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Reverse genetic analyses of negative-strand RNA (NSR) viruses have provided enormous advances in our understanding of animal viruses over the past 20 years, but technical difficulties have hampered application to plant NSR viruses. To develop a reverse genetic approach for analysis of plant NSR viruses, we have engineered Sonchus yellow net nucleorhabdovirus (SYNV) minireplicon (MR) reporter cassettes for Agrobacterium tumefaciens expression in Nicotiana benthamiana leaves. Fluorescent reporter genes substituted for the SYNV N and P protein open reading frames (ORFs) exhibited intense single-cell foci throughout regions of infiltrated leaves expressing the SYNV MR derivatives and the SYNV nucleocapsid (N), phosphoprotein (P), and polymerase (L) proteins. Genomic RNA and mRNA transcription was detected for reporter genes substituted for both the SYNV N and P ORFs. These activities required expression of the N, P, and L core proteins in trans and were enhanced by co-delivery of viral suppressor proteins that interfere with host RNA silencing. As is the case with other members of the Mononegavirales, we detected polar expression of fluorescent proteins and chloramphenicol acetyltransferase substitutions for the N and P protein ORFs. We also demonstrated the utility of the SYNV MR system for functional analysis of SYNV core proteins in trans and the cis-acting leader and trailer sequence requirements for transcription and replication. This work provides a platform for construction of more complex SYNV reverse genetic derivatives and presents a general strategy for reverse genetic applications with other plant NSR viruses.

A revolution in understanding of RNA viruses was initiated more than 25 years ago by the discovery that plus-strand viral RNA genomes could be reverse transcribed into cDNAs, and that purified plasmids containing these cDNAs could be faithfully transcribed in vitro to yield infectious “genomic” RNAs (gRNAs) (1). This finding permitted genetic manipulation of cDNAs and recovery of mutant RNAs whose effects on virus biology and pathology could be assessed. However, direct application of this strategy to negative-strand RNA (NSR) viruses was not possible because the minimal infectious unit of NSR viruses is the viral nucleocapsid (vNC), rather than naked viral gRNA (2, 3). Moreover, the development of methods for reconstitution of nucleocapsids (NCs) from cloned NSR cDNAs proved to be an enormous challenge that required nearly a decade to resolve (4, 5).

Generation of biologically active NCs was eventually solved with animal NSR viruses by cotransfeting permissive cell lines with plasmids designed to transcribe positive-strand or “antigenic” RNA (agRNA) derivatives, along with plasmids able to express the three NC core proteins (6, 7, 8, 9). These approaches resulted in in vivo assembly of NCs that were able to replicate and jump-start the replication process. In seminal experiments to recover full-length recombinant Rabies virus and Vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV), ectopic expression of viral core proteins was facilitated by use of cell lines expressing bacteriophage T7 polymerase for transcription of the nucleocapsid protein (N), phosphoprotein (P), and polymerase protein (L) mRNAs and agRNAs from transfected plasmids (6, 8, 9). In practice, T7 promoters positioned for precise initiation at the 5’ leader (L) sequence and generation of the exact 3’ terminus of the trailer (U) sequence by hepatitis delta virus ribozyme (ΔRz) cleavage were critical for recovery of recombinant virus, and a number of studies showed that agRNA transcripts yielded substantially more efficient recovery of recombinant virus than gRNA transcripts (7, 10). The three core proteins and processed agRNAs were able to assemble into antigenomic nucleocapsids (agNCs) that could function in replication of gRNAs and form genomic-sense nucleocapsids (gNCs) with the ectopic core proteins. The gNCs were biologically active and were able to initiate replication cycles due to their abilities to function in transcription of mRNAs and replication of agRNA intermediates. As replication of the biologically active recombinants proceeded, infected focal cells lysed and plaques formed as adjacent cells were infected with recombinant virus.
Over the past decade, related strategies have been developed for reverse genetic analyses of members of all of the major animal NSR virus families (7, 11–16). These procedures are quite different, but visible plaques that appear on cell layers provide a valuable resource for phenotypic and biochemical studies (17). Moreover, these innovative strategies and subsequent second-generation adaptations not only have led to an increased understanding of the replication and disease potential of animal NSR viruses but also have permitted many practical applications such as vaccine production using recombinant viruses with attenuated virulence (18, 19) and the use of NSR virus vectors for foreign gene expression (20–22). Fortunately, several technical problems associated with plants have hampered recovery of recombinant NSR plant viruses, even though these viruses have a number of physicochemical properties in common with their animal virus counterparts (23–28).

In the current study, we have applied agroinfiltration to circumvent the most serious problems plaguing development of reverse genetic systems of plant NSR viruses. Our approach relies on our previous studies with Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated expression of Sonchus yellow net nuclearhabdovirus (SYNV) core N, P, and L proteins in Nicotiana benthamiana (29, 30). We have applied this methodology for delivery of the viral core protein genes into agroinfiltrated leaf cells in conjunction with plasmids containing a Cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) double 35S (35S2) promoter for transcription of SYNV agRNA derivatives. The transcribed agRNAs have ribozymes (Rzs) positioned for cleavage of the 5′ and 3′ termini corresponding to the SYNV L (31) and T (32) sequences to produce a processed agRNA competent for encapsidation by the coexpressed N, P, and L core proteins. We also have developed applications for functional analyses of the core proteins and the virus-specific cis elements of the SYNV minireplicon (MR). Hence, our reverse genetic approach provides the basis for a more detailed understanding of replication of SYNV, a plant-adapted rhabdovirus, and for future construction of reverse genetic derivatives capable of autonomous virus replication.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General. Plasmid DNA was extracted using an alkaline lysis procedure (33) and used for cloning and sequencing. DNAs for subcloning were recovered from gel slices using a Qiaex II gel extraction kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Restriction enzymes were obtained from New England Biolabs (Beaver, MA), and chemicals were purchased from Sigma Chemical (St. Louis, MO) or Fisher Scientific (Springfield, NJ). Oligonucleotides used for PCR amplifications were purchased from Operon Technologies (Alameda, CA), and amplifications were performed using Pfu DNA polymerase (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). PCR products were purified by gel electrophoresis, cloned into TOPO plasmids according to the recommendations of the manufacturer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), and subsequently transferred into A. tumefaciens pGEX expression vectors (29). All clones were sequenced at the University of California—Berkeley DNA Sequencing Facility (Berkeley, CA) or Invitrogen (Shanghai, China).

