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Abstract: Oral cancer represents a health burden worldwide with approximate 275,000 new cases diagnosed annually. Its poor prognosis is due to local tumor invasion and frequent lymph node metastasis. Better understanding and development of novel treatments and chemo-preventive approaches for the preventive and therapeutic intervention of this type of cancer are necessary. Recent development of dietary polyphenols as cancer preventives and therapeutic agents is of great interest due to their antioxidant and anti-carcinogenic activities. Polyphenols may inhibit carcinogenesis in the stage of initiation, promotion, or progression. In particular, dietary polyphenols decrease incidence of carcinomas and exert protection against oral cancer by induction of cell death and inhibition of tumor growth, invasion, and metastasis. In this review, we discuss current progress of dietary polyphenols against oral cancers in vitro, in vivo, and at population levels.
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4-NQO: 4-nitroquinoline 1-oxide
APP: Amyloid precursor protein
1. Introduction

1.1. Oral Cancer

Oral cancer is a serious and growing issue in many countries [1,2]. Oral and pharyngeal cancer, grouped together, is the sixth most common cancer in the world. The annual estimated incidence of oral cancer is about 275,000; two-thirds of these cases occurred in developing countries. Both incidence and mortality rate are higher in men than women. The variety of incidence and mortality rate among countries may due to distinct risk profiles, and availability and accessibility of health services. In the areas with high incidence rate such as Sri Lanka, India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh, oral cancer is the most
common cancer in men, and may contribute up to 25% of all new cases of cancer [3]. Most oral malignancies are squamous cell carcinomas and the risk of developing oral cancer has been shown to increase with age [3]. Tobacco use including smokeless tobacco and excessive alcohol consumption are estimated to account for about 90% of oral cancers [3]. The 58th World Health Assembly Resolution on Cancer Prevention and Control in year of 2005 urged Member States to develop and reinforce national cancer control program, prioritizing preventable tumors and risk factor intervention.

1.2. Polyphenols

Polyphenols represent the largest group of phytochemicals found in plants, particularly in fruits, seeds, and leaves. These compounds exhibit health benefits through complementing and adding to the functions of antioxidant vitamins and enzymes as defense against oxidative stress caused by excess reactive oxygen species (ROS). Dietary polyphenols have been paid attention due to their benefits on human health. High intake of fruits, vegetables, and whole grains, which are rich in polyphenols, has been linked to lowered risks of many diseases including cancer, cardiovascular disease, chronic inflammation, and neurodegenerative diseases [4]. In particular, the property of dietary polyphenols against tumorigenesis is attractive to the researchers and health workers. The action of polyphenols has been investigated in various cancers including lung, skin, oral cavity, ovarian, esophagus, stomach, liver, pancreas, endometrial, thyroid, testicular bladder, small intestine, colon, urinary tract, and prostate [5,6]. It has been demonstrated that polyphenols exhibit anti-cancer property by interfering with molecular events involved in initiation, promotion, and progression stages [7].

Chemoprevention is a promising approach for controlling transformation of premalignant or potentially malignant lesions to invasive cancer. Polyphenols has been used as a chemopreventive agent to modulate oral carcinogenesis process. In this review, we provide an overview of protective effects of dietary polyphenols against oral cancers.

2. Classification and Resources of Dietary Polyphenols

Dietary polyphenols constitute one of the most numerous and widely distributed groups of natural products in the plant kingdom. Fruits, vegetables, whole grains, and beverages such as tea, chocolate, and wine are rich sources of polyphenols. More than 8000 phenolic structures are currently known, and majority of plants carry at least some of polyphenols. Although polyphenols are chemically characterized as compounds with phenolic structural features, this group of natural products is highly diverse.

2.1. Phenolic Acids

Phenolic acids are abundant in food and may account for about one third of phenolic compounds in our diet. They can be further divided into two main types: hydroxybenzoic acid and hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives based on C1–C6 and C3–C6 backbones. Caffeic acid and ferulic acid are main hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives. Caffeic acid, which can be found in many fruits such as apple, plum, tomato, and grape, occurs mainly as an ester with quinic acid called chlorogenic acid (5-caffeoylquinic acid). Chlorogenic acid is a key substrate for enzyme oxidation. Ferulic acid, which is linked through ester bonds to hemicellulose of the cell wall, is found in grains and seeds. Curcumin, another
hydroxycinnamic acid derivative, is used for food preservation with yellow pigment in turmeric and mustard [8].

