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Abstract

Objective: Characterize the flux of platelet-endothelial cell adhesion molecule (PECAM-1) antibody-coated superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (IONPs) across the blood-brain barrier (BBB) and its biodistribution in vitro and in vivo.

Methods: Anti-PECAM-1 IONPs and IgG IONPs were prepared and characterized in house. The binding affinity of these nanoparticles was investigated using human cortical microvascular endothelial cells (hCMEC/D3). Flux assays were performed using a hCMEC/D3 BBB model. To test their immunospecificity index and biodistribution, nanoparticles were given to Sprague Dawley rats by intra-carotid infusion. The capillary depletion method was used to elucidate their distribution between the BBB and brain parenchyma.

Results: Anti-PECAM-1 IONPs were ~130 nm. The extent of nanoparticle antibody surface coverage was 63.6±8.4%. Only 6.39±1.22% of labeled antibody dissociated from IONPs in heparin-treated whole blood over 4 h. The binding affinity of PECAM-1 antibody (Kd) was 32 nM with a maximal binding (Bmax) of 1.7×105 antibody molecules/cell. Anti-PECAM-1 IONP flux across a hCMEC/D3 monolayer was significantly higher than IgG IONP’s with 31% of anti-PECAM-1 IONPs in the receiving chamber after 6 h. Anti-PECAM-1 IONPs showed higher concentrations in lung and brain, but not liver or spleen, than IgG IONPs after infusion. The capillary depletion method showed that 17±12% of the anti-PECAM-1 IONPs crossed the BBB into the brain ten minutes after infusion.

Conclusions: PECAM-1 antibody coating significantly increased IONP flux across the hCMEC/D3 monolayer. In vivo results showed that the PECAM-1 antibody enhanced BBB association and brain parenchymal accumulation of IONPs compared to IgG. This research demonstrates the benefit of anti-PECAM-1 IONPs for association and flux across the BBB into the brain in relation to its biodistribution in peripheral organs. The results provide insight into potential application and toxicity concerns of anti-PECAM-1 IONPs in the central nervous system.
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Introduction

Multifunctional superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (IONPs) have various applications, such as diagnosis and therapy of the central nervous system (CNS) [1,2]. For example, IONPs have drawn increasing attention as T2 magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) contrast agents in preclinical and clinical studies to evaluate blood-brain barrier (BBB) dysfunction related to tumors and other pathologies such as stroke and carotid atherosclerosis [3,4]. Multifunctional IONPs also provide the possibility to deliver therapeutic agents to the brain and concurrently monitor their tissue distribution using MRI [5,6]. One of the challenges for CNS applications of IONPs is the ability to cross the highly restricted BBB. Previous research has suggested evidence of IONP flux across the BBB by analyzing the whole brain concentration. However, there have been no reports distinguishing between IONPs in the brain vessels and BBB cells [7]; or they only showed the therapeutic efficacy of co-delivered compounds in animal models of brain tumors [8]. To advance the potential applications of multifunctional IONPs in the CNS, there is an urgent need to understand how they associate with, and transcytose across, the BBB in vitro and in vivo.

Brain capillary endothelial cells cooperate with pericytes, astrocytes, and neurons to generate and maintain the unique barrier properties of the BBB. The BBB plays a crucial role in safeguarding the brain from endogenous and exogenous com-
pounds, which includes most therapeutics [9]. A recent study evaluated the uptake and flux of IONPs using human brain-derived endothelial cells. Flux of IONPs that lacked targeting moieties on the surface was very limited under normal conditions [10]. A promising strategy to enhance IONP flux across the BBB is to use a BBB targeting moiety. Platelet-endothelial cell adhesion molecule (PECAM-1) (CD31) is a member of the immunoglobulin superfamily that is constitutively expressed on endothelial cell membranes and is involved in transcytosis of activated leukocytes across the BBB in neuroinflammation [11,12,13]. Furthermore, significant upregulation of PECAM-1 in neuroinflammation provides a potential to target the CNS for the treatment of neurological conditions such as stroke and brain tumor [13]. Pure PECAM-1 antibody has been shown to target the endothelial lumen, but does not internalize into endothelial cells [14]. However, anti-PECAM-1 coated nanocarriers can enter the endothelial cells through a unique vesicular internalization pathway, cell adhesion molecule (CAM)-mediated endocytosis [15]. Anti-PECAM-1 antibodies conjugated to diverse therapeutic cargos and nanocarriers provided robust intracellular drug delivery into endothelial cells [16]. However, how anti-PECAM-1 nanocarriers associate with and traffic across the BBB, one of the most important endothelial cell barriers, still needs to be defined.