Construction of an Rz processing cassette for reporter gene cloning. Several steps were carried out to construct a bacteriophage T7 transcription plasmid (pSYNVHRz) for analysis of ribozyme (Rz) processing activities and use in cloning reporter genes (see Section SI in the supplemental material). The pSYNVHRz plasmid contains a bacteriophage T7 promoter (T7-Pro) inserted upstream of a hammerhead ribozyme (HRz), described by Herold and Andino (34), followed by the SYNV L sequence, the 5′ untranslated region (UTR) of the N gene, a multiple-cloning site, the 3′ UTR of the SYNV L gene, the T sequence, and the hepatitis delta virus ribozyme (ΔRz). The HRz was designed to process transcribed RNAs at a cytosine residue immediately preceding the 5′ terminus of the L sequence, and the ΔRz was positioned to cleave at the exact 3′ terminus of the T sequence (35, 36). Details of the construction of pSYNVHRz and experiments to verify HRz and ΔRz cleavage of agRNA transcripts to produce termini complementary to the 5′ and 3′ ends of SYNV gRNA are described in Section SI in the supplemental material.

Engineering of SYNV minireplicon reporter cassettes. pSYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT was engineered by substituting reporter gene between the Nhel and BsrGI sites of pSYNVHRz, (see Section SI in the supplemental material for cloning details). These intermediates were then inserted into pCAMBIA1300 to create the pSYNV-MR reporter cassettes used for cloning into pGd expression vectors (29). A red-shifted green fluorescent protein (rsGFP) gene from pGD-GFP (29) was substituted for the N protein open reading frame (ORF), and this was followed by gene junction (GJ) sequences separating the SYNV N and P genes (37). The chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT) gene was then amplified from a Barley stripe mosaic virus (BSMV) gRNA-BβCAT construct described earlier (38) and inserted at a position corresponding to the P protein ORF to yield the pSYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT reporter intermediate (see Section SI in the supplemental material for details of the constructions).

For construction of pSYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT, plasmid was used as a scaffold sequence and template. The enhanced GFP (eGFP) and DsRed reporter genes were amplified from plasmid pEFGP-N1 (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA) and pGd-DsRed (29), respectively. The reporter genes were fused to flanking SYNV regulatory sequences by ligation and PCR amplification. After four consecutive PCR amplifications, the resulting full-length expression cassettes were subcloned into the psGFP-CAT reporter cassette in pSYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT. Note that these manipulations resulted in substitution of new reporter genes in the N and P positions with the same flanking SYNV sequences as those in pSYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT (see Section SI in the supplemental material).

SYNV N, P, and L genes used for agroinfiltration. Plasmids harboring the SYNV N, P, and L genes used in these experiments were inserted into pGd vectors as described previously (29). Mutant N proteins with various nuclear localization and interaction phenotypes were described by Deng et al. (30). During the course of evaluating the P protein in pGd (29), we discovered a single nucleotide error in the initial sequence (39). This error resulted in the failure to identify a termination codon that reduces the predicted size of the P protein to 308 amino acids from the 346 residues previously deduced (39, 40). Sequencing of 10 independent P protein clones amplified from purified virus or SYNV-infected leaf RNA revealed several additional sequence variants that affected individual amino acids, but all of these had a predicted length of 308 residues. The P protein mRNA (41) was amplified from RNA of SYNV-infected N. benthamiana by reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) with the primers “L-sense” and “L-anti” (see Table S1 in the supplemental material) and cloned into the Sall site of the TOPO-TA vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). After verification by restriction analysis and sequencing, the L protein gene was subcloned into pGd.

The N protein mutant derivatives (F42A, Y51A, and YIY) that affect various nuclear localization and interaction phenotypes were described by Deng et al. (30). During the course of evaluating the P protein in pGd (29), we discovered a single nucleotide error in the initial sequence (39). This error resulted in the failure to identify a termination codon that reduces the predicted size of the P protein to 308 amino acids from the 346 residues previously deduced (39, 40). Sequencing of 10 independent P protein clones amplified from purified virus or SYNV-infected leaf RNA revealed several additional sequence variants that affected individual amino acids, but all of these had a predicted length of 308 residues. The L protein mRNA (41) was amplified from RNA of SYNV-infected N. benthamiana by reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) with the primers “L-sense” and “L-anti” (see Table S1 in the supplemental material) and cloned into the Sall site of the TOPO-TA vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). After verification by restriction analysis and sequencing, the L protein gene was subcloned into pGd.

The N protein mutant derivatives (F42A, Y51A, and YIY) that affect various nuclear localization and interaction phenotypes were described by Deng et al. (30). During the course of evaluating the P protein in pGd (29), we discovered a single nucleotide error in the initial sequence (39). This error resulted in the failure to identify a termination codon that reduces the predicted size of the P protein to 308 amino acids from the 346 residues previously deduced (39, 40). Sequencing of 10 independent P protein clones amplified from purified virus or SYNV-infected leaf RNA revealed several additional sequence variants that affected individual amino acids, but all of these had a predicted length of 308 residues. The L protein mRNA (41) was amplified from RNA of SYNV-infected N. benthamiana by reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) with the primers “L-sense” and “L-anti” (see Table S1 in the supplemental material) and cloned into the Sall site of the TOPO-TA vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). After verification by restriction analysis and sequencing, the L protein gene was subcloned into pGd.

The N protein mutant derivatives (F42A, Y51A, and YIY) that affect various nuclear localization and interaction phenotypes were described by Deng et al. (30). During the course of evaluating the P protein in pGd (29), we discovered a single nucleotide error in the initial sequence (39). This error resulted in the failure to identify a termination codon that reduces the predicted size of the P protein to 308 amino acids from the 346 residues previously deduced (39, 40). Sequencing of 10 independent P protein clones amplified from purified virus or SYNV-infected leaf RNA revealed several additional sequence variants that affected individual amino acids, but all of these had a predicted length of 308 residues. The L protein mRNA (41) was amplified from RNA of SYNV-infected N. benthamiana by reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) with the primers “L-sense” and “L-anti” (see Table S1 in the supplemental material) and cloned into the Sall site of the TOPO-TA vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). After verification by restriction analysis and sequencing, the L protein gene was subcloned into pGd.

Mutant minireplicon derivatives. To destroy HRz activity, a 16-nucleotide (nt) deletion preceding the normal HRz T sequence cleavage site was constructed in the 5′ HRz of the pSYNV-MRrsGFP-DsRed Cassette. To assess the biological importance of the T and L regions, 18-nt deletions were incorporated into the L and T termini of pSYNV-MRrsGFP-DsRed (see Section SI in the supplemental material for construction of mutant minireplicon derivatives).

Agroinfiltration-induced expression and confocal microscopy analyses of SYNV MR reporters in planta. N. benthamiana plants used for routine SYNV maintenance were grown in a greenhouse at ambient tem-
perature, and plants used for infiltration were maintained in a growth room at 23°C at 1,000 lumens with a 16-hour day/night regime. The pSYNV-MR cassettes were cloned into pGD expression vectors (29) and transformed into A. tumefaciens strain EHA105 by a freeze-thaw method (42), and bacteria containing the cassettes were selected on LB agar plates containing rifampin (50 μg/ml) and kanamycin (50 μg/ml). To prepare for agroinfiltration, 1- to 2-day-old EHA105 cells were scraped from the plates and resuspended in buffer containing 10 mM MgCl₂ and 10 mM 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES), pH 5.6. Cell suspensions were adjusted to an A₅₉₀ of 0.8 and incubated for 2 to 4 h at room temperature in the presence of 100 μM acetyysteine. Immediately before infiltration, the pGD:N, pGD:P, pGD:L, and pGD:MR cassettes were mixed in the desired combinations, and bacteria containing the BSMV pGD:yb (43), Tomato bushy stunt virus (TBSV) pGD:p19 (44), and/or Tobacco etch virus (TEV) pJD:HC-Pro pGD:HC-Pro (45) plasmid were included in the mix to minimize gene silencing. Bacterial mixtures were then infiltrated into the lower sides of N. benthamiana leaves with a 1-ml syringe (29).

