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INVESTIGATION OF THE MECHANISM OF ACTION FOR MITHRAMYCIN AND THE BIOSYNTHESIS OF L-REDNOSE IN SAQUAYAMYCINS

Natural products continue to be a major chemical lead matter for drug discovery due to their diverse chemical structures and bioactivities. Clinically significant natural products include anti-cancer and anti-infective compounds and while many more of these compounds show promising bioactivity, their clinical relevance is often limited by toxicity or poor solubility. Combinatorial biosynthesis can be employed to modify existing chemical scaffolds towards reducing these limitations. To fully take advantage of these biochemical tools, it is important to understand the biosynthesis and mechanism of action of the molecules.

Saccharides in glycosylated natural products provide specific interactions with cellular targets and are often crucial for a compound’s bioactivity. Genetic engineering of sugar pathways can modify glycosylation patterns leading to the diversification of natural products. Saquayamycins (SQN) H and I are cytotoxic angucycline antibiotics containing five deoxyhexoses including the rare amino sugar rednose. Elucidating the biosynthetic pathway of rednose could add to the arsenal of combinatorial biosynthesis tools for drug development. Our research goal of investigating the rednose biosynthetic pathway was pursued through two specific aims: the identification of the *Streptomyces* sp. KY 40-1 gene cluster involved in the biosynthesis of SQN H and I (sqn) (specific aim 1), and the validation of the proposed L-rednose biosynthetic pathway up to the glycosyl transfer through enzymatic synthesis of NDP-3,6-dideoxy-L-idosamine (specific aim 2). The sqn gene cluster revealed deoxysugar biosynthetic genes that could be used to alter glycosylation patterns to generate novel compounds while the enzymatic synthesis afforded novel genetic engineering tools to generate novel TDP-deoxysugars that could be used to diversify compounds such as aminoglycosides to circumvent resistance mechanisms. The first step to generate TDP-glucosamine enzymatically was accomplished, however later steps were unsuccessful.

The aureolic acid mithramycin (MTM) was recently tested in clinical trials for Ewing sarcoma following the discovery of MTM as a potent inhibitor of the oncogenic transcription factor EWS-FLI1 present only in Ewing sarcoma cells. It is understood that MTM binds the minor groove of G/C rich DNA as an Mg$^{2+}$-coordinated dimer disrupting
transcription of proto-oncogenes; however, the DNA recognition rules were not completely understood, making further interrogation of MTM’s DNA binding preferences necessary. This research goal of further understanding the mechanism of action for MTM was approached through two specific aims: the investigation of the dimerization of MTM (specific aim 3), and the investigation of MTM’s DNA binding preferences (specific aim 4). This work established that MTM and its biosynthetic precursor premithramycin B (PreMTM B), and several MTM analogues with modified 3-side chains: mithramycin SDK (MTM SDK), mithramycin SA tryptophan (MTM SA-Trp), and mithramycin SA alanine (MTM SA-Ala) dimerize even in the absence of DNA under physiologically relevant conditions. The study also demonstrated that modification of the 3-side chain modulates DNA binding affinity of MTM analogues, established a minimum MTM binding site on DNA, and revealed MTM DNA recognition is driven by direct (sequence) and not indirect (conformation) readout laying the foundation for subsequent research based on the interaction between MTM, DNA, and the oncogenic transcription factor EWS-FLI1 in the rational design of new MTM analogues for the treatment of Ewing sarcoma.
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1. INTRODUCTION TO POLYKETIDES AND DEOXYSUGAR BIOSYNTHESIS

Secondary metabolites are small molecules that are not directly involved in reproduction, development, or growth of the organism under normal conditions, but provide an evolutionary advantage for the producing organism such as antibiotics for defense, attractants like flower scent to attract pollinators, pigments to attract or warn other species, and siderophores for iron scavenging during low-iron conditions. Natural products produced by plants, insects, and microorganisms are derived from secondary metabolic pathways and are of interest to humans for pesticides, flavorings, dyes and most importantly pharmaceuticals. The diverse chemical scaffolds and various bioactivities of natural products have long since rendered them significant sources for drug discovery. Natural products are categorized into 6 groups based on their building blocks and method of biosynthesis (figure 1): specialized carbohydrates (1), terpenoids and steroids (2), alkaloids (3), peptides (4), aromatic amino acids and phenylpropanoids (5), and fatty acids and polyketides (6).
Of particular interest are the polyketide natural products due to their structural diversity and pharmacological impact including anticancer, antibiotic, antifungal, antiparasitic, and immunosuppressive activities. Polyketides are produced primarily by microorganisms through a series of decarboxylative Claisen condensations of acyl CoA esters catalyzed by polyketide synthases (PKS) to build a linear polyketide chain.
Polyketides are classified based on the type of PKS (Type I, Type II, or Type III) responsible for their biosynthesis. Type I PKS are large multifunctional, modular enzymes that function non-iteratively in an assembly line fashion with multiple acyl carrier proteins (ACP) to pass along the growing polyketide chain (figure 2). Typical products for Type I PKS include macrolides, polyethers, and macrolactones. Clinically relevant erythromycin A (7) and amphotericin B are both type I PKS derived molecules (figure 3). Type II PKS are made up of a complex of multiple enzymes with specific functions including two ketosynthase/acyl transferases (KS\(_\alpha\) and KS\(_\beta\)) and an ACP that catalyze the extension, and initial cyclization reactions iteratively (figure 2). Type II PKS molecules are polycyclic aromatics such as angucyclines and anthracyclines and include such heavy hitters as doxorubicin (6) and mithramycin (8) (figure 3). Type III PKS act iteratively with only one homodimer forming ketosynthase/acyltransferase (KS) enzyme and no ACP (figure 2). Stilbene-type phytoalexins and flavonoids (9) are typical products of type III PKS (figure 3). Following construction of the polyketide core scaffolds by PKS, post-PKS modifying enzymes diversify the polyketide backbones catalyzing cyclizations, aromatizations, reductions, epimerizations, alkylations, glycosylations, and other tailoring reactions providing much of the structural diversity within the polyketide group.
Natural products, including polyketides, are often glycosylated, and pharmacological properties of these compounds are greatly impacted by their carbohydrate components of which provide specific interactions with cellular targets and are often critical for bioactivity. A recent review of close to 16,000 natural products revealed that of them, 21.5% were glycosylated. Doxorubicin (6), erythromycin (7), amphotericin B, and avermectin all contain sugar residues, removal of which renders the
compounds inactive. Furthermore, sugars are highly soluble and increase the overall solubility of the glycosylated compounds.

Glycosylation requires glycosyl donors, mainly nucleotide diphosphate (NDP)-bound sugars, and an aglycone or other suitable glycosyl acceptor and employs specific enzymes known as glycosyltransferases evolved to carry out the attachment of the activated sugar donor to the acceptor. Glycosidic bonds can be S-, O-, N-, or C-, although linkage through oxygen is the most common. According to Klyne’s rule, linkages in glycoside natural products are typically β-configuration for D-sugars and α-configuration for L-sugars. Additionally, specific deoxysugar tailoring enzymes have evolved to modify sugar donors by further deoxygenation, oxidation, reduction, rearrangement, group transfer, isomerization, and epimerization to generate a wide array of unusual sugars. Genes that code for biosynthetic enzymes in the same pathway are generally “clustered” in the chromosomes of bacteria. This allows for horizontal gene transfer in bacteria and inconsequently provides researchers with easy access to this biosynthetic machinery. Deoxysugar biosynthetic pathways can be genetically modified to alter glycosylation patterns to optimize desirable drug properties of existing compounds.

Deoxysugar biosynthetic pathways begin with the glycolytic intermediate D-glucose-6-phosphate (10) which undergoes isomerization carried out by phosphoglucomutase to yield D-glucose-1-phosphate (11). This D-glucose-1-phosphate (10) is considered the precursor of the typical deoxysugar biosynthetic pathway. Secondary metabolism begins with a phosphoanhydride exchange reaction catalyzed by NDP-D-glucose synthase from NTP (CTP, UTP, or TTP (12) in bacteria) and D-glucose-
1-phosphate (12) to yield pyrophosphate and NDP-D-glucose (13). The pyrophosphate is hydrolyzed by a ubiquitous cytosolic enzyme, inorganic pyrophosphatase which provides energy for the otherwise endergonic reaction. The sugar is thus activated for downstream reactions (figure 4).

**Figure 4. Activation of sugar substrate by glucose synthase**

**1.1 Deoxygenation**

Carbon-oxygen bond cleavage is among the most ubiquitous reactions in biological systems and introduces great structural diversity in compounds in which it is carried out. Deoxysugars are common constituents of both lipopolysaccharides in gram negative bacterial cell walls, and secondary metabolites, and require C-O bond cleavage for their formation. Four types of deoxygenation reactions have been identified: C-6, C-2, C-3, and C-4 deoxygenation.

**1.1.1 C-6 Deoxygenation**

The majority of unusual deoxysugars are 6-deoxysugars. In this case, an NAD dependent 6-deoxygenation reaction is carried out by an NDP-D-glucose oxidoreductase known as 4,6-dehydratase, whereby NAD⁺ oxidizes C-4 OH activating the C-5 proton to
form the enolate (16) which facilitates the elimination of C-6 OH (17). Finally, a hydride transfer from NADH saturates the double bond to form NDP-4-keto-6-deoxy-D-glucose (18) (figure 5). This 4-keto-6-deoxy-D-glucose is a universal intermediate that undergoes a series of downstream tailoring reactions to generate a wide array of sugar donors that are used by glycosyltransferases to decorate acceptors. Chemically interesting tailoring reactions that pertain to 6-deoxy hexoses are discussed in the following sections.

Figure 5. Mechanism of C-6 deoxygenation by 4,6-dehydratase

1.1.2 C-2 Deoxygenation

2-deoxygenation is the 2nd most common hexose deoxygenation event after 6-deoxygenation and the resulting 2-deoxysugars are common structural components of natural products primarily found in aminoglycoside and macrolide antibiotics. C-2 deoxygenation is similar to C-6 deoxygenation employing the 4-ketogroup to activate the C-3 proton for abstraction followed by dehydration across C-2-3 which is then reduced to form NDP-4-keto-2,6-dideoxy-D-glucose. However, unlike C-6 deoxygenation, C-2 requires two enzymes that work in a concerted fashion, a
dehydrase and a reductase. The 2,3-dehydratase carries out the dehydration across C2-C3 to form an unstable 3,4-diketo intermediate (22). A second enzyme, an NAD(P)H-dependent 3-ketoreductase helps stabilize the intermediate and reduces the C-3 ketone to form the final product (23) (figure 6). In the case of tylosin biosynthesis, a 3-methylation, 4-ketoreduction and 5-epimerization follow 2-deoxygenation to convert TDP-4-keto-2,6-dideoxy-d-glucose (23) to TDP-L-mycarose.

Figure 6. Mechanism of C-2 deoxygenation by 2,3-dehydratase and 3-ketoreductase

1.1.3 C-3 Deoxygenation

3,6-dideoxysugars are common components of gram negative bacterial cell wall lipopolysaccharides and are epitopes responsible for virulence and pathogenicity. In contrast to C-2 or C-6 deoxygenation, C-3 deoxygenation cannot be promoted by an initial α-H abstraction which requires activation by a 4-keto. Instead, C-3 deoxygenation is an elimination of the hydroxyl group from the α-C directly next to the 4-keto and requires two cooperating enzymes, a pyridoxamine 5′-phosphate (PMP)-dependent dehydratase/reductase and a reductase [2S·2Fe] flavoprotein. The dehydratase/reductase (E1) active site contains one noncovalently-bound equivalent of PMP and one [2S·2Fe] center per subunit. The reductase (E3) active site contains a ferredoxin [2S·2Fe] center and flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD). The first half-reaction, a PMP-dependent
reversible dehydration is carried out by E₁ and triggered by the formation of a Schiff base between the amino group of PMP and C-4 keto of the substrate (25). Next, an abstraction of the pro-S hydrogen of C-4’ of the PMP-ketimine complex by His²²₀ in E₁ leads to an expulsion of 3-OH in the substrate to generate a Δ³,₄-glucoseen intermediate (27). The second half-reaction is a pyridoxal-based radical reduction in which E₁ and E₃ act as an electron transport chain where a hydride from NADH reduces FAD in E₃, which reduces the E₃ iron sulfur cluster, which reduces the iron sulfur cluster in E₁, which in turn reduces the Δ³,₄-glucoseen intermediate (27) to form the NDP-4-keto-3,6-dideoxy-D-glucose (37) (figure 9).

E₁ has evolved to have a specific reductase (E₃) as is encoded in the asc gene cluster responsible for ascarylose biosynthesis in *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* Serogroup VA. However, there are examples where E₁ has evolved without a specific reductase to regenerate its [2S·2Fe] center and can function with a general cellular reductase; in this case only E₁ is coded for in the gene cluster as in spinosyn spn responsible for forosamine biosynthesis. Forosamine biosynthesis first requires 6-deoxygenation (38), 2-deoxygenation (40), then 3-deoxygenation (41) followed by 4-transamination (42), and 4-dimethylation to yield TDP-D-forosamine (43) (figure 7). Ascarylose biosynthesis requires 6-deoxygenation (45), then 3-deoxygenation (46) followed by epimerization (47) and 4-ketoreduction the yield the final product (48) (figure 8).
Figure 7. Forosamine biosynthesis

Figure 8. Ascarylose biosynthesis
C-4 deoxygenation is quite rare, most notably seen in desosamine biosynthesis, a carbohydrate constituent of several macrolides including erythromycin. Two enzymes are required to catalyze C-4 deoxygenation and due to the difficulty in studying these particular enzymes, the mechanistic details are unclear. The first step is carried out by a pyridoxal 5’phosphate (PLP)-dependent aminotransferase to generate a 4-aminosugar intermediate. The details regarding the
mechanism are discussed in the next section, see Transamination. The second step is a deamination by a radical S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM)-dependent enzyme.\textsuperscript{25} Radical SAM-dependent enzymes contain a $[4\text{Fe}-4\text{S}]^+$ center that must be continuously reduced in order to function, making them particularly difficult to study. Catalysis begins with one electron transferred from the $[4\text{Fe}-4\text{S}]^+$ to SAM triggering homolytic cleavage of the C-5’-S bond of SAM yielding methionine and a 5’-deoxyadenosyl radical.\textsuperscript{26} Next, the 5’-deoxyadenosyl radical abstracts a hydrogen atom from C-3 generating a C-3 α-hydroxyalkyl radical (50). Mechanistic details of the following steps are unclear but two mechanisms have been proposed. In the first (figure 10, red), a radical-induced 1,2-amino shift forms an aminol radical (58). A hydrogen is reclaimed from 5’deoxyadenosine (59) and an ammonium ion is eliminated to yield the final product (60). In the second (figure 10, blue), the C-3 hydroxyl group is deprotonated to form a ketyl radical anion (52) followed by β-elimination of the 4-amino group driven by the resonance of the ketyl radical anion (53).\textsuperscript{26, 27}
Figure 10. Two proposed mechanisms of C-4 deoxygenation by DesI, a pyridoxal-5′-phosphate (PLP)-dependent aminotransferase, and DesII, a radical S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM)-dependent enzyme

