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ABSTRACT

The present invention relates to the use of nanoscintillation systems, or nanoparticles containing fluor molecules, that can be used to detect an electron-emitting or alpha-particle-emitting radioisotope in the absence of organic-solvents commonly used in organic-based liquid scintillation cocktails. The invention also relates to compositions and use of three oil-in-water microemulsion precursors that can be engineered rapidly, reproducibly, and cost-effectively to produce useful nanoparticles less than 100 nanometers.
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Figure 1

Graph showing the nanoparticle size (nm) as a function of Polyoxyl 20 Stearyl Ether (mM) in Microemulsion Nanotemplates.
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![Bar graph showing nanoparticle size (nm) for different curing methods A, B, and C.](image-url)
Figure 3

![Graph showing nanoparticle size for curing methods A, B, and C. The y-axis represents nanoparticle size (nm) ranging from 0 to 100, with bars indicating the size for each curing method. The graph shows that method A has the highest nanoparticle size, followed by B and C.]
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![Graph showing nanoparticle size vs. final concentration of PPO in water (mg/mL).](image)
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![Bar graph showing the particle size (nm) of solid nanoparticles at different ratios of ASF-pal/Nanoparticles (w/w).]
Figure 6

Counts vs. Amount of Radioactivity

- Cocktail (cpm): $y = 1061.1x - 1778.1$, $R^2 = 0.9977$
- Nanosuspension (cpm): $y = 276.37x + 466.57$, $R^2 = 0.9997$
NANOSCINTILLATION SYSTEMS FOR AQUEOUS-BASED LIQUID SCINTILLATION COUNTING


FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to nanotechnology and more particularly relates to the use of nanotechnology to overcome solubility problems in pharmaceutical drug delivery.

The present invention relates to nanoparticles containing fluor molecules to detect beta-particle emitting radioisotopes without the use of commonly employed organic-based scintillation cocktails. Although organic solvent-based scintillation cocktails are efficient, the disposal of the large quantities of “mixed” (radioactive and organic) waste generated by the use of these cocktails presents an economical and environmental challenge. Nanoscintillation systems, or nanoparticles containing fluor molecules, can be fabricated from different oil-in-water microemulsion precursors, permanently suspended in aqueous-based media, and used to detect electron or alpha-particle emitting radioisotopes in the absence of any organic-based solvents.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

As early as 1937, it was observed that certain organic materials fluoresced following excitation from external sources. Approximately 10 years later, it was demonstrated that radioactive sources could induce scintillations in aromatic solvents that contained certain solutes. These early beginnings of “liquid scintillation counting” led to rapid advances in counting instrumentation (most notably the coincidence method) and to the development of scintillation “cocktails.” Many of the solvent-fluor combinations developed during the early work on scintillation cocktails are still in use today. Since most of the efficient fluor were non-polar, organic aromatic compounds, the primary solvents used to solubilize the fluor were also non-polar and aromatic. Further desirable properties such as high energy transfer capabilities and favorable chemical characteristics (freezing, boiling and flash point) led to the use of toluene and xylene as the most widely employed primary solvents in scintillation cocktails.

The counting of aqueous samples containing β-emitting radionuclides presented challenges in the development of suitable cocktails. Two approaches to overcoming the immiscibility of the aqueous samples and the organic cocktail solvents were studied. The initial approach involved dispersing organic fluor molecules in an aqueous solution that could be easily mixed with the aqueous sample to be counted. An examination of this approach reveals that several strategies for dispersing fluor were attempted and that some success was achieved.

Steinberg described a scintillation counting system in which a finely divided fluor, e.g. anthracene crystals, was dispersed in an aqueous solution (Steinberg, D. Radiocassay of carbon-14 in aqueous solutions using a liquid scintillation spectrometer. Nature. 182:740—741, 1958) By achieving intimate contact between the sample and the fluor, many problems related to insolubility of the sample in organic solvents or to chemical quenching were eliminated. Myers and Brush reported the use of blue-violet grade anthracene particles coated with detergents as efficient systems for counting aqueous samples (Myers, L. S. Brush, A. H. Counting of alpha and beta radiation in aqueous solutions by the detergent-anthracene scintillation method. Anal. Chem. 34:342—245, 1962) Work was also carried out in which a product known as “Pilot B” was employed; this product was composed of a polyvinyltoluene host containing p-terphenyl and diphenylstilbene as fluor (Harrah, L. A., Powell, R. C. Dose rate saturation in plastic scintillators. In: Organic Scintillators and Liquid Scintillation Counting. Ed. D. L. Horrocks and C. T. Peng. Academic Press. New York. p. 266, 1971) Either beads or filaments of Pilot B were packed into vials and covered with aqueous samples containing β-emitting radionuclides. Reasonable counting efficiencies were obtained with these systems. Detectors containing suspended scintillators ultimately found usefulness in flow-through cells used to detect β-emitting radionuclides in liquid chromatography effluents (Schram, E. Flow-monitoring of aqueous solutions containing weak β-emitters. In: The Current Status of Liquid Scintillation Counting. Ed. E. D. Bransome. Gruene and Stratton. New York. pp. 95—109, 1970) Finally, a system employing the formation of micellar suspensions for scintillation counting was reported by Ewer, M. J., Harding, N. G. L. Micellar scintillators: A rational approach to the design of stable assay solvents for liquid scintillation counting. In: Liquid Scintillation Counting. Volume 3. Ed. M. A. Crook and P. Johnson. Heveden & Son. London. pp. 220—233, 1974. The authors referred to work on micelles in aqueous systems, but ultimately settled on inverted micelles in organic solvents; in both cases, the fluor were located in the organic phase.

The disadvantages of these systems included maintaining the stability of the dispersion, and maintaining intimate contact between the radioactive sample and the fluor molecule. In addition, for some of these systems, it was evident that the addition of the aqueous radioactive sample could have untoward effects on the ability of the system to reliably quantify the amount of radioactivity in the sample. When the fluor molecule was protected from the sample, as in the case of filaments and modern flow-through cells, a distinct advantage was the marked reduction in chemical quenching, although optical quenching (self-quenching) was still a potential problem.

This approach was ultimately abandoned in favor of the alternative approach in which aqueous samples were mixed or solubilized in organic solvents into which fluor had been dissolved. Initially, this was accomplished by employing secondary solvents that were miscible with both water and toluene (e.g., alcohols, dioxane). Ultimately, a series of new surfactants were developed that allowed the emulsification of aqueous samples in organic cocktail solvents in sufficient quantities. This is still the basic technology employed when researchers use liquid scintillation counting to quantify the amount of radioactivity in aqueous samples. Commercially available cocktails may contain combinations of solvents, emulsifying agents and primary and secondary fluor. Although widely used, these cocktails have several shortcomings. Among these are that impurities in aqueous samples can lead to significant chemical and optical quenching; this can also occur as a result of the significant quantities of dissolved oxygen frequently found in aqueous samples. The emulsifying agents themselves can interact...
with fluor molecules resulting in significant quenching; the same can occur with solubilizing agents used to solubilize certain samples such as tissues or electrophoretic gels. Organic solvents and bases can interact with plastic scintillation vials producing wall effects. However, the greatest problem involves the disposal of the large quantities of "mixed" (radioactive and organic) waste generated by liquid scintillation counting. For example, a 1990 report commissioned by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the Environment Protection Agency titled “National Profile on Commercially Generated Low-Level Radioactive Mixed Waste” (NUREG/CR-5938) demonstrated the extent of the problem. Based on the report, 140,000 ft³ of mixed waste was generated by industry and academia in the United States in 1990 alone. Of this, approximately 100,000 ft³ or 71% was hazardous organic liquid scintillation fluid containing low-level long-lived mixed radioactive waste.

