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Abstract

Chronic wasting disease (CWD) is a prion disease affecting captive and free-ranging cervids (e.g. deer, elk, and moose). The mechanisms of CWD transmission are poorly understood, though bodily fluids are thought to play an important role. Here we report the presence of infectious prions in the urine and saliva of deer with chronic wasting disease (CWD). Prion infectivity was detected by bioassay of concentrated, dialyzed urine and saliva in transgenic mice expressing the cervid PrP gene (Tg[CerPrP] mice). In addition, PrP\textsuperscript{CWD} was detected in pooled and concentrated urine by protein misfolding cyclic amplification (PMCA). The concentration of abnormal prion protein in bodily fluids was very low, as indicated by: undetectable PrP\textsuperscript{CWD} levels by traditional assays (western blot, ELISA) and prolonged incubation periods and incomplete TSE attack rates in inoculated Tg[CerPrP] mice (373±3 days in 2 of 9 urine-inoculated mice and 342±109 days in 8 of 9 saliva-inoculated mice). These findings help extend our understanding of CWD prion shedding and transmission and portend the detection of infectious prions in body fluids in other prion infections.

Introduction

Chronic wasting disease (CWD) is an efficiently transmitted prion disease of cervids (e.g. deer, elk, and moose) and is the only prion disease affecting free-ranging, non-domestic animals. The origins of CWD are uncertain, but the disease has been present in wild cervid populations of northern Colorado and southern Wyoming for at least 40 years [1,2]. Since its discovery, CWD has been identified in captive and free-ranging cervids in 15 states, 2 Canadian provinces, and Korea [3]. As surveillance efforts have intensified, CWD has been detected in areas previously thought to be free of infection, including recent discoveries in West Virginia, New York, and Michigan. The prevalence of CWD varies across North America, but can be as high as 30% in some areas of Colorado [4].

The mechanisms of CWD transmission are not well understood, although there is evidence that transmission is horizontal and can be acquired from environmental sources [5,6], which underlies the assumption that shedding of infectious prions must be significant. With the expanded recognition of the disease across the continental United States, it is also likely that substantial human exposure has occurred. Nevertheless, because of an apparently strong species barrier [7] and the as yet incompletely understood natural routes and kinetics of CWD transmission, the magnitude and consequence of this exposure remain speculative.

Infectious CWD prions have been detected in saliva and blood, e.g. “prionsialia” and “prionemia,” suggesting a role for specific body fluids in transmission and dissemination [6]. In these bioassay studies in deer, infectivity in urine and feces could not be demonstrated, seemingly at odds with indirect evidence for environmental persistence of CWD prions [5,8]. In that the presence of prions in body fluids, once thought not to occur, now has impact in understanding of prion transmission and biocontainment, we have continued and extended our investigation of this subject with the present studies.

Materials and Methods

Cervid sources

Samples of urine and saliva were collected at terminal disease from five experimentally infected white-tailed deer. Deer in this cohort had been inoculated intracranially with brain, intravenously with blood, or orally with saliva from CWD-infected deer. All source deer were in the terminal stages of CWD infection, and demonstrated moderate to severe neurologic signs, polyuria, and were confirmed CWD+ by western blot and immunohistochemistry, as previously described [6]. Histopathologic examination revealed mild nephritis in all the source deer. In some cases, these changes were age related, while in a single case there was appreciable evidence of pyelonephritis. Four of five the deer were homozygous for glycine at amino acid 96 of the cervid prion gene, while one deer was heterozygous at that location, with alleles encoding for both glycine and serine [6].

Cervid PrP transgenic mice

Tg[CerPrP] line 1536 (tg1536) mice were generated in the Telling laboratory at the University of Kentucky [9]. All mice were screened at weaning for the presence of the [CerPrP] construct by
conventional and real-time PCR. All mice testing negative for PrP\textsuperscript{CWD} at the completion of bioassay studies were rescreened to reconfirm the presence of cervid PrP gene. Animals were treated according to Colorado State University guidelines.

**Study samples and preparation**

A 1% w/v homogenate of brain from a single CWD-positive mule deer (provided by Dr. Michael Miller, Colorado Division of Wildlife) was used as the positive control material. A 1% w/v homogenate of brain from a single CWD-negative white-tail deer from outside the CWD-endemic zone was used as a negative control and was provided by David Osborne, University of Georgia. Urine and saliva samples were collected from five symptomatic whitetail deer as described above.