1.2 Transamination

Amino-sugars are found in natural products such as aminoglycosides, polyene antifungals, glycopeptides, anthracyclines, and macrolides as well as bacterial cell walls, flagellar glycolipids, and bacterial toxins. Clinically relevant antibiotics such as erythromycin (7) and kanamycin (1) as well as the chemotherapeutic agent doxorubicin (6) contain amino-sugars which are critical for their bioactivity. In addition to mediating cell-target interaction, amino-sugars also serve to increase a molecule’s solubility. Aminotransferases introduce an amino group from an amino
acid donor, to an NDP-ketosugar acceptor. The enzyme and the location of the keto
group, primarily C-3 or C-4 generated in a previous dehydrogenation or isomerization
biosynthetic step dictate the substitution position.\textsuperscript{28, 29}

Transamination is PLP dependent with a PLP cofactor covalently bound to a
lysine residue in the active site of the aminotransferase forming an internal aldime
(61) at the start of the catalytic cycle. The first half reaction (figure 11) proceeds with
the exchange of another amino acid donor, usually L-glutamine or L-glutamate for the
conserved lysine resulting in the formation of an external aldime (64). Hydrogen
bonding now holds PLP in place as it is no longer covalently bound to the enzyme.
Next, an abstraction of the $\alpha$-proton from the PLP-amino acid adduct leads to a
quininoid (66) and is immediately followed by a reprotonation of the 4'-C of PLP to
generate a ketamine (67). The ketamine (67) is hydrolyzed to form PMP, and an $\alpha$-
ketoacid is released (70). The second half reaction (figure 12) begins with ketamine
formation (74) between the ketosugar substrate and PMP. The 4'-C of PMP is
deprotonated to form a quininoid (75), which is followed by reprotonation of $\alpha$-C to
form an external aldime (77) which is then hydrolyzed to release the amino-sugar
and reform the internal aldime.\textsuperscript{30-33}
Figure 11. 1st half-reaction of PLP-dependent transamination
Figure 12. 2nd half-reaction of PLP-dependent transamination
1.3 Epimerization

Epimerization is the inversion of configurational stereochemistry at one or more chiral centers and provides a convenient method to generate a wide array of sugars with structural diversity from just a few common sugar precursors. Carbohydrate epimerization reactions are carried out by epimerases on C-2, C-3, C-4, and C-5 usually through the addition and removal of hydrogen. For C-2 and C-4, the mechanism is similar; an oxidation/reduction cycle requiring NAD\(^+\) to deprotonate the C-2/C-4 hydroxy group to form a carbonyl, followed by reprotonation on the opposite face to invert configuration at C-2 as in CDP-D-tyvelose in O-antigen biosynthesis of *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* IVA (figure 13)\(^{34, 35}\) or C-4 as in the conversion of galactose to glucose for glycolysis (figure 14).\(^{36, 37}\) C-3 and C-5 epimerization involves keto-enol tautomerization and requires a C-4 keto to activate the \(\alpha\)-H for abstraction followed by reprotonation at C-3 or C-5 on the opposite face. Following C-5 epimerization, steric hindrance is minimized by maintaining the hydroxymethyl group (C-6) in the equatorial position which flips the ring from \(4\mathrm{C}1\) to \(1\mathrm{C}4\) and converts the sugar from D to L configuration.\(^{38, 39}\) An example of C-5 epimerization can be seen in colitose biosynthesis (figure 15).\(^{38}\)
1.4 Branched-Sugar Formation

Branched-sugars can be derived one of two ways. Either through the attachment of a methyl or a two-carbon side chain from an exogenous donor such as SAM or pyruvate to a pyranose ring or through the intramolecular rearrangement of a pyranose precursor to form a furanose with a formyl or hydroxymethyl side chain. Methyl or two-carbon side chain containing sugars are classified as Group I while formyl or hydroxymethyl side chain containing sugars belong to Group II. It is extremely rare for a sugar to bear a branch chain longer than two carbons. Branched sugar biosynthesis has not been well studied. However, two examples, namely the methyl-side chain containing L-mycarose from the antibiotic tylosin produced by \textit{Streptomyces fradiae}, and yersiniose A, a two-carbon side chain carbohydrate
component of the O-antigen in the lipopolysaccharide of *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* have been investigated. L-mycarose biosynthesis requires a SAM-dependent C-methyltransferase. Catalysis is initiated by C-3 proton abstraction to form an enediolate intermediate (93) followed by nucleophilic attack on the electrophilic methyl group in SAM to yield TDP-4-keto-D-mycarose (94) (figure 16). A 5-epimerization and 4-ketoreduction complete the biosynthesis to generate L-mycarose. Yersiniose A biosynthesis requires a TPP-dependent coupling enzyme which facilitates a de facto umpolung (charge reversal) reaction. First, the TPP cofactor binds pyruvate followed by decarboxylation to generate a carbanionic adduct (99). Next, the TPP-bound carbanion attacks the 4-keto group and TPP is cleaved to yield the 2-carbon side chain branched-sugar yersiniose A (101) (figure 17).
Figure 17. Mechanism of branched-sugar formation, D-yersinirose biosynthesis

1.5 Reduction

The most prevalent reaction in deoxysugar biosynthesis is ketoreduction. Ketoreductases are NAD(P)H-dependent and catalyze hydride reduction of C-3 and C-4-ketosugars to form corresponding secondary alcohols. Both axial and equatorial C-3 and C-4 hydroxyl groups can be generated. Ketoreductases are widespread due to the requirement of ketosugar intermediates which activate α-H for abstraction in many tailoring reactions including epimerization, alkylation (figure 16-17), and both 2 and 3-dehydration and for reactions that occur directly at the ketone as in 4-epimerization (figure 14) or 4-transamination (figure 11-12). C-2 dehydration also requires a 3-ketoreductase to stabilize and reduce the labile NDP-3,4-diketosugar intermediate (figure 6).

1.6 Conclusions

Deoxysugar components are key to the activity of glycosylated natural products including polyketides such as doxorubicin, mithramycin, avermectin, amphotericin B,
and others. The following guidelines for deoxysugar biosynthetic pathways have been derived from bioinformatic, biochemical, and genetic studies. Prior to derivatization, sugars are first activated through nucleotidyl transfer to yield NDP-sugars (figure 4). Second, 4,6-dehydration (figure 5) occurs prior to any other tailoring reactions for all 6-deoxyhexoses. Many reactions rely on the 4-keto group to activate C-3 and C-5 protons including C-3 or C-5 epimerization (figure 15), alkylation (figure 16-17), C-2 and C-3 dehydration (figure 7-8), as well as reactions requiring the 4-keto site directly as in 4-transamination (figure 11-12) or 4-ketoreduction. Next, C-6 deoxygenation precedes C-2, C-3, and C-4 deoxygenation. C-3-ketoreduction or 3-transamination of the unstable NDP-3,4-diketosugar intermediate immediately follows C-2 deoxygenation (figure 6). C-4 deoxygenation proceeds through 4-transamination (figure 10). Epimerization, ketoreduction, and group transfer reactions (except 4-transamination) follow deoxygenation steps.

Bacterial biosynthetic enzymes are typically encoded in gene clusters which allow horizontal gene transfer between organisms and provide genetic engineering tools for researchers interested in altering glycosylation patterns of existing scaffolds to improve their pharmacological properties. Together, understanding the mechanism of action of a compound, along with the comprehension of unusual sugar biosynthetic pathways and access to their biosynthetic machinery expands the drug development toolbox for derivatization of natural products to increase drug-target interaction and solubility.
1.7 Specific Aims

Organisms have evolved to produce natural products that are optimized for interaction with biological targets but that are not necessarily developed for druglikeness. While potent, MTM’s clinical use as an anticancer agent has been limited by its toxicity. Combinatorial biosynthesis is one method for addressing these limitations and to increase drug-target interaction. Modification of glycosylation patterns is a popular strategy to diversify compounds since it has been shown that carbohydrate constituents of glycosylated natural products are often crucial for bioactivity. L-rednose is an extremely rare amino sugar and access to its biosynthetic pathway would provide an excellent building block to derivatize aminoglycoside antibiotics to circumvent resistance mechanisms or mithramycin towards more specific activity to decrease side effects. To effectively develop drugs for particular targets, complete understanding of the mechanism of action is key. Identification of the L-rednose biosynthetic pathway and investigation of mechanism of action of MTM was pursued through four specific aims.

1.7.1 Specific Aim 1: Identification of the *Streptomyces* sp. KY 40-1 Gene Cluster Involved in the Biosynthesis of Saquayamycins H and I (*sqn*)

Whole genome sequencing of *Streptomyces* sp. KY 40-1 along with primer walking to fill sequence gaps provided contigs and a comparative genomic approach was taken to identify the contigs containing the *sqn* gene cluster. The gene cluster provides the DNA sequences necessary to express the L-rednose (109 A) deoxysugar biosynthetic enzymes as well as those for L-aculose (103 A), D-olivose (121), L-rhodinose (124), and
L-cinerulose (123) all of which are attractive for future combinatorial biosynthetic manipulations of saccharide chain containing drugs.

1.7.2 Specific aim 2: Validation of the Proposed L-rednose Biosynthetic Pathway Up to the Glycosyl Transfer Through Enzymatic Synthesis of TDP-3,6-dideoxy-L-idosamine

Genes for proposed L-rednose biosynthetic enzymes were cloned and heterologously expressed in *E. coli*. Following expression and purification, enzymes were combined in a one pot reaction. Enzymatic synthesis of TDP-3,6-dideoxy-L-idosamine (132) would provide confirmation of the L-rednose biosynthetic pathway. Additionally, each step would generate TDP-amino sugars that could be used to alter glycosylation patterns in mithramycin and other compounds to increase drug-target interaction or in aminoglycosides to circumvent resistance mechanisms. Enzymatic synthesis of TDP-glucosamine (128), the first step in the proposed pathway was achieved, however later steps were unsuccessful.

1.8.3 Specific Aim 3: The Investigation of the Dimerization of MTM

Previous studies involving dimerization of MTM (138) were not carried out in physiologically relevant salt conditions and MTM analogues had not been investigated. Therefore, it was necessary to further investigate dimerization of MTM (138) and its analogues. MTM (138) and analogues were titrated into buffer containing divalent metals and physiologically relevant salt concentrations and change in fluorescence was monitored to determine dimerization. Although it was understood that DNA binding by
MTM (138) was dependent upon dimer formation, this study investigated if the MTM dimer formed upon binding, or in the absence of DNA and provided a better understanding of the mechanism of action of MTM (138) which is key in development of the drug as a chemotherapeutic.

1.8.4 Specific Aim 4: The Investigation of MTM’s DNA Binding Preferences

Interest in MTM (138) has been renewed following a discovery of MTM (138) as a potent inhibitor of the driver of Ewing sarcoma, the oncogenic transcription factor EWS-FLI1. It is unclear how MTM (138) inhibits EWS-FLI1 since MTM (138) binds G/C rich DNA while EWS-FLI1 prefers GGAA microsatellites. Thus, it was necessary to further probe MTM’s DNA binding preferences. MTM (138) and MTM analogues with 3-chain modifications were titrated into buffer containing physiologically relevant divalent metal and salt concentrations with DNA oligos of various sequence and confirmation and the change in fluorescence was monitored. This work identified whether modification of the MTM 3-side chain impacts DNA binding affinity, established a minimum MTM binding site on DNA, and determined if MTM (138) recognized DNA based on sequence or confirmation. These findings laid the foundation for future research based on the interaction between MTM (138), DNA, and EWS-FLI1 toward development of MTM analogues for the treatment of Ewing sarcoma.

2. IDENTIFICATION OF THE L-REDNOSE BIOSYNTHETIC PATHWAY IN SAQUAYAMYCINS H AND I

2.1 Introduction
Saquayamycins (SQN) are type II polyketide-derived angucycline antibiotics first discovered in 1985.\textsuperscript{43} Fifteen SQN have been reported thus far including SQN A-K (102-112)\textsuperscript{43, 44} and Z (113)\textsuperscript{45} and three analogues (SQN A1 (114), SQN B1 (115), SQN C1 (116)) generated by partial acid hydrolysis of SQN A-C (102-104) \textsuperscript{43} (figure 18). SQN contain the same aquayamycin aglycone and two saccharide chains (except for SQN A1-C1 (114-116) whose second saccharide chain is hydrolyzed) with C-glycosidic D-olivose attached at C-9 and O-glycosidic L-rhodinose attached at C-3 of the chromophore. Glycosylation patterns vary from there containing combinations of L-cinerulose, L-aculose, L-rhodinose, L-rednose, L-olivose, and in the case of SQN Z, L-oliose and 4-keto-L-aculose. SQN Z (113) is the largest with both a pentasaccharide and tetrasaccharide chain. Excluding SQN A1-C1, SQN G (108) is the smallest with one disaccharide and one monosaccharide chain. The remaining SQN all bear two disaccharide chains. In addition to antibiotic activity, SQN are also anti-cancer agents. SQN A-D (102-105) isolated from \textit{Streptomyces nodosus} MHI90-16F3 exhibited a cytostatic effect against P388 leukemia cells.\textsuperscript{43} SQN E (106) and F (107) produced by \textit{Actinomyces} strain MK290-AF1 are farnesyltransferase inhibitors,\textsuperscript{46} which impede function of the cell signaling protein Ras whose overactive pathway is typical of cancer.\textsuperscript{47} Saquayamycin Z (113) was isolated from \textit{Micromonospora} sp. strain Tü6368 and displayed cytostatic effects against gastric epithelial HM02 and liver hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells.\textsuperscript{45} SQN G-K (108-112) produced by \textit{Streptomyces} KY40-1 are cytotoxic, active against human prostate cancer PC3 cells and non-small cell lung cancer H460 cell lines.\textsuperscript{44} SQN B (103) is the major product of \textit{S.} KY40-1 and exhibited among the highest activity in both cell lines.\textsuperscript{44} This is typical of the major product since
organisms evolve to produce the most potent compounds in the largest scale. The organism’s goal is to produce efficacious antibiotics to outcompete other bacteria in the same microbiota. It is not evolutionarily advantageous to spend resources to make large quantities of ineffective metabolites. Interestingly, SQN H (109) showed slightly higher activity than SQN B (103) against H460 cells.\textsuperscript{44} SQN H (109) and B (108) differ only in the second sugar of the C-3 disaccharide chain, L-rednose vs. L-aculose respectively, indicating the amino group located at β-position of the L-aculose moiety impacts activity.\textsuperscript{44}

Figure 18. Chemical structures of the saquayamycins

Of particular interest are SQN H (109) and I (110) due to their extremely rare amino sugar moiety rednose, which was previously only reported once, in an
anthracycline rudolfomycin.\textsuperscript{43} SQN H (109) and I (110) differ in the second sugar in both disaccharides where saquayamycin H (109) has L-rednose O-glycosidically linked to L-rhodinose and L-cinerulose O-glycosidically linked to D-olivose and saquayamycin I (110) contains O-glycosidic L-aculose attached to L-rhodinose and O-glycosidic L-rednose attached to D-olivose.

