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FUsed in Sarcoma Is a Novel Regulator of Manganese Superoxide Dismutase Gene Transcription

Sanjit Kumar Dhar,1 Jiayu Zhang,2 Jozsef Gal,2 Yong Xu,1 Lu Miao,1 Bert C. Lynn,3 Haining Zhu,2 Edward J. Kasarskis,4 and Daret K. St. Clair1

Abstract

Aims: FUsed in sarcoma (FUS) is a multifunctional DNA/RNA-binding protein that possesses diverse roles, such as RNA splicing, RNA transport, DNA repair, translation, and transcription. The network of enzymes and processes regulated by FUS is far from being fully described. In this study, we have focused on the mechanisms of FUS-regulated manganese superoxide dismutase (MnSOD) gene transcription. Results: Here we demonstrate that FUS is a component of the transcription complex that regulates the expression of MnSOD. Overexpression of FUS increased MnSOD expression in a dose-dependent manner and knockdown of FUS by siRNA led to the inhibition of MnSOD gene transcription. Reporter analyses, chromatin immunoprecipitation assay, electrophoretic mobility shift assay, affinity chromatography, and surface plasmon resonance analyses revealed the far upstream region of MnSOD promoter as an important target of FUS-mediated MnSOD transcription and confirmed that FUS binds to the MnSOD promoter and interacts with specificity protein 1 (Sp1). Importantly, overexpression of familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (fALS)-linked R521G mutant FUS resulted in a significantly reduced level of MnSOD expression and activity, which is consistent with the decline in MnSOD activity observed in fibroblasts from fALS patients with the R521G mutation. R521G-mutant FUS abrogates MnSOD promoter-binding activity and interaction with Sp1. Innovation and Conclusion: This study identifies FUS as playing a critical role in MnSOD gene transcription and reveals a previously unrecognized relationship between MnSOD and mutant FUS in fALS. Antioxid. Redox Signal. 20, 1550–1566.

Introduction

FUsed in sarcoma/translocated in liposarcoma (FUS/TLS) is a DNA and RNA binding protein that is normally localized in the nucleus but is often redistributed to cytoplasm in familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (fALS) cases with FUS mutations (31). It has been demonstrated that FUS plays important roles in the regulation of gene transcription (34, 48), DNA binding, mRNA splicing (60), DNA repair, and cell proliferation (5). Although FUS/TLS knockout mice exhibit perinatal lethality (23), male sterility, and radiation sensitivity (30), the molecular targets of FUS/TLS have not been identified.

In this study, we have identified FUS as an interacting partner of nucleophosmin (NPM), which we previously established as a coactivator with NF-κB for transactivation of MnSOD in response to ROS.

Innovation

Our findings demonstrate that FUS in sarcoma (FUS) regulates the basal level of manganese superoxide dismutase (MnSOD), the principal enzyme responsible for the removal of superoxide in mitochondria. We have identified FUS as a component of the transcription complex that regulates the expression of MnSOD by binding to a FUS recognition element on the human MnSOD gene. The data suggest not only a role for FUS in ROS detoxifying cellular systems, but also suggest the possibility that dysregulation of the MnSOD gene is a mediator of mutant FUS toxicity in mitochondria. This study is the first to implicate a mitochondrial-mediated mechanism by which FUS, may participate in the progression of fALS.
FUS REGULATES MNSOD GENE TRANSCRIPTION

...when fused to a heterologous DNA binding domain (39). However, the cellular targets of these genes have not been identified.

In the present study, we investigated the roles of wild-type and mutant FUS in MnSOD gene activation using cell culture models and skin biopsy samples from fALS patients with the R521G FUS mutation. The key finding of this study is that FUS regulates MnSOD gene transcription and the FUS mutation in fALS causes impaired MnSOD expression and reduced enzymatic activity. We further elucidated the detailed molecular mechanism by which FUS regulates MnSOD gene expression.

Results

Identification of FUS in MnSOD transcription complex by proteomic analysis

We previously showed that NPM, a RNA binding protein, physically interacts with the MnSOD promoter and enhancer regions, facilitates transcription factor bindings and coactivates gene transcription (12, 15). To identify proteins interacting with NPM in the transcription complex, nuclear extracts were immunoprecipitated with NPM antibody, or normal immunoglobulin (IgG) as a control coupled to agarose A/G beads, and the immune complexes were eluted as illustrated in Figure 1A. Eluted protein complexes were then subjected to sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) electrophoresis and visualized by Coomassie brilliant blue staining (Fig. 1B). The specificity of immunoprecipitation was verified using normal IgG instead of the NPM antibody. To verify the presence of NPM and FUS protein in the immunoprecipitated complex, the proteins separated on the SDS-PAGE gels were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes and subjected to Western blotting analysis using the NPM or FUS antibody. As shown in Figure 1C, the NPM antibody immunoprecipitated the NPM protein along with FUS, but the nonimmune IgG did not. To identify proteins in the immunoprecipitate, the whole complex (eluted fraction) was digested with trypsin and analyzed by mass spectrometry. LC-MS/MS analysis identified several proteins abundantly present in the immunoprecipitate. The significant hits obtained by MS/MS analysis are shown in Figure 1D. The identified peptide fragments of NPM, nucleolin and FUS are presented in Table 1.

Impairment of MnSOD enzyme activity in fALS

To probe the relationship between MnSOD and fALS, we collected skin biopsy samples from three individual patients carrying the R521G mutation in the FUS gene who were treated at the University of Kentucky, and three non-ALS control subjects. Using these biopsy samples, we established primary fibroblast cultures, and determined MnSOD protein levels and enzyme activity by Western blotting and activity gel electrophoresis, respectively. The samples were also examined for MnSOD mutation at position 1561 (R521G) in exon 15, where it has recently been demonstrated to be present in some families with fALS (31). The R521G mutation was verified in all three symptomatic patients and the levels of MnSOD activity (Fig. 2A) in cultured fibroblasts derived from these fALS patients were reduced as compared to the non-ALS controls. The reduction in MnSOD activity is related to the reduction of MnSOD protein level (Fig. 2B). That the reduced level of MnSOD protein is not due to the loss of mitochondria but is...
due to the reduction of protein expression in fALS patients was confirmed by referencing the levels of succinate dehydrogenase. These results indicate that MnSOD enzymatic activity is compromised in fALS patients with the R521G mutation in FUS. The reduced MnSOD activity and protein levels are consistent with the possibility that FUS may participate in the transcriptional control of MnSOD identified by proteomic analysis.