The most common fluor molecule used in organic-based cocktails is 2,5-diphenyloxazole (PPO), which is classified as “water-insoluble”. Further, PPO has the highest quantum yield (φ, 0.83) of the four primary fluor molecules shown in Table 1. For liquid scintillation counting, the optimal concentration of PPO dissolved in toluene or xylene is 5–7 mg/mL.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scintillator</th>
<th>Optimum Fluor [mg/mL]</th>
<th>Fluorescence Maximum [nm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,5-diphenyloxazole (PPO)</td>
<td>5–7</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-bis[5-phenyl-1,3,4-oxadiazole (PBD)</td>
<td>8–10</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-(4-tert-butylphenyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole butyl-PBD)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,5-bis[5-tert-butyl-2-benzoxazolyl]thiophene (BBOT)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secondary</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4-bis[5-phenyloxazol-2-yl]benzene (POPOP)</td>
<td>0.05–0.2</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4-bis[2-methylstyryl]benzene (bis-MSB)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

U.S. Pat. No. 4,588,698 by Gruner et al. teaches the use of polyvinyltoluene microspheres containing solid phase scintillators that are coated with carbohydrate materials that provide a selective permeable coating for radioimmunoassays. A specific requirement is that the microspheres have a diameter of at least 1 micrometer (1000 nanometers), and more preferably have a "width at least as wide as the range of radioactivity of said radiation". As a result of the selective permeable coating and the large size of the microspheres, the radiation detection system would be able to detect more diffusible lower molecular weight compounds with little or no interference from less diffusible higher molecular weight compounds. Gruner et al. do not teach the use of nanoparticles containing fluor molecules made from oil-in-water microemulsion precursors wherein said nanoparticles have diameters less than 100 nanometers and that are permanently suspended in an aqueous medium.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,512,753 by Thomson et al. describe the use of scintillator capsules wherein a liquid scintillator core is encapsulated within a shell made from a polymer such as melamine formaldehyde or polymethyl methacrylate. Thomson et al. teach the use of scintillator capsules having diameters from 0.1–10,000 micrometers made by “mechanical/physical processes or chemical processes” such as spray-coating, pan coating, fluid-bed coating, and interfacial polymerization or other chemical techniques that occur as an “emulsion or dispersion”. A preferred embodiment of the Thomson et al. invention is that greater than 99% of the scintillator core comprises aromatic liquid solvent(s) such as toluene or xylene that has dissolved primary fluor molecule in the range of 0.01 to 5.0% w/w and dissolved secondary fluor molecule in the range of 0.001 to 0.5% w/w.

Thomson et al. do not teach the use of nanoparticles containing solid fluor molecules made from oil-in-water microemulsion precursors wherein said nanoparticles have diameters less than 100 nanometers and that are permanently suspended in an aqueous medium. Further, Thomson et al. do not teach the use of a system that is free of organic solvents. Finally, Thomson et al. do not teach the use of a detection system that may comprise up to 33% w/w fluor molecule.

U.S. Pat. No. 4,127,499 by Chen et al. describes the use of polymeric particles derived from a latex that are coated with at least one uniformly dispersed fluor wherein said latex particles have a diameter no greater than 0.2 micrometers. Chen et al. teaches the use of “substantially dry” systems wherein at least 80% by weight of water has been removed. Chen et al. further teach a method of preparing the fluor-coated latex particles by adding fluor molecule dissolved in a water-miscible solvent to latex particles with subsequent addition of water to force the fluor molecules into or onto the latex particles. Chen et al. further teach a method of coating the prepared system onto a solid support such as paper or film. Chen et al. do not teach the use of nanoparticles containing fluor molecules made from oil-in-water microemulsion precursors wherein said nanoparticles have diameters less than 100 nanometers and that are permanently suspended in an aqueous medium. Chen et al. further do not teach the use of nanoparticles containing high concentrations of fluor molecules that are formed in a one-step process and immediately usable.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,250,236 by Gasco describes the use of solid lipid microspheres that are formed by diluting one volume of the mixture of molten lipid substance, water, surfactant and possibly a co-surfactant to 100 volumes of cold water. Gasco teaches the preparation of microspheres smaller than one micrometer and in particular between 50–800 nanometers, and preferably between 100 and 400 nanometers. Gasco also teaches the preparation of microspheres wherein said solid lipid microspheres may contain a pharmacologically active substance, such as a drug. Gasco does not teach the use of nanoparticles containing fluor molecules made from oil-in-water microemulsion precursors wherein said nanoparticles are formed from oil-in-water microemulsions directly by cooling or by polymerization with no dilution of the most useful system.

As illustrated, the references described above appear to lack preferred compositions and properties for an effective and useful system to detect beta-particle emitting radiotopes. Namely, the references do not describe or teach the use of oil-in-water microemulsion precursors wherein the oil-phase of the microemulsion contains high concentrations of fluor molecules wherein said oil-in-water microemulsions are subsequently treated, or cured, to produce stable, permanently suspended nanoparticles having diameters less than 1000 nanometers, or even less than 100 nanometers. These useful nanoscintillation systems can be engineered in a one-step process and used to detect beta-particle emitting radiotopes.

**SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

In one respect, the invention involves a nanoscintillation system including nanoparticles suspended in an aqueous
vehicle. The nanoparticles include: at least one nanoparticle matrix material, at least one surfactant or co-surfactant or a mixture thereof, and at least one primary or secondary fluor molecule or a mixture thereof. The nanoparticles can have a diameter less than 300 nanometers. The nanoparticles can have a diameter less than 100 nanometers. The nanoscentillation system can also include an electron-emitting or alpha-particle-emitting radioisotope. The electron-emitting or alpha-particle-emitting radioisotope can be free or attached to one or more molecules in the aqueous vehicle. The nanoscentillation system can also include one or more ligands coupled to one or more of the nanoparticles. The one or more ligands can include a protein, carbohydrate, or a combination thereof. The nanoparticle matrix material can include emulsifying wax, a polyoxyethylene sorbitan fatty acid ester, a polyoxyethylene alkyl ether, a polyoxyethylene stearate, or polystyrene or its derivative or copolymer thereof. The surfactant or co-surfactant can include a polyoxyethylene alkyl ether, a polyoxyethylene sorbitan fatty acid ester, a polyoxyethylene stearate, an alkylated alcohol or its derivative thereof, or an alcohol. The surfactants can be present at a total concentration of 1—5000 mM. The surfactants can be present at a total concentration of 1—300 mM. The primary fluor molecule can include 2,5-diphenylloxazole (PPO), 2-(4-biphenyl)-5-(4-tert-butylphenyl)-1,3,4-oxadizole (PBD), 2-(4-biphenyl)-5-(4-tert-butyl phenyl)-1,3,4-oxadizole (butyl-PBD), 2,5-bis(5-tert-butyl-2-benzoxazolyl) thiophene (BBOT), or derivatives or combinations thereof. The secondary fluor molecule can include 1,4-bis(5-phenyloxazol-2-yl)benzene (POPOP), 1,4-bis(2-methylstyryl)benzene (bis-MSB), or derivatives or combinations thereof. The primary fluor molecules can be present at a total concentration of at least 1 mg/mL. Water can be at least 50% of the total weight of the nanoscentillation system.

