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Governmental financing streams for public health

Governmental Expenditures for Public Health Activity, USDHHS National Health Expenditure Accounts

State and local
Federal

U.S. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Office of the Chief Actuary
Trends in public health spending

Governmental Expenditures for Public Health Activity, USDHHS National Health Expenditure Accounts

- Percent of NHE
- Percent of GDP (x10)
- Per capita ($100s nominal)
- Per capita ($100s constant)

U.S. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Office of the Chief Actuary
Public Health in the ACA

- $19 billion in new federal public health spending over 10 years (cut by $6B in 2012)
- Public Health and Prevention Trust Fund
- Incentives for hospitals, health insurers, employers to invest in public health and prevention
- Research on optimal public health delivery

Subtitle D—Support for Prevention and Public Health Innovation

Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010

SEC. 4301. RESEARCH ON OPTIMIZING THE DELIVERY OF PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES.

(a) In general.—The Secretary of Health and Human Services (referred to in this section as the “Secretary”), acting through the
Public Health in the ACA

CDC Prevention and Public Health Fund Per Capita Allocations by State (FY14)*
(numbers are rounded)

- CT - $1.61
- NH - $4.27
- MA - $2.30
- RI - $9.30
- VT - $4.71
- DC - $5.99
- DE - $8.73
- MD - $2.75
- NJ - $1.04

*Per capita calculations do not include grants to National Organizations
ACA’s Medicaid expansion: unintended consequences for public health?

- States face higher Medicaid spending
  - previously-eligible/newly-enrolled beneficiaries
  - Enhanced benefits
  - Reduction in 100% FMAP for newly eligible after 2016

- Federal matching policies encourage states to channel health expenditures to Medicaid

- New Medicaid expenditures may crowd out other state and local public health spending
Research questions of interest

- Do states respond to increases in Medicaid spending by changing (reducing) spending on other public health activities?
- What are the likely health and economic effects of Medicaid-induced changes in public health spending?
Defining public health activities

- Epidemiologic surveillance & investigation
- Community health assessment & planning
- Communicable disease control
- Chronic disease and injury prevention
- Health education and communication
- Environmental health monitoring and assessment
- Enforcement of health laws and regulations
- Inspection and licensing
- Inform, advise, and assist school-based, worksite-based, and community-based health programming

...and roles in assuring access to medical care

Prior Research: Mortality reductions attributable to local public health spending, 1993-2008

Hierarchical regression estimates with instrumental variables to correct for selection and unmeasured confounding

Mays et al. 2011
Prior Research: Medical cost offsets attributable to local public health spending 1993-2008

Offset elasticity = -0.088

Research Design & Data

- Longitudinal cohort of the 51 states and their local governments during 1993-2011
- Census Bureau’s Annual Survey of Government Finances and Census of Governments
- CMS Medicaid program expenditure data
- UK Poverty Research Center file on state economic and transfer program measures
Analytic Approach

- Spending Share Equation models (Craig and Howard 2013)

\[(\text{Medicaid$/Total$})_{it} = \beta X_{it} + \delta Z_{it} + \mu_i + \phi_t + \epsilon_{ijt}\]

\[(\text{Other$/Total$})_{it} = \alpha(\text{Medicaid$/Total$})_{it} + \beta X_{it} + \lambda Z_{it} + \mu_i + \phi_t + \epsilon_{ijt}\]

\[(\text{PublicHealth$/Total$})_{it} = \alpha(\text{Medicaid$/Total$})_{it} + \pi(\text{Other$/Total$})_{it} + \beta X_{it} + \mu_i + \phi_t + \epsilon_{ijt}\]

- Separate state-level (n=833) and local-level (n=9231) models
- State and year fixed-effects
- Instrumental variables (Z) to control for endogeneity of Medicaid spending
Analytic Approach

Demand & Supply Factors ($X_{it}$)
- Population size
- Income per capita
- Poverty rate
- Uninsured rate
- Smoking & obesity prevalence
- Tax burden
- Political party of Governor
- Political split of legislature

Instrumental Variables ($Z_{it}$)
- FMAP, FMAP²
- Share of population TANF
- Share of population SSI
- Share of population SNAP
- Share of population FSB
- Federal intergovernmental transfers/capita

Federally directed policies (exogenous to state/local decisions)
Results: Medicaid and Public Health Shares of State Spending

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medicaid Spending Share</th>
<th>Public Health Spending Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FMAP&gt;60</td>
<td>FMAP&lt;=60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Medicaid and Public Health Shares of State Spending
- FMAP (Federal Medical Assistance Percentage)
- Data points for different FMAP categories
- Trend line indicating relationship between Medicaid and Public Health spending shares.
Estimated Effect of Medicaid Spending Growth on Public Health Spending/Capita

At Median Medicaid Budget Share Growth of 3.9%

State Public Health Spending

Local Public Health Spending
Estimated Effect of Medicaid Spending Growth on Delivery of Recommended Public Health Services

At Median Medicaid Budget Share Growth of 3.9%
10-Year Projected Effects of Crowd-out on Preventable Mortality Rates

Using 10-year mortality effect estimates from Mays and Smith, *Health Affairs* 2011
Medicaid mortality effect estimates from Sommers et al. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 2014
An Aside: Effects of State Political Party Control on Medicaid Crowd-out of Public Health Spending

Change in Share of State Budget for Public Health

Democratic Governor

% State House Democratic

% State Senate Democratic
Conclusions

- Substantial crowd-out in public health spending results from Medicaid spending growth.
- The magnitude of crowd-out is sufficient to produce sizeable health effects over time.
- Crowd-out may reduce or fully offset the direct mortality gains from increases in health insurance coverage (e.g. Sommers et al 2014).
- Crowd-out may be larger for lower-resource states and communities.
12% of 2014 new Medicaid enrollment → previously eligible

State share of additional costs: $57M

KY’s public health spending: $189M

KY’s share of Prevention & Public Health Fund: $6M
Implications for Policy & Practice

- Roles for federal spending, e.g. Prevention & Public Health Fund
- Maintenance of effort requirements/incentives
- Nongovernmental contributions to public health
- Alignment between primary care & public health
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