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ABSTRACT
The present invention provides, inter alia, nucleic acids which encode P450s in corn that, when expressed in the presence of a reductase, metabolize compounds exemplary of several distinct classes of insecticides and herbicides. The invention also includes amino acids encoded by the nucleic acids, as well as vectors, cells, and eukaryotes comprising the nucleic or amino acid compounds. Also included are methods using the materials provided.

14 Claims, 11 Drawing Sheets
SPECTRA DEMONSTRATING (PEAK AT 450)
EXPRESSING 72A1 IN YEAST MICROSONES

FIG. 3
For **CYP72A1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Nucleotide Identity</th>
<th>Protein Identity</th>
<th>Protein Similarity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CYP72C from Catharanthus</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
<td>49.1%</td>
<td>61.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP72A1 from Catharanthus</td>
<td>53.2%</td>
<td>48.2%</td>
<td>60.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP72B from Catharanthus</td>
<td>49.6%</td>
<td>48.6%</td>
<td>60.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP72A from Nicotiana</td>
<td>52.8%</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>54.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For **CYP92A1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Nucleotide Identity</th>
<th>Protein Identity</th>
<th>Protein Similarity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CYP92A2 from Nicotiana</td>
<td>59.0%</td>
<td>58.2%</td>
<td>65.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP92A3 from Nicotiana</td>
<td>56.5%</td>
<td>57.6%</td>
<td>64.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP98A1 from Sorghum</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>47.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP98A2 from Soybean</td>
<td>49.0%</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP71C2 from Maize</td>
<td>51.7%</td>
<td>32.8%</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 4**
FIG. 9
FIG. 10
This application claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 60/092,596, filed Jul. 12, 1998.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Plant cytochrome P450s are now known to be involved in the metabolism and detoxification of numerous pesticides. Much of the evidence has been gathered via traditional chemistry techniques (Shuler, 15 Crit Rev Plant Sci 235 (1996); Bolwell et al., 37 Phytochemistry 1491(1994) and Frear et al., 8 Phytochemistry 2157 (1969)), or through use of mammalian or bacterial genes in plants (Shiotia et al., 106 Plant Physiol 17 (1994) and O’Keefe et al., 105 Plant Physiol 473 (1994)).

Recently, however, endogenous plant P450s have been cloned and expressed using molecular biology techniques. For example, CYP73A1, a cytochrome isolated from Jerusalem artichoke was recently shown to metabolize chlortoluuron (Pierrel, 224 Eur J Biochem 835 (1994). Likewise, several soybean P450s were cloned and shown to metabolize linuron and chlortoluuron (Presentation, Weed Sci Soc of Amer (February 1997)).

The most up-to-date source of information on plant P450s is the internet. As of Jul. 12, 1998, most of the information related to the CYP72A subfamily of P450s on that website pertained to the Catharanthus (rosens) P450s, although Nicotiana and Arabidopsis P450s were also characterized. The site implied the existence of two CYP72 P450s from Zea mays, although the sequence information was not disclosed for either. The two were assigned separate identifiers by the web site developer. Specifically, the web site stated:

CYP72A1 (maize)
- no accession number
- Mike Persons and Mary Schuler
- PCR 4 formerly Cyp95A1 (misnamed due to a frame shift in the PCR fragment)
- clone A5, most like PCR fragment PCR4 from Mike Persons and Mary Schuler. The PCR fragment was misnamed as CYP95A1 due to a frame shift error in the sequence in the I helix region, also like Arabidopsis GSS BAC end fragment B24203 (67?) submitted to nomenclature committee.

The above can be found at http://ndrlsnell.umem.edu/biblioD.html#72A.

The concept of a multiple pesticide metabolizing P450 in corn was first proposed during the Ph.D. Dissertation of Laura Boldt on Apr. 15, 1992. FIG. 12 in the present disclosure is the table from her thesis, which indicates that corn line GA209 is not only sensitive to benzonat, but also to the herbicides imazethapyr, nicosulfuron and primisulfuron. In further research by Roger Baerg, it was shown that the in-vitro metabolism of the herbicides nicosulfuron, chlorimuron, benzonat, imazethapyr, and the insecticide malathion are all inhibited by the insecticide terbufosulfone (FIG. 13—from Baerg et al., 55 Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology 10 (1996), initially disclosed Nov. 4, 1994 in dissertation form).