2.2. Flavonoids

Flavonoids contain the C6–C3–C6 general structural backbone in which the two C6 units (Rings A and B) are of phenolic nature. These compounds constitute a very diverse group of secondary plant metabolites and they are divided into sub-groups including flavonols, flavones, flavanones, flavanones, flavonols, isoflavonoids, anthocyanidins, and proanthocyanidins [9–11]. Flavones and their 3-hydroxy derivative flavonols are the largest subgroup among all polyphenols. Quercetin is common flavonol present in many fruits, vegetables, and beverages [12]. Flavanones and their 3-hydroxy derivatives flavanones have a large number of substituted derivatives [13]. Flavanols or flavan-3-ols are commonly called catechins, which are abundant in tea, red wine, and chocolate. (+)-Catechin and (−)-epicatechin are two isomers found in food plants, particularly in skins of grape, apple, and blueberry [14]. Anthocyanidins are principal components of red, blue, and purple pigments of flower petals, fruits, and vegetables, and varieties of grains, such as black rice. 90% of anthocyanins are based on cyanidin, delphinidin, and pelargonidin and their methylated derivatives [15].

2.3. Resveratrol, Lignans and Ellagic Acid

Resveratrol is a plant-derived polyphenolic phytoalexin produced by enzyme stilbene synthase. It is present in grape, red wine and peanut [16]. Lignans exist in the bound forms in flax, sesame, and many grains. Ellagic acid, a dimer of gallic acid, can be found in free forms in different plants [17].

3. Dietary Intake of Phenolic Compounds

Because polyphenols represent a wide variety of diverse structures from different subclasses, it is difficult to estimate total polyphenol content. It had been reported that main polyphenol dietary sources are fruits and beverages (fruit juice, wine, tea, coffee, chocolate, and beer) and, to a lesser extent, vegetables, dry legumes, and cereals. The total intake is ~1 g/day [1]. The mean intake of polyphenols was 863 ± 415 mg/day based on 48 hour dietary recalls in 2007 [18]. Phenolic acids comprised dominant group of polyphenols (75% of total intake) followed by proanthocyanidins (14%), anthocyanidins, and other flavonoids (10%). Coffees and cereals are key contributors to the total polyphenol intake especially to phenolic acids. Berries and their products are main sources for anthocyanidins, ellagitannins, and proanthocyanidins, while fruits are sources for flavonols, flavones, and flavanones [18]. Pierre et al. [19] generated a database of polyphenol content of fruits and vegetables and then evaluated polyphenol intake through fruit and vegetable consumption in France. Based on fruit consumption data, apples and strawberries are main sources of polyphenols in French diet, whereas potatoes, lettuces, and onions are the most important vegetable sources. Total polyphenol intake from fruits is about three times higher than from vegetables. The calculation of polyphenol intake showed that apples and potatoes provide ~47% of the total polyphenol intake from fruit and vegetables in French diet [19]. Overall, the consumption of dietary polyphenols can vary significantly among individual due to different dietary habits.
4. Bioavailability of Phenolic Compounds

The bioavailability of phenolics is low and the values of urinary excretion of intake range from 0.3% for anthocyanins to 43% for isoflavones [20]. The absorption of food phenolics is determined primarily by chemical structure, which depends on degree of glycosylation and acylation, basic structure (i.e., benzene or flavone derivatives), conjugation with other phenolics, molecular size, polymerization, and solubility [21]. Maximum concentration in plasma rarely exceeds 1 mM after the consumption of 10–100 mg of a single phenolic compound [22]. It has been reported that a micromolar concentration of quercetin, catechins, isoflavones in blood was reached after a meal comprising onions, red wine, and soy, respectively [1,23,24].

5. Dietary Polyphenol and Oral Cancer Prevention

Many studies have been carried out to investigate inhibitory effect of plant polyphenols on tumorigenesis. In these studies, polyphenols-mediated oncogenes, tumor suppressor genes, cell cycle, apoptosis, angiogenesis, and related signal transduction pathways are emphasized. In this review, we only discuss studies related to oral cancer.

5.1. In Vitro Studies

A variety of in vitro studies demonstrated multiple outcomes as mechanisms of polyphenols. Major in vitro studies that show the targets/outcomes of chemoprevention by polyphenols are summarized in Table 1 and discussed below.