**Mutation of FUS abrogates MnSOD gene transcription and enzymatic activity**

To directly determine how FUS plays a role in MnSOD transcription and whether the mutated FUS gene found in fALS can perturb MnSOD transcription, we overexpressed wild-type FUS cDNA or FUS cDNA carrying the mutation at position 1561 in exon 15 (C>G), which corresponds to the arginine to glycine mutation at amino acid 521 (R521G). The arginine residue in FUS at position 521 is highly conserved in a variety of species (Supplementary Fig. S1; Supplementary Data are available online at www.liebertpub.com/ars). After transient transfection of JB6 cells with a p3X-Flag-CMV10/FUS expression vector containing wild-type or mutant FUS along with MnSOD promoter construct, we examined MnSOD promoter activity. Overexpression of wild-type FUS increased the levels of MnSOD luciferase reporter gene activity (Fig. 3A) and mRNA (Fig. 3B), whereas overexpression of the mutant FUS did not. To examine whether the increase in MnSOD level led to the production of an active MnSOD, we tested the effects of FUS on MnSOD activity. As shown in Figure 3C, MnSOD enzyme activity increased significantly in JB6 cells overexpressing wild-type FUS but not in cells transfected with the mutant FUS. These results demonstrate that compared to wild-type FUS, the R521G mutant FUS is incapable of activating MnSOD gene transcription.

**Role of FUS in MnSOD gene expression**

To investigate the role of FUS in MnSOD gene expression, JB6 cells were transiently transfected with different concentrations of FUS expression vector and MnSOD promoter with or without the I2E enhancer constructs (57). Overexpression of FUS with the promoter and enhancer constructs led to a dose-dependent increase of MnSOD gene transcription as
measured by luciferase activity, which was not observed with transfection of empty vectors without the FUS gene sequence (Fig. 4A, B). We verified these findings in motor neuron-like NSC-34 cells after transfection with wild-type or mutant FUS expression vector together with MnSOD promoter-driven luciferase reporter vector. Consistent with the findings in JB6 cells, expression of wild-type FUS significantly increased the reporter gene activity and MnSOD protein levels in NSC-34 cells (Fig. 4C). In contrast, expression of mutant FUS (R521G) or deletion of 32 amino acids from the C-terminal was unable to induce MnSOD reporter gene activity or protein levels (Fig. 4C, D). To verify the role of FUS as a transcriptional regulator for MnSOD, we transfected the FUS expression vector into JB6 cells and measured the MnSOD mRNA levels. As shown in Figure 4E, FUS overexpression led to a significant increase in MnSOD mRNA level as detected by quantitative real-time PCR, indicating that FUS-mediated induction of MnSOD gene expression is a transcriptional event. This result was corroborated by measuring MnSOD mRNA levels in FUS-transfected cells with or without actinomycin D, an inhibitor of de novo mRNA synthesis. Overexpression of FUS significantly increased MnSOD mRNA levels as compared to the empty vector transfection control; however, the increase was blocked completely by pretreatment with actinomycin D (Fig. 4F). These results indicate that FUS-mediated induction of MnSOD gene expression is a result of increased mRNA synthesis and is not due to mRNA stabilization. Overexpression of FUS also resulted in dose-dependent increases of FUS and MnSOD proteins as detected by Western blotting using the Flag and MnSOD antibodies, respectively, which was not observed with the transfection of the empty vector (Fig. 4G). These results indicate that FUS induces MnSOD gene transcription resulting in increased protein expression.

To further verify the role played by FUS in MnSOD gene expression, JB6 cells were transfected with control siRNA or FUS siRNA. Transfection of FUS siRNA at different concentrations suppressed MnSOD gene expression in a dose-dependent manner as indicated by MnSOD reporter gene activity (Fig. 4H) and protein level (Fig. 4I). The suppression was statistically significant compared to transfection with the control siRNA. Transfection of FUS siRNA but not the control siRNA suppressed the level of endogenous FUS and MnSOD proteins with no suppressing effect on the nontarget gene product GAPDH (Fig. 4I). These results suggest that the endogenous FUS gene is as critical to activating MnSOD gene expression as the ectopically expressed FUS.

**Identification of FUS responsive sites in the MnSOD promoter**

To identify FUS binding site(s) in the MnSOD promoter, we prepared a series of deletion constructs of the MnSOD promoter, which we cotransfected with FUS expression vector or