Citation of the above documents is not intended as an admission that any of the foregoing is pertinent prior art. All statements as to the date or representation as to the contents of these documents is based on subjective characterization of information available to the applicant, and does not constitute any admission as to the accuracy of the dates or contents of these documents.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is therefore an object of the present invention to provide compounds useful to protect plants from the otherwise detrimental effects of the broad spectrum of pesticides, including negative effects of herbicides and/or insecticides.

It is a further object to provide assays for discovery of new pesticide safeners, including herbicide and/or insecticide safeners.

It is yet another object to provide assays for discovery of new pesticides, including herbicide and/or insecticide assays.

It is yet another object to provide tools for pesticide metabolite analysis, including herbicide and/or insecticide metabolite analysis.

Moreover, for the purposes of the present invention, the term “a” or “an” entity refers to one or more of that entity; for example, “a protein” or “a nucleic acid molecule” refers to one or more of those compounds or at least one compound. As such, the terms “a” (or “an”), “one or more” and “at least one” can be used interchangeably herein. It is also to be noted that the terms comprising, including, and “having” can be used interchangeably. Furthermore, a compound “selected from the group consisting of” refers to one or more of the compounds in the list that follows, including mixtures (i.e., combinations) of two or more of the compounds. According to the present invention, an isolated, or biologically pure, protein or nucleic acid molecule is a compound that has been removed from its natural milieu. As such, “isolated” and “biologically pure” do not necessarily reflect the extent to which the compound has been purified. An isolated compound of the present invention can be obtained from its natural source, can be produced using molecular biology techniques or can be produced by chemical synthesis. In this application, the term “pesticide” is used as a generic word for both herbicides and insecticides.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is the DNA (SEQ ID NO 1) and deduced amino acid sequence (SEQ ID NO 2) of CYP72A1.

FIG. 2 is the DNA (SEQ ID NO 3) and deduced amino acid sequence of CYP92A1 (SEQ ID NO 4); and

FIG. 3 is a spectra demonstrating expression of 72A1 in yeast microsomes; and

FIG. 4 shows comparisons of nucleotide and protein identity of CYP72A1 and CYP92A1 with known sequences. It also shows protein similarity of CYP72A1 and CYP92A1 with known sequences; and

FIG. 5 is a genomic blot showing induction of expression of CYP72A1 and CYP92A1 by NA-treatment; and

FIG. 6 is the hybridization pattern of CYP72A1; and

FIG. 7 is the hybridization pattern of CYP92A1; and

FIG. 8 shows chromatograms of the production of the benzonat metabolites, in comparison to appropriate control treatments; and

FIG. 9 shows chromatograms of the production of the chlortoluuron metabolites, in comparison to appropriate control treatments; and

FIG. 10 shows chromatograms of the production of the malathion metabolites, in comparison to appropriate control treatments; and
FIG. 11 shows chromatographs of the production of the chlorimuron metabolites, in comparison to appropriate control treatments.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides, inter alia, nucleic acids which encode P450s in corn that, when expressed in the presence of a reductase, metabolize compounds exemplary of several distinct classes of insecticides and herbicides. The CYP72A1 P450 has been shown to metabolize the herbicides bentazon (tradename Basagran), chlorimuron (tradename Classic and others), and chlorotoluron (used in Europe), and the insecticide malathion (marketed under many tradenames). Representative chromatographs showing the production of the metabolites, in comparison to appropriate control treatments, are shown in FIGS. 8–11.

The above pesticides are representative of unclassified heterocyclic herbicides (bentazon), sulfonylurea herbicides (chlorimuron), substituted urea herbicides (chlorotoluron), and organophosphate insecticides (malathion). The CYP72A1 P450 thus displays a broad substrate range. Based on genetic and biochemical data gathered prior to the cloning and expression of the CYP72A1 P450, additional substrates in the sulfonylurea family of herbicides (particularly nicosulfuron, primisulfuron, prosulfuron, and rimsulfuron), the herbicide imazethapyr (tradename Pursuit and others, from the imidazolinone family of herbicides), the herbicide fumetsulam (tradename Broadstrike and others, from the triazolopyrimidine family of herbicides), experimental herbicides under development related to sulcantrone, and other organophosphate insecticides would be metabolized by the CYP72A1 P450. However, the CYP72A1 P450 does not metabolize all substrates which have been tested. Unmetabolized substrates include the herbicides alachlor, 2,4-D, limuron, dicamba and chlorosulfuron.