Spiked positive and negative control samples consisted of 1% homogenates of positive or negative deer brain prepared using 10 ml of negative control saliva or urine as diluent. For the study groups, 10 ml of either urine or saliva were collected from each of five symptomatic deer, 50 ml total volume, and homogenized. All urine and saliva homogenates were then prepared for bioassay by lyophilization followed by resuspension in 0.1 volumes of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (e.g. a 10-fold concentration) and dialyzed against 2000 volumes of PBS to return the sample to isotonicity.

**Mouse bioassays**

Mice were anesthetized with ketamine and xylazine and inoculated intracerebrally into the left parietal lobe with 30 ml of inoculum. Incubation time was defined as the number of days from inoculation to the onset of clinical neurological signs consistent with a TSE [10]. Animals were euthanized when either a symptomatic TSE or signs of distress were evident. Brains were harvested at necropsy and divided longitudinally, with one half a symptomatic TSE or signs of distress were evident.

**Western blotting (WB)**

Brain tissue was initially prepared as a 10% (w/v) suspension in homogenization buffer (150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, and 1% [v/v] triton-X 100 in PBS). Eleven ml of sample homogenate were mixed with 7 ml of sample buffer (0.1% [v/v] triton-X 100 and 4%/w/v SDS in PBS) and digested with 2 ml proteinase-K at 500 mg/ml (final concentration: 50 mg/ml) for 20 at 37°C followed by 10° at 45°C. Seven ml of 4× running buffer were then added to the sample, followed by denaturation for 5° at 95°C. Twenty ml of this preparation were run on a pre-cast 12% SDS-PAGE gel (Invitrogen) in a Bio-Rad electrophoresis apparatus for 2 hours at 110 mV. Samples were then transferred to a PVDF membrane for 1 hour at 110 mV in a Bio-Rad transfer apparatus. PVDF membranes were subsequently blocked for 1 hour in 5%/w/v powdered milk in TBST, followed by application of the primary antibody, BAR224-HRP, diluted 1:20,000 in 5% powdered milk in TBST, for 1 hour. Following washing, immunoreactivity was detected using an enhanced chemiluminescent detection system (ECL-plus, Amersham Biosciences) in an LAS 3000TM imaging system. (Fuji Photo Film, Fuji Inc, Valhalla, NY)

**Histopathology and Immunohistochemistry (IHC)**

Cervid renal tissues and tg1536 neural tissues were fixed in formalin overnight, treated with 88% formic acid for one hour, washed in tap water for two hours, and then stored in 60% ethanol prior to paraffinization. Paraffin-embedded tissue sections (6 \( \mu m \)) were mounted onto positively charged glass slides, deparaffinized, and rehydrated through graded ethanol. Tissues were subjected to Heat Induced Epitope Retrieval (HIER) using an automated antigen-retrieval system (RetrieverTM) and a proprietary buffer solution (DakoCytomation Target Retrieval Solution, DAKO, Hamburg, Germany). Tissues were then stained with an automated immunostainer, using PrP monoclonal antibody BAR-224 conjugated to HRP as the primary antibody (1:250 final dilution). Detection was completed using HRP-mediated hydrogen peroxide immunostaining (AEC+, DAKO), with haematoxylin as a counterstain.

**Protein Misfolding Cyclic Amplification (PMCA)**

Source inocula as well as mice negative for PrP\textsuperscript{CWD} by both WB and IHC were further analyzed by PMCA. The amplification protocol, described below, was similar to those described by Soto and colleagues [11,12]. Normal brain homogenate (NBH), the substrate for prion conversion in vitro, was prepared in a room that had not previously been used for prion research as follows: naive tg1536 mice were euthanized intraperitoneally with 15 mg of sodium pentobarbital and perfused with 25 ml of 5 mM EDTA in PBS via intracardiac catheterization. The calvarium was removed and the entire brain excised and placed on ice. Brain homogenate was then prepared for PMCA buffer (1% w/v triton-X 100 [v/v], 5 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.5% saponin [w/v] in PBS adjusted to a pH of 7.2) with the addition of Complete Protease Inhibitors (Roche Pharmaceuticals, Indianapolis, IN) in a dounce homogenizer. Homogenates were then centrifuged for 1 minute at 2000 rpm to remove bulk brain material, and the supernatant frozen in single-experiment aliquots at −70°C in a “prion-free” room until use in PMCA. Experimental handling protocols were identical to protocols commonly used for PCR: NBH was added to a plate in a room not previously used for prion research and then transferred to a room used exclusively for prion research, where samples were added in a biosafety hood prior to sonication. Twenty-five ml of either source inocula or WB and IHC negative brain homogenate was added to 25 ml of NBH in individual wells of a 96 well PCR plate (USA Scientific, Ocala, FL), placed in an ultrasonic processor (Misonix, Farmingdale, NY) and incubated at 37°C. Samples were sonicated for 40 s at power setting 7.0, followed by 30 minutes of incubation. Ninety six cycles of sonication were performed over 48 hours, with a 25 ml aliquot transferred to a fresh NBH preparation for serial amplification. Following three rounds of amplification, samples were evaluated by western blotting, as described above, for the presence of PrP\textsuperscript{CWD}.