Glycodiversification through the incorporation of amino sugars is one important strategy to modulate bioactivity and solubility of glycosylated natural products. Many natural products rely on amino sugars for their bioactivity. Primarily, the broad-spectrum aminoglycoside antibiotics which inhibit protein synthesis by binding to the bacterial 30S ribosomal subunit causing misreading of t-RNA. Aminoglycosides rely on the biosynthesis of diverse amino sugars and specialized glycosyltransferases for their attachment. An important resistance mechanism for bacteria is the use of modifying enzymes, which acetylate, adenylate, or phosphorylate aminoglycosides preventing ribosomal binding. Incorporation of L-rednose (109 A), which lacks the 3-OH or 3-NH\textsubscript{2} handle typically recognized by modifying enzymes, would potentially circumvent aminoglycoside inactivation. Amino sugars are also key components of macrolides such as erythromycin which rely on D-desosamine to bind the bacterial 50S ribosomal subunit to block tRNA and inhibit protein translation.\textsuperscript{48, 49} Widely used anthracycline anticancer agents doxorubicin and daunorubicin both contain the amino sugar L-daunosamine which plays a role in DNA binding.\textsuperscript{50, 51} These compounds intercalate DNA inhibiting topoisomerase II function disrupting DNA and RNA synthesis.\textsuperscript{52, 53} The incorporation of L-rednose (109 A) into aminoglycosides or polyketides such as mithramycin for example, may modulate bioactivity and increase the interaction between the drug and its target.
Access to the gene cluster encoding biosynthetic enzymes for L-rednose (109 A) and understanding of the biosynthetic pathway will add to the toolbox for glycodiversification. Enzymatic synthesis of key intermediates will not only validate the L-rednose pathway but generate novel TDP-amino sugars to be used in artificial pathways to generate novel compounds.

2.2 Results and Discussion

2.2.1 Identification of the Saquayamycin Biosynthetic Gene Cluster

This section was reproduced in part with permission from ACS Chemical Biology, submitted for publication. Unpublished work copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.

To identify which contig the sqn cluster was located on, all reads were initially mapped to the *Streptomyces* sp. SCC 2136 Sch 47554/ Sch 47555 (*sch*) gene cluster. Sch 47554 (119) and Sch 47555 (120) share the same chromophore as SQN produced by *Streptomyces* sp. KY40-1 and BLAST analysis revealed high similarity between sqn and sch minimal PKS genes (79-90%). However, the carbohydrate residues differ from SQN which have C-glycosidic D-olivose attached to C-9 and O-glycosidic L-rhodinose attached to C-3 while Sch bear O-glycosidic L-aculose attached to C-9 and C-glycosidic D-amicetose attached to C-3 (figure 19). Following the publication of the *Streptomyces lusitanus* SC3IOLR32 grincamycin (*gcn*) gene cluster, sqn contigs were mapped to the gcn cluster. Grincamycin (117) and SQN share the same aquayamycin aglycone and O-glycosidic L-rhodinose attached to C-3 exhibiting a stronger structural similarity than between SQN and Sch (figure 19). Heterologous expression of the gcn gene cluster in
*Streptomyces coelicolor* M512 yielded P-1894B (118), containing L-aculose in place of L-cinerulose as the last sugar in the trisaccharide chain as well as the disaccharide chain.\textsuperscript{55} It was shown that GcnQ, a FAD/FMN containing dehydrogenase in the *gcn* cluster can convert L-rhodinose to L-aculose.\textsuperscript{55} Taking both grincamycin (117) and P-1894B (118), all of the sugars in SQN A-B (102-103), and G-K (108-112) are found (D-olivose, L-rhodinose, L-cinerulose, and L-aculose) except for L-rednose (figure 19). It was expected that comparison of the deoxysugar genes in both clusters would reveal the unique genes in *S. KY40-1* that could be responsible for biosynthesis of rednose. The N-terminal border region of the *sqn* gene cluster was determined by the location of a primary metabolism gene (lactate transporter) upstream of *sqnZ* indicating *sqnZ* as the beginning of the *sqn* cluster. Downstream of *sqnY* lies a 1.5 kb non-coding region of DNA, indicative of the C-terminal border region of the *sqn* cluster, followed by an unknown protein and an ABC transporter. To confirm this as a non-coding region and not a sequencing error, this region was PCR amplified and sequenced again which resulted in a 100% sequence identity to the genomic sequencing data.
Figure 19. Chemical structures of saquayamycins A, B and G-K, grincamycin, P-1894B, Sch 42554, and Sch 47555.

The *Streptomyces* sp. KY40-1 sqn biosynthetic gene cluster spans 44.7 kb and consists of 40 open reading frames (figure 20). The gene cluster encodes the minimal PKS (SqnlHIJ), 2 cyclases (SqnBBL), a ketoreductase (SqnK), and a 4’-phosphopantetheinyl transferase (SqnCC) responsible for polyketide biosynthesis. Also found in the cluster were seven oxidoreductases (SqnACFMTUW) and a decarboxylase (SqnP) for post modifications, eight proteins involved in deoxysugar biosynthesis (SqnS1-S8), three glycosyltransferases for sugar attachment (SqnG1-G3), a dehydrogenase (SqnQ) for post-sugar modification, three regulatory proteins (SqnDRV), four transporters (SqnZBNX), and six proteins with unknown functions.
Interestingly, the sqn gene cluster lacks an aminotransferase that we would expect to see for the biosynthesis of the amino sugar rednose.

![Figure 20. Saquayamycin biosynthetic gene cluster](image)

**Table 1. Proposed functions for ORFs in sqn gene cluster**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORF</th>
<th>Putative Function</th>
<th>Protein Homologue, Strain</th>
<th>% Identity</th>
<th>Accession #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SqnZ</td>
<td>major facilitator superfamily transporter, efflux</td>
<td><em>Streptomyces olivochromogenes</em></td>
<td>88</td>
<td>KUN37937.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnAA</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td><em>Streptomyces sp. LaPpAH-108</em></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>WP_018546489.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnA</td>
<td>putative oxidoreductase</td>
<td>GcnA, <em>Streptomyces lasitanus</em></td>
<td>86</td>
<td>AGO50604.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnB</td>
<td>major facilitator superfamily transporter, efflux</td>
<td>GcnB, <em>Streptomyces lasitanus</em></td>
<td>84</td>
<td>AGO50605.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnC</td>
<td>NADPH-dependent FMN reductase</td>
<td>UrdO, <em>Streptomyces fradiae</em></td>
<td>62</td>
<td>WP_059130309.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnD</td>
<td>TetR family transcriptional regulator</td>
<td>GcnD, <em>Streptomyces lasitanus</em></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>AGO50607.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnE</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>SaqP <em>Micromonospora sp. Tu 6368</em></td>
<td>46</td>
<td>ACP19350.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnF</td>
<td>oxidoreductase</td>
<td>UrdE, Streptomyces fradiae</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>CAA60567.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnBB</td>
<td>cyclase</td>
<td>UrdF, Streptomyces fradiae</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>CAA60568.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnH</td>
<td>ketoacylsynthase alpha subunit</td>
<td>UrdA, Streptomyces fradiae</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>CAA60569.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnI</td>
<td>ketoacyl synthase CLF</td>
<td>UrdB, Streptomyces fradiae</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>CAA60570.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnJ</td>
<td>acyl carrier protein</td>
<td>UrdC, Streptomyces fradiae</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>CAA60571.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnK</td>
<td>ketoreductase</td>
<td>UrdD, Streptomyces fradiae</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>CAA60572.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnL</td>
<td>cyclase</td>
<td>UrdL, Streptomyces fradiae</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>AAF00205.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnM</td>
<td>oxygenase-reductase</td>
<td>UrdM, Streptomyces fradiae</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>AFU51427.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnN</td>
<td>major facilitator superfamily transporter, efflux</td>
<td>UrdJ2, Streptomyces fradiae</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>AAF00207.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnG1</td>
<td>glycosyltransferase</td>
<td>SaqGT2, Micromonospora sp. Tu 6368</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>ACP19363.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnG2</td>
<td>glycosyltransferase</td>
<td>SaqGT4, Micromonospora sp. Tu 6368</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>ACP19365.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnS1</td>
<td>NDP-hexose 3,5-epimerase</td>
<td>UrdZ1, Streptomyces fradiae</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>AAF00208.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnG3</td>
<td>glycosyltransferase</td>
<td>UrdGT2, Streptomyces fradiae</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>AAF00209.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnS2</td>
<td>glucose-1-phosphate thymidylyltransferase</td>
<td>UrdG, Streptomyces fradiae</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>AAF00210.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnS3</td>
<td>NDP-glucose 4, 6-dehydratase</td>
<td>UrdH, Streptomyces fradiae</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>AAF00211.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnS4</td>
<td>NDP-hexose 4-ketoreductase</td>
<td>UrdZ3, Streptomyces fradiae</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>AAF72549.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnS5</td>
<td>NDP-hexose 3,4-dehydratase</td>
<td>UrdQ, Streptomyces fradiae</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>AAF72550.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnS6</td>
<td>NDP-hexose 4-ketoreductase</td>
<td>UrdR, Streptomyces fradiae</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>AAF72551.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnO</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td>GcnO, Streptomyces lusitanus</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>AGO50626.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnS7</td>
<td>NDP-hexose 2,3-dehydratase</td>
<td>UrdS, Streptomyces fradiae</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>AAF72552.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnS8</td>
<td>NDP-hexose 3-ketoreductase</td>
<td>SaqT Micromonospora sp. Tu 6368</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>ACP19378.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnCC</td>
<td>4'-phosphopantetheinytransferase</td>
<td>SprT, Streptomyces sp. TK08046</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>BAV17018.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnP</td>
<td>methylmalonyl-CoA decarboxylase</td>
<td>Streptomyces nodosus</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>WP_043444110.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnDD</td>
<td>hypothetical Protein</td>
<td>Micromonospora sp. Tu 6368</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>ACP19381.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnQ</td>
<td>FAD/FMN-containing dehydrogenase</td>
<td>AknOx, Streptomyces galilaeus ATCC 31615</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>BAB72054.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnEE</td>
<td>hypothetical Protein</td>
<td>Streptomyces nodosus</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>WP_043449810.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnR</td>
<td>OmpR family transcriptional regulator</td>
<td>GcnR, Streptomyces lusitanus</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>AGO50631.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnT</td>
<td>2-oxoacid: ferredoxin oxidoreductase subunit alpha</td>
<td>GcnT, Streptomyces lusitanus</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>AGO50632.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnU</td>
<td>2-oxoacid: ferredoxin oxidoreductase subunit beta</td>
<td>GcnU, Streptomyces lusitanus</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>AGO50633.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnV</td>
<td>HxIR family transcriptional regulator</td>
<td>Streptomyces sp. TP-A0356</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>WP_055493122.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqnW</td>
<td>NAD(P)-dependent oxidoreductase</td>
<td>Streptomyces sp. TP-A0356</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>WP_055493121.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Database comparison of the deduced deoxysugar biosynthetic proteins are shown in table 1. All but one (SqnS8), of the deduced deoxysugar biosynthetic proteins showed highest similarity with homologs from the urdamycin producer *Streptomyces fradiae*.\(^5\) SqnS1, a 164-amino acid (aa) protein, revealed highest similarity to UrdZ1 (accession number AAF00208.1), a NDP-hexose 3,5-epimerase homolog (67% identical aa).\(^5\) The deduced 355 amino acid sequence of SqnS2 should yield a 37.192 Da MW protein with highest homology (80% identical aa) to UrdG (accession number AAF00210.1), a glucose synthetase homolog. SqnS3, a 327-aa protein with 36.369 kDa MW shows highest similarity to UrdH (accession number AAF00211.1), a NDP-hexose-4,6-dehydratase homolog (87% identical aa). SqnS4, a 317-amino acid (aa) protein (32525.16 kDa MW), has closest similarity to UrdZ3 (accession number: AAF72549.1), a NDP-hexose 4-ketoreductase homolog (67% identical aa). The deduced protein of SqnS5 has 434 aa (molecular weight 48.104 kDa) and shows highest similarity to UrdQ (accession number AAF72550.1), a NDP-hexose 3,4-dehydratase homolog (91% identical aa). SqnS6 encodes a 254-aa protein with 27.296 kDa molecular weight most resembling UrdR (accession number AAF72551.1), a NDP-hexose 4-ketoreductase homolog (82% identical aa). The SqnS7 reading frame should yield a 466-aa protein of 51.995 kDa molecular weight and shows highest homology (81% identical aa) to UrdS, a NDP-hexose 2,3-dehydratase homolog. The deduced protein of 318 aa and 33.820 kDa molecular weight, SqnS8, exhibits highest homology to SaqT (accession number

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SqnX</th>
<th>transporter</th>
<th>RarD, <em>Streptomyces sp. 142MFCol3.1</em></th>
<th>88</th>
<th>WP_051371622.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SqnY</td>
<td>hypothetical protein</td>
<td><em>Streptomyces avermitilis</em></td>
<td>72</td>
<td>WP_030379785.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ACP19378.1), a NDP-hexose 3-ketoreductase homolog from the saquayamycin Z producer Micromonospora sp. Tu 6368 (67% identical aa).\textsuperscript{58} Downstream from SqnS8 lies an open reading frame that should yield a 542-aa protein with a molecular weight of 58.745 kDa with highest homology (56% identical aa) to AknOx (accession number BAB72054.1), a FAD/FMN-containing dehydrogenase homolog from the aclacinomycin producer Streptomyces galilaeus.\textsuperscript{59}

2.2.2 Derivation of Hypothetic L-rednose Biosynthetic Pathway

Comparison of putative proteins encoded by the sqn cluster involved in biosynthesis of deoxysugar moieties other than L-rednose (109 A) should reveal any unique enzymes that could be responsible for L-rednose biosynthesis. Based on the sequencing data, the biosynthetic pathways from glucose 1-phosphate (11) to NDP-D-olivose (121), NDP-L-cinerulose (123), NDP-L-rhodinose (124) and NDP-L-aculose (103 A) together should comprise nine steps including NDP-D-glucose synthesis\textsuperscript{60} (SqnS2), a 4,6-dehydratation\textsuperscript{61} (SqnS3), a 2,3-dehydratation (SqnS7) and a 3-ketoreduction (SqnS8)\textsuperscript{10} to generate NDP-4-keto-2,6-dideoxy-D-glucose (23). The remaining steps diverge with a 4-ketoreduction (SqnS6) to yield NDP-D-olivose (121), or a 3-deoxygenation (SqnS5), and 5-epimerization (SqnS1) to generate NDP-L-cinerulose (123). A subsequent 4-ketoreduction (SqnS4) of NDP-L-cinerulose (123) generates NDP-L-rhodinose (124) followed by an oxidation (SqnQ) to prepare L-aculose (103 A). Based on the reaction catalyzed by the SqnQ homolog, AknOx from the aclacinomycin gene cluster where the final sugar oxidation from rhodinose to aculose is carried out after rhodinose is transferred to the acceptor,\textsuperscript{59} we propose that NDP-L-rhodinose (124) is
transferred by a glycosyltransferase preceding the C-4 oxidation and subsequent introduction of a double bond between C-2 and C-3 to yield the final L-aculose (103 A) (figure 21). Together, these biosynthetic pathways require all the deoxysugar biosynthetic proteins encoded by the *sqn* gene cluster and do not reveal any enzymes unique to L-rednose biosynthesis. Additionally, the *sqn* gene cluster lacks an aminotransferase that we would expect to see for the biosynthesis of the amino sugar rednose. These findings led to the proposal of a unique biosynthetic pathway beginning with glucosamine 6-phosphate (126) in contrast with the typical glucose 6-phosphate (10) and suggests that the Sqn deoxysugar biosynthetic enzymes are permissive enough to accept both glucose and glucosamine derived substrates.
Figure 21. Biosynthetic pathway to NDP-D-olivose, NDP-L-cinerulose, NDP-L-rhodinose, and NDP-L-aculose in S. KY 40-1.