---

**Table 1. Sequences of Trypsin-Produced Peptide Fragments from Nucleophosmin Mediated Immunoprecipitates by MS/MS-Analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human Nucleophosmin</th>
<th>Human Nucleolin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MQASIEKGGSGLPK</td>
<td>AIRLEDQQPR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDQEAIQDLWQWRK</td>
<td>GGFVFDFNSFEAK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDQEAIQDLWQWR</td>
<td>GLSEDTEEETLKESFDGSVR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSVQPTVGLGFIFTPPFLVR</td>
<td>TLVLSNL_SYSATETLTEQEFEK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA-binding protein FUS</td>
<td>QKVGEFTEFFANLFVGNLNPNK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 2. Levels of manganese superoxide dismutase (MnSOD) in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) patients.** Human skin biopsy samples collected from the familial ALS (fALS) patients or from normal individuals were minced into small pieces in modified Eagle’s medium (MEM) cell culture medium, and then transferred onto primary culture dish. After the tissues were attached to the flask, MEM was removed and supplemented with MEM containing 20% fetal bovine serum, 20 U/ml penicillin-streptomycin, 2 mM glutamine (Invitrogen). Cells at 80%–90% confluence were used for experiments. The cell lysates of the primary human skin fibroblasts obtained from ALS patients with R521G mutation in FUS gene or from normal individuals were subjected to 12.5% polyacrylamide native gel for MnSOD activity, which was then detected by staining the gel with nitro-blue-tetrazolium dye (A, top panel). The bands were densitometrically scanned and normalized with CuZnSOD (A, bottom panel). The cell lysates were also subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by Western blotting using MnSOD specific antibody to detect MnSOD protein levels (B, top panel). The protein levels were quantified by densitometric scanning of MnSOD bands followed by normalization with succinate dehydrogenase (SDHB) as an internal control (B, bottom panel). Each data point represents mean±SD of three independent samples and significant difference as compared to respective controls is indicated by **p<0.01. To see this illustration in color, the reader is referred to the web version of this article at www.liebertpub.com/ars
FIG. 3. Mutation in FUS gene abrogates MnSOD transcription. Luciferase activity of the MnSOD promoter (−3401 to +24)-driven pG3 reporter gene after transfection with wild-type or mutant FUS expression vector (A). Relative level of MnSOD mRNA was determined by real-time PCR after normalization with GAPDH mRNA levels as loading control (B). MnSOD enzyme activity (Units/mg protein) was measured in whole cell extract after transfection of wild-type FUS or mutant FUS expression vector in JB6 cells (C). Each data point represents mean ± SD of three independent experiments and significant difference as compared to respective controls is indicated by *p<0.05 and **p<0.01.

FIG. 4. FUS increases MnSOD expression. Luciferase activity of pG3 reporter vector driven by the MnSOD promoter (−3401 to +24) (A) or MnSOD promoter/enhancer (−3401 to +24/1742 to 2083) construct (B) was measured in JB6 cells. MnSOD promoter (−3401 to +24) driven luciferase activity (C) and MnSOD protein levels (D) were determined in NSC-34 cells after transfection with wild-type or mutant FUS expression vector. MnSOD mRNA levels after FUS transfection were determined by Real-time PCR [briefly, real-time PCR was performed with a Light Cycle System (Roche Diagnostics) according to the manufacturer’s protocol]. Real time PCR in the presence or absence of actinomycin D (0.5 μg/ml) (E, F). The level of MnSOD proteins in JB6 cells with or without FUS overexpression was also detected by Western blotting (G, top panel), and the protein bands were densitometrically scanned and graphed (G, bottom panel). The effect of endogenous FUS on MnSOD gene expression was evaluated by measuring the MnSOD promoter (−3401 to +24)-driven luciferase reporter gene activity in JB6 cells after cotransfection with FUS siRNA (H). Endogenous levels of FUS and MnSOD proteins were measured by Western blot (I, top panel), and the MnSOD protein bands were quantified and normalized with the band intensity of GAPDH (I, bottom panel). Each data point represents mean ± SD of three independent experiments and significant difference as compared with respective controls is indicated by *p<0.05 or **p<0.01. To see this illustration in color, the reader is referred to the web version of this article at www.liebertpub.com/ars.
empty vector along with renilla luciferase as an internal control. Cotransfection of the long fragment of the MnSOD promoter and FUS expression vector resulted in increased luciferase activity as compared with the empty vector co-transfection control. The cotransfection of the 5'-region of MnSOD promoter constructs containing nucleotides (−3401 to +24) and (−2947 to +24) showed approximately a fourfold increase of luciferase activity as compared to that of the empty vector cotransfection control (Fig. 5B). Cotransfection of the 5'-region of MnSOD promoter constructs containing the shorter nucleotides (−1605 to +24), (−1240 to +24), (−555 to +24), or (−257 to +24) with the FUS expression vector failed to induce luciferase activity (Fig. 5B). These results indicate that FUS-responsive site(s) are located in the far upstream region of the MnSOD gene promoter between nucleotides (−2947 to −1605).

FIG. 5. Identification of FUS-mediated response in MnSOD promoter. Schematic description of MnSOD gene showing the promoter region (A) and various lengths of MnSOD promoter were sub-cloned in PGl3 luciferase vector (B). DNAs were cotransfected with FUS expression vector for 48 h. Cells were then collected for luciferase activity measurement, which was used as a surrogate measure for MnSOD gene transcription. Data were normalized and expressed in fold change relative to empty vector transfected control (B).

FIG. 6. FUS binds with MnSOD promoter. The binding of FUS to the promoter of MnSOD gene was evaluated by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay as described under “Experimental Procedures.” Briefly, chromatin was immunoprecipitated by FUS antibody or immunoglobulin (IgG) as control and immunoprecipitated DNA was amplified by PCR using primers targeted to the promoter region (−2931 to −2712 and −2264 to −2075) of MnSOD gene. Total genomic DNA obtained after transfection was parallel-amplified by using the same primer as input control (A). Predicted putative FUS binding element in MnSOD promoter and three mutated oligonucleotides were designed to use as probe (B). Oligonucleotides were radioactively labeled with [32P]ATP and T4 polynucleotide kinase as described previously (23). Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was carried out to separate DNA-protein complexes on 6% polyacrylamide native gel. The arrow points to protein-DNA complexes (C). The biotinylated oligonucleotides of wild-type and mutant type probes were used for DNA protein interaction by affinity chromatography followed by Western blotting using anti-FUS or anti-Flag antibody (D).
To identify the putative FUS binding site on the MnSOD promoter, we first performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay using FUS or Flag antibody with the nuclear extracts prepared from cells with or without FUS overexpression. For this purpose, we cross-linked DNA protein complexes, which were subjected to ChIP using FUS or Flag antibody, as well as nonimmune control antibody. As shown in Figure 6A, ChIP with FUS or Flag antibody and subsequent PCR amplification detected a 220-bp MnSOD promoter fragment (~2931 to ~2712), and FUS overexpression resulted in a higher level of PCR product compared to empty vector transfection control. The ChIP assay performed using the nonimmune antibody was unable to pull down the promoter fragment (Fig. 6A). Although reporter activity data suggest that FUS may bind anywhere between ~2947 to ~1605, PCR amplification of ~2264 to ~2075 fragment showing no bands after ChIP assay suggests that FUS binds between ~2931 to ~2712 in the MnSOD promoter (Fig. 6A).