**Results**

To investigate whether urine may play a role in natural CWD transmission, and to confirm the presence of PrP\textsuperscript{CWD} in saliva, we pooled and concentrated urine or saliva from five terminally infected CWD+ deer and inoculated two groups of tg1536 mice. Following inoculation, mice were monitored for clinical signs of prion infection and were euthanized when terminal disease was apparent. Central nervous system tissues were evaluated for PrP\textsuperscript{CWD} using western blotting (WB), immunohistochemistry (IHC) and, when negative by conventional assays, protein misfolding cyclic amplification (PMCA).

In a group of 9 mice inoculated with lyophilized urine, 2 animals developed neurologic disease consistent with a TSE (Table 1), including ataxia, a slow, lumbering gait, and poor thrift, at 370 and 376 days post-inoculation (dpi). Eight out of 9 mice inoculated with prepared saliva likewise developed signs of TSE at
All mice in positive control groups, inoculated with CWD+ brain spiked into either urine or saliva, developed disease before 342+/−109 dpi (235+/−91 dpi), while none of the mice in either negative control group, inoculated with either urine or saliva spiked with negative brain homogenate, demonstrated clinical evidence of a TSE after 640 days.

**WB and IHC results**

Brains of all mice demonstrating terminal neurologic disease, including 2 of 9 inoculated with urine and 8 of 9 inoculated with saliva, had evidence of protease-resistant prion protein by both WB and IHC. PrP<sub>CWD</sub> was absent by both WB and IHC in mice not displaying clinical disease, including those in negative control groups.

**PMCA**

Concentrated samples used for mouse inoculation were assayed for PrP<sub>CWD</sub> by serial PMCA (sPMCA) over three rounds of amplification. In our experience, three rounds of amplification permits an approximate 4000-fold increase in sensitivity as compared to traditional western blotting detection, while avoiding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inoculum</th>
<th>WB+</th>
<th>IHC+</th>
<th>PMCA+</th>
<th>Incubation Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(+) Control</td>
<td>18/18</td>
<td>18/18</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>235+/−91d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>2/9</td>
<td>2/9</td>
<td>1/7</td>
<td>373+/−3d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saliva</td>
<td>8/9</td>
<td>8/9</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>342+/−109d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(−) Control</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>&gt;640d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numerator indicates the number of animals testing positive by a particular assay, while denominators designate the total number tested. PMCA analysis was reserved for mice testing negative by traditional assays. Incubation periods indicate the survival times in days post inoculation +/− one standard deviation. N.A. - not assayed.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004848.t001
both cross-contamination and generation of any spontaneously formed protease-resistant PrP, thereby maintaining 100% specificity [13]. In three independent experiments, PrP<sup>CWD</sup> was identified in lyophilized urine homogenate from CWD+ deer. PrP<sup>CWD</sup> was also found in both positive control inocula after the initial round of amplification, while PrP<sup>CWD</sup> was not detected in either saliva or negative control preparations.

To increase detection sensitivity in bioassay experiments, brains from all mice that tested negative for PrP<sup>CWD</sup> by WB and IHC, including negative controls, were re-evaluated by sPMCA. The brain from one WB− and IHC-negative mouse that had been inoculated with urine from a CWD+ deer and expired at 582 dpi amplified PrP<sup>CWD</sup> in three independent PMCA experiments (Figure 4B). No mice in either of the negative control groups were positive using this assay.