5.1.1. EGCG and other Green Tea Polyphenols

Tea is listed to the second only to water in worldwide popularity as a beverage. Green tea was shown to be associated with many health benefits including prevention of cancer and heart disease for decades [2]. Major constitutions of green tea are (−)-epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG), (−)-gallocatechin (EGC), (−)-epicatechin gallae (ECG), (−)-catechin gallate (CG), (−)-epicatechin (EC), and (+)-catechin (C) [25]. Numerous in vitro studies have shown capability of green tea polyphenols especially EGCG in reduction of cell growth, induction of apoptosis, and inhibition of angiogenesis in oral cancer cell lines [26]. EGCG acts as a pro-oxidant and tumor cells are more vulnerable to oxidative forces than normal cells. Avi et al. [27] reported that EGCG effectively inhibited cancer cell growth in cells derived from oral dysplastic leukoplakia and squamous cell carcinoma. Jeffrey et al. [28] have also reported that the anti-proliferative effect of green tea polyphenols and EGCG, was more sensitive in oral cancer cell lines (CAL27, HSC-2, and HSG1) than normal fibroblasts (GN56 and HGF-1). EGCG treatment caused generation of hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), one of major ROS. The results from assessment of cytotoxicity of a green tea polyphenol CG in cell lines derived from human oral cavity indicated that CG selectively induced cell death in favor of cancer cells [29]. Further study indicated that cytotoxicity of CG in cancer cells was due to its capability of inducing H$_2$O$_2$ generation.
### Table 1. Summary of *in vitro* studies of dietary polyphenols against oral cancer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Cell line(s)</th>
<th>Treatment dose/duration</th>
<th>Targets/Outcome (Reference)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BTEs</td>
<td>SCC-4</td>
<td>10–40 µg/mL, 24 h</td>
<td>Reducing MMP-2 and uPA [30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranberry polyphenols</td>
<td>KB and CAL27</td>
<td>200 µg/mL, 48 h</td>
<td>Inhibiting oral cancer cells [31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG and other TPs</td>
<td>S-G, CAL27, and HSG</td>
<td>25–200 µM, up to 72 h</td>
<td>Inducing cell death and inhibiting proliferation [29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>SCC-9</td>
<td>0.1–100 µM, up to 48 h</td>
<td>Inhibiting CYP1B1/1A1 function [32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG and other TPs</td>
<td>HSC-2 and HGF-2</td>
<td>50–500 µM, up to 72 h</td>
<td>Inhibiting cell proliferation and inducing apoptosis [33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGCG</td>
<td>CAL-27</td>
<td>25–100 µM, up to 48 h</td>
<td>Suppressing p-EGFR and MMP-2 [34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGCG</td>
<td>SCC-9</td>
<td>5–20 µM, up to 24 h</td>
<td>Reducing expressions of MMP-2, MMP-9, uPA, p-FAK, Src, snail-1, and vimentin [35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGCG</td>
<td>Tu177, Tu212, Tu686, and 686LN</td>
<td>30 µM, up to 72 h</td>
<td>Inducing cell cycle arrest and apoptosis via p53 [36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGCG</td>
<td>HSC3, HSC4, SCC9, SCC25</td>
<td>5–50 µM, up to 5 days</td>
<td>Enhancing RECK expression, inhibiting MMP-2 and MMP-9 expression [37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGCG</td>
<td>OC2</td>
<td>5–60 µM, 24 h</td>
<td>Inhibiting cell invasion and migration [38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGCG</td>
<td>OSC2, G6, S2, and S5</td>
<td>50 µM, up to 72 h</td>
<td>Regulating p21WAF1, p57 modulating epithelial cell differentiation, or apoptosis [39,40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGCG</td>
<td>OECM-1</td>
<td>1–20 µM, 24 h</td>
<td>Inhibiting APP expression [26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGCG</td>
<td>NS-SV-AC, NSSV, OSC-2, and OSC-4</td>
<td>12.5–50 µM, 24 h</td>
<td>Protecting cells from chemical or irradiation-induced damage [41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGCG and curcumin</td>
<td>MSK Leuk1</td>
<td>50 µM, 5 days</td>
<td>Cell growth [27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGCG, ECG, EG, resveratrol, and quercetin</td>
<td>SCC-25</td>
<td>50–200 µM, up to 72 h</td>
<td>Cell growth [42,43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTE and EGCG</td>
<td>CAL-27, SCC-25, and KB</td>
<td>50–200 µM, up to 72 h</td>
<td>Inhibiting cell growth via EGFR and Notch signaling [44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyphenon-E and Polyphenon-B</td>
<td>CAL-27</td>
<td>25–400 µg/mL, 24 h</td>
<td>Inducing ROS generation and Bel-2/Bax-mediated apoptosis [45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTPs and EGCG</td>
<td>OSC2</td>
<td>50–200 µM, up to 72 h</td>
<td>Inducing apoptosis, inhibiting cell growth [46–48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methoxylated flavones</td>
<td>SCC-9</td>
<td>25 µM, 24 h</td>
<td>Inhibiting CYP1B1 mRNA expression [49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>SCC-61 and OSC-3</td>
<td>0.05–1000 µg/mL, 48 h</td>
<td>Inhibiting oral cancer proliferation [50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 of natural and synthetic polyphenols</td>
<td>HSC-2, HSG</td>
<td>Various, up to 48 h</td>
<td>Cytotoxic activity [51,52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin</td>
<td>SCC-9 cells</td>
<td>0–200 µM, up to 72 h</td>
<td>Inducing cell death [53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin, resveratrol, and ellagic acid</td>
<td>Oral tissue</td>
<td>25 µM, 24 h</td>
<td>Inhibiting BaP-DNA binding and oxidation [54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sasa senanensis Rehder leaves extracts</td>
<td>HSC-2</td>
<td>0.22% and 0.18%, 24 h</td>
<td>Protecting cells from ultraviolet-induced injury [55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF-2A and TF-2B</td>
<td>HSC-2 and CAL27</td>
<td>100–500 µM, up to 24 h</td>
<td>Prooxidant action [56,57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPs</td>
<td>Tca8113</td>
<td>25–200 µM, up to 72 h</td>
<td>Inhibiting cell proliferation and hTERT expression [58,59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tea extracts and EGCG</td>
<td>CAL27, HSC-2, HSG, S-G, GN56, and HGF-1</td>
<td>0–200 µg/mL, up to 72 h</td>
<td>Oxidative stress-induced cell death [28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPs and EGCG</td>
<td>KB-A-1</td>
<td>0.2 µg/mL, 24 h</td>
<td>Enhancing intracellular concentration of DOX and modulating MDR [60]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Elattar et al. [42] evaluated the effect of three major tea constituents, EGCG, ECG, and EGC on the cell growth and DNA synthesis of human oral squamous carcinoma cell line SCC-25. These three compounds caused dose-dependent inhibition in both cell growth and DNA synthesis [42]. A study by Muneyuki et al. [61,62] has also demonstrated that treatment with EGCG induced cell cycle arrest at G1 phase due to decrease of cyclin D1 expression, increases of p21Cip1 and p27Kip1 expression, and reduction of hyper-phosphorylated form of pRB. Liu et al. [44] have found that green tea extract and EGCG inhibited cell growth in three squamous cell lines (CAL-27, SCC-25, and KB) via S and G2/M phase cell cycle arrest. Results from Pathway Array assessment of 107 proteins indicated that major signaling pathways affected by GTE and EGCG were EGFR and Notch, which in turn affected cell cycle related networks [44].

Epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) is critical for the progression, invasion, and metastasis of epithelial tumorigenesis. Molecular evidences associated with the anti-metastatic effect of green tea polyphenols and EGCG have been established. EGCG treatment in oral squamous SCC-9 cells blocked cell invasion via a reduced expression of matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2) and urokinase type plasminogen activator (uPA) [35]. EGCG exerted an inhibitory effect on cell migration, motility spread, and adhesion. EGCG inhibited phospho-focal adhesion kinase (p-FAK), p-Src, snail-1, and vimentin, indicating the anti-EMT effect of EGCG in oral squamous cell carcinoma [35]. RECK is a tumor suppressor gene that negatively regulates MMPs and inhibits tumor invasion, angiogenesis, and metastasis. Kato et al. [37] have reported that treatment of oral cancer cells with EGCG partially reversed the hypermethylation status of the RECK gene and significantly enhanced the expression level of RECK mRNA, leading to reduced MMP-2 and MMP-9 expressions.

In addition to its capacity against tumorigenesis, EGCG also synergistically cooperated with other pharmaceutical inhibitors, such as gefitinib, an EGFR inhibitor. Combined treatment of gefitinib and EGCG synergistically inhibited invasion and migration of CAL-27 cells [34]. EGCG sensitized CAL-27 cells to gefitinib-suppressed phosphorylation of EGFR [34]. Another study has also showed that simultaneous treatment with EGCG and erlotinib, an inhibitor of EGFR-tyrosine kinase, strongly induced cell cycle arrest and apoptosis via p53-dependent induction of p21, p27, and Bim, and p53-dependent inhibition of NF-κB and its antiapoptotic target, Bcl-2 in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck cells (SCCHN) [36].

