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Developmental path for PHSSR

- Measuring practice & performance
- Detecting variation in practice
- Examining determinants of variation
  - Organization
  - Financing
  - Workforce
- Determining consequences of variation
  - Health outcomes
  - Economic outcomes
- Testing strategies to reduce harmful, wasteful, & inequitable variation in practice and outcomes

Descriptive

Inferential

Translational
PBRN Research Streams

- Delivery System Organization and Structure
- Practice Variation
- Volume, Intensity, and Quality of Delivery
- Cost of Delivery
- Value and ROI of Public Health
Critical research issues in Accreditation & QI

- Did “it” work?
- Are you sure that it worked?
- For whom did it work (and for whom not)?
- How did it work (or why did it not)?
- What were the active ingredients?
- Were there unintended effects?
- Were the effects worth the costs?
- How long can the effects be sustained?
- Can it be replicated in other settings?
- Comparison to other QI methods (CER)?
Research challenges in accreditation and QI

**Design and attribution**
- Selection effects
- Hawthorne effects
- Other temporal changes
- Regression to the mean
- The counterfactual?

**Measurement**
- Are we measuring the right things?
- Sensitivity & specificity
- Common source bias
- Is there enough time to observe changes?

**Intervention Exposure**
- Fidelity
- Implementation cost
- Comparative effectiveness of alternative strategies
- Dose-response
- Context-specific effects (treatment heterogeneity)
PHSSR & PBRN research on accreditation

- Interest, intent, and incentives to seek accreditation
- Prerequisites for accreditation
  - CHA/CHIP practice variation
- Capacity for accreditation & QI
  - Multi-jurisdictional models
- Impact of state-based accreditation approaches
  - North Carolina
  - Missouri
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Study Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Effects of Community Partnerships on Adoption of Evidence-Based Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Measuring Quality in Local Public Health Emergency Preparedness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>Local Public Health Responses to the County Health Rankings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY</td>
<td>Effects of QI Intervention on Evidence-Based Diabetes Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>Local Variation in Food Safety and Infectious Disease Control Practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Measuring QI Maturity and Correlates in Public Health Settings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>A Taxonomy of QI Methods, Techniques and Results in Public Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>Effects of Public Health Accreditation on QI Philosophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>Effects of Integrated HIV/AIDS and STD Service Delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Local Variation in Prevention, Investigation, and Intervention Practices for Foodborne Illness in Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Variation in Local Enforcement of a State Clean Indoor Air Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Analyzing Concordance between Position Descriptions and Practice Standards for Public Health Nurses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>Measuring the Quality of Community Health Improvement Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>Local Variation in Adherence to Communicable Disease Practices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Examples: Regionalized Service Delivery

- **Massachusetts:** Local variation in decision-making and implementation regarding regional delivery models
- **Nebraska:** How do organizational design and workforce issues affect implementation of regional health department models
- **Connecticut:** How do state-mandated services and funding reductions influence decision-making regarding regional models
- **Colorado:** Impact of state public health law reform on regional approaches to service delivery; variation in local legal instruments and approaches to regionalization
Current and emerging research priorities

- Comparative studies of public health delivery: volume, intensity, quality, efficiency
- Cost estimation
- Value and ROI studies
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