We hypothesized that PECAM-1 antibody will increase IONP BBB association, trafficking across the BBB, and change its distribution profile in vivo and in vitro. In this study, we characterized anti-PECAM-1 IONPs for size, stability in blood, and association to immortalized human cortical microvascular endothelial (hCMEC/D3) cells. We investigated the association, and flux across the BBB over 6 h, of anti-PECAM-1 IONPs using hCMEC/D3 cells. Furthermore, anti-PECAM-1 IONP brain accumulation and biodistribution in peripheral organs were studied in Sprague Dawley rats. The capillary depletion method was used to test anti-PECAM-1 IONP in vivo distribution between the BBB endothelial cells and brain parenchyma. The results of this study demonstrate the potential of anti-PECAM-1 IONPs to target and transcytose across the BBB and enter into the brain parenchyma, providing valuable insight into the feasibility of anti-PECAM-1 IONPs as a brain targeting MRI contrast agent and/or drug delivery system for the CNS.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

This study used 21 male Sprague-Dawley rats, weighing 300±25 g (mean ± SD), that were housed individually prior to study in the University of Kentucky Division of Laboratory Animal Resources Facility under a 12:12 h light:dark cycle at 70±3°F and 30 to 70% humidity. The rats had free access to 2018 Harlan diet and reverse osmosis water. Animal work was approved by the University of Kentucky Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol 2008-0272). The research was conducted in accordance with the Guiding Principles in the Use of Animals in Toxicology.

Reagents

Mouse anti-human anti-PECAM-1 was created and purified in house through the use of a hybridoma cell line (P2B1) purchased from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (Iowa City, IA). For in vivo studies, a mouse anti-rat anti-PECAM-1 (Clone TLD-3A12) was purchased from Millipore (Billerica, MA). Nonspecific mouse IgG was from Jackson Immuno (West Grove, PA). Na125I was purchased from Perkin Elmer (Boston, MA). All other reagents were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

Iron oxide nanoparticle synthesis

IONPs were synthesized using a previously reported method [17]. Briefly, ferric chloride hexahydrate (Fe3+) and ferrous chloride tetrahydrate (Fe2+) were dissolved in deionized water (Fe3+:Fe2+ = 2:1), followed by adding ammonium hydroxide dropwise under an N2 atmosphere at 85°C. After 1 h, the solution was placed on a magnet to collect black brown particles, which were washed repeatedly using pure ethanol [18,19]. IONPs were dried overnight in a vacuum drying oven. Properties, such as size and zeta potential of the IONPs, were determined in our laboratories. All of the methods have been previously reported [20].

Protein iodination for antibody tracing

IgG and the anti-PECAM-1 antibody were labeled with Na125I using the Iodogen method. In brief, 100 μg of antibody was mixed with 15 μgI of Na125I for 5 minutes in glass tubes coated with Iodogen reagent. Following the reaction, the now-labeled protein was purified using Bio-Rad Labs packed spin columns (Hercules, CA). The extent of iodination was determined by protein precipitation followed by analysis of radioactivity in the pellet and supernatant [21].

Preparation and characterization of antibody-modified iron oxide surfaces

Iron oxide nanoparticles were suspended in PBS and sonicated with a probe sonicator at a power output of 10 W for 1 minute, then transferred to a sonication bath for 30 minutes prior to surface coating. To couple anti-PECAM-1 or IgG antibody to iron oxide nanoparticles, a physioabsorption technique was employed. Either anti-PECAM-1 or IgG antibodies were incubated with the nanoparticles at a solution concentration equivalent to 10,000 antibodies/μm2 particle surface area, 1.2 times the theoretical monolayer coating based on antibody size and particle surface area. Radiolabeled anti-PECAM-1 or IgG in PBS was added to the suspended nanoparticles and incubated for 1 h at 25°C. Particles were washed with PBS 3 times and centrifuged for 30 minutes at 22,000 g and then suspended in 1% BSA-PBS. The antibody was traced in both supernatant and pellets using a PerkinElmer 2470 Automatic Gamma Counter to determine the extent of surface coverage.

Antibody-modified iron oxide nanoparticle stability in whole blood

To determine coating stability in vivo, particles were incubated in heparin-treated whole rat blood at 37°C for 24 h at equivalent concentrations utilized in vivo (0.015 mg nanoparticles/ml whole blood). At pre-determined time points, aliquots of whole blood were centrifuged for 30 minutes at 22,000 g and separated from the nanoparticles and analyzed on the gamma counter.