The proposed L-rednose biosynthetic pathway comprises seven steps from glucosamine 1-phosphate (127) to L-rednose (109 A) (figure 22). Generation of the precursor is based on the N-acetyl-D-glucosamine biosynthetic pathway required for peptidoglycan and lipopolysaccharides in bacterial cell wall biosynthesis where glutamine-fructose-6-phosphate transaminase carries out both an isomerization and transamination to generate D-glucosamine 6-phosphate (126) followed by an isomerization by phosphoglucomamine mutase to yield D-glucosamine 1-phosphate (127). It is also possible that the precursor is derived from N-acetyl-D-glucosamine 1-
phosphate but would require a deacetylation step en route to L-rednose (109 A). Since there is not a deacetylase in the sqn cluster, it would require a general deacetylase encoded elsewhere in the chromosome. Another possibility is that the amine comes from a transaminase outside of the gene cluster, but this would require a C-2 oxidation to generate the ketone necessary for the transamination. The gene cluster lacks a 2-oxidoreductase as well, so this hypothesis seems less likely.

Based on sequencing data, the first five secondary metabolism steps are analogous to rhodinose biosynthesis in the urdamycin (urd) biosynthetic pathway albeit replacing the glucose substrate with its glucosamine counterpart. First, D-glucosamine 1-phosphate (127) is activated by glucosamine/glucose synthase (SqnS2) to become NDP-D-glucosamine (128). Second, an NAD-dependent 4,6-dehydratase (SqnS3) catalyzes C-6 deoxygenation and C-4 oxidation to yield NDP-4-keto-6-deoxy-D-glucosamine (129) analogous to the common intermediate NDP-4-keto-6-deoxy-D-glucose (18) in typical deoxyhexose biosynthesis. Subsequently, a 3-deoxygenation is carried out by 3,6-dehydratase (SqnS5) to yield NDP-4-keto-3,6-dideoxy-D-glucosamine (130). An epimerization by a 5-epimerase (SqnS1) follows, resulting in NDP-4-keto-3,6-dideoxy-L-mannosamine (131). Due to the high homology between SqnS5 and UrdQ (91% identical aa), and between SqnS1 and UrdZ3 (68% identical aa) it is likely that the 3-deoxygenation precedes epimerization as in the urdamycin biosynthetic pathway. The production of urdamycin M (134) containing both D-rhodinose and L-rhodinose moieties by a urdR (4-ketoreductase) mutant, clearly demonstrates that the epimerization follows the 3-deoxygenation (Figure 5). If the epimerization occurred prior to 3-deoxygenation,
only L-rhodinose would be generated. Next, a reduction of the C-4 ketone is catalyzed by a ketoreductase (SqnS4) to generate NDP-3,6-dideoxy-L-idosamine (132). Finally, we propose that NDP-3,6-dideoxy-L-idosamine (132) is transferred by a glycosyltransferase prior to the oxidation of C-4 and subsequent introduction of a double bond between C-2 and C-3 by the dehydrogenase SqnQ to yield the final L-rednose (109 A) (figure 22).

Figure 22. Proposed L-rednose biosynthetic pathway
2.2.3 Enzymatic Synthesis of NDP-3,6-dideoxy-L-idosamine

To validate the proposed L-rednose (109 A) biosynthetic pathway up to the glycosyl transfer, the generation of NDP-3,6-dideoxy-L-idosamine (132), a mix-and-match method was employed. Previous constructs included those for *rfbA* encoding a thymidyltransferase SqnS2 homolog (30% identical aa) and *rmlB*, encoding a TDP-D-glucose-4,6 dehydratase SqnS3 homolog (42.4% identical aa) from *Salmonella typhimurium*. Additional deoxysugar enzymes including SqnS1, and SqnS4-8 were heterologously expressed in *E. coli*, and purified through immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC) (figure 24). All except for SqnS4 and SqnS6 resulted in soluble protein after expression in *E. coli* using a N-terminal 6x polyhistidine (His6) tag and subsequent purification, and the sizes observed on the sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) were in good agreement with calculated values (figure 24). SqnS4 expression was negligible and SqnS6 was largely insoluble. While expression of all the Sqn deoxysugar enzymes would provide valuable tools for genetic engineering, the priority was the expression of those predicted in L-rednose biosynthesis. So, it was critical to have SqnS4 in the arsenal. To increase expression of
SqnS4, isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) concentration and induction temperature were varied as well as expression with a C-terminal His6 tag attempted. However, the expression level did not improve. Following the rationale that the RNA polymerase in *E. coli* may have difficulty reading codons from *Streptomyces*, SqnS4 was then codon optimized for expression in *E. coli*. This greatly improved expression of SqnS4, however it was completely insoluble (figure 24). This is likely due to improper protein folding. The next step would be to try heterologous expression in *S. lividans* to increase solubility of SqnS4. As it is expected that the 4-ketoreduction is the final step before glycosyl transfer, it was possible to continue forward with the earlier steps in L-rednose (109 A) biosynthesis.
Figure 24. SDS PAGE analyses of the purified proteins. A: Lanes 1-3, MT FprA (49.3 kDa); Lanes 5-6 MT FdX (11.8), B: Lane 1 RfbA (32.7 kDa), Lane 3 RmlB (40.7 kDa); C: Lane 1 ACK (43.3 kDa), Lane 3 TMK (23.8 kDa), D: Lanes 1,3 SqnS1 (18.6 kDa), Lanes 5,6 SqnS7 (53 kDa), E: SqnS4 (33.5 kDa), F: SqnS5 (49.1 kDa), G: Lanes 1-4 SqnS6 (28.3 kDa), Lanes 6-9 SqnS8 (34.8 kDa). Abbreviations: Non-induced (NI), Soluble portion (SP), Cell Pellet (CP).
All but one (SqnS4) of the biosynthetic enzymes were in hand including SqnS2 homolog RfbA and SqnS3 homolog RmlB. These homologs represent well studied enzymes thymidyltransferases and 4,6-dehydratases and it was expected that together they should generate TDP-4-keto-6-deoxy-D-glucosamine (18). TDP (137) is the most common NDP used to activate deoxysugars, and high homology of Sqn enzymes to Urd, deoxysugar enzymes that recognize TDP (137), is an indication that Sqn enzymes likely use TDP (137). In order to be cost effective, thymidine-triphosphate (TTP) (138) was generated in situ using a system previously devised where thymidine monophosphate kinase (TMK) converts ATP to ADP to phosphorylate TMP (136) and generate TDP (137). Acetate kinase (ACK) further phosphorylates TDP (137) to TTP (138) and regenerates ATP using a phosphate from the conversion of acetyl phosphate to acetic acid (Figure 25). RmlB, RfbA, TMK, and ACK were expressed in \textit{E. coli} and purified as previously reported \textsuperscript{64} (Figure 24). Considering that TDP (137) can serve as an inhibitor for the downstream pathway enzymes, overall TDP-3,6-dideoxy-L-idosamine biosynthesis (132) was divided into two stages in the same pot. In the first stage, TMP (136), glucosamine 1-phosphate (127), and ATP were incubated with ACK, TMK, RfbA and RmlB. For the second stage, ACK, TMK, RfbA, and RmlB were removed and SqnS5 and SqnS1 along with NADPH and PMP were added. SqnS5 is a PMP dependent 3,4-dehydratase with a [2S·2Fe] center that must be continually regenerated in order to reduce the $\Delta$\textsuperscript{3,4}-glucoseen intermediate (27) to generate TDP-4-keto-3,6-dideoxy-D-glucosamine (130). This requires a second enzyme, either a reductase that has evolved to be specific for the dehydratase or a general cellular reductase. There is not a designated reductase for 3-deoxygenation in the \textit{sqn} gene cluster akin to urdamycin\textsuperscript{56}, landomycin\textsuperscript{65},
and spinosyn. A pair of enzymes from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* flavodoxin (Mt Fdx) and flavodoxin reductase (Mt FprA) were added to generate an electron transport chain. Mt Fdx oxidizes NADPH to reduce FAD, to reduce the [2S·2Fe] center in Mt FprA, which in turn reduces the [2S·2Fe] center in the dehydratase SqnS5. MtFdx and Mt FprA were heterologously expressed in *E. coli* and purified using TALON-IMAC (figure 24). The SqnS5 reaction was also carried out in anaerobic conditions in a glovebox using reducing agents in place of Mt Fdx/Mt FprA. After the one-pot enzymatic synthesis, MS data verified the production of only TDP-glucosamine (128) (figure 27). Further conversion of TDP-glucosamine (128) was unsuccessful. To rule out *N*-acetylglucosamine as the precursor, the first two steps of the reaction were also carried out using *N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine 1-phosphate in place of glucosamine 1-phosphate (127). MS data verified the production of only TDP-*N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine (figure 28). The dehydration to TDP-4-keto-6-deoxy-*N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine was unsuccessful (figure 26). Since the biosynthesis did not proceed past the activation of either TDP-glucosamine (128) or TDP-*N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine, it is likely that RmlB, the SqnS3 homolog, is not flexible enough to accept the glucosamine derived substrate. It may be very substrate-specific for TDP-glucose (12). To rule this out, SqnS3 needs to be overexpressed, purified, and used in place of RmlB, however due to funding and time constraints, this was not possible within the scope of this dissertation.
Figure 25. Enzymatic synthesis of TTP

Figure 26. Representative HPLC traces. Panel A. glucosamine-1-phosphate (127), TMP (136), PMP, ATP, NAD\(^+\) and NADPH incubated with ACK, TMK, RfbA, RmlB, SqnS5, Mt Fdx, Mt FprA and SqnS1 under aerobic conditions. Panel B. Reaction mixture from panel A with reducing agents replacing Mt Fdx/Mt FprA for SqnS5 catalysis in anaerobic conditions. Panel C. N-acetylglucosamine-1-phosphate, TMP (136), ATP, and NAD\(^+\) incubated with ACK, TMK, RfbA, RmlB
Figure 27. Representative HR-MS spectrum (negative mode). TDP-D-glucosamine (128), calculated molecular weight 563.09.
2.3 Methods

2.3.1 DNA Sequencing and Analysis:

A sequence was obtained from a pure culture containing only *Streptomyces* sp. KY40-1. This organism was originally isolated from a soil sample collected near Cave Run Lake, Kentucky, USA at the foothills of the Appalachian Mountains. From a glycerol stock, *Streptomyces* sp. KY40-1 was cultivated on M2-agar plates at 28 °C for 7 days. From the plate, a piece of agar was excised and added to a 250 mL Erlenmeyer flask containing 100 mL of SG medium and was grown at 28 °C (250 rpm) for 48 hours. The mycelium was pelleted and *Streptomyces* sp. KY40-1 genomic DNA was isolated using MOBIO Ultraclean microbial DNA isolation kit (bioMérieux, France). The genome
was sequenced by Advanced Genetic Technologies Center (Lexington, KY, USA; Illumina Miseq platform) and the processed reads were assembled using Newbler (GS De Novo Assembler v 2.8, Roche 454 Life Sciences). Sequence gaps were filled using Universal GenomeWalker 2.0 kit (Clontech/Takara Bio, Mountain View, CA) and subsequent sequencing by ACGT, Inc (Wheeling, IL, USA). Geneious v.6.1.5 (Biomatters) was used for pairwise alignment, assembly of contigs, and gene annotation. Assembly was achieved through a series of de novo assembly of contigs until a single, final contig resulted. Open reading frames were assigned with the aid of protein prediction features in Geneious and the location of ribosomal binding sites. The open reading frames were translated into amino acid sequences, and using the bioinformatic tool BLASTp, putative gene functions were assigned. The sequence of the saquayamycin (sqn) cluster has been deposited in GenBank (accession: KX356541).