Fluorescence in infiltrated leaves was visualized with a Zeiss Lumar epifluorescence dissecting microscope, and higher-resolution imaging of individual mesophyll cells was carried out with a Zeiss LSM 510 or LSM 780 confocal microscope (Thornwood, New York, NY). The excitation wavelength used for GFP was 470/40 nm, and the emission wavelength was 525/50 nm. DsRed was excited at 545/25 nm, and the emission between 605/70 nm was selected for visualization (46).

**Analysis of proteins.** Proteins were extracted from agroinfiltrated N. benthamiana leaves harvested at 5 to 10 days postinfiltration (dpi) and evaluated by Western blotting (30). Proteins separated by SDS-PAGE were either stained with Coomassie blue or transferred to nitrocellulose membranes and detected with polyclonal antiserum specific to the SYNV N, P, or L protein (47) or monoclonal antibodies elicited against GFP (Clontech Laboratories, Mountain View, CA) and red fluorescent protein (RFP) (Huada, Beijing, China).

**CAT assays.** CAT activity was assayed as described by Ausubel et al. (48) with modifications. Briefly, 1 g of infiltrated leaves was ground with liquid nitrogen in a mortar and pestle and then extracted with 3 ml of extraction buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 2 mM MgCl₂), The crude extract was centrifuged at 14,000 rpm in an Eppendorf microcentrifuge (5417C) for 5 min at room temperature, and the supernatant was transferred to a 1.5-ml Eppendorf tube and heated to 65°C for 10 min. The extract was then incubated with the fluorescent Bodipy FL chloramphenicol (BCAM) substrate (Molecular Probes, NY) and acetyl coenzyme A (acetyl-CoA) at 37°C for 2 h. Subsequently, the fluorescent substrate and the acetylated derivatives were extracted by addition of 0.5 ml ice-cold ethyl acetate and the ethyl acetate solvent recovered and evaporated in a vacuum apparatus. The nonvolatile reaction products were dissolved in a small volume of ethyl acetate and resolved by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on silica gel plates using a solvent mixture of chloroform/methanol/25% ammonia/water (90:10:5:5, vol/vol). The chromatogram was dried, and the reaction products were visualized by UV illumination and photographed.

**RNA analyses.** For Northern hybridization, total RNA was isolated from infiltrated regions of leaves at 10 dpi with TRIzol reagent (Sigma), resolved in 1.2% agarose-formaldehyde gels, and probed with [³²P]labeled GFP RNA probes. The probe designations and sequences used to amplify each probe are shown in Table 1. To transcribe probes specific for gRNA or agRNA detection, the pSYNV-MRagRNA-CAT cassette was used as a template. Primers used for probe transcription were designed with T7 promoters in the desired genomic or antigenomic orientations, and the templates were transcribed in vitro with T7 RNA polymerase in the presence of [³²P]UTP. Probes used to detect GFP gRNAs or agRNAs were amplified with the T7GFPF and 3' GFP primer pair or the 5' GFP and 3'T7rsGFPR primer pair, respectively. A full-length agRNA transcript probe to detect gRNAs was amplified with the T7 ldrF and lzrev primers, and the full-length agRNA probe was amplified using the JsenseF and T7 trlR primers. To construct probes to detect the L sequence, pSYNV-MRagRNA-CAT was first amplified using the rz sense and N5'UTR R primer pair to generate a 250-nt template for in vitro synthesis of the genomic and antigenomic probes. The 250-nt product was then used as a template with either the L sense or the L rev primer in the presence of [³²P]dCTP for synthesis of a 208-nt probe to target agRNA sequences and a 193-nt probe to hybridize to gRNA products, respectively. To detect the genomic trailer (C) RNA, pSYNV-MRagRNA-CAT was amplified using the C sense and SYNV R primer pair to generate a 162-nt product. Next, the 162-nt product was used as a template with the L3'mRNA primer and [³²P]dCTP to generate a probe to target the agRNA sequence.</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>Primer sequence</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T7rsGFPT7F</td>
<td>T7rsGFPT7F ATAATACGACTCACTATAGG</td>
<td>To amplify a minus-sense GFP probe to detect plus-sense GFP transcripts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rsGFPT7R</td>
<td>rsGFPT7R TATAGTTTCATCCAT</td>
<td>To detect minus-sense GFP transcripts using plus-sense GFP template</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7rsGFPF</td>
<td>T7rsGFPF ATAATACGACTCACTATAGG</td>
<td>To amplify a plus-sense GFP probe for detection of minus-sense transcripts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7rsGFPRT7</td>
<td>T7rsGFPRT7 TAATACGACTCACTATAGG</td>
<td>To detect plus-sense GFP transcripts using minus-sense GFP template</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7MRFT7F</td>
<td>T7MRFT7F ATAATACGACTCACTATAGG</td>
<td>To create a size marker template to assess mobility of minus-sense MR transcripts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRT7R</td>
<td>MRT7R TATAGTTTCATCCAT</td>
<td>To detect minus-sense MR transcripts using plus-sense MR template</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FrzF</td>
<td>FrzF AGAGACAGAAACTCAGAAAATACAATCACCG</td>
<td>To amplify the leader probe transcription template for positive-sense leader RNA and full-length antigenomic RNAs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FrzR</td>
<td>FrzR ATCGCTAGCTGGCCATGTACATCTATATCTCTTGAAT</td>
<td>To synthesize a trailer probe for detection of genomic RNAs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN5F</td>
<td>RN5F RATGCTAGCGATTACCTGCAATTAAAATAC</td>
<td>For positive-sense genomic RNAs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN5R</td>
<td>RN5R TTTTTTCTTTAATCTACTAATCAGTTAAAATAC</td>
<td>For negative-sense genomic RNAs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATF</td>
<td>CATF AGAGACAGAAACTCAGAAAATACAATCACCG</td>
<td>To detect full-length genomic transcript</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATR</td>
<td>CATR ATCGCTAGCTGGCCATGTACATCTATATCTCTTGAAT</td>
<td>For positive-sense genomic RNAs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 1: Primers used to create probes for detection of genomic and antigenomic RNA transcripts from the SYNV minireplicon.
earlier than in tissue infiltrated with bacteria containing the two MR plasmids, the viral core protein plasmids, and the yb and p19 plasmids. The kinetics of GFP expression from pGD-GFP were compared with those from pSYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT alone for 2 weeks (Fig. 1B). Initially, GFP expression with pGD-GFP and the yb and p19 suppressors appeared throughout the infiltrated regions by 2 to 3 dpi (Fig. 1B). In marked contrast, GFP fluorescence from pSYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT alone was not evident until 4 to 5 dpi, and rather than being generally distributed throughout the infiltrated area, fluorescence appeared as intense single-cell foci. Reductions in intensity of the GFP foci also began to diminish after ~6 dpi, whereas most of the fluorescent foci from the SYNV MR were still intense at 14 dpi (Fig. 1B), and some foci persisted for up to 21 dpi even as the infiltrated tissue began to senesce (data not shown). These experiments thus reveal that the kinetics and distribution of GFP expression from the pSYNV-MR-infiltrated cells differed substantially from fluorescence in tissue agroinfiltrated with pGD-GFP.

