous AGNs. (This normalization means that the stacked line ratios are slightly lower than the mean of the individual ratios.) The stacked 2D spectra of all galaxies in each morphology class are shown in Figure 8. It is immediately evident that the clumpy galaxies are typically larger than the intermediate or smooth galaxies: for a galaxy to be classified as clumpy, it must be large enough for the clumps to be resolved in the HST data. Still, the smooth and intermediate galaxies are not so small as to be unresolved, and they have enough signal beyond the central three pixels to have well-measured extended (non-nuclear) spectra. We return to a discussion of the different sizes of the clumpy and smooth galaxies in Section 4.2.

We separately extract nuclear and extended one-dimensional (1D) spectra from the stacked data, shown in Figure 9. Here “nuclear” is defined as the central 3 pixels, corresponding to \( 0.18 \) across and a \( \sim 1 \) kpc radius at \( 1.3 < z < 2.4 \). The “extended” spectra are extracted from pixels 3–6 on either side of the trace, translating to an annulus extending radially from \( \sim 2–4 \) kpc. It is possible that some emission from the AGN narrow line region extends into the extended aperture (Hainline et al. 2013; van der Laan et al. 2013), and the \( \sim 1 \) kpc nuclear region is also likely to include some galaxy starlight. Although our regions will not perfectly disentangle AGN and galaxy light, the nuclear region preferentially includes AGN light and the extended region includes more galaxy starlight. Thus the spatially resolved line ratios are likely to be significantly more sensitive than the integrated line ratios.

The \([\text{O} \text{ iii}]\) and \(\text{H}\beta\) emission lines are measured from each nuclear and extended spectrum following the same method as in Section 2.2: three Gaussians were simultaneously fit to the continuum-subtracted spectra, with the \([\text{O} \text{ iii}]\) line flux given as 3/4 of the total \([\text{O} \text{ iii}]\) line flux. Figure 10 shows the nuclear and extended \([\text{O} \text{ iii}]\)/\(\text{H}\beta\) ratios for the stacks of all clumpy, smooth, and intermediate galaxies in the MEx diagram (using the median stellar mass of each sample with small offsets between the points). Figure 11 shows the nuclear and extended line ratios using the stacked spectra of MEx AGNs only. The nuclear and extended line ratio measurements for each stack are also presented in Table 2.

In the stacks of all galaxies in Figure 10, the nuclear and extended spectra are nearly indistinguishable. This indicates that there is not a dominant AGN population in any of the morphology classes. Nuclear AGNs begin to marginally emerge in Figure 11 in the stacked spectra of MEx AGNs among smooth galaxies. This implies that AGN classification using the updated Juneau et al. (2014) MEx method is effective for smooth galaxies at \( z \sim 2 \), with their stacked spectra indicating nuclear AGNs. The clumpy galaxies classified as MEx AGNs, however, have essentially no difference in nuclear and extended \([\text{O} \text{ iii}]\)/\(\text{H}\beta\) ratios. The high \([\text{O} \text{ iii}]\)/\(\text{H}\beta\) ratios observed in the integrated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stack</th>
<th>Nuclear</th>
<th>Extended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Clumpy</td>
<td>(3.5 \pm 0.8)</td>
<td>(4.5 \pm 1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Smooth</td>
<td>(3.2 \pm 0.4)</td>
<td>(2.6 \pm 1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Intermediate</td>
<td>(3.9 \pm 1.1)</td>
<td>(3.3 \pm 1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEx AGN Clumpy</td>
<td>(7.5 \pm 1.3)</td>
<td>(7.1 \pm 2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEx AGN Smooth</td>
<td>(8.3 \pm 1.4)</td>
<td>(5.8 \pm 1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEx AGN Intermediate</td>
<td>(11.8 \pm 2.1)</td>
<td>(9.8 \pm 2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{O} \text{ iii}])-centered Clumpy AGNs</td>
<td>(5.5 \pm 1.2)</td>
<td>(10.5 \pm 1.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
spectra of clumpy galaxies are probably caused by extended phenomena like shocks or high-ionization star formation, both of which are likely in dense star forming clumps (Kewley et al. 2013; Newman et al. 2014; Rich et al. 2014). Spatial line ratios indicate that clumpy galaxies may actually be less likely to host nuclear AGNs than smooth or intermediate galaxies. We further investigate the possibility of off-nuclear AGNs in Section 4.1.

