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ABSTRACT: The reductive tricarboxylic acid (rTCA) cycle is an important central biosynthetic pathway that fixes CO_2 into carboxylic acids. Among the five reductive steps in the rTCA cycle, the two-electron reduction of fumarate to succinate proceeds nonenzymatically on the surface of photoexcited sphalerite (ZnS) colloids suspended in water. This model reaction is chosen to systematically study the surface photoprocess occurring on ZnS in the presence of [Na_2S] (1–10 mM) hole scavenger at 15 °C. Experiments at variable pH (5–10) indicate that monodissociated fumaric acid is the primary electron acceptor forming the monoprotic form of succinic acid. The following reaction scheme is proposed: (1) photoexcitation of ZnS generates conduction band electrons and valence band holes, (2) the hole scavenger donates electrons while producing sulfur-containing intermediates en route to sulfate formation, (3) a first electron transfer occurs at the conduction band converting chemisorbed monoprotic fumaric acid at surface zinc sites into an adsorb radical anion, and (4) the radical anion accepts a second electron and forms an adsorbed carbanion, which (5) abstracts two protons consecutively from either hydronium ion (acidic condition) or water (neutral and basic condition) to be desorbed as monodissociated succinic acid. The apparent quantum yield measurement of succinate production (Φ) under periodic irradiation at λ ≥ 305 nm shows that the time scale of electron transfer on the conduction band (τ_c) and valence band hole loss (τ_v) are in the order of hundred microseconds and a few milliseconds, respectively. These transitions (τ_c and τ_v) become undistinguishable at 520 μs for a zeta potential ζ = −22.09 mV corresponding to [Na_2S] = 0.57 mM. Overall, this work provides new insights to model heterogeneous processes such as the reduction of CO_2 occurring on the surface of photocatalysts and advance present understanding of photocatalytic reactions.

INTRODUCTION

The photocatalytic harvesting of solar photons can potentially address the growing demand of energy supply faced worldwide. 1 In this context, photocatalytic studies have largely focused their attention in producing renewable H_2(g) from water splitting,2 while more recently the reduction of CO_2 has regained attention as a possible mechanism to fix this atmospheric greenhouse gas and produce useful hydrocarbon fuels.3–5 In general, the reported difficulty for promoting the photocatalytic reduction of CO_2 is the high initial energy cost of the one-electron reduction to produce CO_2**, which has a standard reduction potential E^0 = −1.85 V at pH 7.5 Efforts to improve the low quantum yield of typical reductions have proposed to control the properties of photocatalysts to increase the number of photoexcited carriers on the surface where the reaction takes place.6 Surface modifications that activate the semiconductor also facilitate charge separation,7 suggesting the importance of measuring the lifetimes of surface excited states. Recently, various doping of nanostructures, combinations of semiconductors, and the addition of sensitizers were explored.8 However, a central physical chemistry concept that has been ignored until our previous publication is that the effective transfer of electrons to adsorbed substrates occurs in relatively slow time scales.3

In addition to the energy applications discussed above, prebiotic chemists have been interested in the use of photocatalysis to drive a cycle of carbon fixation inspired in the reductive tricarboxylic acid (rTCA) cycle relevant to the origin of life.6–10 The origin of life is one of the most important unsolved questions of science,1 which combines several diverse disciplines, including physical chemistry. A further discussion to context of this research in the origin of life field is presented in the Supporting Information. Photocatalyzed reactions have been proposed as fundamental for the origin of life6–10,11–12 by directly providing carboxylic acids to the rTCA cycle.7–10 The photoexcitation of mineral semiconductors by sunlight opens new reaction pathways through the generated excited-state species and radicals.13 For example, three out of five reductions from the rTCA cycle can be driven by photocatalysis on the surface of ZnS colloids suspended in water using Na_2S hole scavenger.7,10 The stoichiometric yields of the conversion from oxaloacetate to malate, fumarate to succinate, and 2-oxoglutarate to oxalosuccinate were 75, 95, and 2.5%, respectively.10 The low yield for the reductive carboxylation...
of 2-oxoglutarate to oxalosuccinic acid, its consecutive reduction to form cis-aconitic acid, and the reductive carboxylation of succinic acid to 2-oxoglutaric acid have been difficult to achieve.\textsuperscript{10} Furthermore, the actual photocatalytic mechanism of the working reductive steps has remained unexplored.\textsuperscript{6}