FUS is known to have a potential DNA binding site with a nucleotide sequence of ACTGGCTC (2). A homology search identified this specific nucleotide sequence at the far upstream region of the MnSOD promoter (Fig. 6B). To verify FUS binding to this nucleotide sequence, we made a wild-type probe with this nucleotide sequence and three mutant variants (Fig. 6B) for electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) using nuclear extracts prepared from cells with or without FUS transfection. The results demonstrate that FUS protein binds to the double stranded DNA of the wild-type probes even in the presence of a 100-fold excess of nonspecific DNA (non-self-lane in Fig. 6C), and binding is suppressed in the presence of self-competitor or cold oligonucleotide (self-lane in Fig. 6C). Transfection of FUS increased the DNA binding of the nuclear extract as compared to empty vector transfection control. All three mutations in this oligonucleotide sequence significantly suppressed FUS protein binding (Fig. 6C). These results confirm that FUS specifically binds with double stranded DNA in the MnSOD promoter with the nucleotide sequence of ACTGGCTC and the alterations in this nucleotide sequence significantly reduce FUS binding to the MnSOD promoter.

To confirm the identity of FUS protein bound to DNA, the wild-type or mutant-1 double stranded DNA probes as described in Figure 6B were labeled with biotin and immobilized on streptavidine columns. Nuclear extract prepared from cells overexpressing FUS was subjected to DNA affinity chromatography to purify the FUS protein. The FUS protein that bound to the biotinylated DNA probes was eluted and subjected to SDS-PAGE electrophoresis followed by Western blot analysis using FUS or Flag antibody. The results show that FUS protein was eluted from the column with biotinylated wild-type DNA probes but was not eluted from similarly prepared mutant DNA probes (Fig. 6D, Supplementary Fig. S2).

To verify the interaction of FUS with DNA, the interaction between FUS and the wild-type or mutant double stranded DNA probes was further studied by surface plasmon resonance (SPR) analysis. Affinity purified FUS protein bound to the wild-type probe with very high affinity, whereas binding to the modified probe was remarkably lower compared to the binding with the wild-type probe (Table 2). The detailed binding kinetics of the affinity-purified FUS to wild-type or mutant probe is shown in Supplementary Fig. S3.

Table 2. Kinetics of Purified FUSed in Sarcoma Binding to MnSOD Promoter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of ligand</th>
<th>Ka (1/ms)</th>
<th>Kd (1/s)</th>
<th>KD (M)</th>
<th>Chi2 RU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type probe</td>
<td>7.11E+03</td>
<td>7.70E-04</td>
<td>1.08E-07</td>
<td>11.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutant probe</td>
<td>2.41E+00</td>
<td>8.12E-04</td>
<td>3.37E-04</td>
<td>10.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The biotinylated wild type or mutant type oligonucleotides were used for DNA protein interaction by Surface Plasmon Resonance analysis.

**FUS mediates MnSOD expression via complex formation with Sp1 and NPM**

We previously identified NPM as an interaction partner of Sp1 in the induction of MnSOD gene transcription (15). In this study, using a proteomic approach we identified FUS as a component of the immunocomplex with NPM and also identified the putative binding site of FUS on the MnSOD gene. Therefore, we tested the hypothesis that FUS is a component of the transcription complex regulating the expression of the MnSOD gene. Our immunoprecipitation data show that FUS antibody was able to immunoprecipitate Sp1 and NPM; reverse immunoprecipitation shows that Sp1 antibody was able to immunoprecipitate FUS and NPM (Fig. 7A), suggesting that FUS interacts with Sp1 and NPM. Reverse immunoprecipitation with the NPM antibody also shows that FUS, NPM and Sp1 were all present in the complex and further overexpression of FUS increased transcription complex formation (Fig. 7B). To determine whether FUS-mediated transcription of the MnSOD gene requires Sp1, we suppressed Sp1 expression with Sp1 siRNA and overexpressed FUS by transfecting the FUS expression vector. Transfection of Sp1 siRNA suppressed Sp1 protein and MnSOD protein expression (Fig. 7C). Cotransfection of FUS with control siRNA increased MnSOD protein level, whereas coexpression of FUS with Sp1 siRNA blocked MnSOD expression (Fig. 7C). These results demonstrate that FUS forms a complex with Sp1 and NPM and that Sp1 is required for the FUS-mediated induction of MnSOD expression. Similar experimental approaches further demonstrate that coexpression of FUS and NPM siRNA suppresses FUS mediated MnSOD gene transcription. Consistently, coexpression of NPM and FUS siRNA also attenuated NPM mediated MnSOD gene transcription (Fig. 7D). These results suggest that FUS, Sp1 and NPM form a functional complex for MnSOD gene transcription.

