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We examined the pharmacokinetic properties of vancomycin conjugated to a bone-targeting agent (BT) with high affinity for hydroxyapatite after systemic intravenous administration. The results confirm enhanced persistence of BT-vancomycin in plasma and enhanced accumulation in bone relative to vancomycin. This suggests that BT-vancomycin may be a potential carrier for the systemic targeted delivery of vancomycin in the treatment of bone infections, potentially reducing the reliance on surgical debridement to achieve the desired therapeutic outcome.

Osteomyelitis is defined as any inflammatory process in bone, the most common cause of which is infection. Although many bacterial pathogens have been associated with osteomyelitis, *Staphylococcus aureus* is the predominant cause and the pathogen responsible for the most serious forms of bone infection (1). Given the increasing prevalence of *S. aureus* strains resistant to methicillin (2), vancomycin remains the most commonly used antibiotic for the treatment of these infections (3). While true vancomycin resistance is rare, *S. aureus* strains with reduced susceptibility are common and often arise as a consequence of the prolonged periods of vancomycin therapy required to treat bone infections (1, 4). Vancomycin acts by inhibiting bacterial cell wall biosynthesis (5, 6) and is a large hydrophilic molecule that has limited penetration into bone and therefore low bone bioavailability when administered systemically (7). These factors emphasize the need to develop methods to enhance delivery of vancomycin to bone in the treatment of osteomyelitis. One way to accomplish this is to employ local antibiotic delivery, which while useful suffers from inherent limitations, not the least being the ability to gain direct access to the infection site (8–16). Thus, one of the major challenges to improve therapeutic outcomes for osteomyelitis patients is to develop methods for the systemic deliv-
ery of vancomycin, and potentially other antibiotics, in sufficient concentrations to achieve the desired therapeutic effect.

Previous studies in our laboratories have led to the development of bone-targeting agents (BT) based on their high affinity for hydroxyapatite and an enhanced tendency to accumulate in bone (17). We demonstrated that these compounds can be conjugated to vancomycin via a modified polyethylene glycol (PEG) linker (Fig. 1) to form BT-2-minipeg-2-vancomycin (BT-vancomycin) (18–20). Previous in vitro studies confirmed that the MICs of BT-vancomycin against methicillin-resistant and methicillin-susceptible S. aureus are comparable to those of vancomycin alone and that BT-vancomycin binds to hydroxyapatite to a greater extent than vancomycin (21). The objective of the present study was to define the pharmacokinetic (PK) profiles of vancomycin and BT-vancomycin after systemic administration via intravenous (i.v.) or intraperitoneal (i.p.) routes and to determine the plasma and bone content of vancomycin versus BT-vancomycin.

All experimental animal protocols were in strict accordance with the NIH “Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals” (24) and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees at the University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, and Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN. Thirty-five rats received a single i.v. injection via the tail vein of either vancomycin HCl (50 mg/kg of body weight) or BT-vancomycin (63.85 mg/kg; molar equivalent of 50 mg/kg of vancomycin HCl). Twenty rats were given an i.p. injection of either vancomycin HCl (50 mg/kg) or BT-vancomycin (63.85 mg/kg) twice daily for a total of seven doses. BT-vancomycin and vancomycin levels in plasma and bone were determined by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS-MS).

Bone samples (frozen tibiae) were pulverized, and the crushed bones were weighed, placed into 2-ml tubes, and stored at −80°C for further analysis. Analysis of vancomycin and BT-vancomycin was carried out using a Shimadzu LC unit coupled to an ABI 4000-Qtrap hybrid linear ion trap triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer in the multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode. Teicoplanin was used as an internal standard.

PK analysis was performed using data from individual rats, for which the mean and standard error of the mean (SEM) were calculated for each group. PK parameters were estimated using a noncompartmental model (Phoenix WinNonlin, Professional version 6.2; Pharsight, Mountain View, CA). The levels of vancomycin and BT-vancomycin in plasma peaked at 13.00 ± 1.96 and 41.22 ± 8.71 μM, respectively, 1 h after administration (Fig. 2). The concentration of BT-vancomycin in plasma declined to its lowest levels (0.07 ± 0.02 μM) at 168 h, while vancomycin reached its lowest level 12 h after i.v. administration (Fig. 2). Compared to the peak concentrations in plasma, peak concentrations in bone were delayed, with peak concentrations occurring 6 h after i.v. administration (Fig. 3). The amount of BT-vancomycin in bone after systemic administration via intravenous (i.v.) or intraperitoneal (i.p.) routes and to determine the plasma and bone content of vancomycin versus BT-vancomycin.

Bone samples (frozen tibiae) were pulverized, and the crushed bones were weighed, placed into 2-ml tubes, and stored at −80°C for further analysis. Analysis of vancomycin and BT-vancomycin was carried out using a Shimadzu LC unit coupled to an ABI 4000-Qtrap hybrid linear ion trap triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer in the multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode. Teicoplanin was used as an internal standard.