In another respect, the invention involves a method for scintillation measurement. A nanoscentillation system as described above (a nanoscentillation system including nanomaterials suspended in an aqueous vehicle, the nanoparticles including: at least one nanoparticle matrix material, at least one surfactant or co-surfactant or a mixture thereof, and at least one primary or secondary fluor molecule or a mixture thereof) is obtained, and scintillation associated with the nanoscentillation system is measured.

In another respect, the invention involves a method for making a nanoscentillation system. A liquid nanoparticle matrix material is dispersed with a fluor molecule in an aqueous continuous phase to form a surfactant stabilized microemulsion. The surfactant stabilized microemulsion is cooled to room temperature while stirring.

In another respect, the invention involves a method of making a nanoparticle useful for scintillation. A nanoparticle matrix material is obtained. The nanoparticle matrix material is melted to form a liquid dispersed phase. The fluor molecule is dispersed into the liquid dispersed phase. The liquid dispersed phase is dispersed, including the fluor molecule, in an aqueous continuous phase to form a surfactant stabilized microemulsion. The microemulsion is cooled while stirring to form a solid stable nanoparticle having a diameter of less than about 300 nanometers, which includes the fluor molecule either entrapped in or adsorbed to the nanoparticle. The melting can occur at a temperature between about 35°C and about 100°C. The cooling can include cooling with no dilution in water.

In another respect, the invention involves a method of making a nanoscentillation system. A liquid nanoparticle matrix material is dispersed with a fluor molecule in an aqueous continuous phase to form a surfactant stabilized microemulsion, and the liquid nanoparticle matrix material is polymerized by free-radical polymerization. The free-radical polymerization can be performed by heating the surfactant stabilized microemulsion, by adding a free-radical initiator, or by a combination thereof. The method can also include concentrating the nanoscentillation system. The concentrating step can include comprising centrifugal ultrafiltration.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 Particle size of cured nanoparticles made from oil-in-water microemulsion precursors as a function of the final concentration of polymeric surfactant used in the process.

FIG. 2 The effect of three different curing methods of oil-in-water microemulsion precursors on the resulting par-
particle size of polyoxyethylene 2 stearyl ether nanoparticles. Polyoxyethylene 2 stearyl ether is sold under the trademark Brj 72. Method A) cooling of the undiluted oil-in-water microemulsion at 55° C. to room temperature while stirring, Method B) cooling of the oil-in-water microemulsion at 55° C. by placing undiluted in a refrigerator at 4° C., and Method C) diluting (1/10) the oil-in-water microemulsion at 55° C. with water at 4° C.

FIG. 3 The effect of three different curing methods of oil-in-water microemulsion precursors on the resulting particle size of Emulsifying Wax nanoparticles. Method A) cooling of the undiluted oil-in-water microemulsion at 55° C. to room temperature while stirring, Method B) cooling of the oil-in-water microemulsion at 55° C. by placing undiluted in a refrigerator at 4° C., and Method C) diluting (1/10) the oil-in-water microemulsion at 55° C. with water at 4° C.

FIG. 4 Particle size of cured nanosuspensions systems made from oil-in-water microemulsion precursors as a function of the final concentration of PPO (2,5-diphenyloxazole) in water. For all preparations, the ratio of nanoparticle matrix material to PPO was 2:1 w/w.

FIG. 5 Particle size of cured solid nanoparticles coated with different amounts of a ligand, asialofetuin-palmitate (ASF-pal).

FIG. 6 The effect of nanoparticle concentration on the counting efficiency of a nanosuspension system.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to the engineering of nanoparticles, containing fluor molecules, that are permanently suspended in aqueous-based media and used to detect either electron-emitting isotopes or alpha-particle emitting radioisotopes. Electron emitting isotopes (e.g., 3H and 14C) or alpha-particle emitting isotopes in samples generated during experiments are typically aqueous in nature and must be mixed with an organic solvent containing fluor molecules (scintillators) to form an emulsion-based cocktail. The most efficient fluor molecules have very low water solubilities, necessitating the use of organic solvents such as toluene or xylene. Although very efficient at quantifying radioactivity in the samples, there are several problems associated with this technology. The amount of an aqueous sample that can be added to a cocktail solution is finite and may be a limiting factor with regard to sensitivity. In addition, after they are used, radioactive organic cocktails present a significant waste disposal problem in terms of cost and environmental hazards. The development of an aqueous-based scintillation cocktail would provide tremendous scientific, economical and environmental advantages over the traditionally used organic-based cocktails.

The very small particle size of the nanosuspension systems, or nanoparticles less than 100 nanometers containing fluor molecules, would theoretically aid in the detection efficiency of the most commonly used β− particles, 3H and 14C. For example, β− particles are observed to have uniform, low specific ionization (6E/6x) except at the end of its path. The range of a 10 keV β− particle in water is estimated to be 2.5 μm (Horrocks, D. L. In: Applications of Liquid Scintillation Counting. Academic Press. New York. p. 16, 1964). If nanoparticles with an average diameter of 50 nm are dispersed in an aqueous solution at a concentration of 2 mg/mL, then it can be calculated that the average distance between particles in that solution is 0.32 μm. Thus, it is expected that β− particles emitted from 3H and 14C that are uniformly distributed in this nanoparticle suspension will have a high probability of interacting with a fluor molecule.

This invention also relates to the use of oil-in-water microemulsions as precursors to engineer solid nanoparticles containing fluor molecules. It was discovered that very poorly water soluble drugs could be easily solubilized in the oil-phase of the microemulsion precursor and subsequently entrapped in nanoparticles engineered from said microemulsion precursors. For example, it was discovered that the solubility of Gadolinium acetylatedate (GdAcAc), a potential anti-cancer agent, in water could effectively be increased by at least 4000-fold using the methods described in this invention. Specifically, the solubility of GdAcAc is only 1 mg per 2000 mL water. However, utilizing the said methods described in this invention to entrap GdAcAc in stable nanoparticles having diameters of about 50 nanometers, only 1 milliliter of water is required to solubilize 2 mg GdAcAc. It was hypothesized that said methods could be applied to solubilize fluor (scintillator) molecules known to have very limited or no aqueous solubility, and thus, eliminate the need for organic solvent-based liquid scintillation cocktails.

An additional advantage of this invention over existing technology is that the described nanosuspensions systems can be engineered rapidly, reproducibly, and cost-effectively in a one-step process contained in one manufacturing vessel, vial, or container.

As used herein, certain terms may have the following defined meanings.

As used in the specifications and claims, the singular form a, an, and the include plural references unless the context clearly dictates otherwise. For example, the term a nanoparticle may refer to one more nanoparticles for use in the presently disclosed systems.

As used herein, the term “solubility” refers to the extent to which a solute is dissolved in a solvent. Solubility can be described in terms such as described in REMINGTON’S PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES ranging from very soluble (less than 1 part of solvent per 1 part of solute) to insoluble (more than 10,000 parts of solvent for 1 part of solute). The term “water-insoluble” refers to a substance or solute where more than 10,000 parts of water are needed to dissolve 1 part of solute.