To determine whether the inability of mutated FUS to induce MnSOD transcription is due to loss in binding to the MnSOD promoter, we performed EMSA using nuclear extracts from cells transfected with wild-type FUS, mutant FUS, or empty vector. The nuclear extract from cells transfected with wild-type FUS displayed strong binding with the wild-type probe of the MnSOD promoter, whereas the binding activity of the nuclear extracts from cells transfected with mutated FUS was not different from that of the cells transfected with the empty vector. Mutations in the consensus nucleotide sequence in the MnSOD promoter for FUS binding abrogated the binding by the nuclear extracts prepared from cells transfected with either wild-type or mutated FUS (Fig. 8A). These data support the notion that FUS binds to a specific DNA sequence in the MnSOD promoter and that mutations
in either the DNA sequence that is recognized by FUS, or the consensus coding sequence of the FUS gene, disrupt the binding of the FUS protein to its target DNA sequence in the MnSOD gene promoter. We also performed ChIP assay coupled with PCR amplification of the FUS binding region contained in the MnSOD promoter using nuclear extracts from cells overexpressing wild-type or mutant FUS. PCR amplification of a 220-bp promoter fragment (nucleotides −2931 to −2712) was achieved with transcription complexes that immunoprecipitated with FUS or Flag antibody from the FUS transfected cells but not with the transcription complex immunoprecipitated from cells transfected with mutant FUS (Fig. 8B, upper panel). PCR amplification of ChIP DNA products was not detected with primers encompassing nucleotides −1823 to −1613 within the MnSOD promoter (Fig. 8B, lower panel). Importantly, these results indicate that wild-type FUS specifically binds to the MnSOD promoter region and that mutation in the FUS gene abolishes its ability to interact with the MnSOD promoter region. To probe whether the inability of mutant FUS to bind to MnSOD promoter is due to the

FIG. 7. Association of FUS with NPM and specificity protein 1 (Sp1). In vivo association of FUS with NPM and Sp1 in isolated nuclear extract was detected by coimmunoprecipitation using FUS or Sp1 antibody. Coimmunoprecipitation by anti-rabbit IgG from nuclear extract was used as negative control (A). Reverse immunoprecipitation was also carried out using NPM antibody, and FUS and Sp1 were detected in the immunocomplex by Western blotting (B). Cells were transfected with Sp1 siRNA or control siRNA with or without FUS expression vector for 48 h. After the transfection, cell lysates were prepared and subjected to SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (C). FUS, Sp1, and MnSOD proteins were detected by Western blotting using antibodies specific to FUS, Sp1, and MnSOD, respectively. GAPDH was used as loading control (top panel). MnSOD protein bands were densitometrically scanned and quantified using GAPDH as loading control (bottom panel). MnSOD promoter driven luciferase activity was measured after transfection of combined NPM siRNA and FUS expression vector or a combination of FUS siRNA and NPM expression vector in JB6 cells (D, bottom panel). The suppression of proteins after transfection of corresponding siRNA and overexpression of proteins after transfection of corresponding expression vector were confirmed by Western blotting (D, upper panel). Each data point represents the mean ± SD of three independent experiments. Significant difference as compared with corresponding control is indicated by *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01.
unavailability of the protein in the nucleus, we performed cell fractionation followed by Western blotting. Our results show that mutant FUS is located in the nucleus after overexpression (Supplementary Fig. S4). These results further demonstrate that the inability of mutant FUS to interact with the MnSOD promoter is not due to its absence in the nucleus.

Interaction of FUS with other transcription factors is DNA dependent

Although we established the interaction of FUS with NPM and Sp1 (Fig. 7A, B), the interaction of mutated FUS with these transcription factors remained to be determined. To address this question, we immunoprecipitated the transcription factors from both wild-type and mutant FUS overexpressing cells using the Flag antibody followed by Western blotting. The results show that the Flag antibody could immunoprecipitate Sp1 and NPM from cells overexpressing the wild-type FUS but not from cells overexpressing the mutant FUS. We confirmed that the Flag antibody immunoprecipitated Flag-tagged FUS protein from cells overexpressing either the wild-type or mutant FUS (Fig. 8C, upper panel). Nonimmune IgG, which was used as a control, did not immunoprecipitate any of the transcription factors. These results were further verified by an alternative method, in which the Flag antibody was impregnated in sepharose beads, packed in column, and used to pull down transcription factors from nuclear extracts obtained from cells overexpressing wild-type or mutant FUS. The Western blotting results acquired by using this method are shown in the lower panel of Figure 8C. Consistent with the earlier results, the Flag antibody was able to immunoprecipitate Flag-tagged FUS, Sp1 and NPM from cells overexpressing wild-type FUS. In contrast, smaller amounts of Sp1 and NPM were immunoprecipitated with Flag-FUS from cells overexpressing the mutant FUS. The Flag antibody did not immunoprecipitate these transcription factors from the nuclear extraction of cells transfected with empty vector control (Fig. 8C, lower panel).

To determine whether FUS binding to DNA is required for FUS interaction with NPM and Sp1, we treated the cell lysates...
Discussion

MnSOD is the primary antioxidant enzyme that removes superoxide radicals in mitochondria. The expression of MnSOD is essential for the survival of all aerobic organisms; thus, elucidating the mechanisms of MnSOD regulation is very important for understanding the well-being of normal cells and tissues, especially such tissues as motor neurons that have a high energy demand. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) play important roles in maintaining the prooxidant and antioxidant states of cells. The cellular stress response is associated with the activation of prosurvival pathways that are under the control of protective genes called vitagenes (reviewed in 6). MnSOD may participate as a vitagene to protect the cells via the dismutation of superoxide radicals. In addition, dismutation of superoxide to hydrogen peroxide after enzymatic reaction of MnSOD can also lead to the expression of other vitagenes, such as thioredoxin further contributes to protection against cellular damage (7). In this context, the FUS mediated induction of MnSOD may be considered a component of the vitagenes network. The association of the FUS mutation with MnSOD expression that results in a compromised level of MnSOD activity in fALS disease may affect the vitagenes network, leading to enhanced cellular damage.

The current report identifies and characterizes the FUS-responsive element in the promoter of the MnSOD gene with an emphasis on the role of FUS in the transcriptional regulation of MnSOD gene expression. FUS mutations have recently been reported in Type 6 fALS (52). We have demonstrated that skin fibroblasts derived from fALS patients carrying the R521G mutation in FUS had significantly decreased levels of MnSOD, suggesting that MnSOD and mitochondria may play an important role in the pathogenesis of ALS in this subset of patients with the R521G FUS mutation.

Transcriptional activation of the MnSOD gene has been shown to be dependent on several transcription factors, including Sp1 and NF-κB (29, 47). Previously, we identified the minimal promoter in the MnSOD gene, which is located at 555-bp upstream from the start site that binds Sp1 and Ap2 and is required for its basal transcription function (58, 61).