**Preparation of *Streptomyces fradiae* Genomic DNA**

From a glycerol stock, *Streptomyces fradiae* was cultivated on M2-agar plates at 28 °C for 7 days. From the plate, a piece of agar was excised and added to a 250 mL Erlenmeyer flask containing 100 mL of SG medium and was grown at 28 °C (250 rpm) for 48 hours. The mycelium was pelleted and *Streptomyces fradiae* genomic DNA was isolated using MOBIO Ultraclean microbial DNA isolation kit (bioMérieux, France).
2.3.2 Cloning of SqnS1, SqnS4-8, and UrdR

_sqnS1, sqnS4-S8_ were PCR amplified from _Streptomyces_ sp. KY40-1. genomic DNA and _urdZ3_ from _Streptomyces fradiae_ genomic DNA using primers listed in table 2 and Q5 Hot Start High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England BioLabs). Thermal cycle conditions consisted of 1 denaturation cycle at 98 °C for 30 s; 30 amplification cycles of incubation at 98 °C for 10 s and 72 °C for 30 s/500 bp and then 1 extension cycle at 72 °C for 2 min and finally a hold at 4 °C after the cycles completed. PCR products were purified using GeneJET Gel Extraction and DNA Cleanup Micro Kit (Thermo Scientific). Each gene and vector were individually dually digested with restriction enzymes for 1 hour at 37 °C and dephosphorylated by Shrimp Alkaline Phosphatase (New England BioLabs) for 30 minutes at 37 °C. All but SqnS1, S4, and UrdZ3 were cloned into and pET28a(+) vector with _NdeI_ and _HindIII_. SqnS1 was cloned into and pET28a(+) vector using _NdeI_ and _XhoI_. SqnS4 was cloned into pET28a(+) vector with _EcoRI_ and _HindIII_ and into pET22b(+) with _EcoRI_ and _XhoI_. UrdZ3 was cloned into pET28a(+) vector with _EcoRI_ and _HindIII_ and subcloned into pET22b(+) in the same sites. Each gene fragment and vector was purified using Thermo Scientific GeneJET Gel Extraction and DNA Cleanup Micro Kit. Concentrations of each gene insert and linearized vector were determined using a Thermo Scientific NanoDrop 2000. Gene inserts and corresponding linearized vector were ligated in an insert:vector ratio of 5:1 using T4 DNA ligase (New England BioLabs) and incubated overnight at 16 °C. Each ligation reaction was then transformed into NEB 5-α chemically competent cells by heat shocking the cells combined with 1 µL from the ligation reaction for 30 seconds at 42 °C. The cells were incubated at 37 °C for 1 hour in 200 µL LB (Lysogeny Broth) to
allow the cells to recover from heat shock, and then plated on LB agar plates supplemented with appropriate antibiotic for resistance selection. For pET28a(+) constructs, 50 µg/mL kanamycin was used, and for pET28a(+) constructs, 100 µg/mL ampicillin was used. Each plate was incubated overnight at 37 °C and several of the resulting colonies were selected and grown overnight in a 10 mL culture tube with 3 mL LB liquid media supplemented with appropriate antibiotic. Plasmid was recovered from each of the 3 mL overnight cultures using Thermo Scientific GeneJET Plasmid Miniprep Kit. Each gene was PCR amplified from the plasmid to confirm the insert. The plasmids were sequenced (to ensure fidelity) by ACGT, Inc (Wheeling, IL, USA) using their stock T7 promoter and T7 terminator sequencing primers and high GC content DNA sequencing protocol.

Table 2. Primers used to PCR amplify sqnS1, S4-S8, urdZ3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer Sequence (5’- 3’)</th>
<th>Restriction Enzyme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sqnS1</td>
<td>F: GGGAAAACATATGCGCAGTGACGCGCTGGA</td>
<td>NdeI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: GGGAAAACCTCGAGTCACGAGGTGCCTGCCTTGTCC</td>
<td>XhoI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sqnS4</td>
<td>F: TTTGGGGAAATTCGTGCACCTGATCGCCCGC ACTG</td>
<td>EcoRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: GGGTTTAAGCTTTCAATGCTGTA CCCCCTTCCTCGGC</td>
<td>HindIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sqnS4</td>
<td>F: AAAGGGGAATTCGTGCACCTGATCGGCCCGCA</td>
<td>EcoRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: GGGAAAACCTCGAGTGCTGTA CCCCCTTCCTCGGC</td>
<td>XhoI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sqnS5</td>
<td>F: GGGAAAA<em><strong>CATATG</strong></em>AGCGACCGCAAGGACCGGATCCTG</td>
<td>NdeI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: AAAGGGGAA<em><strong>GCA</strong></em>TTTCAGCGCGCGCGGACGACG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sqnS6</th>
<th>F: GGGAAAA<em><strong>CATATG</strong></em>GACATTGTGGGAAACGGTTTCCTGGC</th>
<th>NdeI</th>
<th>HindIII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: AAAGGGGAA<em><strong>GCA</strong></em>TTTCAGCGCGCGCGGACGACG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sqnS7</th>
<th>F: GGGAAAA<em><strong>CATATG</strong></em>GACATTGTGGGAAACGGTTTCCTGGC</th>
<th>NdeI</th>
<th>HindIII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: AAAGGGGAA<em><strong>GCA</strong></em>TTTCAGCGCGCGCGGACGACG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sqnS8</th>
<th>F: GGGAAAA<em><strong>CATATG</strong></em>GACATTGTGGGAAACGGTTTCCTGGC</th>
<th>NdeI</th>
<th>HindIII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: AAAGGGGAA<em><strong>GCA</strong></em>TTTCAGCGCGCGCGGACGACG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>urdZ3</th>
<th>F: GGGAAAA<em><strong>GAATTC</strong></em>ATGGTCGTCCTGGGAAGCGG</th>
<th>EcoRI</th>
<th>HindIII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: TTTCCCA<em><strong>GCTT</strong></em>TCACTTCCTTTTCGTCAACCGCGC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>urdZ31</th>
<th>F: GGGAAAA<em><strong>GAATTC</strong></em>ATGGTCGTCCTGGGAAGCGG</th>
<th>EcoRI</th>
<th>HindIII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: TTTCCCA<em><strong>GCTT</strong></em>TCACTTCCTTTTCGTCAACCGCGC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 for cloning into pET22b(+), restriction sites in bold

### 2.3.3 Expression and Purification of Enzymes

sqnS1, S4-S8, urdZ3, rfba, rmlB, mt fdx, mt fdR genes were expressed using pET expression constructs in E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells with a His6 tag. Constructs for Mt Fdx
and Mt FdR were gifted from Dr. Steven Van Lanen, University of Kentucky. From LB plates with appropriate antibiotic (50 µg/mL kanamycin for pET28a(+)) or 100 µg/mL ampicillin for pET22b(+) single colonies were transferred to a 10 mL culture tube with 3 mL LB liquid supplemented with appropriate antibiotic and grown at 37 °C and 250 rpm for 8 h. Subsequently, 2 L borosilicate glass baffled flasks with 500 mL terrific broth (TB) liquid supplemented with 50 µg/mL kanamycin/100 µg/mL ampicillin was inoculated with 1 mL of the culture and was grown at 18 °C until OD600 reached to 0.5. Gene expression was induced with isopropyl-β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG, 0.2 mM final concentration) and the culture was incubated at 18 °C for an additional 16 h. The cell pellets were collected by centrifugation (4000 × g, 15 min) and resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris buffer pH 8.0 with 25 mg lysozyme/ 50 mL lysis buffer, 10 % glycerol, and 300 mM NaCl) in a 1 g/5 mL pellet weight:lysis buffer volume ratio. The cells were sonicated and the crude soluble enzyme fractions were collected through centrifugation (16000 × g, 1h). The supernatant was then passed through a 0.45 µm syringe driven multigrade glass fiber filter (Millipore) and incubated with Talon metal affinity resin (Clontech) in 50 mL falcon tubes on a platform shaker at 4 °C for 30 minutes. The protein bound resin was then centrifuged (4000 × g, 5 min) and washed with equilibration buffer (50 mM Tris buffer pH 8.0, 10 % glycerol, and 300 mM NaCl). The resin was then transferred to a 2 mL gravity-flow column and washed with wash buffer (50 mM Tris buffer pH 8.0, 10 % glycerol, and 300 mM NaCl, 30 mM imidazole). The enzymes were then eluted with elution buffer (50 mM Tris buffer pH 8.0, 10 % glycerol, and 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole). The purified proteins were concentrated using an Amicon Ultra centrifugal filter (Millipore Corp.) and stored as
20% glycerol stocks at -20 °C. The concentration of protein was determined by using a Thermo Scientific NanoDrop 2000.

Figure 29. Diagram of SqnS1, S4-S8, and UrdZ3 protein expression and purification.

2.3.4 Enzymatic Reactions

2.3.4.1 Two-Stage One-Pot Enzymatic Synthesis of TDP-4-keto-3,6-dideoxy-L-idosamine in Aerobic Conditions

Overall enzymatic synthesis of TDP-4-keto-3,6-dideoxy-L-idosamine (132) was divided into two stages. For the first stage, a typical assay mixture containing glucosamine 1-phosphate (127) (5.1 mM), TMP (136) (5.0 mM), ATP (5 μM), acetyl phosphate (20 mM), NAD⁺ (5 μM) (ACK (4 μM), TMK (6 μM), RfbA (5 μM), RmlB (10...
μM), phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.5) and MgCl2 (20 mM) was incubated at 37°C for up to 8 hours while monitoring the reaction hourly by HPLC at 254 nm λ using a Waters HPLC system equipped with a photodiode array detector. For each sample injection (50 μL), the enzymes were removed by heating the solution to 100 °C for 5 min, followed by centrifugation (13,000 x G, 5 min), and filtration (Amicon, MW cut-off 3000Da). The enzyme-free solution was analyzed using a Dionex CarboPack PA1 (4 x 250 mm) column. A linear gradient of 5-20% 500 mM ammonium acetate, pH 7.0 (solvent B) in water (solvent A) over 15 min, 20 to 60% B in A over 20 min, 60-100% B in A over 2 min, 100 % B for 3 min, 100-5% B in A over 5 min, and 5% B in A for 15 minutes was employed to separate the assay products. In the second stage, Rfba, RmlB, ACK, and TMK were removed by heating, centrifugation, and filtration. PMP (250 μM), NADPH (4 Mm), SqnS5 (30 μM), SqnS1 (30 μM), Mt Fdx (30 μM) and Mt FprA (30 μM) were then added to the assay mixture and incubation at 28 °C was continued monitoring the reaction hourly up to 5 hours, and then overnight by HPLC. The peaks were collected and analyzed by HR-MS.
2.3.4.2 Three-Stage One-Pot Enzymatic Synthesis of TDP-4-keto-3,6-dideoxy-L-idosamine in Partial Anaerobic Conditions

The reaction from section 2.3.4.1 was also carried out in partial anaerobic conditions at room temperature. All reagents, buffers, and enzymes were degassed immediately prior to transferring to the glovebox. SqnS5 was reconstituted in the glovebox using dithiothreitol (DTT) to a final concentration of 10 mM and incubation for 20 min. Next, 5 molar equivalents (SqnS5 is 1 equivalent) of PMP (250 μM), Fe(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂, and Na₂S were added and allowed to incubate for 15 min. Addition and 15 min incubation of Fe(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂, and Na₂S were repeated 3 times for a total of 4 cycles. Excess reagents were removed using an Econ-Pac 10 DG column (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer’s directions; the sample was diluted to 3 mL using protein storage solution (50 mM Tris buffer pH 8.0, 20 % glycerol, and 300 mM NaCl) and was added the column preequilibrated with protein storage solution. The column was washed
with 4 mL of protein storage solution, collecting the first 3 mL that eluted. The protein was then concentrated to about 10 μL using an Amicon protein filter (MW cutoff of 3 Da) and microcentrifuge in the glovebox. Finally, 20 equivalents of 0.6 mM sodium dithionite were added and incubated for 40 min. To begin the 3-deoxygenation (SqnS5) reaction, reconstituted SqnS5 was added to 100 μL of the assay mixture from stage 1 (Reaction A) with enzymes (Rfba, RmIB, ACK, TMK) removed and incubated in the glovebox for 3 hours. The SqnS5 reaction mixture was removed from the glovebox and SqnS1 and NADPH (4 mM) were added and incubated at 37 °C for three hours followed by HPLC/HR-MS analysis.

Figure 31. Diagram of three-stage one-pot enzymatic synthesis of TDP-4-keto-3,6-dideoxy-L-idosamine, partial anaerobic conditions

2.4 Conclusions
DNA analysis of the *Streptomyces* sp. KY40-1 genome revealed a 44.7 kb gene cluster with 40 open reading frames involved in production of SQN A, B, G-K. Six deoxysugar genes were putatively assigned to L-rednose (109 A) biosynthesis including a nucleotidyltransferase (SqnS2), a 4,6-dehydratase (SqnS3), a 3,4-dehydratase (SqnS5), a 5-epimerase (SqnS1), a 4-ketoreductase (SqnS4), and a dehydrogenase (SqnQ). A biosynthetic pathway was proposed with glucosamine 6-phosphate (128) as the starter unit. The hypothetical pathway proceeds with activation of the sugar by thymidyltransfer followed by C-6 deoxygenation, C-3 deoxygenation, 5-epimerization to convert the sugar from D to L conformation, and a 4-ketoreduction to yield TDP-3,6-dideoxy-L-idosamine (132). It is proposed that TDP-3,6-dideoxy-L-idosamine (132) is transferred to the acceptor and a final oxidation generates L-rednose (109 A). Four of the SQN deoxysugar biosynthetic enzymes (SqnS1, S5, S7-S8) were successfully cloned from *S. sp. KY40-1* genomic DNA and were heterologously expressed in *E. coli* and purified resulting in soluble protein. SqnS4 and SqnS6 remained insoluble. Enzymatic synthesis of TDP-4-keto-3,6-dideoxy-L-idosamine was attempted using a mix-and-match method with SqnS2 homolog RfbA and SqnS3 homolog RmlB, SqnS5, Mt FdX, Mt FprA and SqnS1 in a two stage one-pot reaction aerobically and a three-stage one-pot reaction with reducing agents in place of Mt FdX/Mt FprA for SqnS5 catalysis in anaerobic conditions. Only the first step, thymidyltransfer was successful and generated both TDP-glucosamine (128) and TDP-\(N\)-acetylglucosamine. The future directions should include cloning and expression of SqnS3 in *E. coli* and since codon optimization for expression in *E. coli* was unsuccessful, subcloning SqnS4 into PXY200 and expression in *S. lividans TK24*. With both SqnS3 and S4 in hand, enzymatic synthesis of TDP-3,6-dideoxy-L-idosamine (132)
can be attempted. Together this study revealed valuable deoxysugar biosynthetic tools which can be used to modify artificial pathways, and generated TDP-glucosamine (128) and TDP-$N$-acetylglucosamine building blocks to engineer new glycosylated natural products.

3. INVESTIGATION OF THE MECHANISM OF ACTION FOR MITHRAMYCIN

This chapter has been reproduced with permission from the following publication:
copyright Elsevier publications


3.1 Introduction

After its discovery in 1960, an aureolic acid natural product mithramycin (MTM (138); figure 33) was initially used in the treatment of Paget’s disease$^{67-69}$ and disseminated testicular carcinoma.$^{69}$ Due to toxicity of MTM (138), its more recent clinical use has been limited to treatment of hypercalcemia associated with cancers. Interest in MTM (138) was renewed after it was identified by high-throughput screening of 50,000 compounds and then validated as a potent antagonist of the oncogenic transcription factor EWS-FLI1 (Ewing Sarcoma- Friend Leukemia Integration 1).$^{70}$ EWS-FLI1 is a principal driver of Ewing sarcoma,$^{71}$ a rare devastating bone cancer affecting primarily children and young adults. MTM (138) displayed potent cytotoxic and anti-tumor activities in xenograft models of Ewing sarcoma in mice,$^{70}$ owing to its action
as an EWS-FLI1 inhibitor. On the basis of these findings, MTM (138) entered clinical
trials as a novel Ewing sarcoma chemotherapeutic (National Cancer Institute, clinical trial
NCT01610570) however the study was terminated due to off-target toxicity.