The focus of this study on ZnS factors in that the photocatalyst was indicated as an excellent mineral present in Hadean environments due to its stability with respect to ZnCO\textsubscript{3}.\textsuperscript{10,14} The conduction-band electrons of the semiconductor have a sufficiently negative reduction potential (\(-1.04 \text{ V vs NHE}\)) to drive all the reductions steps in the rTCA cycle.\textsuperscript{10,14} Moreover, ZnS can harvest energy from the sun's photons to promote the reaction under study, which is slow by thermal chemistry alone.\textsuperscript{10,14} In our previous work, the reduction of CO\textsubscript{2} to formate (HCOO\textsuperscript{-}) was reported in great detail using illuminated aqueous suspensions of ZnS semiconductor as the catalysts.\textsuperscript{1} The work developed new methods to study photoreductions reactions\textsuperscript{1} and pointed out that to enable any progress in this field, further understanding of the photocatalytic processes and the associated surface mechanisms are needed. In this context, the production of C\textsubscript{4}C coupling dimers in a mixture of 2,5-dihydrofuran and tetrahydrofuran on irradiated ZnS indicates the surface reactivity of adsorbates with double bonds.\textsuperscript{15}

In this work, the efficient and specific reduction of fumarate to succinate is purposely chosen as a model reaction to study the heterogeneous mechanism on ZnS. In this system, the reaction is not governed by the equilibrium thermodynamics, as for biological systems, because it should proceed favorably (reaction is not governed by the equilibrium thermodynamics, as the heterogeneous mechanism on ZnS). In this system, the production in water is explored by quantifying the rate of succinate production on ZnS, e.g., for 2-oxoglutarate. The determination reactivity of adsorbates with double bonds.\textsuperscript{15}
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| Table 1. Control Experiments to Demonstrate the Photocatalytic Production of Succinate |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                 | ZnS | UV | fumarate | hole scavenger | succinate |
| experiment                      |     |    |          |                |            |
| control A                       | +   | +  | +        | +               | +          |
| control B                       | +   | −  | +        | +               | −          |
| control C                       | +   | +  | −        | +               | −          |
| control D                       | +   | +  | +        | −               | −          |
| control E                       | +   | +  | +        | +\textsuperscript{a} | +          |

\textsuperscript{a}8.0 mM Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} was used instead of Na\textsubscript{2}S.

Control experiments (Table 1) were designed to prove that the only operative mechanism for fumarate reduction was photocatalysis. Each control tested whether succinate production proceeded or not in the absence of one of the following conditions: ZnS (control A), light (hv) (control B), fumarate (control C), and hole scavenger (controls D). Alternatively, the use of 8.0 mM sodium sulfite (99.9% assay, Fisher Chemicals) instead of Na\textsubscript{2}S as the hole scavenger was assayed in control E.
Analysis of Products. All samples were centrifuged at 4400 rpm for 5 min, filtered (IC Acrodisc 0.2 μm pore size; Pall Corp.) to discard the precipitate, and diluted 8 times for analysis with a Dionex ICS-2000 ion chromatography system. This system was equipped with an AS autosampler (Dionex), a suppressor, a hydroxide (OH\(^{-}\)) eluent generator (KOH cartridge EGC III, 0.38 mL/min as the flow rate), an anion trap column (CR-ATC), and a conductivity detector. Chromatographic separation of anions was carried out with an IonPac AS11-HC analytical column (2 × 250 mm) coupled with an IonPac AG11-HC guard column (2 × 50 mm). The initial 1 mM hydroxide concentration was kept constant for 8 min and then increased linearly to 15 mM for 10 min, followed by a second gradient to 30 mM [OH\(^{-}\)] for 10 min, and a third increment to 60 mM for 10 min. A 0.12 mL min\(^{-1}\) flow of 0.42 mM formic acid (Fisher Optima LC-MS grade, 99.99% assay) was mixed with the chromatographic eluent through a Tee connection. A mass spectrometer (Thermo MSQ Plus) interfaced by an electrospray ionization probe operating in negative ion mode allowed sample identification of the mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) of anions in the mixed flow. The optimized mass spectrometry parameters were needle voltage 1.9 kV, cone voltage 50 V, probe temperature 450 °C, and nitrogen nebulizing 70 psi. Anions identified by mass spectrometry included fumarate (m/z 115), succinate (m/z 117), bicarbonate (m/z 61), bisulfite (m/z 81), bisulfate (m/z 97), fumarate (m/z 115), hydrogen thiosulfate (m/z 113), and hydrogen dithionate (m/z 129). Succinate was quantified from calibration curves prepared with sodium succinate (Alfa Aesar, 99.75% assay). In selected experiments, the concentration of fumarate was also monitored based on the comparison of integrated chromatographic peak areas to a calibration curve prepared using sodium fumarate.