Resistance of malignant tumors to chemotherapeutic agents is a major cause of treatment failure in patients with cancers. Wei et al. [60] demonstrated that tea polyphenols (TPs) and EGCG were modulators of multidrug resistance (MDR) that could influence activity of anti-neoplastic drug, doxorubicin (DOX). DOX, the most effective broad spectrum antitumor antibiotics, its application in clinic may be limited due to MDR. In fact, TPs and EGCG enhanced the intracellular concentration of DOX by 4.4 and 2.2 times, respectively. Overexpression of amyloid precursor protein (APP) is a biomarker to monitor OSCC prognosis. Shun-Yao et al. [26] have demonstrated that EGCG might diminish oral carcinogenesis by down-regulating APP in OECM-1 oral squamous carcinoma cells. Babich et al. [58] and Yao et al. [59] have shown that TPs disabled telomerase activity and thereby terminated unlimited cancer cell proliferation in cancerous Tca8113 cells, giving additional insight into the inhibitory potential of tea ingredients in the treatment of resistant tumors.
5.1.2. Black Tea Polyphenols

The typical composition of black tea beverage contains 8% of catechins, 10% of flavonol glycosides, 12% of theaflavins, and 70% of thearubigens [63]. Among these components, theaflavins are generally considered to be most effective against carcinogenesis. Schuck et al. [56] have shown that treatments of theaflavin-3 and 30-digallate (TF-3) inhibited more cell growth in HSC-2 cancer cells than normal GN46 fibroblasts. TF-3 could generate ROS, leading to apoptotic cell death in HSC-2 cells, but not in GN46 fibroblasts. TF-3 may function as a prooxidant to induce oxidative stress, thereby accounting for their cytotoxicity to cancerous cells [56].

The responses of human gingival fibroblasts and carcinoma cells derived from the tongue to theaflavin-3-gallate (TF-2A) and theaflavin-3′-gallate (TF-2B), two polyphenols in black tea, have been compared [57]. It was reported that TF-2A and TF-2B acted as pro-oxidants to induce cell apoptosis in carcinoma cells, but not in normal fibroblasts [57]. ROS played a key role and that Bcl-2/Bax was involved in mitochondrial mediated apoptosis by dissipating mitochondrial transmembrane potential (ΔΨm) and activating caspase-3 [46]. Chang et al. [30] have demonstrated that inhibition of black tea polyphenol extracts (BTE) on cell invasion in human tongue squamous carcinoma SCC-4 cells was through reduced activities of MMP-2 and u-PA, up-regulated E-cadherin, and down-regulated snail and vimentin.

5.1.3. Other Dietary Polyphenols

Besides green and black tea polyphenols, many other dietary polyphenols are associated with oral cancer-preventive activities. A total of 150 chemically defined natural and synthetic polyphenols (flavonoids, dibenzoylmethanes, dihydrostilbenes, dihydrophenanthrenes, and 3-phenylchromen-4-ones) were investigated for cytotoxic activity against normal, tumor, and HIV-infected cells [51]. Similar to tea polyphenols, these polyphenols selectively caused more cell death in cancer cells than that in normal cells [51].

Resveratrol and quercetin are polyphenols that have been detected in significant amounts in green vegetables, citrus fruits, and red grape wines. Resveratrol induced significant dose-dependent inhibition of DNA synthesis and related cell growth while quercetin exerted a biphasic effect: stimulation at 1 μM and 10 μM, and minimal inhibition at 100 mM in cell growth and DNA synthesis [43]. Haghiac et al. [53] reported that quercetin induced necrosis at treatment time of 24 h and 48 h, whereas at 72 hours cells underwent apoptosis, correlating with caspase-3 activation. Study on cell cycle distribution showed that quercetin induced S-phase arrest with an inhibition of thymidylate synthase, a key enzyme of S phase. Walle et al. [54] have tested quercetin, resveratrol, and ellagic acid in a bioengineered construct of the multilayered stratified human oral epithelial tissue. The results showed that flavonoids, 5,7-dimethoxyflavone (5,7-DMF) and 3′,4′-dimethoxyflavone (3′,4′-DMF) were able to inhibit benzo[a]pyrene (BaP)-induced CYP1A1 and 1B1 activities [32]. The results from screening of 19 methoxylated falvones in human squamous cell carcinoma SCC-9 cell showed that 4 out of 19 compounds including 3′,4′-DMF, 5,7,4′-trimethoxyflavone, 7,3′-dimethoxyflavone, and 7,4′-dimethoxyflavone were found to be potent inhibitors of CYP1B1 mRNA expression [49]. Curcumin and quercetin, unmethylated polyphenols, were also potent inhibitors [49].
5.2. Animal Studies

At present, there are a limited number of animal studies showing the usefulness of dietary polyphenols on oral cancer chemoprevention by modulating cell proliferation, cell survival, tumor infiltration, angiogenesis, and apoptosis. Important work on this direction are discussed below and summarized in Table 2.