The term “nanoparticle” refers to particles have diameters below 1 micrometer in diameter that are comprised of primarily one solid phase. “Stable nanoparticles” remain largely unaffected by environmental factors such as temperature, pH, body fluids, or body tissues. However, solid nanoparticles may be designed to respond to these environmental factors in a controlled and predictable manner. The solid nanoparticles may contain many different materials for various pharmaceutical and engineering applications such as plasmid DNA for gene therapy and genetic vaccines, peptides and proteins or small drug molecules, magnetic substances for use as nanomagnets, lubricants, or chemical, thermal, or biological sensors. It is also envisioned that fluor molecules may be entrapped or coated on any submicron particle, including but not limited to the following, liposome, micelle, polymeric nanoparticle, precipitated particle, or particles formed by radiation, free-radical polymerization, milling, homogenization, or microfluidization.

As used herein, the term “nanoparticle matrix material” refers to those materials that can form both the shell and majority of the weight composition of the said nanoparticle. Two types of matrix materials are envisioned, both serving as the oil-phase in the oil-in-water microemulsion precursor. The first matrix materials are those materials that are ampli-
pathic in nature (having both hydrophilic and hydrophobic moieties), are primarily water-insoluble, and that melt above room temperature in the range of 30–100°C, more preferably in the range of 40–90°C, and most preferably in the range of 40°C–80°C. It is envisioned that these materials can be any substance meeting the above criteria and that are a wax, lipid, polymeric surfactant, or combinations thereof. It is most preferred, but not absolutely required, that these materials are selected from the following: emulsifying wax, polyoxyethylene sorbitan fatty acid esters, polyoxyethylene alkyl ethers, polyoxyethylene stearates, or low molecular weight polystyrene, polyvinyltoluene, or its derivatives or copolymers of aromatic polymers thereof. The second matrix materials are those materials that are liquids at room temperature (i.e., <30°C), and that can become a solid by free-radical polymerization from within the oil-phase of the oil-in-water microemulsion; wherein said polymerization process may be induced by any method including, but not limited to, heat, change in temperature, light, ultraviolet radiation, free-radical initiators, or combinations thereof. It is most preferred, but not absolutely required, that these materials are selected from the following: styrene, divinyl benzene, toluene, or their derivatives or combinations thereof.

As used herein, the term “permanently suspended” refers to nanoparticles, engineered from said oil-in-water microemulsion precursors, that remain suspended in aqueous media such as water or buffer for at least one month at room temperature and that cannot be settled by ultracentrifugation treatment at 50,000 rpm for 5 minutes.

As used herein, the term “ligand” refers to those substances that are recognized and bind to a specific molecule, a cell-receptor, an antibody, an anti-antibody, or combinations thereof. It is preferred that the ligand be a monoclonal or polyclonal antibody. The ligand may be attached onto said nanoparticles by any number of processes including, but not limited to, covalent attachment, ionic interaction, hydrophobic interaction, and hydrogen bonding. It is also envisioned that the ligand may be chemically modified to enhance the attachment of said ligand to said nanoparticle to either increase the efficiency of detection or selectively detecting one or more radioactive molecules from other molecules.

As used herein, a “microemulsion” is a stable biphasic mixture of two immiscible liquids stabilized by a surfactant and usually a co-surfactant. Microemulsions are thermodynamically stable, isotropically clear, form spontaneously without excessive mixing, and have dispersed droplets in the range of 5 nm to 140 nm. In contrast, emulsions are opaque mixtures of two immiscible liquids. Emulsions are thermodynamically unstable systems are usually require the application of high-torque mechanical mixing or homogenization to produce dispersed droplets in the range of 0.2 to 25 μm. Both microemulsions and emulsions can be made as water-in-oil or oil-in-water systems. Whether water-in-oil or oil-in-water systems will form is largely influenced by the properties of the surfactant. The use of surfactants that have hydrophilic-lipophilic balances (HLB) of 3–6 tend to promote the formation of water-in-oil microemulsions while those with HLB values of 8–18 tend to promote the formation of oil-in-water microemulsions.

Microemulsions were first described by Hoar and Schuman in 1943 after they observed that a medium chain alcohol could be added to an emulsion to produce a clear system within a defined “window”, now referred to as a microemulsion window. A unique physical aspect of microemulsions is the very low interfacial surface tension (γ) between the dispersed and continuous phases. In a microemulsion, the small size of the dispersed droplets present a very large interfacial area. A thermodynamically stable microemulsion can only be made if the interfacial surface tension is low enough so that the positive interfacial energy (γA, where A equals the interfacial area) can be balanced by the negative free energy of mixing (ΔG_m). The limiting γ value needed to produce a stable microemulsion with a dispersed droplet of 10 nm, for example, can be calculated as follows: ΔG_m = -RTAS_m (where T is the temperature and the entropy of mixing ΔS_m is of the order of the Boltzmann constant k_B). Thus, γ = k_BT/4πr^2 and the limiting γ value is calculated to be γ = 0.03 mN m⁻¹. Often, a co-surfactant is required in addition to the surfactant to achieve this limiting interfacial surface tension.

In addition to their unique properties as mentioned above, microemulsions have several key advantages for use as delivery systems intended for use in marketed pharmaceutical products, namely; i) increased solubility and stability of drugs incorporated into the dispersed phase, ii) increased absorption of drugs across biological membranes, iii) ease and economy of scale-up (since expensive mixing equipment is often not needed), and iv) rapid assessment of the physical stability of the microemulsion (due to the inherent nature of the system). For example, oil-in-water microemulsions have been used to increase the solubility of lipophilic drugs into formulations that are primarily aqueous-based (Constantinides, P. P. Lipid microemulsions for improving drug dissolution and oral absorption: physical and biopharmaceutical aspects. Pharm. Res. 12:1561–1572, 1995). Both oil-in-water and water-in-oil microemulsions have been shown to enhance the oral bioavailability of drugs using peptides (Bhargava, H. N., Narurkar, A. Lieh, L. M. Using microemulsions for drug delivery. Pharm. Tech. March 46–53, 1987; Ho H. O., Hsiao, C. C., Sheu, M. T. Preparation of microemulsions using polyglycerol fatty acid esters as surfactant for the delivery of protein drugs. J. Pharm. Sci. 85:138–143, 1996; Constantinides, P. P. Lipid microemulsions for improving drug dissolution and oral absorption: physical and biopharmaceutical aspects. Pharm. Res. 12:1561–1572, 1995).

Although microemulsions have many potential advantages they do have potential limitations, namely; a) they are complex systems and often require more development time, b) a large number of the proposed surfactants/co-surfactants are not pharmaceutically acceptable (Constantinides, P. P. Lipid microemulsions for improving drug dissolution and oral absorption: physical and biopharmaceutical aspects. Pharm. Res. 12:1561–1572, 1995), c) the microemulsions are not stable in biological fluids due to phase inversion. Thus, the microemulsions themselves are not effective in delivering drugs intracellularly or targeting drugs to different cells in the body. The development of a microemulsion involves the very careful selection and titration of the dispersed phase, the continuous phase, the surfactant and the co-surfactant. Time consuming pseudo-phase ternary diagrams involving the preparation of a large number of samples must be generated to find the existence of the ‘microemulsion window’, if any. In general, a water-in-oil microemulsion is typically much easier to prepare than an oil-in-water microemulsion. The former system is useful for formulating water-soluble peptides and proteins to increase their stability and absorption while the latter system is preferred for formulating drugs with little or no aqueous solubility.