**Discussion**

The salient feature of chronic wasting disease is its facile transmission among its host species. Until recently, little was known regarding the mechanisms of this efficient transmissibility, however, we have previously demonstrated infectious prions in the saliva and blood of infected deer [6]. By using intracerebral inoculation of concentrated urine in cervid PrP transgenic mice, we report the presence of infectious prions in urine from CWD-infected cervids, and confirm the phenomenon of prionsialia in these animals. The identification of CWD prions in bodily fluids described in the current report could portend infectivity in secretions and excretions in other prion diseases.
In contrast to the data presented here, oral inoculation of urine in cervid bioassays was unable to identify infectious prions in the urine of CWD+ deer [6]. This result could have been due to necessarily limited observation period possible in those studies (18 months), or variations in source and recipient genotype [14,15], route of inoculation [16], or the sensitivity of traditional PrP CWD detection assays [17,18]. The mule deer providing inoculum pools in prior studies were of an unreported genotype; the majority of the recipient deer were homozygous for glycine at residue 96, although a single animal was heterozygous; sharing both G96 and S96 alleles [6]. Likewise, the inocula used in the present study were pooled from sources heterogenous at codon 96 of the cervid prion gene. Transgenic mice used in bioassay studies, on the other hand, were uniformly homogenous for a glycine residue at this position [9], a polymorphism which is reported to be overrepresented in CWD-infected deer [19]. As a result, it is possible that the genotypic background of either source or subject animals may have been a factor in susceptibility, though we are at present unable to draw any concrete conclusions regarding this relationship. While mouse genotype may have played a role in the outcome, it is also probable that cervid PrP transgenic mouse bioassay simply represents a more sensitive detection system for prions in excreta. Intracranial inoculation, reportedly a more sensitive route of prion exposure [16,20], is more easily performed in mouse bioassay, a model which also permits extended incubation periods and inclusion of a greater number of test animals.

While our findings point to urine as an additional vehicle for CWD transmission, only 2 of 9 inoculated tg1356 mice were confirmed WB/IHC-positive for prion infection, with a third PrP<sup>CWD</sup> + animal later identified by PMCA. This contrast with 8 of 9 positive mice receiving saliva and inures a much lower concentration of prion infectivity in urine. The wide range of survival times in inoculated mice suggests relatively low levels of infectious prions and/or uneven distribution of infectious PrP moieties in the inocula [21]. Differing [G/CerP] zyosity in tg1356 mice (homozygous vs. hemizygous) may also have played a role in this variation.

Using sPMCA, PrP<sup>CWD</sup> was repeatedly identified in test urine and spiked urine and saliva used as positive control, but was not detected in test saliva after three rounds of amplification. The reasons for our inability to identify PrP<sup>CWD</sup> in saliva – given the definitive bioassay findings – remain unknown, and we propose the presence of as-yet unidentified inhibitors such as mucin or salivary proteases which are thought to negatively affect other in vitro assays [22,23].

The finding of PrP<sup>CWD</sup> in urine and saliva calls for the identification of the pathological processes and cellular associations of the prion protein involved in shedding. Previous studies have related renal pathology to prionuria [24,25], a finding which corresponds to our identification of mild to moderate nephritis in those deer providing samples for the current study. It is plausible that renal pathology contributed to prionuria in each of these animals; as samples were pooled, however, we cannot identify specific animals in which it may have been occurring, nor can we accurately estimate the relative level of prionuria occurring in each donor as ultrastructural studies were not performed [26]. While we have not yet identified pathologic prions in renal source tissues [Unpublished data], protease-resistant PrP<sup>CWD</sup> has been identified by immunostaining in renal tissue of prion-infected deer [27], sheep [28], hamsters and mice [21,30,31,32]. Prion infectivity has also been demonstrated in the feces of hamsters orally infected with scrapie [33]. Other studies point to infectious prions in the milk of scrapie-infected ewes [34,35]. As noted above, it remains unknown whether other prion diseases (e.g. Kuru, BSE, CJD, TME) may be transmitted by bodily fluids or excreta other than blood. Additional studies examining feces, urine, milk, and other body fluids are therefore necessary in CWD and other prion diseases, studies currently underway in our laboratory.

As CWD transmission may model communicability of other TSE’s, the transmissible nature of prion diseases may serve as a model for other protein-misfolding diseases. For example, feces, but not urine, from both mice and cheetahs affected with systemic amyloidosis A (SAA) was recently shown to induce SAA in a mouse model, although negative controls were not available in those studies [36]. In light of the prionuria detected in CWD and in models of scrapie, further investigations of infectivity in body fluids in other protein folding diseases may be warranted in the event that prion diseases are not the only infectious proteinopathies.

In summary, we confirm prionsialia in CWD-affected deer by bioassay in cervidized mice and demonstrate for the first time infectious prions in the urine of these cervids by both bioassay and sPMCA. We are currently evaluating urine and saliva from individual animals in hopes of identifying predisposing factors, such as genotypic background and underlying pathology, which may contribute to prionuria and prionsialia. Concurrently, we have begun to explore the tissue origins and protease sensitivity of the infectious prions as well as the onset and duration of shedding in these bodily fluids.
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