Mineral Characterization. The characterization and stability of the mineral were assessed after drying samples of ZnS as described before by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) and Raman spectroscopies as well as by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). In summary, XRD spectra and TEM micrographs show the catalyst is the same before, during, after irradiation, and even after a second round of photolysis experiments when reusing ZnS.

The concentration of dissolved Zn\(^{2+}\) during photoirradiation experiments was measured every 30 min by atomic absorption spectroscopy (Thermo Scientific ice 3000 Series) at λ = 213.9 nm using a Zn hollow cathode lamp with a flame made of acetylene and air (both Scott Gross, UHP grade). A calibration curve was prepared with the same matrix using a nonirradiated sample under the same experimental conditions.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Identification of Products. Figure 1 shows the ion chromatogram of species identified as products during the reduction of fumarate on ZnS colloids irradiated for 2 h at λ ≥ 305 nm. The separated chromatographic peaks correspond to anions eluting with m/z values with a flame made of acetylene and air (both Scott Gross, UHP grade). A calibration curve was prepared with the same matrix using a nonirradiated sample under the same experimental conditions.

Figure 1. Ion chromatogram of a photolyzed (λ ≥ 305 nm) sample of 1.0 mM fumarate in 2.3 g L\(^{-1}\) ZnS at pH 7.02 for 2 h in the presence of [Na\(_2\)S\(_9\)] = 2.0 mM. Extracted anion peaks for succinate (m/z 117), bicarbonate (m/z 61), bisulfite (m/z 81), bisulfate (m/z 97), fumarate (m/z 115), hydrogen thiosulfate (m/z 113), and hydrogen dithionate (m/z 129) are displayed. Peaks (•) at m/z 97 and 113 are scaled down 10 times.

Figure 2. [Succinate] and dissolved [Zn\(^{2+}\)] during 2 h irradiation (λ\(_{\text{cut-off}}\) ≥ 305 nm). Key: empty black circle = [succinate] at pH 7.02; solid black square = [succinate] at pH 7.02; solid red triangle = [Zn\(^{2+}\)] at pH 7.02; solid red diamond = [Zn\(^{2+}\)] at pH 5.32. Other conditions as listed in Figure 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>[Succinate] (μM)</th>
<th>[Zn(^{2+})] (μM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>0.464</td>
<td>2.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.984</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The black traces in Figure 2 show examples for the production of succinate versus time at (solid squares) pH 5.32 and (empty circles) 7.02. The linear fitting to the experimental data yields succinate production rates with time, t (min), of R\(_s\) = 2.669 μM min\(^{-1}\) × t (r\(^2\) = 0.992) at pH 5.32 and R\(_s\) = 0.464 μM min\(^{-1}\) × t (r\(^2\) = 0.984) at pH 7.02. Control experiments (Table 1) demonstrate that the reduction of fumarate to succinate proceeds by heterogeneous photocatalysis. Controls A–D showed no production of succinate. Specifically, the participation of any thermal reaction contributing to the reduction of fumarate in our system is discarded by control B in the absence of irradiation. Interestingly, succinate production was observed when substituting Na\(_2\)S by 8.0 mM sodium sulfite as the hole scavenger (control E). These results confirm that the reduction of fumarate proceeds with high yield and that no alternative products are generated, even when employing several cut-off irradiation filters (λ\(_{\text{cut-off}}\) ≥ 280, 295, 305, and 320 nm) or varying the pH (5–10).
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The integrity of ZnS at low pH is affected as the material starts to dissolve into Zn^{2+} and S^{2−} ions (Figure 2). For example, at pH 5.32, [Zn^{2+}] rises exponentially with time according to [Zn^{2+}] = 43.7 × (1 - e^{−0.075}) (r² = 0.997), reaching a plateau after 1 h with maximum [Zn^{2+}]_max = 43.7 μM (Figure 2). Even for this low pH, the generated excess of Zn^{2+} and the adsorption of H_2O^+ on the surface of ZnS are not sufficient to overturn the negative zeta potential ζ_{pH=5} = −20.12 mV.3,18 This pH-dependent dissolution of ZnS should not be confused with corrosion, which was observed in the absence of hole scavenger (e.g., [Zn^{2+}] = 365.59 μM at pH = 7.02 after irradiation for 2 h). The photodecomposition of ZnS can proceed via an irreversible reduction of lattice zinc ions by conduction band electrons and the oxidation of lattice sulfide ions by holes.19 Therefore, the presence of dissolved sulfide ion hole scavenger in the experiment allows the reversal of photooxidation by providing a substitution mechanism that contributes to maintain the stability of ZnS during illumination.19 At pH ≥ 7.0, no dissolved Zn^{2+} was observed during irradiation, as depicted in the experiment at pH 7.02 (ζ_{pH=7} = −31.09 mV) in Figure 2, proving the stability of the catalyst.