3.3. X-Ray AGN

X-rays tend to be the most efficient and least contaminated indicator of AGN activity, and so we also use the X-ray data to quantify the AGN fraction among clumpy, smooth, and intermediate morphology galaxies. All galaxies are matched to the Xue et al. (2011) 4 Ms Chandra catalog. In total, 5/44 clumpy, 4/41 smooth, and 2/35 intermediate galaxies have X-ray counterparts. X-ray detection is not sufficient for AGN classification, however, as luminous starburst (SFR $\sim$ 300 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) galaxies can have enough X-ray binaries to exceed an integrated luminosity of $L_X \sim 10^{42}$ (Lehmer et al. 2010; Mineo et al. 2014). Xue et al. (2011) classify the GOODS-S X-ray sources into galaxies consistent with pure star formation or systems likely to be AGNs on the basis of their spectral shape, X-ray to optical flux ratio, and X-ray luminosity. These classifications reveal that 2/48 clumpy, 3/41 smooth, and 2/35

---

**Figure 9.** Nuclear and extended 1D spectra from the stacked HST/WFC3 grism data for clumpy, smooth, and intermediate morphology galaxies. The top three panels are the stacked spectra of all galaxies, while the bottom three are for galaxies classified as MEx AGNs. The H$\beta$ and [O\textsc{iii}] line centers are shown by the dashed lines, and the orange and cyan lines give the best-fit Gaussians to the nuclear and extended 1D spectra.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
intermediate galaxies are likely to be X-ray AGNs. The detection fractions and full-band luminosities of the X-ray AGNs are broadly consistent across the morphology categories, ranging from $10^{42}$–$10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$. X-ray data for the detected galaxies, as well as for the stacked non-detections (discussed below), are presented in Table 3.

Figure 12 presents the $L_X$–SFR relationship for X-ray detected sources, for both hard-band (2–8 keV) and soft-band (0.5–2 keV) luminosities. Also shown is the $L_X$–SFR relation for star-forming galaxies without AGNs, $\log(L_X) = [39.6 \pm 0.4] + \log(\text{SFR})$ (Mineo et al. 2014), with $L_X$ the full-band luminosity in erg s$^{-1}$ and SFR in M$_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$. This relation is translated to the soft and hard bands by assuming a spectral index of $\Gamma = 1.8$: the resultant relation is consistent with the hard-band $L_X$–SFR/$M_*$ relation of Lehmer et al. (2010). A few X-ray sources that are undetected in the hard band lie near the soft-band $L_X$–SFR relation and might not host AGNs, but most have sufficient X-ray luminosities to be robustly considered as having X-ray emission powered by an AGN.

We also performed X-ray stacking of the three samples in the full band, soft band (0.5–2 keV), and hard band using the 4 Ms CDF-S data (Xue et al. 2011). X-ray detected galaxies and galaxies near any detected X-ray source (within twice the soft-band 90\% encircled-energy aperture radius of the X-ray source) were excluded from the stacking. The final clumpy, smooth, and intermediate samples used in the stacking contain 40, 38, and 33 galaxies, respectively. We adopted the same stacking procedure as described in Section 3.1 of Luo et al. (2011). Briefly, we extracted total (source plus background) counts for each galaxy within a 3$''$ diameter circular aperture centered on its optical position. The corresponding background counts within this aperture were determined with a Monte Carlo
approach which randomly (avoiding known X-ray sources) places 1000 apertures within a 1′ radius circle of the optical position to measure the mean background. The total counts (S) and background counts (B) for the stacked sample were derived by summing the counts for individual sources. The net source counts are then given by (S − B), and the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) is calculated as (S − B)/√B. A stacked signal is considered undetected if it has a binomial no-source probability of P_0 > 0.01 (equivalent to S/N ≤ 2.6σ): for these sources we derive 90% confidence upper limits using the Bayesian method of Kraft et al. (1991). Aperture corrections were applied when converting the source count rates to fluxes and luminosities.

The stacked luminosities are shown with the median SFR of each subset in Figure 12. The small number of objects in each morphology subset means that none are well-detected in the hard band, and only the clumpy and smooth stacks are detected in the soft band. Due to these poor hard-band detections, the hardness ratios are unconstrained in all three stacks. The stacked X-ray luminosities (and upper limits) for all three samples lie only marginally above the L_X=SFR line for SF galaxies, indicating a weak or sub-dominant AGN contribution in the X-ray undetected galaxies. This is consistent with the location of most galaxies in the star-forming region of the MEx diagram (Figure 7), and with the lack of nuclear AGNs in the resolved line ratios among all galaxies (Figure 10). We also stack the MEx AGNs in each morphology class, but the numbers of objects are too small to make any meaningful conclusions.