7,12-dimethylbenz (a) anthracene (DMBA)-induced hamster buccal pouch (HBP) carcinogenesis model has been extensively employed to investigate the chemopreventive effectiveness of dietary agents. Ning et al. [64] has shown that tea preparations could inhibit DMBA-induced oral carcinogenesis in hamsters effectively due to their capacities against DNA damage and suppression of cell proliferation. Chandra et al. [65] and Mohan et al. [66] observed that inhibition of HBP carcinomas by polyphenon-E and -B was associated with a significant decrease in phase I enzymes, modulation of lipid peroxidation and enhanced antioxidant and phase II enzyme activities. Administration of polyphenon-E and -B to DMBA-treated hamsters significantly decreased lipid peroxidation and enhanced GSH level, GSH/GSSG ratio, and activities of GSH-dependent enzymes [66,67]. Polyphenon-B was more effective in inhibiting HBP carcinogenesis than polyphenon-E by enhancing the antioxidant status [67]. Dietary supplementation of polyphenon B could exert protection against DMBA-induced genotoxicity and oxidative stress by augmenting bone marrow antioxidant defense mechanisms [68].

Moreover, the inhibitory effects of polyphenon-B on HBP carcinogenesis has been associated to a decrease in cell proliferation and an enhancement in apoptosis, as evidenced by down-regulations of PCNA, NF-κB, p53, and Bcl-2 and upregulation of Bax, Fas, and caspase 3 [69]. Letchoumy et al. [70] found that administration of polyphenon-B and BTF-35 significantly decreased tumorigenesis induced by DMBA by reduced expressions of p21, cyclin D1, GST-P, NF-κB, cytokeratins, VEGF, and Bcl-2, and increased expressions of Bax, cytochrome C, caspase-3, caspase-9, and PARP.

Other in vivo studies have been undertaken in addition to DMBA-induced HBP carcinogenesis. Srinivasan et al. [71] reported that green tea polyphenols (GTPs) administration to rats significantly reduced both number and volume of oral squamous cell carcinomas induced by 4-nitroquinoline 1-oxide (4-NQO) compared to 4-NQO treatment only. Inhibition of Phase I enzymes (cytochrome b5, cytochrome P450, and cytochrome b5 reductase) and induction of Phase II enzymes ((glutathione-S-transferase and UDPglucuronyl transferase) by GTPs could be attributed to their protective efficacy against tumorigenesis [71]. GTPs reduced oxidant production and increased levels of GSH, PSH, and total thiols [72]. Other studies have shown that GTPs administration altered the expression of glycoconjugates (hexose, hexosamine, sialicacid, fucose and mucoprotein) and immunological markers (circulating immune complex and mast cell density), resulting in regression of oral cancer [73]. In a hamster model of N-methyl-N-benzylnitrosamine (MBN)-induced oral carcinogenesis, the incidence of buccal pouch carcinomas was significantly reduced when administrated with EGCG [26].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Animal studies</th>
<th>Treatment dose, route, and duration</th>
<th>Target/Outcome (Reference)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EGCG</td>
<td>Immuno-deficient nude mice</td>
<td>10–20 mg/kg/day, oral gavage, 45 days</td>
<td>Inhibition of tumor growth and cell invasion [35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGCG</td>
<td>MBN-treated HBP carcinomas</td>
<td>0.2%, drinking water, 9 weeks</td>
<td>Decreasing the incidence of carcinomas and APP expression [26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGCG and EGC</td>
<td>Wistar strain rats</td>
<td>200 mg/kg/day, oral gavage, 13 weeks</td>
<td>Inhibiting Phase I enzymes to deactivate carcinogen, inducing Phase II enzymes to detoxify 4-NQO [71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)-Gossypol</td>
<td>Athymic nude mice</td>
<td>5 and 15 mg/kg/day, intraperitoneal, 91 days</td>
<td>Inhibiting tumor growth [74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTPs</td>
<td>DMBA-induced oral carcinogenesis in golden Syrian hamsters</td>
<td>0.5%–1.5%, drinking water, 15 weeks</td>
<td>Inhibiting oral carcinogenesis, protecting from DNA damage and suppression of cell proliferation [64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTPs</td>
<td>Wistar strain rats</td>
<td>200 mg/kg/day, oral gavage, 30 days</td>
<td>Reducing oxidant production and enhancing cellular thiol status to mitigate oral cancer and attenuating MC activation [72,73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyphenon-B</td>
<td>DMBA-induced HBP carcinogenesis</td>
<td>0.05% and 0.2%, diet, 14 weeks</td>
<td>Decreasing cell proliferation and enhancing apoptosis by downregulating PCNA, NF-xB, p53 and Bcl-2 and upregulating Bax, Fas and caspase 3 expression [69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyphenon-B and BTF-35</td>
<td>DMBA-induced HBP carcinogenesis</td>
<td>0.05% and 0.2%, diet, 14 weeks</td>
<td>Inhibiting oxidative DNA damage and modulating xenobiotic-metabolizing enzymes [75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyphenon-E and</td>
<td>DMBA-induced HBP carcinogenesis</td>
<td>0.05%, diet, 18 weeks</td>
<td>Inhibiting HBP carcinogenesis and modulating carcinogen-metabolizing enzymes and the redox status [65,67,68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyphenon-B</td>
<td>DMBA-induced HBP carcinogenesis</td>
<td>0.05%, diet, 18 weeks</td>
<td>Inhibiting HBP carcinogenesis and modulating carcinogen-metabolizing enzymes and the redox status [65,67,68]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3. Clinical Studies and Epidemiologic Studies