Mutagenesis study of this region demonstrated that Sp1 is essential for the transcription of the MnSOD gene (58). The MnSOD gene also contains an enhancer element located in the second intron responsible for NF-κB-mediated gene transcription (26, 57). Overexpression of FUS resulted in a several-fold increase in the luciferase activity driven by the MnSOD promoter; however, the presence of an enhancer in the reporter gene construct did not play an additional role in FUS-mediated MnSOD gene transcription, suggesting that FUS-mediated MnSOD transactivation is not dependent on NF-κB sites in the second intron. Using a variety of cell lines, Fuji and Taniguchi (19) reported that MnSOD gene transcription can be activated in a promoter-dependent manner in NF-κB unresponsive cells. This observation is consistent with our finding that the intronic element is not an essential factor for the induction of the MnSOD gene by FUS. Our observation that FUS-mediated MnSOD induction occurred only when we used a long MnSOD promoter (construct [-3401 to +24] or [-2947 to +24], Figure 5B) suggests that the FUS-responsive element is located in the far 5' upstream region of the MnSOD gene.

It has been demonstrated that ACTGGGCTC (reverse sequence) in the form of double stranded DNA in the
The macrophage specific cerebrospinal fluid receptor-1 gene can bind with FUS protein (25). Blast homology search analysis identified that the GGCTC sequence was present in MnSOD promoter at about 2.8-kb upstream from the start site. Our experimental results establish that the FUS-responsive element in the promoter region between (−2947 to 24) (Fig. 5B) is functional. Consistent with this finding, in vivo ChIP assay data confirm that FUS interacts with the MnSOD promoter at the upstream region (Fig. 6A). Although we observed that FUS DNA binding was increased by overexpressing FUS, as determined by EMSA, we could not supershift the binding complex with the FUS antibody. Therefore, we took an alternative approach: we used the affinity purified FUS with double stranded DNA probes containing wild-type or mutant oligonucleotide sequences of the FUS response element, and established the binding of FUS to double stranded DNA.

![Overexpression of FUS protects against rotenone induced cytotoxicity.](image1)

**FIG. 9.** Overexpression of FUS protects against rotenone induced cytotoxicity. NSC-34 cells were transfected with wild-type or mutant FUS expression vector followed by treatment with rotenone for 24h. Trypan blue exclusion assay was performed to detect the dead cells (A). Cultured primary skin fibroblast cells that were obtained from fALS patients or from normal subjects were treated with the indicated concentration of rotenone and the Trypan blue exclusion assay was performed 24h after treatment (B). Both live and dead cells were counted, and the ratio of dead to total cells was calculated and expressed as fold changes. H2DCFDA and DCFDA fluorescence was measured in NEC-34 cells (C) and skin fibroblast (D) after treatment with rotenone. Cells were also treated with polyethylene glycol-SOD 1h before rotenone treatment. Each data point represents mean±SD of three to four samples and significant difference compared to respective control is indicated by *p<0.05 and **p<0.01; different from treatment #p<0.05.
has been reported that the RRM, together with a glycine rich region and zinc finger motifs, are critical for RNA and DNA binding (4). Our findings that FUS binds with DNA and that a fALS mutation (R521G) in the C-terminal region disrupts DNA binding suggest that the C-terminal region of FUS is also crucial for its binding to DNA. The binding of FUS to the promoter region has been implicated in the induction of gene transcription (11, 48). FUS also functions as a gene transcription modulator by binding to a number of gene specific transcription factors. The glycine-rich region and zinc finger motifs of FUS are capable of binding with transcription factors, such as NF-κB and transcription factor II D complex as a component of RNA polymerase II preinitiation complex (32), thereby participating in transcription. However, that FUS functions as a specific modulator of MnSOD gene expression was previously unknown. Our communoprecipitation results indicate that full-length FUS interacts with Sp1 and NPM (Figs. 7 and 8). In addition, our finding that FUS physically interacts with Sp1 and NPM is consistent with FUS-mediated regulation of MnSOD basal transcription.

Interestingly, FUS interacts with both single and double stranded DNA (47). FUS promotes annealing of both single and double stranded DNA (possibly by binding with DNA) and facilitates D-loop formation (8). We and others have reported that the guanine cytosine (GC)-rich promoter of the MnSOD gene has a stem-loop structure containing Sp1 and NPM binding sites (18, 56). Since FUS is only responsive when it binds with the far upstream region of the MnSOD gene, FUS may link to the loop structure by interacting with Sp1 and NPM, which bind at the GC-rich region in the stem-loop structure. Together, our results support the notion that FUS induces MnSOD gene transcription by binding directly to DNA at (−2821 to −2814 bp) and indirectly in the stem-loop GC-rich structure via Sp1, which together are essential to maintain the basal level of MnSOD transcription (Fig. 10). Treatment with DNase indicates that the interaction of FUS with Sp1 or NPM is significantly diminished by the removal of DNA from the transcription complex, suggesting that DNA binding of FUS is required for the interaction of these transcription factors to increase MnSOD gene transcription. It has been demonstrated that many sequence-specific transcription factors bind to DNA and interact with each other. For instance, Sp1 and NF-κB bind with the HIV-1 promoter in a sequence-specific manner and interact with each other, leading to a cooperative induction of gene transcription (45). Recently, we found that both Sp1 and p53 bind to the MnSOD promoter, which negatively modulates MnSOD gene transcription (14, 17). Mutant FUS is unable to bind to DNA in the MnSOD promoter or interact with Sp1 and NPM, again suggesting that DNA binding and protein interaction are essential for FUS-mediated gene transcription.