Clumpy, smooth, and intermediate galaxies all have similar detected X-ray AGN fractions (∼5%) and stacked X-ray luminosities for undetected sources (log(L_X) ~ 41.4). In agreement with the spatially resolved line ratios, the X-ray data show essentially no differences for clumpy, smooth, and intermediate morphology galaxies at z ~ 2.

### 4. DISCUSSION

Both spatially resolved line ratios and X-ray data (detections and stacked non-detections) indicate that there is little or no difference in AGN fraction between clumpy galaxies and those with smoother morphologies. While integrated line ratios in the MEx method suggest a slightly higher AGN fraction among clumpy galaxies, the lack of a nuclear AGN signature suggests that this is due to extended phenomena like dense star-forming clumps rather than black hole growth. Galaxies at 1.3 < z < 2.4 in each morphology category have similar AGN fractions of ~5%−30%, depending on whether X-ray data or spatially resolved line ratios are used for AGN selection.

We discuss several implications of these results below.

#### 4.1. Off-nuclear AGNs in Clumpy Galaxies?

In Section 3.2, we argued that the high [O III]/Hβ ratio in the extended regions of clumpy galaxies indicates shocks or high-ionization H II regions rather than AGN activity (see also Rich et al. 2011; Newman et al. 2014; Rich et al. 2014). However, it is instead possible that some clumpy galaxies host off-nuclear AGNs (e.g., Schawinski et al. 2011; K. Schawinski et al. 2014, in preparation). We test for the presence of off-nuclear AGNs by creating a 2D stack of clumpy galaxies classified as MEx AGNs, centering on the [O III] emission lines rather than the continuum trace. The brightest knot of [O III] emission would be most likely to correspond to any off-nuclear AGNs.\(^{16}\) The “nuclear” region of the [O III]-centered stack corresponds to the brightest [O III] knots rather than the galaxy center, and should therefore be where off-nuclear AGNs are most likely to reside.

Figure 13 compares the nuclear and extended [O III]/Hβ ratios for the continuum-centered stack with the [O III]-centered stack of clumpy galaxies. The right panel suggests that the brightest [O III] knots are somewhat less likely to host AGNs than the galaxy centers. The lack of widespread off-nuclear AGNs is also supported by the X-ray data, which indicate that clumpy galaxies do not host a greater number of X-ray AGNs (whether nuclear or off-nuclear) than smoother mass-matched galaxies. We conclude that there is unlikely to be a large population of off-nuclear AGNs in clumpy galaxies misclassified by the spatially resolved line ratio method.

\(^{16}\) Our assumption that the brightest [O III] knot corresponds to an AGN is inaccurate if there is large-scale dust asymmetrically obscuring an AGN narrow-line region.
Figure 12. Hard-band (top panel) and soft-band (bottom panel) X-ray luminosities vs. star formation rates, for both individually detected galaxies (solid points) and stacked non-detections (open points) among the three morphology types. The dashed lines indicate the $L_X$–SFR relation for star-forming galaxies without AGNs from Mineo et al. (2014), and the gray shaded region indicates the relation’s 1σ errors. Most individually detected sources have sufficiently high (hard) X-ray detections to be AGNs, although the stacked data suggest only weak AGN emission in the X-ray non-detections. In both detected sources and stacked data, there are no significant differences in X-ray AGN likelihood data between clumpy, smooth, and intermediate morphology galaxies.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

4.2. Comparison to Lower Redshift

At first glance, our $z \sim 1.85$ result is in apparent contrast to the preference for AGNs in clumpy galaxies at $z \sim 0.7$ observed by Bournaud et al. (2012). Our clumpy galaxy sample has very similar images and morphologies to theirs, as seen in a comparison of Figure 3 with Figure 4 of Bournaud et al. (2012). Although we study a much larger sample (three times more clumpy galaxies), Bournaud et al. (2012) performed a comprehensive bootstrap analysis which indicates that sample size is very unlikely to lead to the difference. Our studies differ greatly, however, in the comparison samples of smooth galaxies.