Used herein a “surfactant” refers to a surface-active agent, including substances commonly referred to as wetting agents, dispersants or detergents.
agents, detergents, dispersing agents, or emulsifying agents. For the purposes of this invention, it is preferred that the surfactant has an HLB value of 6–20, and most preferred that the surfactant has an HLB value of 8–18. It is preferred, but not required, that the surfactant is selected from the following groups: polyoxyethylene alkyl ethers, polyoxyethylene stearates, alkoxylated alcohols sold under the trademark TRITON or its derivatives thereof, or an alcohol. It is also most preferred that the surfactant has an HLB value of 8–18 and has an aromatic moiety such as found in octylphenol ethoxylates sold under the trademark TRITON X-100 or alkoxylates sold under the trademark TRITON N-57 (n-Alkyl phenyl polyethylene oxide; HLB=10). A “co-surfactant” refers to a surface-active agent, including substances commonly referred to as wetting agents, detergents, dispersing agents, or emulsifying agents. It is preferred, but not required, that the co-surfactant is selected from the following groups: polyoxyethylene alkyl ethers, polyoxyethylene stearate fatty acid esters, polyoxyethylene stearates, or alkoxylated alcohols sold under the trademark TRITON or its derivatives thereof. It is most preferred that the co-surfactants are short-chained alcohols such as 1-butanol, 2-pentanol, and 1-phenoxethanol, or combinations thereof. It is also preferred that the total concentration of surfactant and/or co-surfactant present in both the oil-in-water microemulsion precursor and the nanocapsulation system is in the range of 1–5000 mM, more preferably in the range of 1–1000 mM, and most preferably in the range of 1–300 mM. It is envisioned that any surfactant, co-surfactant, or combination thereof, that promotes the formation of an oil-in-water microemulsion may be useful for this invention.


As used herein, the term “microemulsion precursor” refers to an oil-in-water microemulsion wherein the oil-phase droplets serves a precursor, or template, to form solid nanoparticles after subjecting said microemulsion to a curing process. The “curing” process or method involves either the direct cooling of the said microemulsion to room temperature without dilution, or by free-radical polymerization induced by heat or the inclusion of a free-radical initiator, or combinations thereof.

As used herein, the term “nanoscintillation” system refers to solid nanoparticles suspended in an aqueous vehicle wherein said nanoparticles are comprised of a nanoparticle matrix material, at least one surfactant or co-surfactant or a mixture thereof, and at least one primary or secondary fluor molecule or a mixture thereof. It is preferred that the nanoparticles have a diameter of less than 1000 nanometers and are present in the system at a concentration from 0.1–500 mg/mL, even more preferably that the nanoparticles have a diameter of less than 300 nanometers and are present in the system at a concentration from 0.1–300 mg/mL. It is most preferred that the nanoparticles have a diameter of less than 100 nanometers and are present in the system at a concentration from 0.1–10 mg/mL. It is also envisioned that fluor molecules may be entrapped or coated on any submicron particle, including but not limited to the following: liposome, micelle, polymeric nanoparticle, precipitated particle, or particles formed by radiation, free-radical polymerization, milling, homogenization, or microfluidization. Nanoscintillation systems may be used to detect an electron-emitting or alpha-particle emitting radioisotope or radioisotopes, free or attached to a molecule or molecules in an aqueous vehicle. Further, it is envisioned that said nanoscintillation systems may be used as detection systems in chromatographic analytical methods such as any type of liquid chromatography (LC) or in scintillation proximity assays (SPAs) or in radiimmo assays (RIAs). It is further envisioned that any type of electron may be detected such as, but not limited to, beta-particles, Auger electrons, and internal conversion electrons.

As used herein, “fluor” molecules, or scintillators, refer to organic molecules that may be excited by energy to become fluorescent and emit photons. Fluor molecules that
directly absorb the excitation of energy of the solvent are known as "primary fluor" molecules. "Secondary fluor" molecules are often added to amplify the primary emissions. The use of a secondary fluor molecule often results in greatly improved detection efficiency. For this invention, it is preferred, but not required that the primary fluor molecules are selected from the following: 2,5-diphenyloxazole (PPO), 2-(4-biphenylyl)-5-phenyl-1,3,4-oxadiazole (PBD), 2-(4-biphenylyl)-5-(4-tert-butylphenyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole (butoxy-PBD), or 2,6-bis(5-tert-butyl-2-benzoxazolyl)thiophene (BBOT), or combinations thereof. For this invention, it is preferred, but not required that the secondary fluor molecules are selected from the following: 1,4-bis(5-phenylxazol-2-yl)benzene (POPOP) or derivatives thereof, or 1,4-bis(2-methylstyryl)benzene (bis-MSB), or combinations thereof.

Nanoscintillation systems can be evaluated by many methods including the following three measurements: (1) the Figure of Merit, (2) the Phase Contact factor and (3) the Quench Resistance factor. The Figure of Merit (FOM) will be determined using the following equation:

\[ FOM = \frac{E}{V \times B} \]

where E = Counting Efficiency = cpm/dpm
V = Sample Volume
B = Background Counting Efficiency

Counting Efficiency can be determined by adding a known amount of radioactivity (dpm) (such as \(^{14}\)C-acetic acid or \(^{3}\)H-acetic acid in phosphate buffer, pH 7) to nanoscintillation systems and measuring the number of counts (cpm) detected. No automatic quench correction parameter has to be employed.

The Phase Contact (PC) factor can be determined using the following equation:

\[ PC = \frac{E_c}{E} \]

where \( E_c \) is the efficiency of counting in a homogenous system.

Radiolabeled benzoic acid can be used since benzoic acid has measurable solubility in both water and toluene. A known amount of \(^{14}\)C-benzoic acid can be added to a nanoscintillation suspension, and the detection efficiency (E) can be measured. The same amount of \(^{14}\)C-benzoic acid can be added to a high-purity toluene solution into which has been dissolved an identical amount of nanoparticles that exist in the nanoscintillation suspension. The detection efficiency of this system, which can contain the same amount of fluor in the nanoscintillation suspension, is \( E_c \), which can then be used to calculate the PC factor. The E value obtained with the nanoscintillation suspension can be compared to the efficiency of detection obtained from a sample containing the same amount of \(^{14}\)C-benzoic acid added to the commercially-available Scintiverse BD cocktail.

Quench Resistance (QR) can be determined by the serial addition of a quenching agent (e.g., NaCl) to nanoscintillation Systems containing a fixed amount of \(^{14}\)C- or \(^{3}\)H-labeled acetic acid. Counting Efficiency (E) will be plotted as a function of quenching agent added; the QR factor is defined as the slope of that line. The lower the absolute value of the slope, the greater the quench resistance. This value can be compared to the QR factor measured for standard cocktails, such as ScintVerse cocktail. The Scintiverse cocktail contains the following ingredients:

- 2,5-diphenyloxazole (PPO; 0.37% w/w), dioctyl sodium sulfosuccinate (13% w/w), distearyl pentaerythritol diphasphite (0.03% w/w), ethylene oxide-phenolpolymer polymer (3.4% w/w), 1,4-bis-(2-methylphenyl)-ethyol-benzene (0.08% w/w), and C10-13-alkyl derivatives of benzene (82.8% w/w).

EXEMPLARY

The following examples are included to demonstrate specific, non-limiting embodiments of this disclosure. It should be appreciated by those of skill in the art that the techniques disclosed in the examples that follow represent techniques discovered by the inventors to function well in the practice of the invention, and thus can be considered to constitute specific modes for its practice. However, those of skill in the art should, in light of the present disclosure, appreciate that many changes can be made in the specific embodiments which are disclosed and still obtain a like or similar result without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention.