Although many laboratory studies have demonstrated that dietary polyphenols exhibit anti-mutagenic and anti-carcinogenic effects, intervention trial is the most important approach to elucidate protective effects of dietary polyphenols. A number of clinical studies and epidemiologic studies have been summarized in Table 3.

Ning et al. [76] performed the first clinical trial in 1999 to provide direct evidence of the protective effect of tea polyphenols against human oral cancer. A double-blind intervention trial was conducted in 64 patients of both sexes, mostly smokers with oral mucosa leukoplakia (a pre-neoplastic lesion) using a mixed green tea product compared to the placebo (using starch and coloring agents with only glycerin) for six months. Results showed that oral lesions were significantly reduced in 38% of patients treated with green tea mixture compared with 10% of patients in the placebo group. The incidence of micronucleated exfoliated oral mucosal cells was significantly lowered in the green tea mixture-treated group (5.4/1000 cells) compared to that in control placebo group (11.3/1000 cells). The overall results provided a unique opportunity for the investigation of mechanisms of oral cancer prevention by dietary polyphenols in humans. Schwartz et al. [77] performed a pilot intervention study using human oral cells in three heavy smokers (A10 cigarettes/day) and three nonsmokers (without smoking history) in order to evaluate the molecular and cellular effects of administrating green tea total extracts (2000–2500 mg/day). The results showed that green tea administration decreased smoking-induced DNA damage and inhibited cell growth. This study verified the role of green tea in the prevention of oral cancer in smokers by inducing cancer cell growth arrest and apoptosis, a mechanism similar to that observed in cultured cells and animal models.

Tsao et al. [78] performed a phase II randomized, placebo controlled clinical trial of green tea extract (GTE) (500, 750, or 1000 mg/m²) in patients with high risk oral premalignant lesions (OPL) for 12 weeks. The OPL clinical response rate was higher in all GTE arms versus placebo but did not reach statistical significance. However, the two higher-dose GTE arms (750 and 1000 mg/m²) had higher response, suggesting dose-response effect of GTE on oral premalignant lesions. Baseline stromal VEGF levels correlated with clinical but not histologic response. Other biomarkers including epithelial VEGF, p53, Ki-67, cyclin D1, and p16 promoter methylation) were not associated with the outcome. Results from this study suggested that GTE might suppress OPLs, in part through reducing angiogenic stimulus (stromal VEGF). Another clinic trial study indicated that green tea exhibited protective effects against oxidative DNA damage induced by cigarette smoking, leading to delayed lesion progress in patients with oral mucosa leukoplakia, demonstrating its protective effects from oral cancer [79].