Figure 32. Chemical structures of MTM, its analogues MTM SDK, MTM SA-Trp,
MTM SA-Ala and PreMTM B.

Aureolic acid natural products bind in the minor groove of GC-rich DNA, thereby
disrupting transcription of protooncogenes.\cite{72-80} MTM (138) binding was shown to lead to
structural changes in DNA that were consistent with the widening of the minor groove.\cite{81,82}
MTM (138) and other known aureolic acid compounds, except chromocylomycin,
consist of a tricyclic polyketide-derived aglycone with two oligosaccharide chains at C-2
and C-6 positions of the aglycone and two aliphatic side chains, branching off at C-3 and
C-7 (figure 33). Combinatorial biosynthetic and semisynthetic approaches yielded several
MTM analogues including MTM SDK (139) (for Short side chain, DiKeto)$^{83}$, MTM SK (for Short side chain, Keto), MTM SA (for Short side chain, Acid)$^{84}$, and MTM SA-amino acid residue derivatives (figure 33)$^{85}$. Genetic engineering of the MTM-producing bacterium *Streptomyces argillaceus* to inactivate the gene *mtmW* encoding the enzyme catalyzing the final step of the MTM biosynthetic pathway, the ketoreductase MtmW, yielded derivatives MTM SA, MTM SK$^{84}$, and MTM SDK (139)$^{83}$. These MTM analogues all contained shortened 3-side chains, which had been shown by previous NMR studies of MTM (138)$^{86, 87}$ to interact with the sugar-phosphate backbone of DNA. Both MTM SK$^{84}$ and MTM SDK (139)$^{83}$ displayed higher potency against several human cancer cell lines and lower toxicity than those of MTM (138), demonstrating that 3-side chain modifications can modulate activity of MTM analogues. MTM SA had a decreased cytotoxicity$^{84}$ but the carboxylic moiety provided a chemical handle that could be readily and selectively modified to further diversify this analogue towards derivatives with increased activity. The amino acid residue derivatives of MTM SA contained glycine, valine, cysteine, and alanine residues, of which MTM SA-Ala (141) was the most promising, although still not as potent as MTM SK or MTM SDK (139) against a human non-small cell lung cancer cell line A549.$^{85}$ Consequently, additional MTM SA amino acid derivatives have been generated including the most promising MTM SA-Trp (140).

MTM (138) binds DNA as a dimer, in which the O-1 carbonyl and the O-9 enolate anion of the aglycone of each monomer are coordinated via a divalent metal ion (Me$^{2+}$).$^{88, 89}$ DNase I footprinting studies of MTM (138) bound to DNA showed that MTM (138) protects three base pair sites, with a preference to sites containing GG dinucleotide steps.$^{90}$ Pioneering solution NMR studies revealed that the MTM$_2$-Me$^{2+}$
binds a palindromic DNA oligomer containing the sequence GGCC, where the 2-fold rotational symmetry of the MTM dimer coincides with the symmetry of the palindromic DNA. 86, 87 These structures indicated that the C-8 hydroxyl groups on the chromophores of each MTM monomer form hydrogen bonds with the NH2 group of the central guanine bases on the complementary strands, while the flexible saccharide chains of the two MTM monomers are extended along the minor groove of the DNA in a symmetric antiparallel fashion. A crystal structure of chromomycin A3 (CHR), a related natural product containing the same aglycone core as MTM (138), in complex with a GGCC-containing DNA was consistent with the solution structure of MTM-DNA complex, showing additionally the octahedral coordination of the divalent metal ion and the widened minor groove of the DNA. 91 These structural studies, in agreement with DNA binding studies in solution, demonstrated that the DNA binding sites for MTM (138) and CHR were four base pairs long.

The rules for DNA sequence recognition of MTM are still not fully understood. For example, whether MTM (138) recognizes a specific DNA sequence (direct readout), DNA conformation (indirect readout) or both, is not clear. 92 MTM (138) preferentially binds G/C-rich sequences. 90, 93-95 Binding to a GC sequence is strengthened by flanking TA steps, and a GC site is preferred over a CG site. 90, 94, 96 DNase I footprinting using DNA fragments containing all 64 symmetrical hexanucleotide sequences demonstrated that MTM (138) binds best to AGCGCT and GGGCCC. 95 However, this study also showed that MTM (138) also bound well at non-GC sites, such as ACCGGT. While these studies systematically investigated sequences at which MTM (138) is footprintable, other sequences that form thermodynamically stable, but relatively short-lived complexes with
MTM (138) may have eluded detection, leaving open the question of the minimum DNA recognition sequence of MTM (138).

In this study, we performed equilibrium titrations at a physiologically relevant salt concentration, to investigate the propensity of MTM (138) and its novel analogues to dimerize and recognize DNA of different sequences and conformations.

3.2 Results and Discussion

3.2.1 Dimerization of MTM and its Analogues

Whether MTM (138) is a monomer or a dimer when free in solution at physiological salt concentrations is an open question, since previous dimerization studies of MTM (138) dimerization, although rigorous, have been performed at non-physiologically relevant salt concentrations.\cite{97-99} We investigated the effect of Mg^{2+} concentration on the dimer stability of MTM (138) and its analogues at 100 mM NaCl, i.e. at a physiologically relevant concentration of monovalent cations, by monitoring the change of intrinsic fluorescence of MTM (138) (figure 34) and its analogues (figure 35), including a novel analogue MTM SA-Trp (140), upon their dimerization. The fluorescence of MTM (138) and all analogues, except PreMTM B (142) (figure 35), was quenched upon dimerization with increasing concentration of Mg^{2+}. The chromophore of PreMTM B (142) is tetracyclic; therefore, the observed increase of its fluorescence may arise from a different orientation of the two chromophores in a PreMTM B dimer from that in MTM (138). These data were in good agreement with the cooperative 2MTM:Me^{2+} binding model, which yielded best-fit values of the equilibrium dimerization constants $K_d$ (table 3). MTM (138) and MTM SA-Trp (140) exhibited a
similar propensity to dimerize, stronger than that of MTM SDK (139) and PreMTM B (142). PreMTM B (142) was by far the most defective in dimer formation, with a 40-fold higher $K_d$ than that of MTM (138). For MTM (138) and MTM SDK (139) at 5 mM Mg$^{2+}$ these $K_d$ values correspond to the values of the apparent dimerization equilibrium constant (for the process $2M \rightleftharpoons M_2$) of 0.7 mM and 2.8 mM, respectively. These values indicate that at 5 mM or higher concentrations, MTM (138) and MTM SDK (139) are dimeric at these conditions at 5 mM Mg$^{2+}$ and 100 mM NaCl, i.e. in the physiological range of salt concentrations. In contrast, PreMTM B (142) is mostly dimeric only at ~30 mM and higher concentrations. Indeed, the fluorescence intensity reaches a plateau by 5 mM Mg$^{2+}$ for MTM (138) and MTM SDK (139), but not for PreMTM B (142) (figure 35). In addition, we also tested the effect of Fe$^{2+}$ on MTM dimerization. In agreement with a previous report, Fe$^{2+}$ is a more potent MTM dimerizer, with $K_d$ at least 100-fold smaller than in Mg$^{2+}$ (table 3). MTM SA-Ala (141) was prone to aggregation in the absence of divalent metal ions yielding an unstable signal. Nevertheless, MTM SA-Ala (141) formed dimers as well, as described in section 3.2.
Figure 33. Divalent metal ion-dependent dimerization of MTM observed through quenching of intrinsic MTM fluorescence. A. Mg$^{2+}$-dependent dimerization of MTM. B. Fe$^{2+}$-dependent dimerization of MTM. The curves correspond to the cooperative dimerization model given by eq. (1) with the best-fit parameter values in Table 1. The concentrations of MTM in each titration are indicated.
Figure 34. Mg$^{2+}$-dependent dimerization of MTM analogues: MTM SA-Trp (panel A), MTM SDK (panel B) and Pre MTM B (panel C) at specified concentrations.

Table 3 Equilibrium dimerization constants for MTM and its analogues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MTM analogue</th>
<th>Metal ion</th>
<th>$K_d$, (µM)$^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MTM</td>
<td>Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>$(3.6 \pm 1.7) \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTM</td>
<td>Fe$^{2+}$</td>
<td>$&lt; 40^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTM SA-Trp</td>
<td>Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>$(1.8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTM SDK</td>
<td>Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>$(13.9 \pm 4.0) \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreMTM B</td>
<td>Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>$(120 \pm 70) \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Only an upper bound could be determined from the data.
3.2.2 DNA Binding by MTM and its Analogues

In order to define DNA sequence and conformational specificity determinants for binding MTM (138), we chose 13 palindromic 8-mer DNA sequences (table 4). Crystal structures of respective double-stranded DNA oligonucleotides covering the entire sequence or a relevant part of it were previously determined. These sequences comprised B- and A-form DNA and included a sequence containing a well-established MTM binding motif GGCC that was used in the solution NMR studies of MTM-DNA complex.83,84 Among B-form DNA, we included G/C-rich oligomers as well as oligomers with a widened minor groove. The length of 8 base pairs was chosen to minimize the potential of binding of multiple MTM dimers to a single DNA oligomer; shorter DNA would have a compromised duplex stability. Binding of MTM (138) and its analogues to these DNA oligonucleotides was studied at salt concentrations close to physiological, where the dominant species are dimers, as established by the studies described in the previous section. Furthermore, at these salt concentrations and assay temperature (21 °C), the DNA oligomers are predominantly in the double-stranded form. The calculated DNA melting temperatures at these conditions at 6 mM of each oligomer, or 3 mM of double-stranded oligomer, which is approximately the lowest DNA concentration used in the titrations, range from 28 °C for CTCTAGAG to 59 °C for CGGCGCCG.100 Even though the melting temperatures calculated by this method were shown to be accurate for 8-mer and larger oligomers,100 we carried out a DNA melting experiment with oligomer GGTTAACC (at 3 mM in terms of duplexes) with one of the lowest calculated melting temperatures of 32.2 °C. In this experiment, we monitored the hyperchromic effect by measuring absorbance at 260 nm as a function of gradually increasing temperature. The
observed melting curve (figure 36) yielded the melting temperature of ~32 °C, in excellent agreement with the calculation. These data also demonstrate that at the assay temperature of 21 °C this DNA oligomer is in the double-stranded state.

Table 4. Structural and MTM analogue binding characteristics of the DNA oligomers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DNA</th>
<th>Analogue</th>
<th>DNA form</th>
<th>PDB ID(^a)</th>
<th>Average Zp(^b) (Å)</th>
<th>Minor groove width (Å)</th>
<th>Major groove width (Å)</th>
<th>K(_d) (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CGGCGGCCG</td>
<td>MTM</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1CGC</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>&lt; 1 (^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCCGGGGG</td>
<td>MTM</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>187D</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGGATCCC</td>
<td>MTM</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>3ANA</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGGTACCC</td>
<td>MTM</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1VT9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGGTACCCT</td>
<td>MTM</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1SS7</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTAGCTAC</td>
<td>MTM</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>141D</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATCGATC</td>
<td>MTM</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1D23</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAGGCCTC</td>
<td>MTM</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1BD1</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTACGTAC</td>
<td>MTM</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>28DN</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>7.8 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTGTACAC</td>
<td>MTM</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1D78</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>13 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGAATTCC</td>
<td>MTM</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1SGS</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>59 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTCTAGAC</td>
<td>MTM</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1D82</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>25 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTCTAGAG</td>
<td>MTM</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1D93</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>82 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGGCGGCCG</td>
<td>MTM SA-Trp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAGGCCTC</td>
<td>MTM SA-Trp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCCGGGGG</td>
<td>MTM SA-Trp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGGTACCC</td>
<td>MTM SA-Trp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGGATCCC</td>
<td>MTM SA-Trp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>see above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAGGCCTC</td>
<td>MTM SDK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAGGCCTC</td>
<td>MTM SA-Ala</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.6 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAGGCCTC</td>
<td>PreMTM B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The crystal structures were previously published as follows: 1CGC,\textsuperscript{101} 187D,\textsuperscript{102} 3ANA,\textsuperscript{103} 1VT9,\textsuperscript{104} 1SS7,\textsuperscript{105} 141D,\textsuperscript{106} 1D23,\textsuperscript{107} 1BD1,\textsuperscript{108} 28DN,\textsuperscript{109} 1D78,\textsuperscript{109} 1SGS,\textsuperscript{110} 1D82,\textsuperscript{111} 1D93.\textsuperscript{112}

\textsuperscript{b} $Z_p$, a difference between coordinates of projections of the two phosphorus atoms of a base pair onto the DNA helical axis, was averaged either among all base pairs or for those that constituted a binding site (a stretch of two or more (G/C) base pairs), where such stretch was present.

\textsuperscript{c} The upper bound on $K_d$ of \~1 mM was defined by the detection limit of the assay.

![Figure 35. The DNA melting curve for oligomer GGTAACC (at 6 mM in single strands) in the DNA binding buffer. The lines at low and high temperatures show the approximate baselines corresponding to the double- and the single-stranded states, respectively.](image)
Strong MTM-DNA binding resulted in an ~8-fold increase of fluorescence intensity at the fluorescence maximum (figure 37), apparently due to unquenching of the chromophore rings. Such unquenching may occur upon reorientation of the MTM molecules in the dimer upon DNA binding. Fluorescence enhancement upon MTM binding to DNA was observed previously. These and the other DNA titration data fit well to a 1:1 binding isotherm. The best-fit $K_d$ values for all titrations and the DNA structural parameters are given in Table 4. Affinities stronger than 1 mM could not be measured and were indicated as bounds in Table 4, because of the low fluorescence signal at concentrations of MTM (138) and analogues required for such measurements.

Figure 36. Fluorescence emission spectra of MTM (10 μM) in the absence of divalent metal and DNA (the dashed line), with 5 mM MgCl$_2$ and no DNA (the thin solid line), and with 5 mM MgCl$_2$ and 10 μM GAGGCCTC DNA (the thick solid line). The excitation wavelength was 470 nm.
Binding of MTM (138) to DNA was tested most extensively, with all 13 DNA oligomers; binding of the novel analogue, MTM SA-Trp (140) was tested with 5 oligomers and binding of MTM SDK (139) and PreMTM B (142) was tested with one oligomer, GAGGCCTC, which was tested with all the compounds. Representative titration data for MTM (138) with high-affinity and low-affinity oligomers are shown in figures 38A and 38B, respectively. The strongest MTM-DNA binding affinity was observed for 8 DNA oligomers, with $K_d$ values of 2 mM or smaller. All of these sequences contain at least one GG, GC, CG or CC site (note that a CC sequence is automatically present if a GG sequence is present due to complementarity). Notably, sequence GGAATTCC containing a terminal GG site did not bind MTM (138) well ($K_d = 59$ mM). On the other hand, sequence AGGTACCT was a high-affinity sequence. These results indicate that a binding site needs to contain at least one flanking base pair on each side. MTM (138) displayed low affinity for all sequences that did not contain an internal GG, GC or CG site. Therefore, 2-base pair sequences GG (CC), GC and CG that are flanked by at least one base pair on each side are preferred sequences for binding MTM (138), whereas the other 2-base pair sequences are non-preferred. In other words, the minimum DNA recognition sequence for MTM (138) is a 4-base pair sequence $X(G/C)(G/C)X$, where either G or C in any order, and X is any base.
Figure 37. Binding of MTM (10 µM) to double-stranded DNA oligomers of different sequences. A. Representative titrations of MTM with high-affinity DNA oligomers. A. Representative titrations of MTM with low-affinity DNA oligomers. The curves are the best fit to the 1:1 binding model with the values given in Table 4. The DNA sequences are specified in the inset.