The production of elemental (rhombic) sulfur (S_8) during photoirradiation was observed as a slight yellow color developed over the white background colloidal suspension. Raman microspectroscopy provided a confirmation for the generation of S_8.19 A photooxidation mechanism in the presence of HS^- hole scavenger prevents the photodegradation of the photocatalyst by substituting S^{2−} to the photooxidized lattice sites.19 As a result, S_8 is produced during irradiation from the oxidation of sulfide hole scavenger.

**Identification of a Monoanion Intermediate as the Primary Electron Acceptor.** Fumaric acid (pK_a1 = 3.02 and pK_a2 = 4.38 at 25 °C)20 can dissociate twice as indicated by the sequence of equilibrium reactions 1 and 2:

\[
\text{HOOC–CH=CH–COOH} \rightleftharpoons \text{HOOC–CH=CH–COO}^- + H^+ \tag{1}
\]

\[
\text{HOOC–CH=CH–COO}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{OOC–CH=CH–COO}^- + H^+ \tag{2}
\]

Based on the speciation of fumaric acid for pH < pK_a1, the diprotic form (H_2A) is the major species present in equilibrium, and the completely dissociated form (A^-) is the dominant species for pH > pK_a2. For the intermediate pH range bracketed between pK_a1 and pK_a2, the monoanion form (HA^-) of fumaric acid becomes the main species in equilibrium. The same concept applies to describe the dissociation of succinic acid, as a diprotic acid (pK_a1 = 4.21 and pK_a2 = 5.64)20 product. Figure 3 shows the dependence of the rate of succinate production R_s on pH and the speciation curves for the diprotic species succinic acid in the pH range 5.06–10.09. The direct correlation of the experimental R_s data to the calculated fraction of succinic acid monoanion (HA^-) suggests this preferred photoproduction is directly generated from adsorbed monoprotic fumaric acid. In consequence, adsorbed fumaric acid monoanion is reduced by the sequential transfer of two electrons. These results agree with the trend observed for a smaller number of experiments that also monitored the initial rate of fumaric acid loss at variable pH.

The resembling behavior of both R_s and the fraction of succinic acid monoanion with pH depicts the surface reaction of adsorbed fumaric acid monoanion to start through a weak π–

Figure 3. Rate of succinate production (R_s) for λ ≥ 305 nm at variable pH and corresponding fractions of (dashed line) fumaric and (solid line) succinic acids available as diprotic acid (H_2A, red trace), monoanion (HA^-, green trace), and dianion (A^2-, blue trace) species. Other conditions as listed in Figure 1.
production from \( CO_2 (\mathbf{R}_{\text{HCOO}^-}) \) on the cut-off wavelength of irradiation.\(^3\) This work serves as a new demonstration that measuring a reaction rate such as the reduction of fumarate to succinate on photoexcited ZnS reveals the bandgap energy of the semiconductor suspended in water. The measured rate of succinate production in units of \( \mu M \) min\(^{-1} \) is \( R_s = 0.739 \pm 0.020 \) (\( r^2 = 0.994 \)), \( 0.600 \pm 0.022 \) (\( r^2 = 0.986 \)), \( 0.464 \pm 0.002 \) (\( r^2 = 0.984 \)), and \( 0.298 \pm 0.002 \) (\( r^2 = 0.992 \)), for irradiation at \( \lambda_{\text{cut-off}} \geq 280, 295, 305, \) and \( 320 \) nm, respectively. No production of succinate was observed for irradiation at \( \lambda_{\text{cut-off}} \geq 400 \) nm. Figure 4 shows the linear regression fitting of the combined to the measured \( I_0 = 2.02 \times 10^{-7} \) einstein L\(^{-1} \) s\(^{-1} \) yields \( \Phi_s = 3.85\% \).