In separate assays to assess CAT expression from the second ORF of pSYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT, tissue extracts were incubated with the BCAM substrate and the 1Ac-BCAM, 3Ac-BCAM, and 1,3Ac-BCAM reaction products were resolved by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on silica gel plates (Fig. 1C). As anticipated, CAT activity was not evident in infiltrated tissues at 5 dpi, and higher activities were present at 10 dpi (Fig. 1C, lanes 3 and 4). Taken together, these cumulative data provide convincing evidence for pSYNV-MR-driven reporter gene activity during transient expression from the binary agrobacterium vector and that reporter gene expression requires the presence of the SYNV core proteins.

N, P, and L protein requirements for reporter gene expression. The results above suggest that reporter gene activities from...
the SYNV MR derivatives require ectopic expression of the core proteins for formation of NCs capable of transcribing the reporter mRNAs. To more precisely determine the individual N, P, and L requirements for reporter gene expression, Agrobacterium mixtures harboring pSYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT and plasmids for expression of the p19 and BSMV RNA silencing suppressors were coinfiltrated with different combinations of the core proteins (Fig. 2). In agreement with the results shown in Fig. 1, isolated GFP-expressing foci appeared in infiltrated regions when pSYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT and the N, P, and L proteins were coinfiltrated (Fig. 2A), but as anticipated, GFP foci were not evident when bacteria harboring the N, P, or L plasmid were omitted from infiltration mixtures (Fig. 2A). In addition, Western blot analyses revealed the presence of GFP only in MR infiltrations containing the N, P, and L plasmids, and expression of the N and P proteins was also evident in the expected combinations (Fig. 2B). Similarly, CAT activity absolutely relied on coexpressed N, P, and L core proteins (Fig. 2C). These results demonstrate that all three core proteins are essential for reporter expression, and the results are also compatible with a scenario in which the transcribed SYNV MR agRNAs are encapsidated by the N, P, and L proteins to generate agNC intermediates needed for formation of gNCs that function in synthesis of GFP and CAT mRNAs.

**Effects of RNA silencing suppressors on GFP expression.** Dolja and colleagues have shown previously that viral suppressors of host gene silencing greatly enhanced reporter gene expression from a Beet yellow closterovirus (BYV) minireplicon following Agrobacterium-mediated delivery (50), so experiments were carried out to determine the extent to which different gene silencing suppressors affected expression from the SYNV MR derivatives.
RNA was present only in tissue infiltrated with derivatives harboring the pSYNV MR and plasmids for expression of the core probe. The probe was designed to hybridize with RNAs pSYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT and the SYNV N, P, and L proteins. The location of a marker corresponding in size to the 1,791-nt “positive-sense” (antigenomic) RNA is above the 720-nt GFP ORF size marker (GFP mRNA) was observed only in a 1-mm² field) more than 20-fold over infiltrations lacking suppressors (Fig. 2D). These experiments demonstrated that each of the three suppressors increased the numbers of foci per 1-mm² field. Moreover, combinations of *y*b, TBSV *p*19, and TEV HC-Pro suppressor proteins. The results revealed that expression of each of the three suppressors increased the numbers of foci per 1-mm² field (*y*b, 6.8 ± 2.3; *p*19, 10.8 ± 3.9; and HC-Pro, 5.5 ± 1.4) substantially over those of leaves lacking a suppressor (1.8 ± 0.6 foci/1-mm² field). Moreover, combinations of *y*b and HC-Pro (17.7 ± 2.3), *p*19 and HC-Pro (11.6 ± 2.2), and *y*b and *p*19 (25.7 ± 5.4) enhanced GFP expression more dramatically (Fig. 2D). In addition, coinfiltration with agrobacteria containing plasmids encoding all of the SYNV MR derivatives in these experiments. To identify RNAs that might participate in SYNV-MR replication and reporter gene expression, Northern blotting was conducted with *N. benthamiana* leaves infiltrated with pSYNV-MRrsGFP-DsRed-L, the core proteins, and various combinations of the BSMV *y*b, TBSV *p*19, and TEV HC-Pro suppressor proteins. The results were also evident in *L* and *T* probe hybridizations with RNAs from tissue coexpressing pSYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT and the SYNV N, P, and L plasmids (Fig. 3A, lanes +NPL). In contrast, four discrete RNAs migrating more slowly than the MR marker RNA were also evident in *L* and *T* probe hybridizations with RNAs from tissue coexpressing pSYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT and the SYNV N, P, and L proteins after hybridization with the antigenomic *T* probe. The *L* probe was designed to hybridize primarily to 208 nt at the 3’ end of the gRNA and should have detected incomplete termination products were detected in tissue expressing pSYNV-MRagRNA-CAT and the SYNV N, P, and L plasmids (Fig. 3A, lane +NPL). In addition, low-molecular-weight RNAs that are thought to be premature termination products were detected in tissue expressing pSYNV-MRagRNA-CAT and the SYNV N, P, and L proteins after hybridization with the antigenomic *T* probe. The *L* probe was designed to hybridize primarily to 208 nt at the 3’ end of the gRNA and should have detected incomplete replication products as well as the full-length gRNA (Fig. 3C). This appears to be the case, as the major gRNA sequence identified with the *L* probe comigrated with the MR marker RNA (Fig. 3B, lane +NPL). In contrast, four discrete RNAs migrating more slowly than the MR marker RNA were evident in the *T* probe blot of tissue infiltrated with the N, P, and L proteins (Fig. 3C, (Fig. 2D). To provide direct comparisons, we counted GFP foci from 1-mm² fields (~400 cells) of leaf tissue infiltrated with pSYNV-MRrsGFP-DsRed-L, the core proteins, and various combinations of the BSMV *y*b, TBSV *p*19, and TEV HC-Pro suppressor proteins. The results revealed that expression of each of the three suppressors increased the numbers of foci per 1-mm² field (*y*b, 6.8 ± 2.3; *p*19, 10.8 ± 3.9; and HC-Pro, 5.5 ± 1.4) substantially over those of leaves lacking a suppressor (1.8 ± 0.6 foci/1-mm² field). Moreover, combinations of *y*b and HC-Pro (17.7 ± 2.3), *p*19 and HC-Pro (11.6 ± 2.2), and *y*b and *p*19 (25.7 ± 5.4) enhanced GFP expression more dramatically (Fig. 2D). In addition, coinfiltration with agrobacteria containing plasmids encoding all three suppressors increased the fluorescence foci (35.9 ± 6.7 foci/1-mm² field) more than 20-fold over infiltrations lacking suppressors (Fig. 2D). These experiments demonstrated that each of the individual suppressors and various combinations collectively increased the numbers of GFP foci. Therefore, suppression of host RNA interference is quite important for optimal biological activity of the SYNV MR derivatives in these experiments.