Binding affinity to the high-affinity sequences was modulated by the base pairs flanking the GG/GC/CG site. Sequence GATCGATC bound MTM (138) with at least a 7-fold higher affinity than did GTACGTAC. This effect of flanking sequences is consistent with previous observations. Sequence GTAGCTAC, containing a GC in place of the CG in the same context, was a high affinity sequence, reversing the effect of the flanking DNA, in agreement with a previous study showing a preference of MTM for an isolated GC over an isolated CG site. These results suggest that the conformation or position of an MTM dimer bound to a GC site differ somewhat from that bound to a CG site, resulting in different interactions with flanking DNA. Structural investigation of this adaptability of MTM binding different sequences is in progress.
Next, we examined whether DNA affinity of MTM (138) was additionally defined by or correlated with DNA structural parameters (table 4). Because aureolic acid natural products were shown to bind in the minor groove, we focused on the geometric parameters of the minor and major grooves of the DNA oligomers of interest, as calculated by 3DNA software. Binding of MTM (138) did not appear to be correlated with any of the structural parameters: the conformation of the DNA backbone (B- vs. A form), the $Z_p$ values, or the widths of the minor and the major grooves. These observations are consistent with a previous study demonstrating strong binding to two G/C rich sequences, one B- and the other A-form. Nevertheless, because the best MTM binding sequences were somewhat enriched in G/C stretches, which are known to have a widened minor groove, this effect of intrinsic sequence-dependent minor groove widening on MTM binding cannot be further uncoupled from the (G/C)(G/C) sequence recognition, at least with naturally occurring nucleotides.

We next tested whether modifications at position 3 had an effect on DNA binding. DNA binding of the novel analogue, MTM SA-Trp (140), was measured with 5 oligomers that displayed high affinity towards MTM (138) (table 4, figure 39). MTM SA-Trp (140) exhibited $K_d$ values in the range 1-4 µM. Thus, the DNA affinities of MTM SA-Trp (140) were either similar or somewhat weaker than those of MTM. Sequence GAGGCCTC bound with a similar affinity ($K_d = 2$ µM) to MTM (138) and MTM SA-Trp (140) (table 4, figure 40A). Additionally, binding of the other analogues, MTM SDK (139), MTM SA-Ala ((141) and PreMTM B (142), to a strong binding sequence GAGGCCTC was measured (table 4, figures 40A and 40B). MTM SDK (139) bound the DNA at least 2-fold more tightly than did MTM (138), possibly due to reduced steric
hindrance by the shorter side chain of MTM SDK (139). To check whether MTM SDK (139) binding to DNA was still Mg$^{2+}$-mediated and was not due to a new binding mode that became possible because of its specific side chain, we performed the same titration in the absence of Mg$^{2+}$ with this analogue (figure 40B). No binding was observed, indicating that the binding mode was unchanged by the side chain modification. Interestingly MTM SA-Ala (141) bound ~10-fold weaker than MTM (138) did, apparently due to the unfavorable environment of the Ala methyl group. PreMTM B (142) did not display any observable binding, indicating that this tetracyclic scaffold cannot adapt the same way to the features of the minor groove.

Figure 38. Binding of MTM SA-Trp (1 M) to double-stranded DNA oligomers of different high-affinity sequences, as indicated in the inset.
Figure 39. Binding of MTM and its analogues to GAGGCCTC DNA. A. Binding of MTM, MTM SA-Trp, MTM SA-Ala and PreMTM B, each at 10 μM. B. Binding of MTM SDK (4 μM) in the presence (open circles) and in the absence (filled circles) of Mg2+.

3.3 Methods

3.3.1 Dimerization Assays

Concentrations of MTM (138), its analogues and Pre MTM B (142) were determined by measurements based on the dry material mass. The concentrations of MTM (138) and its analogues were consistent with the measurements of absorbance at 400 nm using a Thermo Scientific Biomate 3S spectrophotometer assuming the molar extinction coefficient of 10,000 M⁻¹cm⁻¹. The dimerization assays were carried out in 40 mM Hepes pH 7.0, 100 mM NaCl, by titrating MgCl₂ into a solution of MTM (138) or analogue at constant concentration at 21 °C. The concentrations of MTM (138), MTM SDK (139), Pre MTM B (142), MTM SA-Trp (140), and MTM SA-Ala (141) were 1 μM, 5 μM or 10 μM, as specified in the text. The dimers were more stable in Fe²⁺ than in
Mg\(^{2+}\); therefore, lower concentrations of the divalent metal ion were used, as specified. Fluorescence of MTM (138) and its analogues, generated for the excitation wavelength of 470 nm and emission wavelength of 540 nm, was measured on a SpectraMax M5 microplate reader. All experiments were carried out in triplicate.

### 3.3.2 Analysis of the Dimerization Assay Data

MTM dimerization is mediated by one divalent metal ion cooperatively.\(^9\)

\[
2M + \text{Me}^{2+} \rightleftharpoons M_2 \cdot \text{Me}^{2+},
\]  

(1)

where M designates a monomer of MTM, and Me\(^{2+}\) is the divalent metal ion. The equilibrium constant for this process in the direction of dissociation is

\[
K_d = \frac{[M]^2[\text{Me}^{2+}]}{[M_2 \cdot \text{Me}^{2+}]} \quad (2)
\]

Conservation of material for mithramycin is given by the following expression:

\[
[M]_{\text{tot}} = [M] + 2[M_2 \cdot \text{Me}^{2+}] ,
\]

(3)

where \([M]_{\text{tot}}\) is the total concentration of mithramycin in the mixture.

Solving the system of equations (2) and (3) for \([M]\) and \([M_2 \cdot \text{Me}^{2+}]\) yields:

\[
[M] = \left( \frac{K_d}{4[\text{Me}^{2+}]} \right) \left( \sqrt{1 + 8[M]_{\text{tot}}[\text{Me}^{2+}]/K_d} - 1 \right)
\]

(4)

\[
[M_2 \cdot \text{Me}^{2+}] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( [M]_{\text{tot}} - \frac{K_d}{4[\text{Me}^{2+}]} \left( \sqrt{1 + 8[M]_{\text{tot}}[\text{Me}^{2+}]/K_d} - 1 \right) \right) \right]
\]

(5)

Finally, the observed fluorescence is a sum of contributions from M and M\(_2 \cdot \text{Me}^{2+}\) species:

\[
I = C_1[M] + C_2[M_2 \cdot \text{Me}^{2+}] + C_3
\]

(6)
where \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are molar fluorescence yield of \( M \) and \( M_2\cdot Me^{2+} \), respectively, and \( C_3 \) is the signal in the absence of MTM. Nonlinear regression using SigmaPlot (SysStat) was employed to obtain best-fit values of \( K_d \) by fitting eq. (6) to the data for MTM and its analogues.

### 3.3.3 DNA Binding Assays

DNA oligomers of the palindromic sequences reported in the text were dissolved in 10 mM Na cacodylate buffer pH 6.0 to a final concentration of 2 mM. DNA was self-annealed in the annealing buffer (10 mM Na cacodylate, pH 6.0, 50 mM NaCl) by heating to 95 °C for 5 min and cooling for 30 min to 4 °C. The DNA concentrations were then calculated based on the respective extinction coefficients for double-stranded DNA by the IDT online concentration calculation tool.\textsuperscript{118} The same tool was used to calculate the DNA melting temperatures.\textsuperscript{100}

The DNA binding assays were carried out in 40 mM Hepes pH 7.0, 100 mM NaCl and 5 mM MgCl\(_2\) at 21 °C, where the majority of MTM or its analogue is in the dimeric form. DNA was titrated into MTM (138) or its analogues, where all compounds were used at the concentrations specified in the text. Fluorescence was measured as it was in the dimerization assays. All experiments were carried out in triplicate.

The DNA melting experiment for oligomer GGTTAACC (at 6 mM in single strands) was carried out by measuring absorbance at 260 nm at temperatures increasing from 8 °C to 50 °C over 1 hour in the binding buffer. These data were collected on a Shimadzu spectrophotometer TCC-240A UV-1800 equipped with a temperature-controlled cell holder.
3.3.4 Analysis of the DNA Binding Assay Data

Binding of an MTM dimer to a short DNA oligomer is described by the following 1:1 binding equilibrium:

\[ \text{M}_2\text{Me}^2 + \text{D} \rightleftharpoons \text{M}_2\text{Me}^2\text{D}, \]  

(7)

where D and \( \text{M}_2\text{Me}^2\text{D} \) denote free DNA and MTM-DNA complex, respectively. The equilibrium constant \( K_{\text{DNA}} \) in the direction of dissociation is

\[ K_{\text{DNA}} = \frac{[\text{M}_2\text{Me}^2][\text{D}]}{[\text{M}_2\text{Me}^2\text{D}]} \]  

(8)

The equations describing conservation of D and M are:

\[ [\text{M}]_{\text{tot}} = 2[\text{M}_2\text{Me}^2] + 2[\text{M}_2\text{Me}^2\text{D}], \]  

(9)

\[ [\text{D}]_{\text{tot}} = [\text{D}] + [\text{M}_2\text{Me}^2\text{D}], \]  

(10)

Eqs. (8)-(10) yield \( [\text{M}_2\text{Me}^2] \) and \( [\text{M}_2\text{Me}^2\text{D}] \):

\[ [\text{M}_2\text{Me}^2] = \frac{1}{4} \left( b + \sqrt{b^2 + 8K_{\text{DNA}}[\text{M}]_{\text{tot}}} \right) \]  

(11)

where \( b = [\text{M}]_{\text{tot}} - 2[\text{D}]_{\text{tot}} - 2K_{\text{DNA}} \)  

(12)

and

\[ [\text{M}_2\text{Me}^2\text{D}] = 1/2 ([\text{M}]_{\text{tot}} - [\text{M}_2\text{Me}^2]) \]  

(13)

As in the dimerization assay, the fluorescence signal is a sum of contributions from \( \text{M}_2\text{Me}^{2+} \) and \( \text{M}_2\text{Me}^2\text{D} \):

\[ I = C_2 [\text{M}_2\text{Me}^{2+}] + C_4 [\text{M}_2\text{Me}^2\text{D}] + C_3, \]  

(14)
where \(C_2\) and \(C_3\) were defined previously and \(C_4\) is the molar fluorescence of MTM-DNA complex. Nonlinear regression curve fitting to the titration data with SigmaPlot was used to obtain the values of \(K_d\).

The structural parameters of DNA were calculated from the crystal structure coordinates by using 3DNA software\(^{115}\).

### 3.4 Conclusions

In summary, this study exploited the intrinsic MTM fluorescence to interrogate the dimerization and DNA binding properties of MTM (138) and its analogues. We obtained the minimum DNA binding requirements for MTM (138) and established that it is the direct (sequence) and not indirect (conformation) read-out that guides DNA recognition by MTM (138). The 3-side chain derivatization led to modulation of DNA binding affinity of the analogues. Future studies will characterize structural details of binding of MTM (138) and its analogues to different DNA sequences and use the DNA recognition rules established here to map MTM binding sites in oncogenic promoters and other regulatory sites in the genome.

### 4 SUMMARY

Deoxysugar biosynthetic enzymes provide valuable glycodiversification tools that can be used to engineer molecules for pharmaceutical use. To exploit the full potential of these tools for drug development, the role of these enzymes in the biosynthetic pathway must be determined, and the mechanism of action of the compound to be derivatized should be completely understood. The work in this dissertation provides a better
understanding of the mechanism of action of MTM (138), new biosynthetic tools, and TDP-sugar building blocks that can be used to further develop MTM (138) or other compounds for improved druggability.

The identification of the sqn gene cluster, responsible for production of SQN A (102), B (103), G-K (104-108) in Streptomyces sp. KY40-1 provides eight deoxysugar biosynthetic genes including six that are putatively involved in L-rednose biosynthesis. The proposed pathway is interesting for several reasons. It proposes a glucosamine derived starter unit in place of glucose and suggests that the biosynthetic enzymes are flexible enough to accept both substrates. Four of the cloned SQN deoxysugar biosynthetic enzymes (SqnS1, S5, S7-S8) provided soluble protein. Enzymatic synthesis of TDP-4-keto-3,6-dideoxy-L-idosamine (132) was attempted and while largely unsuccessful, generated TDP-glucosamine (128) and TDP-\(N\)-acetylglucosamine. Successful enzymatic synthesis of L-rednose (109 A) would validate the proposed biosynthetic pathway, and provide additional novel TDP-amino sugar building blocks to derivatize amino glycosides, MTM (138) or other polyketides.