PK analysis was performed using data from individual rats, for which the mean and standard error of the mean (SEM) were calculated for each group. PK parameters were estimated using a noncompartmental model (Phoenix WinNonlin, Professional version 6.2; Pharsight, Mountain View, CA). The levels of vancomycin and BT-vancomycin in plasma peaked at 13.00 ± 1.96 and 41.22 ± 8.71 μM, respectively, 1 h after administration (Fig. 2). The concentration of BT-vancomycin in plasma declined to its lowest levels (0.07 ± 0.02 μM) at 168 h, while vancomycin reached its lowest level 12 h after i.v. administration (Fig. 2). Compared to the peak concentrations in plasma, peak concentrations in bone were delayed, with peak concentrations occurring 6 h after i.v. administration (Fig. 3). The amount of BT-vancomycin in bone was approximately 5-fold higher than that of vancomycin during the initial 12-h period but increased progressively to approximately 47-fold at 168 h (Table 1).

Increased accumulation of BT-vancomycin was also confirmed after i.p. administration of seven doses of 50 mg/kg of vancomycin or the molar equivalent of BT-vancomycin at 12-h intervals. The ratios of BT-vancomycin to vancomycin were 7.8, 7.4, and 47.7 at 1, 6, and 12 h after the last i.p. administration.

**TABLE 1 Comparative concentrations of vancomycin and BT-vancomycin in bone after i.v. administration of 50 mg/kg vancomycin or 63.85 mg/kg BT-vancomycin**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Concentration Vancomycin (μM)</th>
<th>Concentration BT-vancomycin (μM)</th>
<th>Ratio BT-vancomycin/Vancomycin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.14</td>
<td>4.89 ± 1.08</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.13</td>
<td>11.41 ± 1.79</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.15</td>
<td>8.06 ± 1.46</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.09</td>
<td>3.15 ± 0.49</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.10</td>
<td>4.31 ± 0.63</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.05</td>
<td>3.73 ± 0.61</td>
<td>46.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* a n = 5 rats per group.

b Significantly higher than results for vancomycin (P < 0.01).

c Significantly higher than results for vancomycin (P < 0.001).
TABLE 2 Comparative concentrations of vancomycin and BT-vancomycin in plasma and bone after i.p. administration of 50 mg/kg vancomycin or 63.85 mg/kg BT-vancomycin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Vancomycin (μM) (mean ± SEM) in plasma</th>
<th>BT-vancomycin (μM) (mean ± SEM) in plasma</th>
<th>Vancomycin (μM) (mean ± SEM) in bone</th>
<th>BT-vancomycin (μM) (mean ± SEM) in bone</th>
<th>BT-vancomycin/vancomycin ratio in bone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.6 ± 2.0</td>
<td>21.1 ± 2.1b</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>56.6 ± 8.4c</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>37.7 ± 5.1c</td>
<td>7.9 ± 1.5</td>
<td>58.4 ± 9.2d</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.04</td>
<td>27.4 ± 3.1c</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>42.9 ± 11.1c</td>
<td>47.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a n = 5 rats per group.

b Not significantly different from results for vancomycin.

c Significantly higher than results for vancomycin (P < 0.0001).

d Significantly higher than results for vancomycin (P < 0.01).

to that of vancomycin against both methicillin-resistant and methicillin-susceptible S. aureus strains isolated from bone infections (21). Additionally, BT-vancomycin was shown to be more efficacious than an equimolar dose of vancomycin in a rat osteomyelitis model. However, the most efficacious dosing regimen used in these studies (i.p. injection every 12 h for 21 days) not only was associated with high BT-vancomycin levels in plasma but also caused a decrease in body weight, an elevation in white blood cell count, renal dysfunction, and evidence of tubulointerstitial nephritis. Although we did not examine toxicity in the current studies, we have demonstrated enhanced accumulation in bone, even after a single i.v. dose of an amount of BT-vancomycin equivalent to that used in the previous study (21). Thus, with further dose optimization, this toxicity can likely be minimized, making BT-vancomycin a useful BT therapy for the treatment of methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) osteomyelitis. More importantly, the results justify future studies to assess the utility of our promising BT agent in the context of other, less toxic antibiotics that have activity against MRSA.
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TABLE 3 PK parameters in rats following i.v. administration of a single bolus of 50 mg/kg vancomycin or 63.85 mg/kg BT-vancomycin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter*</th>
<th>Vancomycin</th>
<th>BT-vancomycin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t_{1/2} (h)</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.09</td>
<td>21.14 ± 4.86d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_max (h)</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{max} (μM)</td>
<td>12.63 ± 2.38</td>
<td>43.82 ± 9.45b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC (h · μM)</td>
<td>58.71 ± 10.33</td>
<td>6319 ± 95.13c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_t (liters/kg)</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.18</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL (liters/h/kg)</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.048 ± 0.01c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUMC (h · h · μM)</td>
<td>162.63 ± 25.67</td>
<td>3012.66 ± 43.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRT (h)</td>
<td>1.93 ± 0.17</td>
<td>12.45 ± 1.63d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_v (liters/kg)</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.35</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Tmax, time to maximum concentration of drug in serum; V_v, volume of distribution; CL, clearance; AUMC, area under the first moment of the concentration-time curve; V_t, volume of distribution at steady state.

b Significantly higher than results for vancomycin (P < 0.05).

c Significantly higher than results for vancomycin (P < 0.01).

d Significantly higher than results for vancomycin (P < 0.001).
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