**MR replication and mRNA synthesis.** To identify RNAs that might participate in SYNV-MR replication and reporter gene expression, Northern blotting was conducted with *N. benthamiana* tissue infiltrated for 10 dpi with pSYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT (Fig. 3). In these experiments, RNAs extracted from infiltrated tissue were first hybridized with [32P]-labeled probes to detect genomic-sense RNAs that might represent replication products (Fig. 3A, B, and C). As anticipated, the GFP probe revealed a distinct band corresponding in size to the 1,791-nt MR gRNA marker only when leaves were infiltrated with a mixture of bacteria harboring pSYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT and the SYNV N, P, and L plasmids (Fig. 3A, lane +NPL). In contrast, four discrete RNAs migrating more slowly than the MR marker RNA were also evident in *L* and *T* probe hybridizations with RNAs from tissue coexpressing pSYNV-MRagRNA-CAT and the SYNV N, P, and L proteins after hybridization with the antigenomic *T* probe. The *L* probe was designed to hybridize primarily to 208 nt at the 3’ end of the gRNA and should have recognized RNAs corresponding to nearly complete, but not to incomplete, RNAs (Fig. 3B). The *T* probe was complementary to the 5’ end of the gRNA and should have detected incomplete replication products as well as the full-length gRNA (Fig. 3C). This appears to be the case, as the major gRNA sequence identified with the *L* probe comigrated with the MR marker RNA (Fig. 3B, lane +NPL). In contrast, four discrete RNAs migrating more slowly than the MR marker RNA were evident in the *T* probe blot of tissue infiltrated with the N, P, and L proteins (Fig. 3C, ...
sequence, which does not accumulate to detect GFP and CAT mRNAs and agRNAs (Fig. 3D, E, and F). The GFP probe revealed the presence of a product corresponding in size to GFP mRNA in tissue infiltrations containing the N, P, and L genes (Fig. 3D, lane +NPL). This RNA migrates slightly more slowly and is broader than the 720-nt GFP marker RNA, which lacks the 5’ UTR and poly(A) sequences anticipated for the SYNV MR GFP mRNA. The requirement for the N, P, and L proteins (Fig. 3D, lanes -N, -P, and -L) provides additional evidence that the MR GFP mRNA is derived from gNc. Hybridizations with CAT probes were also conducted to determine whether sequences corresponding to the CAT mRNA in pSYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT were present in infiltrated tissue (Fig. 3E). These hybridizations revealed an intense RNA of ~660 nt that migrated near the position expected for the CAT mRNA (Fig. 3E, lane +NPL). These results are also consistent with the observed CAT activities in tissue infiltrated with pSYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT and the N, P, and L plasmids (Fig. 1C and 2C). In addition, a probe designed to detect the 144-nt antigenomic sense \( I \) RNA revealed a lower molecular-weight RNA in infiltrations containing the N, P, and L plasmids. This RNA corresponded in size to the \( I \) RNA (Fig. 3F, lane +NPL) and was absent in tissue infiltrations lacking the N, P, or L plasmids (Fig. 3F, lanes -N, -P, and -L).

We had anticipated that full-length agRNAs originating from 35S\(^*\) promoter-mediated transcription of the SYNV-MR derivatives would accumulate in tissue coinfiltrated with bacteria harboring only pSYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT and the \( y_b \) and \( p19 \) genes. However, tissue infiltrations lacking N-, P-, or L-expressing bacteria contained only minor doublet RNA products of a size approximating that of agRNAs, and even these products were variable in different extracts (Fig. 3D, E, and F). We also had envisioned that agRNA replication products would accumulate in tissue infiltrated with bacteria harboring pSYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT and the N, P, and L plasmids, but we were unable to detect accumulation of enhanced RNAs migrating more slowly than the GFP mRNAs with any of the hybridization probes (Fig. 3D, E, and F, lanes +NPL). These results thus indicate that detectable amounts of full-length agRNAs do not accumulate even under conditions that support replication of gRNAs.

**Polar expression of reporter genes from the N and P ORF positions.** It has been documented that gene expression of non-segmented NSR viruses involves progressive transcriptional attenuation of genes from the 3’ to the 5’ ends of the gRNA templates (51). To investigate whether the SYNV MRs recapitulate the polar transcription mechanism, two pairs of MR constructs were engineered to facilitate comparisons of the relative reporter expression levels from the N and P ORF positions (Fig. 4A). Both the SYNV MRrsGFP-CAT and MRrsCAT-gGFP express eGFP and CAT reporter genes that allow visual inspection of eGFP expression by fluorescence microscopy and enzymatic quantification of CAT activities, but the constructs differ in the order of reporter genes relative to the N and P ORFs. Upon infiltration into *N. benthamiana* leaves with bacteria containing the N, P, and L plasmids, eGFP expression was evident for both MR constructs starting at 5 dpi (Fig. 4B). Time course fluorescence microscopy analyses showed that eGFP was generally brighter when expressed from SYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT than when expressed from SYNV-MRrsCAT-gGFP at 5, 7, and 10 dpi (Fig. 4B), although the numbers of GFP foci appeared to be similar (data not shown). In addition, Western blot analyses confirmed the presence of higher levels of eGFP proteins in leaf tissues infiltrated with SYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT than in those infiltrated with SYNV-MRrsCAT-gGFP (Fig. 4C). In contrast, analyses of CAT enzymatic activities revealed that SYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT-infiltrated leaf extracts had lower levels of CAT expression than SYNV-MRrsCAT-gGFP-infiltrated leaf extracts (Fig. 4D). A second pair of MR derivatives, SYNV-MRrsGFP-DsRed and SYNV-MRrsRed-gGFP, was constructed to provide additional fluorescent reporter genes for visual analysis. In the presence of N, P, and L core proteins, intense eGFP and DsRed fluorescent foci were observed for both constructs under confocal microscopy (Fig. 4E). In these cases, eGFP and DsRed foci colocalized in individual cells, and fluorescent reporters for both constructs were more intense when substituted into the N ORF than when substituted into the P ORF (Fig. 4E). It is worth noting that, in order to visually evaluate the relative fluorescence intensity, the same microscope settings were applied for expression of the two constructs. Western blot analyses also verified the polar expression of the reporter genes observed in the microscopy studies (Fig. 4F). Similar to the results of our experiments with SYNV-MRrsGFP-CAT described above (Fig. 2A and 2B), fluorescent foci were not observed in leaf tissues infiltrated with any of the MR constructs when any of the N, P, or L plasmids were omitted from the agrobacterium mixtures (data not shown). These data thus convincingly show that higher levels of reporter expression from the N ORF position of SYNV MRs are due to positional effects rather than to intrinsic defects associated with a particular construct or reporter gene. Thus, the SYNV MR derivatives recapitulate the polar transcription process generally found in NSR viruses (2) and provide an ideal platform for studies of the regulation of mRNA transcription.