Bournaud et al. (2012) required that both their clumpy and smooth galaxy samples be extended disks. Meanwhile, we impose no requirements on morphology beyond the clumpiness classification, and Figure 14 demonstrates that our $z \sim 2$ clumpy and smooth galaxies are quite different in size and Sérsic (1968) index. These quantities were measured using GALFIT (Peng et al. 2010) and presented by van der Wel et al. (2012). Clumpy galaxies are much more likely to be extended disks, with a median semi-major axis of 0.46 and 41/44 galaxies having disk-dominated Sérsic indices ($n < 2.5$). The smooth galaxies are still mostly disk-dominated, as 30/41 have $n < 2.5$, but they are significantly smaller (with a median semi-major axis of 0.20) and have higher Sérsic indices.

It is interesting that so few smooth extended disks are observed in CANDELS and 3D-HST. The data are sensitive to the $z \sim 2$ star-forming main sequence at $M_*/M_\odot > 10^{9.8}$ (Figure 6), and so if smooth extended disks exist they must be low-mass and/or weakly star-forming. Kassin et al. (2012) and Elmegreen & Elmegreen (2014) argue that ordered disks are rare in $z > 1$ galaxies of any mass, suggesting that the lack of smooth extended disks at $z \sim 2$ is a consequence of galaxy evolution rather than selection effects. Regardless, the lack of smooth extended disks at $z \sim 2$ means we cannot perform the same comparison between clumpy and smooth extended disks as Bournaud et al. (2012) at $z \sim 0.7$. Instead, we conclude that clumpy extended galaxies have the same AGN fraction as more...
compact and higher-Sersic smooth galaxies matched in stellar mass.

4.3. Fueling AGNs at $z \sim 2$

Our results demonstrate that clumpy galaxies at $z \sim 2$ are no more likely to host AGNs than are smooth galaxies of the same stellar mass and similar SFR. What does this indicate about AGN fueling modes at $z \sim 2$?

Dekel & Burkert (2014) argue that compact star-forming galaxies (also called blue nuggets) might actually be constructed by a history of violent disk instabilities. Our smooth galaxies, which tend to be more compact and bulgy than the clumpy extended disks, have sizes and Sersic indices consistent with this category (see also D. Ceverino et al. 2014, in preparation). The observed similarity in AGN fraction between $z \sim 2$ clumpy and smooth galaxies would then indicate that nuclear inflow onto the AGN must persist until after the galaxy becomes stable and the star-forming clumps are no longer visible. In this scenario, the violent disk instabilities are responsible for building a gas reservoir in the galaxy’s center. Since the actual nuclear inflow onto the AGN persists into the smooth compact galaxy phase, it must not depend on violent instabilities in the larger disk. So while the gas reservoir is deposited by violent disk instabilities, the AGN fueling within $\sim 1$ kpc must instead be driven by secular processes.

On the other hand, the disk-dominated nature of the smooth galaxies might imply that they are unlikely to have experienced
violent mixing in the past from extreme disk instabilities (or major mergers). It is also not clear that the smooth galaxies are older than the clumpy galaxies, given their similar position in the SFR–$M^*$ plane (excepting the most massive galaxies: see Figure 6). This might imply that even the large-scale fueling occurs via secular processes, perhaps due to stochastic turbulence from the high gas fractions which are common in $z \sim 2$ galaxies (Tacconi et al. 2010). Indeed, Hopkins et al. (2014) predict that this turbulence-driven stochastic fueling is the dominant mode of SMBH growth for $z \sim 2$ galaxies with $M_{\text{BH}} < 10^7 M_\odot$ (or $M_\star < 10^{10} M_\odot$): the same mass range occupied by much of our sample. Assuming that the smooth and clumpy morphologies in our sample trace different fueling modes, then our data best support the scenario of Bellovary et al. (2013), where AGN growth depends not on fueling mode, but on gas fraction.

5. SUMMARY

We use spatially resolved line ratios and X-ray data to demonstrate that $z \sim 1.85$ clumpy galaxies are no more likely to host AGNs than are smoother galaxies at the same redshift. While integrated line ratios indicate a higher AGN fraction in clumpy galaxies, the spatially resolved emission lines show that this is likely due to extended phenomena (like shocks or high-ionization H II regions) rather than nuclear (or off-nuclear) AGNs. While the smooth galaxies have the same masses and nearly the same SFRs, they are somewhat smaller and not quite as disk-dominated as the clumpy galaxies. Whatever process drives AGN fueling in the smooth galaxies is just as efficient as are violent disk instabilities in clumpy galaxies at $z \sim 2$.
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APPENDIX

GALAXY IMAGES

Color-composite *HST* *iJH* images of all clumpy, smooth, and intermediate galaxies are shown in Figures 15–17.
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