Despite any factors that could affect the measured \( \Phi_s \) values,\(^3\) the information below if of general interest because it resolves the lifetime of redox carrier on the surface of photoexcited ZnS during the reduction of fumarate. For this purpose, a series of experiments applied monochromatic periodic illumination with equally lasting dark \( (\tau_d) \) and bright \( (\tau_b) \) cycles to study how \( \Phi_s \) varies in the range \( 208 \mu s \leq \tau_d \leq 1 \) s. For example, Figure 5 shows the dependence of \( \Phi_s \) on \( \tau_d \) for

\[ \text{Figure 4. Reaction rate of (black circle) succinate (R) production vs cut-off wavelengths of irradiation. Other conditions as listed in Figure 1. For comparison, the reaction rate of (blue triangle) formate production (\( R_{\text{HCOO}^-} \)) from \( CO_2 \) and the diffuse reflectance absorption spectrum of ZnS in water from ref 3 are included.} \]

\[ \text{Figure 5. Apparent quantum yield of succinate production (\( \Phi_s \)) vs the bright interval time under periodic illumination (\( \tau_b \)) of 2.3 g L\(^{-1} \) ZnS with 1.0 mM fumarate at \( pH = 7.0 \) and [Na\(_2\)S] = 2.03 mM.} \]

four quantifiable fumarate production rates vs \( \lambda_{\text{cut-off}} \) in which \( R_s (\mu M \text{ min}^{-1}) = 3.971 - 0.0115 \times \lambda_{\text{cut-off}} \) (\( r^2 = 0.999 \)) is extrapolated to \( R_s = 0 \) to intercept the abscise at \( \lambda_{\text{cut-off}} = 345 \) nm. This extrapolated value represents the minimum energy required to excite the mineral catalyst for the photoreduction of fumarate to occur, which corresponds to \( E_{\text{BG}} \) for ZnS.

The extrapolated value of \( \lambda_{\text{cut-off}} = 345 \) nm is in an excellent agreement with the measured photoreduction rate of \( CO_2 \) to formate on ZnS \( R_{\text{HCOO}^-} (\mu M/\text{min}) = 14.152 - 0.0410 \times \lambda_{\text{cut-off}} \) (\( r^2 = 0.999 \)).\(^3\) Thus, this wavelength can be used to obtain the bandgap of the semiconductor accordingly to \( E_{\text{BG}} = h c / \lambda_{\text{cut-off}} = 5.74 \times 10^{-19} \) J \( \equiv \) 3.59 eV, where \( h \) is the Planck constant and \( c \) is the speed of light. Therefore, this bandgap value measured during reactions in water confirms our previous finding and agrees well with the reported absorption spectrum for a colloidal suspension of ZnS (Figure 4).\(^3\)

Apparent Quantum Yields under Continuous and Periodic Illumination. The photoreduction of fumarate on ZnS was studied under continuous and periodic illumination experiments at \( \lambda = 325 \pm 20 \) nm. The effective photon flux \( (I_0) \) was obtained after correcting the actinometric measurement by convoluting the spectrum of ferrioxalate\(^17\) with that for ZnS in water.\(^3\) The calculation of the apparent quantum yield of succinate production at \( \lambda = 325 \pm 20 \) nm was directly derived from the ratio of the reaction rate to the effective photon flux: \( \Phi_s (\%) = 100 \times R_s / I_0 \). This \( \Phi_s \) value represents a lower limit for the actual quantum yield because light is extinguished by absorbing and scattering particles.\(^23\) For example, experiments under continuous illumination of 2.3 g L\(^{-1} \) ZnS with 1.0 mM fumarate, \([\text{Na}_2\text{S}] = 2.0 \) mM, at \( 15^\circ C \) and \( pH \) 6.73, proceed with a reaction rate \( R_s = 7.74 \times 10^{-9} \) mol L\(^{-1} \) s\(^{-1} \), which

\[ \text{DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b12380} \]

\[ J. \text{Phys. Chem. C} \text{ 2016, 120, 7349–7357} \]
the electrical double layer,\textsuperscript{26,27} an increment of \([\text{Na}_2\text{S}]_0\) is associated with a more negative surface potential. Assuming that suspended ZnS particles under irradiation behave as microelectrodes, the surface potential of the mineral can be related to the half-reduction and half-oxidation rate constants from the Butler–Volmer (BV) equation,\textsuperscript{3,25} which are