**Effects of N protein mutants on reporter gene expression.** The requirement of the N, P, and L genes for MR replication should permit analyses of biological activity of core protein mutants that we have previously been able to characterize only by their biochemical properties and cytological effects. Among these, we have shown that mutations within the N protein are important for N and P protein interactions and for nuclear localization (30, 40). To determine the transcriptional activities of these mutants, bacteria containing individual N protein mutant plasmids were mixed with bacteria harboring plasmids for expression of the P and L proteins, the pSYNV-MRrsGFP-DsRed derivative, and the \( p19 \) and \( y_b \) proteins. The combinations were infiltrated into *N. benthamiana* leaves, and the numbers of GFP foci were compared with those of the wild-type N protein (N\(_{WT}\)) (Fig. 5A). The N protein mutants when expressed along with the P and L proteins had varied effects, but all resulted in lower numbers of foci than infiltrations containing the N\(_{WT}\) protein. For example, the N\(_{F42A}\) mutant, containing site-specific alterations in the helix-loop-helix region, resulted in numbers of GFP foci that were reduced by about half (14.7 ± 4.6 foci/50-mm\(^2\) field, compared to 26.5 ± 12.8 foci in tissue infiltrated with N\(_{WT}\) combinations), and Western blotting also indicated lower accumulation of GFP protein in the infiltrated tissue (Fig. 5A). Our earlier study (30) revealed that N\(_{F42A}\) impairs homologous N protein interactions, has reductions in N-P protein interactions, and fails to form discernible subnuclear foci. However, N\(_{F42A}\) was able to form subnuclear foci...
when expressed in conjunction with the P protein (30). More substantial reductions in GFP foci were observed with the NY51A (6.5/10006 3.8 foci/50-mm2 field) and NY1Y (9.4/10006 3.8 foci) mutants (Fig. 5A). Interestingly, the NY51A mutant was previously shown to be compromised to a lesser extent in N-N and N-P binding interactions than the NF42A mutant, but failed to form subnuclear foci when coexpressed with the P protein (30). In contrast, the NY1Y mutant, which has alanine substitutions for tyrosine, isoleucine, and tyrosine at residues 48, 50, and 51, functions in homologous N-N and heterologous N-P interactions, although less efficiently than NWT, and also appears to be compromised in the formation of subnuclear foci and in P protein nuclear import (30). However, the most substantial mutant effects in which GFP foci were not present occurred with the C-terminal NKKRR mutant (40), which destroys the bipartite nuclear localization signal and results in total cytosolic localization. Therefore, the N protein mutant activities shown in Fig. 5A indicate that N and P protein interactions and the ability of the N protein to form subnuclear foci affect expression of MR reporter genes and suggest that the ability of the N protein to enter the nucleus is absolutely critical for MR replication. Thus, our results in toto show that the SYNV MR derivatives provide valuable reporters for use in conjunction with experiments to assess biochemical activities and cytological effects of mutations in the SYNV core proteins.

FIG 4  Analysis of the polarity of reporter gene expression from SYNV MR derivatives. (A) Schematic illustrations of SYNV MR derivatives expressing either eGFP, DsRed, or CAT reporter genes. For each pair of MRs, the constructs differ only in the orders of reporter genes relative to each other. (B to D) Expression of eGFP and CAT in leaves infiltrated with pSYNV-MR eGFP-CAT or pSYNV-MR CAT-eGFP, along with equal mixtures of agrobacteria harboring N, P, or L plasmids and gene silencing suppressors. (B) GFP expression monitored at 5, 7, and 10 dpi under an epifluorescence microscope. (C) Tissue extracts prepared from infiltrated leaves shown in panel B at 10 dpi were blotted with anti-GFP antibody for Western blots. Coomassie blue stains of the small subunit of Rubisco (Rubisco L) shown in panels C and F were used as controls to assess protein loading. The designations mGFP and dGFP indicate the monomer and dimer forms of GFP protein, respectively. (D) CAT activities analyzed by TLC. CAT products are as designated in Fig. 1. (E and F) Simultaneous visualization of eGFP and DsRed and evaluation of their relative expression levels. (E) Leaves infiltrated with pSYNV-MR eGFP-DsRed or pSYNV-MR DsRed-eGFP as described for panel B. The expression of fluorescent reporters was monitored at 7 dpi under a Zeiss LSM 780 confocal microscope. The same microscopy setting was used for both constructs to facilitate equal evaluations of the relative fluorescence levels. (F) Levels of eGFP and DsRed expression in infiltrated leaves at 5 and 10 dpi were analyzed in Western blots with specific antibodies.

when expressed in conjunction with the P protein (30). More substantial reductions in GFP foci were observed with the N51A (6.5 ± 3.8 foci/50-mm2 field) and N48Y (9.4 ± 3.8 foci) mutants (Fig. 5A). Interestingly, the N51A mutant was previously shown to be compromised to a lesser extent in N-N and N-P binding interactions than the N42A mutant, but failed to form subnuclear foci when coexpressed with the P protein (30). In contrast, the N48Y mutant, which has alanine substitutions for tyrosine, isoleucine, and tyrosine at residues 48, 50, and 51, functions in homologous N-N and heterologous N-P interactions, although less efficiently than NWT, and also appears to be compromised in the formation of subnuclear foci and in P protein nuclear import (30). However, the most substantial mutant effects in which GFP foci were not present occurred with the C-terminal NKKRR mutant (40), which destroys the bipartite nuclear localization signal and results in total cytosolic localization. Therefore, the N protein mutant activities shown in Fig. 5A indicate that N and P protein interactions and the ability of the N protein to form subnuclear foci affect expression of MR reporter genes and suggest that the ability of the N protein to enter the nucleus is absolutely critical for MR replication. Thus, our results in toto show that the SYNV MR derivatives provide valuable reporters for use in conjunction with experiments to assess biochemical activities and cytological effects of mutations in the SYNV core proteins.