Dimerization and DNA binding studies of MTM (138) and analogues provided a better understanding of the mechanism of action of MTM (138). It was determined that dimerization of MTM (138) and analogues prior to binding DNA, direct (sequence) read-out guides MTM (138) and a minimum DNA binding requirement for MTM (138) was established. It was also revealed that 3-side chain derivatization of MTM (138) effects DNA binding affinity. This information lays initial groundwork necessary to develop MTM (138) as a clinically relevant chemotherapeutic.
5 APPENDIX

5.1 pET28a(+) Plasmid Map
5.2 pET22b(+) Plasmid Map

pET22b(+) Novagen
5,493 bp
5.3 SqnS1

5.3.1 Plasmid Map of pET28a- SqnS1_N His6x
5.3.2 *sqnS1* DNA Sequence

ATGCGCAGTGACGCGCTGGAGGACGCCACCAGGGCGTCTCCTTCCGTCGCCGC
AGATCAACTACCTTCCTGCGAAGGGACCAATACGCCCTCGCGGGGATCCACAGCGT
CGCATTCCGCCGGGGCAAGCAAAGTACGTCACCTGTGTACCGGCTCGCTG
CGCGACATCGTCGTGGGACCTGAGGATCGGCTCCAGGACCTTTCCGGCAGCACCC
GGGTCAATGATCTGCTGGACGCGACTCCGGAAGGGGGTCTCTACGTTGCCCAGGG
CGTCGGCCACGGCCTTCCTCGCAGTCAGCGACGCAGCTGCATCTGCTACGTCG
TCTCCACCACGTACGTGCCAGCAGCAGATCGACTCAATCCTCTGGACCC
GGATCTCGATCTGCGCGGACTGCGCCACGCCCCTGCTGCTGCCCCC
GACGCCAAGGCGCCACCCTGGCGAGGCAGCGTACGCGGAGCATGCTGC
GATTTCGACAGGCAGGCACCTCGTGAG
5.4 SqnS4

5.4.1 Plasmid Map of pET28a-SqnS4- N His6x
5.4.2 Plasmid Map of pET22b- SqnS4-C His6x

![Plasmid Map of pET22b- SqnS4-C His6x](image)

5.4.3 sqnS4 DNA Sequence

GTGCACCTGATCGCCCGCAGTGCAGGGCAACCGAGTCGGTGCCCAGCACCT
CCGGAGTGACGACGACGCAGCAGGGACACATGCGTGTTGCCCGCCACGGGACGGAGATGGCAGCGGGCAACGTCGAACTG

CACGGAGGTCTCCTCGCCACAATCAGCGCAGCCAGGAGTGGGTGGTGCTCACGACGCCCGACGGCGGGGC
CGGGCCTGGCCCGGCCCGAGGGGAGGAGATGGCAGCGGGCAACGTCGAACTG
GTCGAACGGGTCGTGACGGCGCTCGCCGCCGCTCCCGGCCCTCCAGTACGGCTGGTTCAGCTCGGCAGCGTCCACGAGTACGGGGCCGGCGCCCCGGACGGCGCACCGGCGAGGACCATGCGCCCACCCCGGTGACGCCGTACGGCCGTACCAAACTCCGGGGCACGCAAGCCGTCCTGCGCGGCACACGGGAGCGGGGCGTCGACGGGGTGGTGCTCCGGCTCGCCAACGTGATCGGTGCCGGGGTACCGGAGGGCAGCCCTCTTCGGCCGGGTCGCCGGCCACCTCGGCACCTCTGCCCGGGCCGACGC\n\nGCGGGGTGAAGACACCGGCGACTTCATCCTGGCCACCCCTGCGTGCGGCCCGCAGCTGGTGGACACCGGTGACGTCGCCGCGGCGGTCCTGGCCGCGGCCACGGCACCGGCACGGATCGTCACCGGCCAGGTGATCAATGTGGGCCGCGGCGAGGC\n\nGGTCCCCATGCGCGGGGCTTGGTGCACAGGATGACTCACGCTCAACGCAGGGCTGGTCGACCGGATGATCACGCTCAGCGGCCTGGAGATCCCGGTGGTCGAGGCGACCGAGGCACCCGCCTCGCGCACCGATGTCGC\n\nGGCAGTGCCTGGACGTCTCCCGTGCCCGGCGCCTGCTCGGCTGGCGCCCGCTGCGCAGCGTCGACGACTCCCTGCGCGACCTTCTCGCATCCGTACTGCCGCCGAGCGACCGCCGCTCGGCACACCACTGGGCATCACGGCCGGCGCACCGGCC\n\nAGGAAGGGGTACGACCATGA

5.4.4 sqnS4 DNA Sequence Codon Optimized for E. coli

GTGCAATTGATCGCAGCGCAGCAGCGAACGGAATCGGTCGCCACCTAGTACCT
CAGGAGTAACCAACCAACAGACTGGAACCTGTTACGACAGGACGAGCGCAGCT
GACAGAAGTTTTGGCCACATCAGGGGCAGACGTGGTCAAAGCAGCGCGGG
AGAGCGTGGCGGGCTGACGTCGAAATGGCGGCTGGGAACGTTGAGCTTG
TTGAGCGTGTGTTACCAGCGCCTTGCTGCCGCGCCGGCTCCACCAGTAAAGATTG
GTTCAACTTGGCAGCGTACGGAATACGGGGGCTGGCGCCTCCGGACGGCGCTA
CTGGCGAAGACCATGCACCGACGCCAGTCACCCCCGTATGGGCGGTACGAAGCT
GAGAGGGGACGCAAGCAGTCTCTGCTGGAAACTCGGGAGCGGGGGGTAGACGG
TGTGGTGCTGAGATTGGCGAATTGTCTTAGGGAGCTGGGGGTACCCGAAGGTAGC
CTGTTTGGCGTGTTTGGCTGGACATTTAGGAACATCGGCTCGCCGCGATGCTCG
GGGAGAGAAAACCCGCAGCCGTGACGACTTGCCGCGCTTCGGGCAGCGCGCGAC
TTAGTTCGACACAGGCCGACGTGGGGCGCAGCTGCTTAGCCGCCGCTACCGCAC
CAGCCAGAATTGTATAGTGGCTAGGTTATGATATGGGCGTGTTGTAAGCGCT
ACCGATGCGCCTGGTGTCCGATCGCATGGATTACTTTATCCGGTGCTGTAAATCC
CGGTGCTAGAAGCGACTGAGGCACCGCCGCTCGTACGGATGCTAGCTCGCCA
ATGGGGATGTGTCTCGGGCGAGAAGATTGTTGGGGTGCGGTCTCTAGAT
CGGTGACGATTCTTTACGACTTAAGCATCGTACTTCTCCCGGAACGC
CCACCTTTGGCGACACCCACTTTGGGATTACAGCGCCCTCCCGCAGAAGAGG
GCGTACGCCCGGTGA
5.5 SqnS5

5.5.1 Plasmid Map of pET28a-SqnS5 -N His6x

5.5.2 sqnS5 DNA Sequence

ATGAGCGACCGCAAGGACCGGATCCTGGAAGAGGTCCGCACATACCACCAG
GAGGTCTCCCCCGGAGCGTGAGTTCGTGCCGGGCACGACGGAGATCTGGCCGT
CCGGCGCGTCCTGGAAGGACCGGACCAGGATGCCCCTGTTGGAGGCCCGGC
TGGATATGCGCATCGCCCGCCGGGACCAGCTCCCGCAAGTTCGAGTCGGCCTT
CGCCCGCCGCCTGAACGGGACGACATCTGACGATCGGCCTTGGACG
GCCAATCTGCTGCGGCTGCTGCGGCTGACGTCGACACGCTGGAGGACCGGC
GGCTGCGGCCGGCGACGAGGTCATCACGGTGGCGGCGGGTTTCCCGACCAC
CGTGAACCCCCATCTGCGAAGGGCTGATCCCCGTCTTCGTGAGCTG
CTCACCACCTACAACGCCTGACCSCCGGACCCGGTGGTGCGGCAGCTCA
AGACCCGAGCCCATCATCGTCGTCGACGCACGCTGGAGGACCGGC
GGAGATAGCCCGTCCAGCTTTCTACCCGGGCACCACCTCACCAGTGGGCAGGG
CGGGTGTGTGCTGACCTCCAACCCTTGGCCGCTGCGCCAGGATCGTGAGCTG
CGGGACTGCGCGGCGGACTGCTGCTGCGGACGGCGGAGAACGACCGGTTGC
ATGAAAGCGGTCTCAAGTACGATGGCAACGACGACATCCAGGC
AGTACATCTTCTCAGCAGGTGGTTACAACCTGAAGGGCCACGACATCCAGGC
CGCAGTGCTGGCGAGGCTGACGTCGACACCTGAGCGCCGGTTCGCGCGC
CTGCTGCCCGAGGCCACGCCGCGTTCCCGAGCCGAGCTGGTTCGGGTTCGTA
TCACCGTGCGACCRCGGAGCCCCTTCCGCCGCGAGCTGGTGCTGACTTCTT
GAGTCCCGAAGGATCGGCAACCCGCGCCCGTTGTCGCCGGCAACCTCAACCCG
ACCGGCCCTACATCGACCACCGCAGCGGATCGGTGGCGGAACTGAGAACAG
CGACGTCACTACCGAGCACACCTTCTGGATCAGGCTGGCTGCTACCCGCGTC
GACGAGATGCTGGACTACGTCACCGGCTCGAAGAGTGGTGAGGCTGCGCCGCG
5.6 SqnS6

5.6.1 Plasmid Map of pET28a-SqnS6-N His6x

5.6.2 sqnS6 DNA Sequence

ATGGACATTGTGGGAAACGGTTTCCTGGCGCGAAACCTTCGTTCGCTGGCCGGCCG
GCCGGCATCCGGACACCGTGGCCTGGCCAGCGGGTGTGTCCTGGGCGAGCGG
CACCTCCGACGAGGACTTCGCCCGTGAGGCGGCGCTGCTGCACGAGGTCGTC
GAGCGGTGCCAGGACACCGGTCCGGCGACTGCTCTTCTTCTCCACGGCGCGAG
CCGGCATGTACGGACTCGCCGAGGGCCAGGGGAGGACACGCCGGTGAC
CGCCCTGCACCCCCCTACGGCGCGCACAACTCGCCCTGACAGCTGTGCTTC
GAGTGACTCCGCGCCGCCCCACGTGATCTCTTGCTGCCGCAATCTGGTCGAC
GAGTGCTCCGCGTGCACCAGGGAAGCCGGCCCCGCAGACCTGATCGACGTCG
GCACTCATCACGGTCATCGACCGTCTGCTCGCCATGGATCTGCGGGCCGAG
GTCAATGTGCCTCCGGTTTCGCGCTCCGGGCTGGGGGACGTGTCGACGCTG
CGCGCGGGCCCTGGGTGGAGCGCGCCGGCTACTACCGGCGGACCTCGGCG
GAGATGGGCTTTCCGCCACGGGACTACTCGCCGAGATCCTCGGCGACTTCAC
TGCCTCGGTGTCGTCGCCGCACGTCGTCGCCGACGCCTGA
5.7 SqnS7

5.7.1 Plasmid Map of pET28a-SqnS7- N His6x

5.7.2 sqnS7 DNA Sequence

ATGCCGTCTTCGCTCCTTCAAGCACGCGACAGTGCACGGCAGTTCGCCGACC
GGATCGCCCCGCTCGACCGACCCCGCCCCGCGGGCGCTGCACCTGCCGACCACCGCG
5.8 SqnS8

5.8.1 Plasmid Map of pET28a-SqnS8- N His6x
5.8.2 sqnS8 DNA Sequence

ATGATCACGCGCGGTACGATCGGGGTTGCTCGGCTGCGCGGACATCGCGGTAC
GGCGGATGCTGCGCCGCGCTTCCCGCATCCCGCGACGTTGAGGTCGCGCGCCGT
CGCCAGCCGGATCCGGCAAGGGCAGGGAGGTGCGGCTTCCGCTGGGCTG
CGTCCGGGTGCGGGGATACGCGCAACTGCTCGACGACGACGGTACGCGGACG
GGCGGATGCTGCCGGCGTTCGCCGCATCCCGCGACGTGGAGGTCGCCGCCGT
CGCCAGCCGCGATCCGGCCAGGGCCGAGGAGGTGGCCGCACGCTTCGGCTGC
CGTCCGGTGCGGGGATACGCCGAACTGCTCGACGCCGACGACGTGCAGGCGG
TGTACGTCCCCCTGCGCCGCGCCTGACGCTGCCTGCCCTGGGTCGAGGCCGCTCT
GAACGCGGGAAACACGTGCTGGCGGAGAAGGCCCTTGACGACGGGACCCCGGA
GAGCACCCAGCGTCTCCTCGACCTCGCCCGCAAGCAGGTGCGGCGCTCGGCTGC
GAGAAGGTGATGTGGTTCCGTCGACACGACGCCGACGGACGCTGCGCCCTCG
TCTCCGGCGGAGGACGACGCTGTAACCTCGCTCCTCGACGCGGCTGTTCAACCAC
CCCGCTCCTCCCGACGGTGACATCCGCTACGCGCCAGTCGGCGGCGTGCG
CCCTGTCGACGTCGGCCTCTACCCGCTGCGGCGACCCGTCGCTCGGCGCCCTCG
CCCGCTCTCGAGGTCGTCGGCGGACGGTCGACGGCGGCGACAGG
TGGAGACGTCCGGGCGCGCTGCTGGGACACCCGCAAGGCGTCAACGCACA
CCTCACGTTCGCGGATGAGCAGCAGCTACCTCGCCTCGGCTACGAACTTTGGGGC
AGCGAGGGCCGAGTCACGGTGGAGCCGGCGCTGTCGCGGCGCCCGCGACTTCCG
TGCCCGTGATCGGTCGACGGGCACCCAGACGGAGGAGATCCGGCTGGAGCC
CGCCGAGGAGGATCGGGGCGGCTCGGCTTCGTCACCGGCTTCCCGGCGCC
CGGTCGGCGGTCGCTGGGGACACCCGTGC CGCCAGCGGCGAGTGGCTGGACGAC
GTACGCGCTCTGTCCGCGATGA
5.9 UrdZ3

5.9.1 Plasmid Map of pET28a-UrdZ3- N His6x
5.9.2 Plasmid Map of pET22b-UrdZ3- N His6x

5.9.3 urdZ3 DNA Sequence

ATGGTCGTCTGGGAAGCGGCCGTGGCCACATCGGCCGACGCCT
TCGCCGCAGGAGGCCGGGTTTCGACGCACGGGTACCGGAAACAGCTCGGACC
GTACTCCACGGCGCCGACCAGGGGTCCCGCACGACACCCATCGACCTGCT
GACGACCACCGCAGGAGTCCGCCGAGTTCTCGGCTCGGTCGGGCACCGAT
GTCGTGTCGAACGCAGCGCGCCGTCGGCCTGACCAGGAGAGACGAGGCCCAGATGA
CCCGGGGCAACTGCTCTGCGCTCCTCGCACTGGCCGACCGAGAAGCATCAGAG
GACAGCAGACCGGCTCGACGAGACGCAGACGGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAG
GTCGTGTCGAACGCAGCGCGCCGTCGGCCTGACCAGGAGAGACGAGGCCCAGATGA
CCCGGGGCAACTGCTCTGCGCTCCTCGCACTGGCCGACCGAGAAGCATCAGAG
GACAGCAGACCGGCTCGACGAGACGCAGACGGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAG
6. REFERENCES


and the elucidation of the assembly of their saccharide chains, *Chembiochem* 10, 1392-1401.


7. VITA

Stevi Weidenbach

EDUCATION

Bachelor of Science in Biology, GPA: 3.39/4.0  May 2012
Cellular/Molecular/Genetics Track
Minor in Chemistry
Northern Kentucky University, Highland Heights KY

EXPERIENCE

Graduate Research Assistant  August 2012-Present
Primary Investigator Dr. Jürgen Rohr
University of Kentucky, Lexington KY

Undergraduate Research Assistant  June 2010 - May 2012
Northern Kentucky University, Highland Heights KY
Research Advisor Dr. Isabelle Lagadic

PUBLICATIONS