The present invention can be used in the development of herbicide resistant crops. For instance, crops transformed with SEQ ID NO 1 would be resistant to herbicides from several herbicide chemical families having different sites of action. Historically, herbicide resistant crops that have been developed are resistant to only one chemical family or inhibitors of one site of action. The present invention overcomes many of the limitations of previously developed herbicide resistant crops. In addition, the invention can be used in the discovery and development of new herbicides and other pesticides.

The gene can also be expressed in other plants, such as Arabidopsis thaliana, or in a heterologous system, such as yeast cells, to demonstrate and study the metabolism of candidate pesticide molecules by the CYP72A1 P450. The present invention can also be used to generate P450-produced pesticide metabolites needed for pesticide registration studies. Moreover, the present invention includes the use of the nucleic acid compounds to generate nucleic acid probes to test for the induction activity of new candidate safeners. It can also provide probes and primers for identification of additional P450s.

Therefore, the present invention includes nucleic acid compounds comprising SEQ ID NO 1. The nucleic acid which is SEQ ID NO 1 is preferred. However, portions of SEQ ID NO 1 are also provided for the use as primers and probes for molecular biology research or chemical assays.

Vectors comprising the nucleic acids, in particular, SEQ ID NO 1 are also provided. Vectors may be obtained from various commercial sources, including Clontech Laboratories, Inc. (Palo Alto, Calif.), Stratagene (La Jolla, Calif.), Invitrogen (Carlsbad, Calif.), New England Biolabs (Beverly, Mass.) and Promega (Madison, Wis.). Preferred vectors are those which are capable of transferring the sequences disclosed herein into plant cells or plant parts. Also provided are cells comprising the nucleic acids, in particular, SEQ ID NO 1. Preferred cells are eukaryotic cells. Most preferred are yeast (the Saccharomyces) and plants. Any species of yeast are considered within the scope of the present invention; however, S. cerevisiae cells are preferred. The most preferred strains are WAT 11 and WAT 21, with WAT 11 preferred as between the two.

Included within the scope of the present invention, with particular regard to the nucleic acids above, are allelic variants, degenerate sequences and homologues. The present invention also includes variants due to laboratory manipulation, such as, but not limited to, variants produced during polymerase chain reaction amplification or site directed mutagenesis. It is also well known that there is a substantial amount of redundancy in the various codons which code for specific amino acids. Therefore, this invention is also directed to those nucleic acid sequences which contain alternative codons which code for the eventual translation of the identical amino acid. Also included within the scope of this invention are mutations either in the nucleic acid sequence or the translated protein which do not substantially alter the ultimate physical properties of the expressed protein. For example, substitution of valine for leucine, arginine for lysine, or asparagine for glutamine may not cause a change in functionality of the polypeptide. Lastly, a nucleic acid sequence homologous to the exemplified nucleic acid molecules (or allelic variants or degenerates thereof) may have at least 85%, preferably 90%, and most preferably 95% sequence identity with a nucleic acid molecule in the sequence listing.

It is known in the art that there are commercially available computer programs for determining the degree of similarity between two nucleic acid sequences. These computer programs include various known methods to determine the percentage identity and the number and length of gaps between hybrid nucleic acid molecules. Preferred methods to determine the percent identity among amino acid sequences and also among nucleic acid sequences include analysis using one or more of the commercially available computer programs designed to compare and analyze nucleic acid or amino acid sequences. These computer programs include, but are not limited to, GCG™ (available from Genetics Computer Group, Madison, Wis.), DNAsis™ (available from Hitachi Software, San Bruno, Calif.) and MacVector™ (available from the Eastman Kodak Company, New Haven, Conn.). A preferred method to determine percent identity among amino acid sequences and also among nucleic acid sequences includes using the Compare function by maximum matching within the program DNAsis Version 2.1 using default parameters.

In another embodiment of the present invention, a preferred nucleic acid molecule includes an isolated nucleic acid molecule which is at least about 50 nucleotides, or at least about 150 nucleotides, and which hybridizes under conditions which preferably allow about 50% base pair mismatch, more preferably under conditions which allow about 45% base pair mismatch, more preferably under conditions which allow about 40% base pair mismatch, more preferably under conditions which allow about 35% base pair mismatch, more preferably under conditions which allow about 30% base pair mismatch, more preferably under
conditions which allow about 25% base pair mismatch, more preferably under conditions which allow about 20% base pair mismatch, more preferably under conditions which allow about 15% base pair mismatch, more preferably under conditions which allow about 10% base pair mismatch and even more preferably under conditions which allow about 5% base pair mismatch with a nucleic acid molecule selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO 1 and SEQ ID NO 3.