In addition to the preventive effects of green tea, the chemopreventive capacity of other dietary polyphenols has also been investigated. Halder et al. [80] have explored the possible benefits of black tea administered to 82 patients with oral leukoplakia. The first 15 patients enrolled in this study showed a significant decrease in the micronuclei frequency and chromosomal aberrations, which correlated with the clinical improvement [80].
Table 3. Summary of epidemiologic and clinical studies of dietary polyphenols against oral cancer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Human studies</th>
<th>Administration dose, route, duration, and cases</th>
<th>Target/Outcome (Reference)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TPs</td>
<td>Study in patients with cigarette smoking and oral mucosa leukoplakia</td>
<td>3 g/day. Both oral and topical administration. 6 months. 59 patients.</td>
<td>Protection against oxidative damage and DNA damage caused by cigarette smoking, blocked lesion progress in patients with oral mucosa leukoplakia [79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTE</td>
<td>Phase II randomized, placebo controlled clinical trial in high risk oral premalignant lesions (OPLs)</td>
<td>500 mg/m², 750 mg/m², and 1 g/m². Oral administration. 3 months. 11 controls and 30 patients with oral premalignant lesions.</td>
<td>Suppress OPLs, in part through reducing angiogenic stimulus (stromal VEGF) [78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoflavones,</td>
<td>Case-control study about oral and pharyngeal cancer risk</td>
<td>24.8 µg isoflavones, 21.9 mg anthocyanidins, 65.4 mg flavan-3-ols, 38.8 mg flavanones, 0.5 mg flavones, 22.5 mg flavonols, and 149.2 mg total flavonoids. Diet. 13 years. 2081 controls and 805 patients.</td>
<td>Decreasing the probability to develop oral and pharyngeal cancers by 50% [81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anthocyanidins,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flavan-3-ols,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flavanones,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flavones, and flavonols</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green tea</td>
<td>Prospective, large-scale cohort study</td>
<td>1–5 cups/day. Drinking water. 10.3 years. 65,184 subjects.</td>
<td>Reduced the hazard ratios (HRs) of oral cancer in women [82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green tea</td>
<td>Pilot intervention study with heavy smokers</td>
<td>400–500 mg/cup, 5 cups/day. Drinking water. 4 weeks. 3 control, 3 patients.</td>
<td>Inducing cell growth arrest and apoptosis [77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black tea</td>
<td>Clinical Trial in patients with oral leukoplakia</td>
<td>3 teaspoon s/day. Drinking water. 1 year. 82 patients with precancerous lesion.</td>
<td>Decreasing micronuclei frequency and chromosomal aberrations [80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed green tea</td>
<td>Double-blind intervention trial performed in patients with oral mucosa leukoplakia</td>
<td>3 g/day. Oral administration. 6 months. 30 control, 29 oral mucosa leukoplakia.</td>
<td>Reducing oral leukoplakia in size, inhibiting cell micronucleated exfoliation [76]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Furthermore, the relation between dietary polyphenols and the risk of oral cancer was also investigated by some epidemiologic studies. An Italian case-control study has been conducted to examine the relationship between flavonoid intake to oral and pharyngeal cancer risk from 1992 to 2005 [81]. This study included 805 cases confirmed with oral and pharyngeal cancer, and 2081 hospital controls were admitted for non-neoplastic conditions. Results have shown that a high dietary flavonoid intake decreased the probability of developing oral and pharyngeal cancers by about 50% [81]. Ide et al. [82] conducted a prospective nationwide, large-scale cohort study in Japan including 20,550 men and 29,671 women aged 40–79 years without any history of oral cancer. After an average of 10.3 years follow-up period, tea consumption group showed chemo-preventive effects in women according to the reduction of hazard ratios of oral cancer when increasing green tea dietary administration per day. However, no such trends were identified in men [82].

6. Conclusions

This review summarizes the preventive and possible therapeutic properties of dietary polyphenols against oral cancer in vitro, in vivo, and in clinical studies. Oral cancer is one of the most important medical problems. Chemopreventive strategies represent a promising approach to reduce the incidence and mortality of this disease. Most studies have demonstrated that dietary polyphenols could regulate numerous molecular pathways involved in cancer promotion and progression, suggesting chemopreventive and therapeutic capacity of dietary polyphenols against oral cancers. Among all polyphenols investigated, tea polyphenols have been shown to have obvious anti-carcinogenic effects. Limited investigations of other dietary polyphenols have been conducted in spite of their diversity and rich sources. Scientific evidences on other dietary polyphenols should be demonstrated to clarify the potentials of these molecules on prevention of oral cancer. Compared to a large amount of in vitro and animal studies, only a few clinical trials have been performed. Additional randomized clinical trials and cohort studies should be conducted to obtain direct evidence of dietary polyphenols against oral cancers.
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