\[ k_{\text{red}} = k_0 e^{-\frac{\alpha_{\text{red}}}{R} T} e^{-\frac{E_{\text{red}}}{RT}} \]

and

\[ k_{\text{ox}} = k_0 e^{\frac{\alpha_{\text{ox}}}{R} T} e^{-\frac{E_{\text{ox}}}{RT}} \]

where \(n_{\text{red}}\) and \(n_{\text{ox}}\) are the number of electrons transferred in each half-reaction, \(k_0\) is the standard heterogeneous rate constant, \(\alpha_{\text{red}}\) and \(\alpha_{\text{ox}}\) are the dimensionless charge transfer coefficients, \(E_{\text{red}}\) is the excess redox potential, \(E^0\) is the standard redox potential of ZnS, \(R\) is the gas constant, \(F\) is the Faraday constant, and \(T\) is the absolute temperature.

Figure 6A shows the opposite trends that the lifetime for both redox transitions \(t_1\) and \(t_2\) have for increasing \([\text{Na}_2\text{S}]_0\).

Figure 6B displays, as predicted by the expressions for the rate constants \(k_{\text{red}}\) and \(k_{\text{ox}}\), the opposing trends for the dependence of \(t_1\) and \(t_2\) on \(\zeta\). Given the opposite signs in the exponential terms of the rate constants expressions (negative for \(k_{\text{red}}\) and positive for \(k_{\text{ox}}\)), and the reciprocal dependence between lifetimes on rate constants, it follows that the first transition \(t_1 \propto k_{\text{red}}^{-1}\) and the second transition \(t_2 \propto k_{\text{ox}}^{-1}\). Therefore, as the potential represented by \(\zeta\) becomes more negative (for higher \([\text{Na}_2\text{S}]_0\)), \(t_1\) decreases while \(t_2\) increases in Figure 6. This interpretation agrees with \(t_1\) being related to \(k_{\text{red}}\) as reported for the reduction of CO\(_2\) on ZnS\textsuperscript{2} and the generation of gaseous H\(_2\) on CdS.\textsuperscript{29} In other words, \(t_1\) represents the overall time needed to transfer conduction band electrons to reduce fumarate to succinate. These \(t_1\) values (from 225 to 330 \(\mu\)s) are well in the order of the lifetime of conduction band electrons (~200 \(\mu\)S) observed for CdSe/CdS sensitized solar cells coated with two layers of ZnS for a photovoltage of 0.5 V.\textsuperscript{29} Similarly, \(t_2\) characterizes the slower loss of oxidizing valence-band holes. Figure 6B also includes the linear fittings to the semilog plot: \(\log t_1 = 0.02079 \zeta + 1.7855 (r^2 = 0.934)\), and \(\log t_2 = -0.06890 \zeta + 1.7144 (r^2 = 0.981)\). These transitions \((t_1\) and \(t_2\)) become undistinguishable at \(520 \mu\)S for a zeta potential \(\zeta = -22.09 \text{ mV}\) corresponding to \([\text{Na}_2\text{S}] = 0.57 \text{ mM}\).

The relatively long times linked to electron transfer and hole loss at the surface of the photoexcited ZnS\textsuperscript{*} semiconductor nanoparticles provides fundamental information to understand the dynamic process of photocatalysis.\textsuperscript{30} The measured transfer of surface carriers are relatively long (>200 \(\mu\)S for the transfer of mobile electrons and a few milliseconds for loss of fixed holes) when compared with the time scales explored by time-resolved spectroscopy of semiconductors (e.g., 150 fs \(\leq T_{1/2} \leq 100 \mu\)S).\textsuperscript{30} Averages values of \(T_1 = 307 \pm 17 \mu\)S and \(T_2 = 4.68 \pm 0.28 \mu\)S are obtained for \([\text{Na}_2\text{S}]_0 = 2.0 \text{ mM}\) at pH 7 from the data in Figures 5 and by measuring \(k_{\text{red,0}} vs T_1\). The fact that \(T_1\) and \(T_2\) are 11 and 29 times shorter than the corresponding values for the reduction of CO\(_2\) (using pure inorganic reagents) to formate under the same conditions\textsuperscript{3} indicates the structure of the adsorbate modifies the lifetime of the surface-active center of ZnS\textsuperscript{*}. Overall, these results reveal concepts that can be used to optimize applications of photocatalysis such as wastewater treatment, abatement of air pollution, and energy production.\textsuperscript{30}