cis-acting effects of minireplicon mutants on GFP expression. Partial inverse complementarity 1 and 5′ terminal sequences are one of the general hallmarks of the Mononegavirales (2). These sequences serve as promoter recognition sites for synthesis of agRNAs and gRNAs during the replication cycle and form terminal “panhandle” regions of gRNAs and defective interfering RNAs (52, 53). In the plant rhabdoviruses, the 1 and 5′ sequences are considerably longer than those of the rhabdovirus model, VSV, and they vary substantially in length and sequence (24). Comparison of the 3′ and 5′ termini of the SYNV genome reveals that
except for two mismatches, the terminal 18 nucleotides are complementary. To evaluate the requirements of these sequences for GFP expression, deletions in the \( \mathcal{L} \) and \( \mathcal{T} \) regions were generated in pSYNV-MR\(_{\text{GFP-DsRed}}\) to produce the \( \Delta \mathcal{L} \) and \( \Delta \mathcal{T} \) mutants. As anticipated, fluorescent foci were not observed when either of these mutant derivatives was infiltrated into \( N. \) benthamiana leaves, and GFP was not detected in Western blots (Fig. 5B, panels \( \Delta \mathcal{L} \) and \( \Delta \mathcal{T} \)). Further assessment of the requirement of precise end termini for replication of the MR, the HRz at the 5’ end of pSYNV-MR\(_{\text{GFP-DsRed}}\) was deleted to produce a \( \Delta \text{HRz} \) MR transcript with a 16-nt sequence preceding the 5’ terminus of the \( \mathcal{L} \) sequence. Interestingly, in this case leaves infiltrated with \( \Delta \text{HRz} \) MR had reduced numbers of GFP foci (6.0 ± 1.5 foci/50-mm\(^2\) field) compared to those infiltrated with MR\(_{\text{wt}}\) (20.6 ± 3.3) and much lower GFP expression in Western blots (Fig. 5B, \( \Delta \text{HRz} \)). Taken together, these results indicate that the first 18 nucleotides of the \( \mathcal{L} \) and \( \mathcal{T} \) sequences are required for reporter gene expression and suggest that HRz processing is important but not obligatory for generation of functional NCs.

**DISCUSSION**

The minimal infectious unit of NSR viruses is the NC, which consists of the viral gRNA and associated N, P, and L core proteins required for transcription and replication (52, 53). Early in infection, all NSR viruses rely on gNCs for transcription of viral mRNAs, and agRNAs required for agNC assembly. The agNC...
then participates in formation of progeny gRNAs that can form gNCs that participate in secondary rounds of mRNA transcription and replication. Therefore, in order to conduct reverse genetic studies of NSR viruses, recombinant RNAs must be assembled into gRNAs (7, 10, 15). Although reverse genetic systems have been described for most mammalian NSR viruses, most of these have involved transformation of tissue culture lines generated from the viral hosts that produce well-defined plaques from which recombinant virus can be recovered (10, 13, 14, 49, 52).

Several substantial problems have constrained reverse genetic applications with plant NSR viruses, which include the nucleorhabdoviruses and cytorhabdoviruses in the *Rhabdoviridae*, tospoviruses in the *Bunyaviridae*, ophioviruses in the *Ophioviridae*, and several nonenveloped viruses in the genus *Tenuiviridae* (23–28, 53). Except for members of the fungus-transmitted *Ophioviridae*, other plant NSR viruses replicate in insect vectors, but unfortunately, cell lines of most of these vectors are not available, and those that have been developed are quite fastidious in their growth and maintenance requirements (54, 55). Moreover, infected insect cells generally fail to form plaques or display other easily distinguishable infection phenotypes. Another major constraint is that NSR virus generation in plant cells requires circumvention of the cell wall to enable efficient delivery of the numbers of plasmids needed to express the core proteins and viral genome derivatives required for generation of infectious nucleocapsids. Moreover, plant NSR infections do not result in cell lysis, and thus reliable detection of primary infection foci in plant cells is difficult because of the lack of easily discernible cytopathologies. Therefore, reverse genetic applications to plant NSR viruses require approaches substantially different from those used for animal NSR viruses.

We have devised an alternative approach with the nucleorhabdovirus SYNV to circumvent most of the technical issues that have previously hampered reverse genetic applications to plant NSR viruses. This strategy relies on coinfiltration of *N. benthamiana* leaves with *Agrobacterium* derivatives separately harboring pGD plasmids encoding the SYNV N, P, and L core proteins and an SYNV MR derivative containing reporter genes flanked by SYNV *l* and *t* sequences. These SYNV MR derivatives initiate transcription of agRNAs in which the primary *l* RNA termini are generated by *cis* cleavage with two ribozymes (HRz and ΔRz). Biological activities of the resulting agRNA transcripts were confirmed by reporter gene expression only in leaves that had been coinfiltrated with bacteria containing plasmids encoding all three SYNV core protein genes, by the presence of mRNA transcripts corresponding to the reporter genes and the *l* RNA sequence, and by the appearance of gRNAs complementary to the agRNAs. These results also show that the recombinant N, P, and L core proteins are functionally active and indicate that they can participate in nucleocapsid assembly and in transcription and replication processes needed for expression of reporter genes substituted for the SYNV N and P ORFs.

GFP expression from SYNV-MR<sup>pgdGFP CAT</sup> exhibited several interesting variations in the time course of appearance and duration of expression compared to transient GFP expression from pGD-GFP. In the presence of yb and p19, bright pGD-GFP fluorescence appeared throughout infiltrated tissue by 2 to 3 days after infiltration, but the intensity declined during the next week. Although the appearance of GFP expression from SYNV MRs was slower, intense GFP foci began to appear within the infiltrated regions at about 5 dpi of the MR derivatives and the SYNV N, P, and L proteins. The numbers of foci then increased for the next few days, and intense GFP expression from the foci was maintained until the infiltrated areas began to senesce between 2 and 3 weeks after infiltration. These observations suggest that assembly of biologically active SYNV MR derivatives is inefficient, like that of animal NSR viruses (17), and this may account for the relatively low proportion of cells expressing the reporters in infiltrated leaves.

It is important to note that the biological activities of the SYNV MRs were enhanced substantially by viral suppressors of RNA silencing. In these experiments, relatively low numbers of fluorescent GFP foci occurred in tissue infiltrated only with SYNV MR derivatives and the N, P, and L proteins. However, the numbers of foci increased markedly when pGD vectors harboring BSMV yb, TBSV p19, or TEV HC-Pro genes were added individually to the infiltration mixtures. Larger numbers of fluorescent foci appeared when two RNA silencing suppressors were included, and the prevalence of the foci increased in the presence of all three suppressors. The yb, p19, and HC-Pro suppressors disrupt various steps in plant RNA silencing pathways (44), which likely accounts for their additive positive effects on SYNV MR expression. A previous report with relevance to the SYNV MR results has shown that expression of a minireplicon of a complex plus-strand RNA virus, *Beet yellows closterovirus* (BYV), is enhanced by diverse RNA silencing suppressors (50). In that study, *Agrobacterium*-delivered BYV minireplicons had negligible expression of GFP reporter genes in the absence of RNA silencing suppressors, whereas each of several suppressor proteins resulted in dramatic increases in GFP expression. Thus, our results complement those of the Dolja lab (50) and suggest that reverse genetic recovery of functional NSR virus derivatives may be improved by coexpression of suppressors of host RNA interference pathways.