Also well known to those skilled in the art is how base-pair mismatch, i.e., differences between two nucleic acid molecules being compared, including non-complementarity of bases at a given location, and gaps due to insertion or deletion of one or more bases at a given location on either of the nucleic acid molecules being compared, will affect $T_m$ or $T_d$ for nucleic acid molecules of different sizes. For example, $T_m$ decreases about 1°C. for each 1% of mismatched base-pairs for hybrids greater than about 150 bp, and $T_d$ decreases about 5°C. for each mismatched base-pair for hybrids below about 50 bp. Conditions for hybrids between about 50 and about 150 base-pairs can be determined empirically and without undue experimentation using standard laboratory procedures well known to those skilled in the art. These simple procedures allow one skilled in the art to set the hybridization conditions (by altering, for example, the salt concentration, the formamide concentration or the temperature) so that only nucleic acid hybrids with less than a specified % base-pair mismatch will hybridize. Stringent hybridization conditions are commonly understood by those skilled in the art to be those experimental conditions that will allow hybridization between molecules having about 30% or less base-pair mismatch (i.e., about 70% or greater identity). Because one skilled in the art can easily determine whether a given nucleic acid molecule to be tested is less than or greater than about 50 nucleotides, and can therefore choose the appropriate formula for determining hybridization conditions, he or she can determine whether the nucleic acid molecule will hybridize with a given gene under stringent hybridization conditions and similarly whether the nucleic acid molecule will hybridized under conditions designed to allow a desired amount of base pair mismatch.

Transformation of cells with the compounds of the present invention can be accomplished according to known procedures. For example, infective, vector-containing bacterial strains (such as Agrobacterium rhizogenes and Agrobacterium tumefaciens) may be used for transformation. Zambrsksy, 43 Ann. Rev. Pl. Physiol. Pl. Mol. Biol. 465 (1992). The following procedures are also well-known: pollen-tube transformation [Zhou-xun et al., 6 Plant Molec. Bio. 165 (1988)]; direct transformation of germinating seeds [Teepefe et al., 1 Plant Cell 133 (1989)]; polyethylene glycol or electroporation transformation [Chistou et al., 84 Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 3662 (1987)]; and biologic processes [Yang & Cristou, Particle Bombardment Technology for Gene Transfer (1994)]. The transformed cells are also within the scope of the present invention.

The transformed cells may be induced to form transformed plants via organogenesis or embryogenesis, according to the procedures of Dixon Plant Cell Culture: A Practical Approach (IRL Press, Oxford 1987).

Moreover, any plants or plant cells or parts are within the scope of the present invention. For instance, whole plants, embryos and seeds are considered part of the present invention, as well as shoots, flowers, leaves, leaf tips and the like. The most preferred plant is maize, although any of the following plants are also within the scope of the present invention: soybean, beet, tobacco, wheat, barley, poppy, rape, sunflower, alfalfa, sorghum, rose, carnation, gerbera, carrot, tomato, lettuce, chicory, pepper, melon and cabbage.

In another aspect of the present invention, there are included nucleic acid compounds comprising SEQ ID NO 3. Eukaryotes comprising a nucleic acid compound of SEQ ID NO 3 is specifically provided. Maize is the preferred eukaryote.

The present invention also provides methods to determine the ability of a test compound to protect a plant from the deleterious effects of a pesticide, comprising a first step of contacting the test compound with a eukaryote of the present invention, and a second step of determining if the eukaryote is induced to produce a nucleic acid of the present invention, in particular, SEQ ID NO 1, RNA transcribed therefrom, or SEQ ID NO 2. Those in the art realize that the second step can be accomplished by routine means, such as, but not limited to, PCR analysis, genomic southern blot analysis, or western blot analysis.

Other methods herein provided are those useful for determining the ability of a test compound to be metabolized by a eukaryote of the present invention, comprising a first step of contacting the eukaryote with the test compound and a second step of determining the existence of metabolites. As above, detection of metabolites is routine in the art. For example, the test compound can carry a physical label, usually a radioactive or fluorescent label. Typical radioactive labels are $^{3}H$, $^{14}C$ and $^{32}P$.