Example 1

To determine the existence of an oil-in-water microemulsion window for the microemulsion precursor, exactly two (2) milligrams of emulsifying wax were weighed accurately into ten separate 7-ml glass vials and melted at 50°C. A temperature-calibrated magnetic hot plate. Water (0.2 μl) was added (750–1000 μl) to form a homogeneous milky slurry in the stirring water at 50°C. To form the microemulsion precursor, the surfactant polyoxy 20 stearyl ether (100 mM) in water was added (0–250 μl) so that the final surfactant concentration ranged from 0 mM to 25 mM in the ten vials. The microemulsion precursor was then removed from heat (52–54°C) and allowed to cool to 25°C while stirring. When cooled, visual inspection showed that systems with final surfactant concentration less than 2.5 mM were precipitated, systems with final surfactant concentration between 2.5 mM and 10 mM were either very slightly turbid or clear, and systems with a final surfactant concentration greater than 10 mM were either very turbid or precipitated. Thus, an apparent microemulsion window was defined. One hundred (100) μl of each cooled system was taken and diluted with 900 μl water. The particle size of the diluted solid nanoparticles was determined using a Coulter N4 Plus Sub-Micron Particle Sizer at 20°C by scattering light at 90° for 120 seconds. The particle sizes of the cured solid nanoparticles as a function of surfactant concentration are shown in FIG. 1. The particle sizes of systems with no surfactant added could not be determined since the systems contained precipitates that were greater than 3000 nm in diameter. In general, the particle size results agreed with the visual observations and suggested the following: 1) solid nanoparticles less than 100 nm could be engineered from the liquid matrix oil-in-water microemulsion precursor, and 2) the resulting clarity and particle size was related to the final concentration of the surfactant used. The droplet size of the oil phase in the microemulsion nanotemplates made with a final surfactant concentration of 10 mM was measured at 55°C and was found to be 11±3 nm demonstrating that oil-in-water microemulsion precursor could be made. To determine if the measured droplet sizes in either the microemulsion nanotemplate or the cured solid nanoparticles were due to the presence of surfactant micelles, samples were made as described above with no emulsifying wax and with final surfactant concentrations ranging from 0 mM to 100 mM in water. Interestingly, no published critical micellar concent-
Preparation of polyoxyethylene 20 stearyl ether nanoparticles. Three separate samples of polyoxyethylene 20 stearyl ether nanoparticles were engineered using the following process. Polyoxyethylene 20 stearyl ether (2 mg) was melted at 50–55°C and dispersed in 970 microliters of water at the same temperature. Thirty microliters of solution of Tween 80 (10% v/v in water) was added to produce a clear oil-in-water microemulsion at approximately 55°C. The oil droplet size of liquid polyoxyethylene 20 stearyl ether was measured by photon correlation spectroscopy to be 22.2 ± 1.8 nanometers at approximately 55°C. Polyoxyethylene 20 stearyl ether nanoparticles were cured by three different methods as follows: Method A) cooling of the undiluted oil-in-water microemulsion at 55°C to room temperature while stirring, Method B) cooling of the oil-in-water microemulsion at 55°C by placing undiluted in a refrigerator at 4°C, and Method C) diluting (1/10) the oil-in-water microemulsion at 55°C with water at 4°C. The results as shown in FIG. 2 demonstrate that the method of curing had no effect on the size of nanoparticles formed. Further, Method A illustrated a key advantage of simply allowing the oil-in-water microemulsion to cool to room temperature to form useful solid nanoparticles. This method allows for rapid, reproducible, and cost-effective method to engineer useful nanoparticles.

Preparation of Emulsifying Wax nanoparticles. Three separate samples of emulsifying wax nanoparticles were engineered using the following process. Emulsifying wax (2 mg) was melted at 50–55°C and dispersed in 970 microliters of water at the same temperature. Thirty microliters 100 mM polyoxyethylene 20 stearyl ether, which is sold under the trademark Brij 78 were added to produce a clear oil-in-water microemulsion at approximately 55°C. The oil droplet size of liquid emulsifying wax was measured by photon correlation spectroscopy to be 24.5 ± 0.4 nanometers at approximately 55°C. Emulsifying nanoparticles were cured by three different methods as follows: Method A) cooling of the undiluted oil-in-water microemulsion at 55°C to room temperature while stirring, Method B) cooling of the oil-in-water microemulsion at 55°C by placing undiluted in a refrigerator at 4°C, and Method C) diluting (1/10) the oil-in-water microemulsion at 55°C with water at 4°C. The results as shown in FIG. 3 demonstrate that the method of curing had no effect on the size of nanoparticles formed. Further, Method A illustrated a key advantage of simply allowing the oil-in-water microemulsion to cool to room temperature to form useful solid nanoparticles. This method allows for rapid, reproducible, and cost-effective method to engineer useful nanoparticles.

Example 4

Preparation of nanoscintillation systems containing fluor molecules with a final concentration of 1 mg/mL. PPO (1 mg) was entrapped in and effectively solubilized in 2 mg nanoparticles made from emulsifying wax and a final polyoxyethylene 20 stearyl ether concentration of 10 mM. Thus, the weight composition of PPO was 33% w/w (or 1 mg PPO per 3 mg total weight). As measured by photon correlation spectroscopy (PCS), the entrapment of PPO in nanoparticles had no effective on particle size. Empty nanoparticles had a particle size of 65±23 nm and nanoparticles with PPO had a particle size of 65±24 nm. It was also confirmed that the nanoparticles containing PPO were formed directly from an oil-in-water microemulsion precursor since the droplet size of the matrix oil phase in the microemulsion at 52°C was measured by PCS to be 20±5 nm. The use of 1% phenoxethanol in water was also investigated as a possible energy transfer agent to enhance detection efficiency. The incorporation of phenoxethanol into the microemulsion precursors, and subsequently the cured nanoparticles, had no effect on resulting nanoparticle size or stability. The entrapment efficiency of PPO in cured nanoparticles can be determined by gel permeation chromatography by eluting 0.1–1.0 mL samples down high-packed Sephadex G-75 columns (9 cm×0.5 cm). In addition, the retention of PPO in cured nanoparticles can be monitored by placing GPC-eluted nanoparticles in sterile membrane dispodialyzer from Spectrum Laboratories (Rancho Dominguez, Calif.) with 10 kDa molecular weight cutoffs.

Example 5

Preparation of nanoscintillation systems containing fluor molecules with a final concentration of up to 4.3 mg/mL. In order to increase the concentration of PPO in the nanoparticles, the procedure described in Example 4 was followed to engineer nanoscintillation systems having PPO with a final concentration of up to 4.3 mg/mL. For all samples, the ratio of emulsifying wax to PPO was fixed at 2:1 w/w. As shown in FIG. 4, the entrapment of PPO in nanoscintillation systems had little or no effect on the resulting particle size of the nanoparticles measured within 30 minutes after preparation.

Example 6

The feasibility of using the prototype nanoparticles containing PPO as aqueous-based liquid scintillation counting system was demonstrated by adding 20 microliters of 14C-labeled sodium bicarbonate (NaH14CO3) to 3 mL samples and counting in a Packard 2200 CA Tri-Carb Liquid Scintillation Analyzer (see Table 2 below).
The results demonstrated that it was feasible to detect radioactively labeled nanoparticles using the nanoScintillation system, although the efficiency of detection of $^{14}$C was only ~1–10% of that obtained using organic-based cocktails. These commercial cocktails are highly optimized systems containing PPO at a concentration of 5–7 mg/mL, emulsifying agents, and secondary fluor molecules such as bis-MSB and POPOP. The low efficiency observed with the initial nanoScintillation system employed is not surprising when one considers the materials of which the nanoparticles were composed (i.e., emulsifying wax and polyoxyethylene 20 stearyl ether). These materials might be expected to be chemical quenchers. Further, the energy spectra of the nanoScintillation system to which $^{14}$C bicarbonate had been added were shifted toward the low-energy range. In addition, the nanoScintillation system contained only 1 mg/mL of PPO.