Several features related to the structural properties of the SYNV MR constructs argue against spurious expression of reporter genes from the primary 35S<sup>pg</sup> MR agRNA transcripts. First, HRz- and ΔRz-processed MR transcripts are unlikely to be translated because they should lack both a 5′ cap structure and a poly(A) tail. Even if ribosome processing is incomplete and capped agRNA transcripts accumulate in the cytoplasm, a second translation initiation is likely present when two RNAs are translated (84–87) ORF present in the 144-nl sequence followed by three in-frame termination codons that should abrogate translation of RNAs containing the ORF. Moreover, if ribosome readthrough past the three termination codons occurred, the first ORF in the SYNV N protein is in a different reading frame from the ORF. Third, internal ORFs are not readily translatable in eukaryotic cells, and this should especially be the case with reporter genes substituted into the SYNV P ORF position. Finally, strict SYNV N, P, and L protein requirements for accumulation of viral mRNA and reporter gene expression (Fig. 2) provide additional evidence that the primary 35S<sup>pg</sup> agRNA transcripts do not function in translation of reporter genes. These results collectively provide a compelling argument that the primary agRNA transcripts are encapsidated by the core proteins to form gNCs that participate as intermediates in synthesis of functional gNCs and that the gNCs function in synthesis of reporter mRNAs.

To evaluate transcription from the SYNV MR plasmids, hybridization experiments were carried out with SYNV-MR<sup>pgdGFP CAT</sup>. We had anticipated that irrespective of the presence of the N, P, and L proteins, a limited amount of 35S<sup>pg</sup> promoter-driven agRNA transcripts corresponding in size to the SYNV MR would accumulate.
Nevertheless, we were unable to consistently detect agRNA species of this size in infiltrations lacking bacteria harboring plasmids for core protein expression. This may have been a consequence of low transcription of the agRNAs in limited numbers of foci, combined with rapid RNA turnover. However, when infiltrations included bacteria with plasmids encoding the N, P, and L proteins, the hybridizations reveal the presence of agRNAs (positive sense) corresponding in size and sequence to the 5’ U RNA and to the GFP and CAT mRNAs, but full-length agRNAs were not evident. The three observed agRNA species theoretically should have been transcribed only from gNCs, so additional experiments were carried out to identify progeny gRNAs that might be replicated from very-low-abundance full-length agRNA transcripts. These results revealed a hybridizing species corresponding to the size and specificity expected for the full-length SYNV MR gRNAs, but only in tissue expressing the N, P, and L proteins. This suggests that primary agRNAs that are below our limits of detection participate in replication activities. In other hybridization results, the sequence probe, which was designed to hybridize to the 5’ ends of nascent gRNAs, revealed the presence of three truncated gRNAs designated “strong-stop” species. These species might result from selective degradation of agRNA transcripts, or possibly low levels of one or more of the core proteins needed for gNC assembly could have resulted in accumulation of truncated gNCs that were able to protect only the encapsidated portions of the replicating gRNAs from degradation.

Since NSR RNAs generally require precise 5’ and 3’ termini for nucleocapsid formation and replication (51, 52, 53), we investigated the requirement for an authentic 5’ agRNA terminus for SYNV-MRₕ₋GRₐ-DsRed recovery by inactivating the HRz to eliminate precise processing at the 5’ ends of the 35S₂ transcripts. In these experiments, the number of foci and the extent of GFP expression after HRz inactivation were reduced substantially by the HRz mutant, but a few foci still appeared. Similar results have been reported in ribozyme mutant experiments to investigate generation of recombinant Measles virus and Borna disease virus (56). As was the case with SYNV, that study showed that recombinant virus rescue was reduced but not entirely eliminated by mutations that destroyed autocatalytic ribozyme processing. Interestingly, progeny virus analyses also revealed that an authentic 5’ terminus had been regenerated during virus replication. Because previous studies with VSV have shown that the initiation site for NC assembly by the N and N-P protein complexes resides within 19 nucleotides at the 5’ of the agRNA (57), our results suggest that NC formation may be generated internally at initiation sites on the unprocessed agRNA transcripts or that the protein complexes may scan to the initiation sites from an upstream location. Although these findings have mechanistic significance for nucleocapsid formation during replication of mononegaviruses, an important practical consideration is that there may be more flexibility in engineering reverse genetic systems than previously recognized.

In addition to yielding valuable clues about conditions necessary for engineering biologically active reverse genetic derivatives, minireplicons have provided bioassays to evaluate nucleocapsid protein functions and requirements for cis-acting elements present on genomic RNAs (10). We therefore used SYNV-MRₕ₋GRₐ-DsRed to evaluate the functional effects of N protein mutations that compromise nuclear import, subnuclear localization, and protein-protein interactions (30, 40). The resulting bioassay experiments clearly revealed that NLS amino acid substitutions that eliminate nuclear entry completely eliminate the ability of the N protein to function in expression of pSYNV-MRₕ₋GRₐ-DsRed. Other mutations that affect subnuclear localization and protein interactions resulted in reduced numbers of foci, but the mutant proteins still retained some activity. Hence, these results show that the SYNV MRs provide a valuable resource to assess the biological activities of SYNV core protein mutants that affect biochemical or cellular functions.

The requirements of the ₀ and ₉ regions for the SYNV MR recovery also confirmed our expectations, because deletion of the first 16 nt of either terminus completely destroyed the ability to generate GFP foci. This finding provides a unique opportunity for more refined sequence and structural evaluations of ₀ and ₉ requirements for SYNVR MR recovery. It is also likely that the cis-acting requirements of the GJ regions for regulation of mRNA transcription and replication of SYNV MR derivatives will be amenable to a similar mutagenesis strategy.

In conclusion, our construction of SYNV MR plasmids now permits direct analysis of the biological requirements of the core proteins and cis-acting elements encoded by the SYNV genome. We have also conducted experiments to assess the activities of mutations known to affect P protein cellular localization and protein interactions, and we plan to present these findings in an upcoming communication. In addition, the L protein has a number of conserved motifs common to other rhabdovirus L proteins (41) that are ripe for mutagenesis studies. Moreover, a number of characterized nucleorhabdoviruses appear to be closely related to SYNV (24), and it would be informative to determine whether substitution of the conserved motifs of these viruses into the SYNV core proteins will affect specific replication steps and recovery of SYNV MR derivatives. More importantly from a practical perspective, the SYNV MR constructs provide platforms for future engineering of autonomously replicating minigenomes encoding the N, P, and L proteins and for eventual construction of full-length SYNV derivatives suitable for reverse genetic analyses of replication, pathogenesis, and vector transmission. Thus, we anticipate that our SYNV MR findings will initiate future biological studies of SYNV and that the agroinfiltration strategy we have devised will be applicable to engineering reverse genetic systems for other plant NSR viruses.
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