Also provided are methods to express an amino acid compound of the present invention, comprising transforming a eukaryote with a SEQ ID NO 1, and inducing SEQ ID NO 1 with naphtholic acid.

In another embodiment, there are provided methods to cause pesticide resistance in a plant, comprising causing the plant to express an amino acid compound of claim 14, provided that the pesticide to which the plant is resistant is selected from the group consisting of: heterocyclic herbicides, sulfonylurea herbicides, substituted urea herbicides and organophosphate insecticides.

Lastly, the present invention includes methods to alter the naturally-occurring expression pattern of the nucleic acids provided so as to either delay or speed expression. In particular, in order to practice the altered expression pattern aspect of the present invention, one would construct a vector which provided for either an early or late promoter in conjunction with the present sequences. For instance, the following promoters would be useful in early expression of the present sequences:

Og4B (Tsuchiya et al., 36 Plant Cell Physiology 487 (1994))

TA29 (Koltunow et al., 2 Plant Cell 1201 (1990))

A3 & A9 (Paul et al., 19 Plant Molecular Biology 611 (1992))

In order to then constitutively express the sequences described above, the construct optionally contains, for example, a 35S promoter. Transformation of plants with these sequences would be according to known procedures as described above. Plants can be grown according to known procedures.

Proteins which would result from expression of the nucleic acid molecules herein disclosed are preferred, with the proteins which would result from expression of the exemplified compounds being most preferred. It is understood that proteins which would result from expression of allelic variants of the exemplified sequences, as well as proteins which would result from the expression of nucleic
acid molecules which hybridize under stringent hybridization conditions to the nucleic acid molecules exemplified are within the scope of the present invention as well. Lastly, an amino acid sequence substantially homologous to a referent protein will have at least 85% sequence identity, preferably 90%, and most preferably 95% sequence homology with the amino acid sequence of a referent protein or a peptide thereof. For example, an amino acid sequence is substantially homologous to a referent P450 protein if, when aligned with a referent P450 protein, at least 85% of its amino acid residues are the same. Specifically provided are amino acid compounds comprising SEQ ID NO 2 and/or SEQ ID NO 3.

The minimal size of a protein homolog of the present invention is a size sufficient to be encoded by a nucleic acid molecule capable of forming a stable hybrid (i.e., hybridize under stringent hybridization conditions) with the complementary sequence of a nucleic acid molecule encoding the corresponding natural protein. As such, the size of the nucleic acid molecule encoding such a protein homolog is dependent on nucleic acid composition and percent homology between the nucleic acid molecule and complementary sequence. It should also be noted that the extent of homology required to form a stable hybrid can vary depending on whether the homologous sequences are interspersed throughout the nucleic acid molecules or are clustered (i.e., localized) in distinct regions on the nucleic acid molecules. The minimal size of such nucleic acid molecules is typically at least 12 to at least 15 nucleotides in length if the nucleic acid molecules are GC-rich and at least about 15 to about 17 bases in length if they are AT-rich. As such, the minimal size of a nucleic acid molecule used to encode a protein homolog of the present invention is from about 12 to about 18 nucleotides and may be 25 nucleotides in length. Thus, the minimal size of a cytochrome P450 protein homolog of the present invention is from about 4 to about 6 amino acids in length. There is no limit, other than a practical limit, on the maximal size of such a nucleic acid molecule that the nucleic acid molecule can include genes, and entire genes, multiple genes, or portions thereof. The preferred size of a protein encoded by a nucleic acid molecule of the present invention depends on whether a full-length, fusion, multivalent or functional portion of such a protein is desired. Preferably, the preferred size of a protein encoded by a nucleic acid molecule of the present invention is a portion of the protein that is at least 30 amino acids, more preferably at least 35 amino acids, and even more preferably at least 45 amino acids in length.

EXAMPLES

Example 1
Cloning, Sequencing and Estimating Copy Number of Maize P450s
A maize cDNA library was constructed from nathphal anhydride (NA)-treated Pioneer 3343IR 3.5-day-old seedlings using lambda-ZAPII vector kit (Stratagene). The library was screened under high stringency conditions by two P450 clones generated by PCR to heme-binding region and inducible by naphthalic acid. Both PCR products were labeled with [32P]-dCTP by random priming method. Clones were separated into two subsets based on the similarity of the sequencing information. The longest clones from each subset were completely sequenced. Both clones were truncated at the 5'-end. The library was rescreened using the longer clones. One of the genes was recovered as a full length (CYP72A1), whereas the second gene (CYP92A1) was still truncated.