**Proposed Mechanism for the Reduction of Fumarate on ZnS.** A strictly heterogeneous photoprocess is considered to propose in Scheme 1 a reaction mechanism describing the kinetic behavior observed in experiments performed under variable pH, \(\lambda_{\text{cut-off}}\), \(T_{1/2}\), and \(\zeta\). The previous assumption is useful to simplify the mechanistic scheme proposed, which is not necessarily the concluding pathway for the reactions.\textsuperscript{31} Reaction R1 in Scheme 1 shows that upon absorption of a photon (\(\lambda \leq 345 \text{ nm}\)) by ZnS an oxidizing hole is created in the valence band from where an electron is promoted to the

![Scheme 1. Proposed Mechanism for the Reduction of Fumarate to Succinate on Irradiated ZnS for the Interval 5.06 ≤ pH < 7.00](https://example.com/scheme1.png)
conduction band.\textsuperscript{32} The electron–hole pair can undergo recombination and release heat by reaction R2 (Scheme 1) in processes that likely involves the trapping of charge carriers by defects and the carrier recombination that occurs through such defects (recombination centers).\textsuperscript{31} The sacrificial sulfide electron donor is oxidized by valence band holes through several intermediates (S\(_2\)O\(_4^{2-}\), SO\(_4^{2-}\), and SO\(_2\)O\(_2^{2-}\)) en route to form sulfate by reaction R3 (Scheme 1).\textsuperscript{3} Simultaneously, fumaric acid is chemisorbed in dynamic equilibrium at an active zinc surface center and accepts a first electron via reaction R4 (Scheme 1).\textsuperscript{15}

While the surface of ZnS can be considered as reversibly hydrated in an exchange that also allows adsorption of the organic molecules, this solvation process can affect the size as well as chemical and physical properties of ZnS. For example, if ZnS in aqueous suspensions adsorbs a proton or hydroxide ions, either positive or negative surface charges are generated.\textsuperscript{33} The hydration mechanism of aqueous ZnS in water can be explained at variable pH as follows:\textsuperscript{34} (1) For pH < 4.2, the isoelectric point of ZnS, the surface becomes positively charged due to the neutralization of any negative charges from sulfide sites and that dissolution releases Zn\textsuperscript{2+} (Figure 2). (2) For the interval 4.2 < pH < 7.0, the acquisition of negative charge by the surface is proposed to be related to theLewis acidity of zinc sites that forms \(\equiv S\text{ZnOH}^-\) and release protons to the medium. (3) For basic conditions (pH > 7.0), negative surface sites such as \(\equiv \text{ZnOH}^-\) exist together with aqueous sulfide ions (HS\(^{-}\), S\(^{2-}\)) in the bulk solution, all contributing to the observed negative zeta-potential of ZnS.\textsuperscript{35} However, because Zn\textsuperscript{2+} is a borderline Lewis acid,\textsuperscript{36} the exchange of adsorbed water or hydroxide ion by surface zinc occurs so fast that these active sites are continuously available for adsorption of fumaric acid.

Two additional problems that need to be approached are: (1) What is the most stable conformational isomer adsorbed to zinc sites? (2) How does adsorption occur? Based on the acid–base equilibria that optimizes the recognition of fumaric acid by the surface-active sites of ZnS\(_n\), the mechanism in Scheme 1 depicts the monoanion species as the primary electron acceptor adsorbed on the surface to the left side of reaction R4. Considering conformational isomerism is useful to identify the most stable monoanion species adsorbed on the mineral surface to undergo photoreduction to form succinic acid monooanion. The structures of two conformational isomers for the monoanion of fumaric acid are displayed in Scheme 2, where the carboxylate group is delocalized by resonance. These isomers differ mainly in the distance between the centers C\(_2\) and O\(_4\), which are calculated to be 3.56 ± 0.04 Å for isomer A and 2.75 ± 0.06 Å for isomer B.\textsuperscript{37,38} The higher stability of isomer A is supported by a computational optimization of the molecular geometry and zero-point energy of both isomers using Gaussian 09 with B3LYP density functional theory methods\textsuperscript{39,40} and a Gaussian 6-311G (d, p) basis set\textsuperscript{41,42} we performed. Isomer B is predicted as less stable than isomer A due to steric hindrance existing between the two \(\pi\) bonds.