Increased oxidative stress has been shown to cause neuronal damage by gain-of-function mutations in Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase (SOD1) in Type 1 fALS (22). However, the role of MnSOD in fALS pathogenesis remains elusive. MnSOD is expressed in almost all mammalian cells, including neurons, and can be induced under various conditions (10, 16, 37, 50). We recently demonstrated that the molecular pathology of FUS mutation can be recapitulated in cell culture (20) and Drosophila (55) models. In the present study, we used JB6 mouse skin epithelial cells and NSC-34 motor neuron-like cells as cell culture systems to elucidate the underlying mechanisms for FUS-mediated MnSOD transcriptional regulation, which resulted in the first mechanistic insight into oxidative stress in mitochondria as a link to FUS mutation in fALS.

TDP-43 and FUS have been identified as being present in families with fALS. This information has led to increasing support for the idea that changes in alternative splicing are critical targets for ALS and other motor neuron diseases. Recent studies demonstrate that FUS binds to Survival Motor Neuron (SMN, which is absent in the infantile motor neuron disease, spinal muscular atrophy) and U1 in the small nuclear ribonucleoprotein (RNP) (snRNP) pre-mRNA Spliceosome (21, 59). It appears that TDP-43 acts upstream and facilitates the assembly of the SMN complex. FUS protein binds to U1 and SMN in the snRNP complex which forms the pre-mRNA Spliceosome. FUS protein with ALS relevant mutations still bind to snRNP but divert the complex from the nucleus to the cytoplasm where it is trapped, resulting in functional changes in splice site recognition and exon skipping (21). Exon skipping of exon 7 in the SMN2 gene was observed after mitochondrial dysfunction, oxidative stress, and adenosine triphosphate depletion induced by paraquat exposure of human neuroblastoma cells (36). It is well-established that MnSOD is critical in modulating oxidative stress and maintaining proper mitochondrial function; thus, suppression of MnSOD is likely to augment the deleterious diversion of snRNP to the cytoplasm in disease-causing FUS mutations. Thus, FUS mutations may act negatively on three parallel, closely linked pathways involved in RNA metabolism by diverting FUS/snRNP complexes from the nucleus to the cytoplasm, by altering the binding of FUS to highly conserved introns in genes coding from RNA-binding proteins (43,49), and by reducing MnSOD gene transcription with downstream effects of increased cellular oxidative stress.

Because ALS is a disease associated with the impairment of motor neuron function and FUS mutations are associated with some fALS families, our experiments reveal a potential mechanism of mitochondrial dysfunction in FUS-mediated fALS involving chronically reduced basal levels of MnSOD. In
contrast, however, other investigators have demonstrated that MnSOD levels are elevated in a sporadic ALS patient cell line that includes motor neurons, but those studies did not take into account the information about a specific FUS mutation (35, 40). It is possible that the elevated level of MnSOD observed in some sporadic ALS implies compensatory response due to high oxidative stress. We speculate that the chronically reduced basal levels of MnSOD would likely result in slow, cumulative oxidative damage to mitochondria eventuating in progressive dysfunction and eventual death of cortical and spinal motor neurons. This might be especially important in metabolically active neurons with extensive dendritic arbors and long axons. Dendrites and axons demand high metabolic rates and active long distance transport, which may render them particularly susceptible to oxidative stress. The insights from our work imply that mutant FUS-mediated Type 6 fALS might be amenable to such intervention measures as MnSOD mimetic drugs that reduce chronic oxidative stress in mitochondria.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

The JB6 mouse skin epithelial cell line was originally provided by Dr. Nancy H. Colburn of the National Cancer Institute, Frederick, MD, and was maintained according to a previously described method (17). NSC-34 cells were provided by Dr. Haining Zhu of the University of Kentucky and were maintained according to published protocol (20).

Clinical material

Punch skin biopsies (3 mm) were obtained after informed consent from three males with symptomatic ALS who originated from an extended regional family with a documented R521G FUS mutation (Athena Diagnostics). The presence of R521G was confirmed by PCR amplification and direct sequencing of genomic DNA isolated from genomic DNA of skin fibroblast obtained from each individual. Two subjects are related as uncle/nephew and they are distant cousins of the third, all descendants of a common ancestor who died before 1869. Relationships were established by family history and were confirmed using public genealogy records (Ancestors.com). The mean age at onset was 49 years (36, 54, and 57 years). Two had limb-onset disease and one presented with respiratory failure requiring intubation. Clinically, subjects exhibited findings indicative of motor neuron degeneration in the cortex and spinal cord, which was confirmed on examination and electromyography (EMG) testing. Mean survival from onset of progressive weakness to death was 17 months (12, 14, and 25 months). Controls were four females and one male who were free of neurological disease (mean age 40.4 years; range 22-64 years). The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Kentucky.

SOD activity assay using native gel electrophoresis

Human skin fibroblasts were collected, and then sonicated on ice for 30 s. Protein concentrations were estimated by the Bradford protein assay. One hundred micrograms of the total protein were loaded onto each lane of the gel, and the SOD activity was visualized by the nitroblue tetrazolium method (3).

Promoter constructs, transfection and luciferase assay

The original promoter and enhancer-reporter constructs were prepared in our laboratory as described previously (57) and transfected in cells after a lipofectamin® transfection protocol. Luciferase assay was performed by cotransfecting the cells with FUS expression vector and vector containing the enhancer (I2E) and promoter (−3400/+24) of the human MnSOD gene in pGL3 reporter vector. β-Galactosidase cDNA containing luciferase vector was used as an internal control. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were lysed and the samples were analyzed with the luciferase reporter assay system.

Mass spectrometry

All mass spectra reported in this study were acquired by the University of Kentucky Mass Spectrometry Facility as described previously (12). Briefly, the immunoprecipitated products were digested by trypsin (sequencing grade, Promega). The resulting peptides were extracted and LC-MS/MS spectra were acquired on a Finnigan LCQ “Classic” quadrupole ion trap mass spectrometer (Finnigan C.). The data were submitted to an in-house MASCOT search engine for protein identification searching against the NCBI nonredundant protein database. All reported proteins showed multiple unique peptides with a MOWSE score > 50.

RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and reverse transcription PCR

Total RNA was isolated by using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The cDNA was generated using 0.4 μg of total RNA, oligo(dT) primer according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen), in a total volume of 20 μl. Two microliters of cDNA were amplified using the primer sets for MnSOD and GAPDH (primer sequence is available upon request). PCR products were separated on agarose gel and visualized by ethidium bromide.

Immunoprecipitation

Immunoprecipitation studies were carried out using nuclear extract and antibodies or normal IgG. Immunocomplexes were separated by protein A/G after centrifugation at 2500 rpm for 5 min. Immunocomplexes were eluted in the sample loading buffer, subjected to SDS-PAGE, and analyzed by Western blotting.

ChIP assays

ChIP assay was performed as described previously (17). Briefly, wild-type or mutant FUS overexpressed cells were cross-linked by formaldehyde and sonicated to obtain 200 to 1000 bp DNA fragments. The soluble chromatin fraction was precleared with 20 μl of salmon sperm DNA/protein A-agarose beads and subjected to immunoprecipitation with the appropriate antibodies or normal IgG. DNA-immunocomplexes were eluted and reversal of cross-linking was performed to isolate DNA for PCR amplification.

Surface plasmon resonance

The interactions between biotinylated oligonucleotide (ligand) and purified FUS protein (analyte) were measured by
SPR technique using ProteOn™ XPR36 instrument (Bio-Rad). The biotinylated double-stranded oligonucleotide was immobilized on sensor chips, which are precoated with streptavidine (Bio-Rad). Ligand capturing on the biosensor surface was performed according to manufacturer’s instructions. Several different concentrations of analytes (pure FUS protein) were used to evaluate the dose–dependent binding. Data were acquired using ProteOn manager software, and the kinetic analysis was performed using the langumir 1:1 evaluation model.

High-performance liquid chromatography assay of GSH and GSSG

Cellular levels of reduced GSH and GSSG were measured from cell lysates by the quantitative High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method as described previously (27). Briefly, cells were homogenized and sonicated, protein concentration was determined, and then an equal amount of protein (40 μg for GSH and 100 μg for GSSG) was used for GSH derivatization. Five percent trichloroacetic acid, 7.5 mmol/l of N-ethylmaleimide, and 100 mmol/l of dithiothreitol, in redox quenching buffer (5 mmol/l of diethyleneetriaminepentaacetic acid, and 10 mmol/l of ascorbic acid, pH 6.8), were added to the sample. The GSH samples were incubated at 45°C for 15 min after addition of 50 mmol/l monobromobimane (MBB). For GSSG, samples were incubated at 45°C for 15 min before addition of MBB, and incubated at 45°C for 15 min after addition of MBB. The reaction was then stopped by adding 6 N HCl followed by centrifugation at 5000g for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatant was assayed for thiol–bimane fluorescence by reverse-phase HPLC using a linear gradient from 0 to 100% solvent B (50% methanol, 0.25% acetic acid in water) in solvent A (10% methanol, 0.25% acetic acid in water) over 28 min at a flow rate of 0.8 ml/min with fluorescence detection at Ex520/Em485 using the Waters 2475 Multi (lambda) fluorescence detector. Fluorescence intensities versus time of elution were quantified using Waters Breeze chromatography software v. 3.2 (Waters Corporation) and peak areas were integrated and converted to nmol GSH equivalents from the integrated areas under the GSH standard curve.

DCFDA fluorescence assay

DCFDA fluorescence assay was performed using oxidation sensitive carboxy-H2DCFDA (Invitrogen) and oxidation insensitive oxidized carboxy-DCFDA (Invitrogen) as described previously (51). To control the discrepancies among the number of cells seeded, dye uptakes between samples were taken into consideration by preloading oxidized-DCFDA to the samples. Briefly, equal numbers of cells were seeded in 48 well plates and grown for 24 h; after the necessary treatment the cells were then incubated with either H2DCFDA or DCFDA for 30 min, followed by washing twice with 1×PBS. Cellular fluorescence was then detected at a wavelength of Excitation 530 and Emission 580 using SpectraMax GEMINI fluorometer (Molecular Device). Fluorescence in cells preloaded with carboxy-H2DCFDA was normalized to that in cells preloaded with carboxy-DCFDA and data were presented as a H2DCFDA/DCFDA ratio.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using student t-test for two group comparisons and one-way Analysis of Variance for multiple group comparison. Bonferroni’s post-test multiple comparisons procedure was used to determine the statistical significance. Data shown represent mean±SD.
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### Abbreviations Used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALS</td>
<td>amyotrophic lateral sclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>adenosine triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChIP</td>
<td>chromatin immunoprecipitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHOP</td>
<td>C/EBP homologous protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>cerebrospinal fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMG</td>
<td>electromyography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMSA</td>
<td>electrophoretic mobility shift assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EWS</td>
<td>Ewing’s sarcoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fALS</td>
<td>familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FET</td>
<td>FUS Ewing’s sarcoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUS</td>
<td>fused in sarcoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC</td>
<td>guanine cytosine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSH</td>
<td>glutathione</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSSG</td>
<td>oxidized glutathione</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>immunoglobulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCQ</td>
<td>quadrupole ion trap liquid chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBB</td>
<td>monobromobimane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM</td>
<td>modified Eagle’s medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnSOD</td>
<td>manganese super oxide dismutase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBT</td>
<td>nitro-blue-tetrazolium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-κB</td>
<td>nuclear factor kappa B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPM</td>
<td>nucleophosmin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>polyethylene glycol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNP</td>
<td>ribonuclear protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROS</td>
<td>reactive oxygen species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRM</td>
<td>RNA recognition motif</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-PAGE</td>
<td>sodium dodecyle sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA</td>
<td>spinal muscular atrophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMN</td>
<td>Survival Motor Neuron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp1</td>
<td>specificity protein 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPR</td>
<td>surface plasmon resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAFII</td>
<td>TATA-binding protein associated factor II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLS</td>
<td>translocated in liposarcoma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>