Northern blot analysis was performed with total RNA isolated from control and agarose gel electrophoresis and hybridized under high stringency conditions. To estimate the copy number, Southern blot analysis was performed using genomic DNA from 3343IR maize which was digested with SacI EcoRV, EcoRI, HindIII, and BamHI. The analysis was carried out under high stringency (65 degrees Celsius in 0.1xSSC and 0.1% SDS).

Example 2
Expression and Sequence Confirmation of Maize P450s in Yeast
Both genes (CYP72A1 and CYP92A1), obtained as described in Example 1, were introduced into pYcDP60 vector for yeast expression. CYP72A1 was introduced as a full length gene with in-frame Met 1 and 8 as the start codons, while CYP92A1 was modified for the expression. Approximately 8×10^6 plaque-forming units were screened by two P450 fragments. 73 positive clones were isolated and sequenced. Sequence homology was used to separate these clones into two groups. Secondary screening using the cDNA inserts from the longest clones identified an additional 56 clones which were sequenced at the ends. All the sequences represented one of the two genes. CYP 72A1 was isolated presumably as a full-length gene, however, CYP92A1 was still missing 30–50 bases at the amino terminus after rescreening. These genes and their deduced amino acid sequences showed homology to several plant P450s.

Example 3
Induction of CYP72A1 and CYP92A1 by Naphthallic Acid and Confirmation of Copy Number
The expression of both genes (CYP72A1 and CYP92A1) was induced by NA-treatment, but to different extents: CYP72A1 was highly induced, whereas CYP92A1 was induced significantly less (FIG. 5). Based on the results of the genomic Southern blot analysis, the hybridization patterns were consistent with those predicted from the restriction patterns of the corresponding cDNAs. Distinct patterns indicated that individual probes did not cross-hybridize to other P450 genes ad showed that CYP72A1 is likely to be present as two copies in the genome of 3343IR maize, and CYP92A1 as a single copy (FIGS. 6 & 7). Certain physico-chemical characteristics for the deduced proteins, antigenicity and secondary structure were calculated using Network Protein Sequence Analysis at IBCP, France.

Example 4
Optional Strategies for Cloning and Expressing CYP72A1 and CYP92A1
Prepare RNA from NA-induced maize shoots. One or more of the following techniques can then be used: primers can be designed from the flanking heme region according to Ohbayashi et al., 1993. Alternatively, degenerate 5' primers to heme region may be used, with 3' primer to the poly A tail, according to Meijer et al., 1993). Another acceptable method is to use nested PCR, with the first round using 5' primer to region upstream from heme region, according to Frey et al., 1995.

Clone selection criteria can include identification of inhibition of expression with NA, identification of RFLP co-segregation with phenotypes in repulsion phase homozygotes and/or differential expression between GA209 and in B73 (wild type).
Expression strategies include using both full length or truncated (Met 8 start site) CYP72A1 cDNA in yeast strains WAT11, WAT21 W (R) from Philippe Urban and Denis Pompon, with, optionally, yeast vector pYeDP60. Expression is ideally achieved with full length cDNA and WAT11. Expression may also require 36 hours rather than 24 hours of galactose induction prior to microsome preparation. Glucose (SGI) or galactose (SLI) media prior to induction will give expression.

Metabolism experiments can be accomplished using microsomes prepared from yeast cells. The substrates can be incubated with [14C]-labeled substrates and products analyzed by HPLC.

Although the present invention has been fully described herein, it is to be noted that various changes and modifications are apparent to those skilled in the art. Such changes and modifications are to be understood as included within the scope of the present invention as defined by the appended claims.