The surface of synthesized ZnS exhibits a face-centered cubic structure with four tetrahedral holes in each unit cell of length 5.39 ± 0.01 Å.\textsuperscript{3} The calculated distance between two zinc centers on the surface is 3.81 ± 0.01 Å (see powder XRD measurements in ref 3). With all the previous considerations, Figure 7A depicts the reversible adsorption of fumaric acid monoanion that likely results from a weak olefin \(\pi\) bond interaction with an active zinc site (reactant of reaction R4, Scheme 1). Similarly, the weak adsorption at a single zinc atom was observed for cyclic oleins on ZnS, which occurs through the formation of a \(\pi\)-complex with an electron-deficient surface center.\textsuperscript{15} However, because the distance between two zinc sites (3.81 ± 0.01 Å) is only slightly larger than the distance between C\(_4\) and O\(_4\) atoms for conformer A in Scheme 2 (3.56 ± 0.04 Å), a double interaction with two zinc sites could arise from the \(\pi\) bond and a lone pair from the O\(_4\) atom in conformer A (Figure 7B). Therefore, the second adsorption model involving a stable \(\sigma\) bond appears less favorable because desorption of the product could be prevented.

Following the transfer of a first conduction band electron to the monoanion of fumaric acid, a short-lived radical centered on carbon C\(_5\) of conformer A (Scheme 2) is produced by reaction R4 (Scheme 1). This adsorbed radical is stabilized by resonance with a carbonyl group. The transfer of a second electron to the previous radical intermediate also occurs on the surface of ZnS\(_n\) facilitated by zinc sites to form an adsorbed carbanion depicted in reaction R5 (Scheme 1). The next steps are the sequential abstraction of protons from water (or hydronium for pH < 7) in reactions R6 and R7. Proton abstraction by reaction R7 occurs concomitantly to the surface desorption of succinic acid monoanion, as confirmed by the small rise in pH associated with the consumption of protons observed. Since the surface of ZnS is negatively charged at pH > 4.2,\textsuperscript{7} the carboxylate group of the monoanions of fumaric and succinic acids are repelled from the surface, contributing to the desorption process of the product.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The data from experiments under periodic illumination demonstrate that the timespan needed to establish equilibrium condition between bulk and surface adsorbed fumaric acid monoanion is in the order of hundreds of microseconds. This conclusion is derived from modifying the period allowing replenishment of fumaric acid adsorption in the dark to contrast the depletion of reactive surface species during illumination. The simple zero-order kinetic behavior for the

![Scheme 2. Structure of Two Conformational Isomers of Monodissociated Fumaric Acid](image-url)
production of succinate indicates that the surface is quickly depleted of fumaric acid monoanion. The previous observation suggests that adsorption of fresh reagent limits (kinetic control) the zero-order rate of reaction. Therefore, the rate of electron transfer to the adsorbed species is limited by the rate of replenishment of fresh reagent from the solution. Further work should aim to advance this matter by studying the Langmuir isotherm derived from experiments at variable fumaric acid concentration that will change the surface coverage of ZnS.

Characteristic surface carriers remain active for several hundred microseconds for electron transfer and a few millisecond for the loss of oxidizing holes. This study confirms that reactive intermediates in a photoexcited semiconductor exist for relative long times, as observed before for adsorbed CO$_2$ undergoing reduction to HCOO$^-$ on the surface ZnS$^{31}$ when compared to the values measured by time-resolved spectroscopy of semiconductors (e.g., 150 fs $\leq \tau_{1/2} < 100$ μs).$^{30}$ The photoproduction of succinic acid in aqueous colloidal suspensions of ZnS in the presence of Na$_2$S directly consumes CO$_2$, and the previous observation$^{28}$ of depleted of fumaric acid monoanion. The previous observation indicates that the surface is quickly depleted of fumaric acid monoanion.
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$E^*$, standard reduction potential; $E_{bg}$, bandgap; $h^+$, oxidizing hole; $I_p$, effective photon flux; $k_{-1/2}$, first-order rate constant of sulfide decay; $R_\text{sec}$, rate of succinate production; $R_{\text{HCOO}^-}$, rate of formate production; $\Phi_\text{app}$, apparent quantum yield of succinate production; $\Phi_{\text{HCOO}^-}$, apparent quantum yield of formate production; $\lambda_{\text{cut-off}}$, cut-off wavelength of irradiation; $\tau_L$, bright interval time under periodic illumination; $\zeta$, zeta potential.
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