Example 7

To determine the feasibility of adding ligand to the cured solid nanoparticles, asialofetuin-palmitate (ASF-pal) was synthesized. Asialofetuin was derivatized with about 12 palmitate ‘arms’ per molecule as measured by a colorimetric hydroxamic acid reaction assay (Goddu, R. F., LeBlanc, N. F., Wright, C. M. Spectrophotometric determination of esters and anhydrides by hydroxamic acid reaction. Anal. Chem. 27:1251–1255, 1955) ASF-pal (1–100 μL; 13.4 μg/mL water) was added to cured solid nanoparticles in water so that the final concentration of nanoparticles was 200 μg nanoparticles per 1 mL. Stirring was continued at 25°C for a total of 1 hour to ensure complete adsorption/insertion of the palmitate arm of ASF-pal into the nanoparticles. The results shown in FIG. 5 demonstrate that even very high concentrations of ASF-pal could be added to the nanoparticles with only a small effect on the particle size. As controls, the particle size of ASF-pal alone in water at a concentration of either 67 μg/mL or 1340 μg/mL were measured. The results showed that ASF-pal formed micelles (3–15 nm) at 67 μg/mL. At a concentration of 1340 μg/mL, ASF-pal formed a mixture of micelles (3–10 nm) as well as larger aggregates (40–300 nm). It was apparent from these results that a hydrophobized cell-specific targeting ligand could be added to cured nanoparticles.

Example 8

The formation of oil-in-water microemulsions using styrene as the oil phase: Microemulsions were formed using styrene as the oil phase, polyoxyethylene 20 stearyl ether as the surfactant, and 1-pentanol co-surfactant. The proportion of each component necessary for microemulsion formation was studied. The primary fluor molecule 2,5-diphenyloxazole (PPO) and secondary fluor molecule p-bis (o-methylstyril)-benzene (bis-MSB) were dissolved in the styrene prior to microemulsion formation. After forming the microemulsion, the styrene was polymerized using sodium persulfate as a free radical initiator and heating to 70°C for 8 hours to form a nanosuspension consisting of styrene nanoparticles entrapping PPO and bis-MSB.

The formula to prepare the nanoScintillation system was as follows:

- 80 mM polyoxyethylene 20 stearyl ether as surfactant
- 2.5% (v/v) Styrene as oil phase
- 5 mg/mL 2,5-diphenyloxazole (PPO) as primary fluor
- 0.125 mg/mL p-bis(o-methylstyril)benzene (bis-MSB) as secondary fluor
- 224 mM 1-pentanol as co-surfactant
- 1 mM sodium persulfate as free radical initiator

Water as the continuous phase. This nanosuspension was subsequently concentrated by a factor of ~2 using centrifugal ultrafiltration. Approximately 57,000 cpm of $^{14}$C-acetic acid (volume=30 μL) was then added to the nanosuspension and it was placed in a liquid scintillation counter. The number of radioactive counts (cpm) detected in the nanosuspension in the liquid scintillation counter was compared to the cpm obtained when the same amount of $^{14}$C-acetic acid was added to a conventional organic liquid scintillation cocktail and to water (as a control). The results of these counting experiments are as follows:

### TABLE 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample (containing ~57,000 cpm of $^{14}$C-Acetic Acid)</th>
<th>Efficiency Relative to Conventional Cocktail</th>
<th>Overall Counting Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional LSC Cocktail</td>
<td>50,814</td>
<td>89.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentrated Nanosuspension</td>
<td>14,632</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, the overall detection efficiency (counts per minute/ disintegrations per minute) of the concentrated nanosuspension for detecting Carbon-14 was approximately 25.7%.

When increasing volumes of $^{14}$C-acetic acid (25–150 μL) were added to 3 mL of the nanosuspension, a linear increase in the number of cpm detected was observed as shown in FIG. 6 (and as summarized in Table 4 below).

### TABLE 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume of $^{14}$C-Acetic Acid Added (μL)</th>
<th>Cocktail (cpm)</th>
<th>Nanosuspension (cpm)</th>
<th>Nanosuspension/Conventional Cocktail ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>26881</td>
<td>7086</td>
<td>0.264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>50814</td>
<td>14632</td>
<td>0.288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>77279</td>
<td>21266</td>
<td>0.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>100706</td>
<td>28025</td>
<td>0.278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>159898</td>
<td>41870</td>
<td>0.262</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*=1.14 × 10^6 cpm/mL

Example 9

The Formation of Oil-in-water Microemulsions Using Increased Concentration of Styrene in the Oil Phase and Subsequent Formation of Nanosuspension:
The formula to prepare the nanoscintillation system was as follows:

80 mM polyoxyethylene 20 stearyl ether as surfactant
5.0% (v/v) Styrene as oil phase
10 mg/ml 2,5-diphenyloxazole (PPO) as primary fluor
0.25 mg/ml p-bis(o-methylstyril)benzene (bis-MSB) as secondary fluor
224 mM 1-pentanol as co-surfactant
1 mM sodium persulfate as free radical initiator

Water as the continuous phase

The microemulsion precursor was prepared by dissolving PPO and bis-MSB in styrene and added this to an aqueous solution containing polyoxyethylene 20 stearyl ether and pentanol. This mixture was heated to 45°C for 15 minutes, cooled to room temperature and stirred for an additional 24 hours. To this clear microemulsion was added sodium persulfate to initiate the polymerization of styrene. The polymerization reaction continued for 8 hours at 70°C. The mean particle size of the resulting nanosuspension was 52.6 nm as determined by photon correlation spectroscopy.

This nanosuspension was subsequently concentrated by a factor of ~2 using centrifugal ultrafiltration. Approximately 91,000 dpm of 3H-acetic acid (volume 50 μL) was then added to the 1.0 mL of this nanosuspension and it was placed in a liquid scintillation counter. The number of radioactive counts (cpm) detected in the nanosuspension in the liquid scintillation counter was compared to the cpm obtained when the same amount of 3H-acetic acid was added to a conventional organic liquid scintillation cocktail and to water (as a control). The results of these counting experiments are as follows, summarized in Table 5:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample (containing ~91,000 dpm of 3H Acetic Acid)</th>
<th>Efficiency Relative to Conventional Cocktail</th>
<th>Overall Counting Efficiency (cpm/dpm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional LSC Cocktail</td>
<td></td>
<td>90.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentrated Nano-suspension</td>
<td>49.1%</td>
<td>44.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With the benefit of the present disclosure, those having skill in the art will comprehend that techniques claimed herein and described above may be modified and applied to a number of additional, different applications, achieving the same or a similar result. The claims attached hereto cover all such modifications that fall within the scope and spirit of this disclosure. For example, although the description of this disclosure may name specific materials useful for the practice of the invention (e.g., specific materials useful as a surfactant or co-surfactant or fluor molecule), those of ordinary skill in the art having the benefit of this disclosure will recognize that any derivative thereof, any equivalent, or any other material achieving the same or similar result may be substituted therewith. Each of the following references is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety:
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What is claimed is:

1. A nanoscintillation system comprising nanoparticles suspended in an aqueous vehicle, the nanoparticles comprising:
   - at least one nanoparticle matrix material
   - at least one surfactant or co-surfactant or a mixture thereof, and
   - at least one primary or secondary fluor molecule or a mixture thereof.