---

**SEQUENCE LISTING**

```
<160> NUMBER OF SEQ ID NOS: 4
<210> SEQ ID NO 1
<211> LENGTH: 1584
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Zea mays
<400> SEQUENCE: 1

atggccgacct ggcagactgt gatgctgcgg gaaacatctc cgtggagcgct ggccgagcgcg 60
gtgcgcgcgc tgcagctggtg tggctgctgg ccgcggtgac cgctggagcg ctggctggagc 120
cctggccggc tgcagctggccc gctggcgcgg caggccgctc atggccgagc gcggctgcgc 180
ttcacgccgg accggacggg gttacccggg gagaagcacc ggtggccccg 240
cggcgcgcgc tgcaaaaaag cccggcagct gcggagcgag ccgagcggcg 300
gatagcgcgc gcgggtaattcgc gcgcggagcc ggggagggcc gagcggagag 360
cagccgggtg ggcgcggagc gagcggagcgg ctggagccgc ccctgagcggag 420
gagccggagc gcagcgcggag gcggagagcg ccctgagcggag ggggagggcc gagcggagag 480
agccggagc gcagcgcggag gcggagagcg ccctgagcggag ggggagggcc gagcggagag 540
gtggattacgc tcggagctgg cctgggctgc gcggagagcg ccctgagcggag ggggagggcc gagcggagag 600
gtgattacgc tcggagctgg cctgggctgc gcggagagcg ccctgagcggag ggggagggcc gagcggagag 660
gtgattacgc tcggagctgg cctgggctgc gcggagagcg ccctgagcggag ggggagggcc gagcggagag 720
gtgattacgc tcggagctgg cctgggctgc gcggagagcg ccctgagcggag ggggagggcc gagcggagag 780
gtgattacgc tcggagctgg cctgggctgc gcggagagcg ccctgagcggag ggggagggcc gagcggagag 840
gtgattacgc tcggagctgg cctgggctgc gcggagagcg ccctgagcggag ggggagggcc gagcggagag 900
gtgattacgc tcggagctgg cctgggctgc gcggagagcg ccctgagcggag ggggagggcc gagcggagag 960
gtgattacgc tcggagctgg cctgggctgc gcggagagcg ccctgagcggag ggggagggcc gagcggagag 1020
```

<210> SEQ ID NO 2
<211> LENGTH: 199
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Zea mays

<400> SEQUENCE: 2

Met Ala Thr Cys Asp Leu Leu Met Leu Arg Glu Ala Ser Pro Trp Ala
1    5    10   15
Leu Ala Gly Ala Val Ala Ser Val Ser Leu Leu Trp Leu Val Ala Trp
20   25   30
Thr Leu Glu Trp Ala Trp Thr Pro Trp Arg Leu Asp Arg Ala Leu
35   40   45
Arg Ala Glu Gly Leu Asn Gly Thr Arg Tyr Arg Leu Phe Thr Gly Asp
50   55   60
Leu Arg Glu Thr Ala Arg Val Asn Arg Glu Ala Arg Lys Pro Leu
65   70   75   80
Pro Leu Gly Cys His Asp Ile Thr Pro Arg Val Glu Pro Met His His
85   90   95
Ser Thr Ile Lys Glu Tyr Lys Leu Ser Phe Thr Trp Phe Gly Pro
100  105  110
Thr Pro Arg Val Met Ile Pro Asp Pro Glu Leu Val Lys Glu Val Leu
115  120  125
Ser Asn Lys Phe Gly His Phe Gly Lys Pro Arg Ser Ser Arg Ile Gly
130  135  140
Arg Leu Leu Ala Asn Gly Leu Val Asn His Asp Gly Glu Lys Trp Ala
145  150  155  160
Lys His Arg Arg Ile Leu Asn Pro Ala Phe His His Glu Lys Ile Lys
165  170  175
Gly Met Met Pro Val Phe Ser Thr Cys Cys Ile Glu Met Ile Thr Arg
180  185  190
Trp Asp Asn Ser Ser Met Ser Ser
195