2. The nanoscintillation system of claim 1, the nanoparticles having a diameter less than 30 nanometers.

3. The nanoscintillation system of claim 1, the nanoparticles having a diameter less than 100 nanometers.

4. The nanoscintillation system of claim 1, further comprising an electron-emitting or alpha-particle-emitting radioisotope.

5. The nanoscintillation system of claim 4, the electron-emitting or alpha-particle-emitting radioisotope being free or attached to one or more molecules in the aqueous vehicle.

6. The nanoscintillation system of claim 1, further comprising one or more ligands coupled to one or more of the nanoparticles.

7. The nanoscintillation system of claim 6, the one or more ligands comprising a protein, carbohydrate, or a combination thereof.

8. The nanoscintillation system of claim 1, the nanoparticle matrix material comprising emulsifying wax, a polyoxyethylene sorbitan fatty acid ester, a polyoxyethylene alkyl ether, a polyoxyethylene stearate, or polystyrene or its derivative or copolymer thereof.

9. The nanoscintillation system of claim 1, the nanoparticle matrix material being present at a concentration from 0.1 to 300 mg/ml.

10. The nanoscintillation system of claim 1, the aqueous vehicle comprising water or an aqueous buffer.

11. The nanoscintillation system of claim 1, the surfactant or co-surfactant comprising a polyoxyethylene alkyl ether, a polyoxyethylene sorbitan fatty acid ester, a polyoxyethylene stearate, an alkoxylated alcohol or its derivative thereof, or an alcohol.

12. The nanoscintillation system of claim 1, surfactants being present at a total concentration of 1–5000 mM.

13. The nanoscintillation system of claim 1, the primary fluor molecule comprising 2,5-diphenyloxazole (POPOP), 2-(4-biphenyl)-5-phenyl-1,3,4-oxadiazole (PBD), 2-(4-biphenyl)-5-(4-tert-butylphenyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole (butyl-PBD), 2,5-bis(5-tert-butyl-2-benzoxazolyl)thiophene (BBOT), or derivatives or combinations thereof.

14. The nanoscintillation system of claim 1, the secondary fluor molecule comprising 1,4-bis(5-phenyloxazol-2-yl) benzene (POPOP), 1,4-bis(2-methylstyryl) benzene (bis-MSB), or derivatives or combinations thereof.

15. The nanoscintillation system of claim 1, primary fluor molecules being present at a total concentration of at least 1 mg/ml.

16. The nanoscintillation system of claim 1, water comprising at least 50% of the total weight of the nanoscintillation system.

17. A method for scintillation measurement, comprising: obtaining a nanoscintillation system according to claim 1; measuring scintillation associated with the nanoscintillation system.

18. A nanoparticle comprising:
   - at least one nanoparticle matrix material,
   - at least one surfactant or co-surfactant or a mixture thereof, and
   - at least one primary or secondary fluor molecule or a mixture thereof;

19. The nanoparticle of claim 18, the nanoparticle being made by cooling the oil-in-water microemulsion to room temperature while stirring.

20. The nanoparticle of claim 18, the nanoparticle comprising an emulsifying wax, a polyoxyethylene sorbitan fatty acid ester, a polyoxyethylene alkyl ether, a polyoxyethylene stearate, polystyrene, or derivatives or combinations thereof.

21. The nanoparticle of claim 18, the nanoparticle comprising polystyrene, a copolymer of polystyrene, or a derivative thereof and having a melting point between 40\(\degree\) C. and 80\(\degree\) C.

22. The nanoparticle of claim 18, the nanoparticle comprising styrene, divinyl benzene, toluene, an aromatic or unsaturated monomer capable of being polymerized by one or more free radicals, or a derivative or combination thereof.

23. The nanoparticle of claim 18, the nanoparticle being present at a concentration from 0.1 to 300 mg/ml.

24. The nanoparticle of claim 18, the surfactant or co-surfactant comprising a polyoxyethylene alkyl ether, a polyoxyethylene sorbitan fatty acid ester, a polyoxyethylene stearate, an alkoxylated alcohol or its derivative thereof, or an alcohol.

25. The nanoparticle of claim 18, surfactants being present at a total concentration of 1–5000 mM.

26. The nanoparticle of claim 25, surfactants being present at a total concentration of 1–300 mM.

27. The nanoparticle of claim 18, the primary fluor molecule comprising 2,5-diphenyloxazole (POPOP), 2-(4-biphenyl)-5-phenyl-1,3,4-oxadiazole (PBD), 2-(4-biphenyl)-5-(4-tert-butylphenyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole (butyl-PBD), 2,5-bis(5-tert-butyl-2-benzoxazolyl)thiophene (BBOT), or derivatives or combinations thereof.

28. The nanoparticle of claim 18, the secondary fluor molecule comprising 1,4-bis(5-phenyloxazol-2-yl) benzene (POPOP), 1,4-bis(2-methylstyryl) benzene (bis-MSB), or derivatives or combinations thereof.
29. The nanoparticle of claim 18, primary fluor molecules being present at a total concentration of at least 1 mg/mL.

30. The nanoparticle of claim 18, the nanoparticle being made by polymerizing the nanoparticle matrix material within the oil-in-water microemulsion precursor by free-radical polymerization.

31. The nanoparticle of claim 30, free-radical polymerization being performed by heating the oil-in-water microemulsion precursor, by adding a free-radical initiator, or by a combination thereof.

32. A method for scintillation measurement, comprising:
   obtaining a nanoparticle according to claim 18; and
   measuring scintillation associated with the nanoparticle.

33. A method of making a nanoscintillation system, comprising:
   dispersing a liquid nanoparticle matrix material with a fluor molecule in an aqueous continuous phase to form a surfactant stabilized microemulsion; and
   cooling the surfactant stabilized microemulsion to room temperature while stirring.

34. A method of making a nanoparticle useful for scintillation, comprising:
   obtaining a nanoparticle matrix material;
   melting the nanoparticle matrix material to form a liquid dispersed phase;
   dispersing a fluor molecule into the liquid dispersed phase;
   dispersing the liquid dispersed phase, including the fluor molecule, in an aqueous continuous phase to form a surfactant stabilized microemulsion; and
   cooling the microemulsion while stirring to form a solid stable nanoparticle having a diameter of less than about 300 nanometers, which includes the fluor molecule either entrapped in or adsorbed to the nanoparticle.

35. The method of claim 34, the melting occurring at a temperature between about 35°C and about 100°C.

36. The method of claim 34, the cooling comprising cooling with no dilution in water.

37. A method of making a nanoscintillation system, comprising:
   dispersing a liquid nanoparticle matrix material with a fluor molecule in an aqueous continuous phase to form a surfactant stabilized microemulsion; and
   polymerizing the liquid nanoparticle matrix material by free-radical polymerization.

38. The method of claim 37, the free-radical polymerization being performed by heating the surfactant stabilized microemulsion, by adding a free-radical initiator, or by a combination thereof.

39. The method of claim 37, further comprising concentrating the nanoscintillation system.

40. The method of claim 39, the concentrating comprising centrifugal ultrafiltration.
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