<210> SEQ ID NO 3
<211> LENGTH: 1806
<212> TYPE: DNA
<213> ORGANISM: Zea mays

<400> SEQUENCE: 3

gcaagaggcc gctttctcct gcattgcccct ttgcogaaag gaogcttctct ttctttttgcc 60
tggccgagcc ccgtctacgt aacccccgag gggccccag ccaattgcgaa tctactggaa 120
cottaactct atgggcaagag tgcctcaaaag ctccatgaac gcaagctctca aggcttaccc 180
tcctgtctgg tgcgtggtgt gcctgttctg ctgtggtgtg cctgcggctgt ggcggctgt 240
gcgaaagct ttccttaca ccaacacag gcgcgtctctc gcacgcgcgg gttggcaggt 300
gcgcctgtac acgcgtcgg cttctcttg ggtctcttttg aggctttacctt 360
ggcggcacgg cgacagacag gctggtgtgc gagcttctcg gacacgcgcg tatgctcttt 420
cgacacacgc ccggtcggag ggtggtgtc gatgcttctcg gacacgcgcg cagcggtgtgc 480
ggcggctgtgc ccggattagg actctctca aatgctgttg gctggctgtg aatgctgttg 540
gtcgtgggag atggatcga tcctcttgga ggcggccgag ggtgggaggg acgccgcggc 600
gggatatagc ccctggtgag tcggggagat ggtggaagag ttctctgctg ttcctctctg 660
<210> SEQ ID NO: 4
<211> LENGTH: 513
<212> TYPE: PRT
<213> ORGANISM: Zea mays

<400> SEQUENCE: 4

His Glu Ala Ala Phe Leu Gly Ile Ala Leu Cys Ala Ala Ala Ala Leu
1 5 10 15
Phe Leu Leu Arg Gly Arg Arg Pro Val Tyr Asn Pro Pro Pro Pro Gly Pro
20 25 30
Lys Pro Trp Pro Ile Ile Gly Asn Leu Asn Leu Met Gly Glu Leu Pro
40 45
His Arg Ser Met Asn Glu Leu Ser Lys Arg Tyr Gly Pro Leu Met Gin
50 55 60
Leu Trp Phe Gly Ser Leu Pro Val Val Val Gly Ala Ser Ala Glu Met
65 70 75 80
Ala Lys Leu Phe Leu Lys Thr Asn Asp Ala Ala Phe Ser Asp Arg Pro
85 90 95
Arg Phe Ala Val Gly Lys Tyr Thr Ala Tyr Asp Cys Ser Gly Leu Leu
100 105 110
Trp Ala Pro Phe Glu Pro Tyr Leu Arg Gin Alia Arg Arg Ile Cys Ala
115 120 125
Thr Glu Leu Phe Ser Ala Thr Arg Leu Glu Ser Phe Glu His Ile Arg
130 135 140
Asp Glu Glu Val Arg Val Met Leu Arg Gin Leu Arg Gin Alia Ala Gly
145 150 155 160
Arg Thr Val Arg Leu Arg Asp Tyr Leu Gin Met Leu Ala Leu Gly Val

---continued---
What is claimed is:

1. An isolated nucleic acid molecule, wherein said nucleic acid molecule comprises a nucleic acid sequence selected from the group consisting of:

(a) a nucleic acid sequence which has at least 95% identity to SEQ ID NO:1, wherein said identity can be determined using the DNAsis computer program and default parameters; and

(b) a nucleic acid sequence which has at least 95% identity to SEQ ID NO:3, wherein said identity can be determined using the DNAsis computer program and default parameters; wherein the nucleic acid sequences of (a) and (b) each encode an amino acid having cytochrome P450 activity.

2. A vector comprising a nucleic acid molecule of claim 1.

3. A cell transformed with a nucleic acid molecule of claim 1.
4. A eukaryote transformed with a nucleic acid molecule of claim 1.
5. A eukaryote of claim 4, which is yeast.
6. A eukaryote of claim 4, which is a plant.
7. A eukaryote of claim 6, which is maize.
8. A eukaryote of claim 4, which is selected from the group consisting of: soybean, beet, tobacco, wheat, barley, poppy, rape, sunflower, alfalfa, sorghum, rose, carnation, gerbera, carrot, tomato, lettuce, chicory, pepper, melon and cabbage.
9. A plant transformed with a nucleic acid molecule of claim 1.
10. A plant part of claim 9, which is a seed.
11. A nucleic acid molecule selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO 1, and SEQ ID NO 3.
12. An isolated nucleic acid molecule, wherein said nucleic acid molecule comprises a nucleic acid sequence comprising at least 50 contiguous nucleotides of the sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO 1, and SEQ ID NO 3.
13. A method to express an amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO 2, comprising transforming a eukaryote with a SEQ ID NO 1, and inducing SEQ ID NO 1 with naphthalic acid.
14. A method to cause pesticide resistance in a plant, comprising causing the plant to express an amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO 2, and SEQ ID NO 4, provided that the pesticide to which the plant is resistant is selected from the group consisting of: heterocyclic herbicides, sulfonylurea herbicides, substituted urea herbicides and organophosphate insecticides.