Cell lines and culture conditions

hCMEC/D3 cells were obtained under license from INSERM, France. The cells were maintained in endothelial growth medium-2 supplemented with 2.5% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin and streptomycin, 0.1% fibroblast growth factor, 0.01% hydrocortisone and 0.025% vascular endothelial growth factor, insulin-like growth factor and endothelial growth factor, under 37°C and 5% CO2. Cells were passaged into collagenated culture flasks every 3–4 days when they reached approximately 85%–95% confluence [22,23,24].
PECAM-1 antibody binding affinity to hCMEC/D3 cells

hCMEC/D3 cells were seeded on 36 well plates at a density of 50,000 cells/cm². They were incubated with serial dilutions from 0.78 to 100 nM of 125I anti-PECAM-1 or 125I IgG antibodies for 2 h (n = 3). The supernatants in the donor chamber were collected and the cells were washed 3 times with PBS at 4°C. The hCMEC/D3 monolayer was lysed with 1% Triton X-100 in 1.0 N NaOH. The cell lysate and supernatant (including washing solution) radioactivities were measured using a Wallac 1470 Wizard gamma counter. Bmax and KD were calculated using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

Anti-PECAM-1 IONP cell association and flux using the human brain endothelial cell line hCMEC/D3 in vitro BBB model

hCMEC/D3 cells were seeded on type I collagen pre-coated 6 well Transwell filters (polycarbonate 12 mm, pore size 3.0 μm) at a density of 50,000 cells/cm². Assays were performed 7-10 days after seeding [22,23]. The tightness of the hCMEC/D3 monolayer was measured as transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER) using a RMA321-Millicell-ERS voltometer (Millipore Corp, Billerica, MA). To monitor flux through the paracellular pathway, lucifer yellow (LY, 100 μM) was added to the medium on the donor side of the cells. Samples of the medium from the donor chamber were collected at time zero and from the receiving chamber hourly for 6 h for LY concentration analysis. LY fluorescence was determined in a SpectraMax M5 Multi-Mode Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) at λex/λem = 450/530 nm and compared with a standard of LY in endothelial growth medium-2.

Anti-PECAM-1 and IgG IONPs were introduced into the donor chamber at 0.05 mg/mL, as used in our previous cytotoxicity study on IONPs [20]. Samples (100 μL) were collected from the donor chamber at time 0 and the receiving chamber hourly for 6 h for iron concentration analysis by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) (Agilent 7500cx, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The donor chamber was removed and the cells washed 3 times using PBS at 4°C. The hCMEC/D3 monolayer was lysed with 1% Triton X-100 in 1.0 N NaOH. Iron concentration in the cell lysate and supernatant (including washing solution) was measured using ICP-MS. Flux rates of LY and nanoparticles were calculated by linear regression for the first 6 h. The cell lysate and supernatant (including washing solution) was measured using ICP-MS. Flux rates of LY and nanoparticles were calculated by linear regression for the first 6 h.

Radioactivities were measured using a Wallac 1470 Wizard gamma counter. The results were compared between 125I anti-PECAM-1 and 125I IgG IONPs using t-test or one-way ANOVA. The localization ratio (LR) was calculated as the percent of the injected dose per gram of tissue divided by percent of the injected dose per gram of blood. The specificity index was calculated as the LR of the targeted formulation (anti-PECAM-1 IONPs) divided by the non-targeted counterpart (IgG IONPs). The specificity index indicates specific targeting to organs, normalized by organ weights and the fraction contained in blood [25]. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test was used to test for significant differences of IgG IONP and anti-PECAM-1 IONP biodistribution among different organs. All results are reported as mean ± SD. Statistical significance was accepted at p<0.05.

BBB integrity assessment

Five minutes before termination, the rat was given 6 mg Na fluorescein (334 Da) interartery in 1 ml saline over 40 s as a BBB permeability marker. Postmortem brain cortex was obtained to quantitatively measure fluorescein content. Fluorescence was determined in a SpectraMax M5 Multi-Mode Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) at λex/λem = 493/514 nm. The results among control, IgG IONP, and anti-PECAM-1 IONP groups were compared using one-way ANOVA (GraphPad Prism).

Anti-PECAM-1 IONP distribution between the BBB endothelial cells and brain parenchyma using the capillary depletion method

The capillary depletion method was used to separate brain parenchyma from capillary tissue [26,27]. After a 10 mg/kg 125I anti-PECAM-1 IONP or 125I IgG IONP injection into the left carotid artery, a 20 s washout was conducted using PBS at a flow rate of 20 ml/min immediately before decapitation [28]. The forebrain from the left hemisphere was isolated from 125I anti-PECAM-1 IONP and 125I IgG IONP treated rats (n = 3) and the lateral ventricle choroid plexus in the perfused hemisphere removed. The tissue was homogenized in 3.5 ml of buffer containing 141 mM NaCl, 4 mM KCl, 2.8 mM CaCl2, 1 mM NaH2PO4, 1 mM MgSO4, 10 mM glucose and 10 mM HEPES at pH 7.4. Dextran (70,000 g/mol) was then added to 18% (w/v) and the sample further briefly homogenized. After centrifugation at 5400 x g for 15 min at 4°C, the supernatant (brain rich fraction) and pellet (capillary rich fraction) were carefully separated for measurement of 125I by gamma counter. The percentage of the forebrain 125I in the capillary rich fraction was calculated as follows: (Mass of 125I in capillary rich fraction/Mass of 125I in capillary rich fraction and capillary depleted fraction)*100

The apparent permeability coefficient

The apparent permeability coefficients (Papp, cm/s) of LY, IgG IONPs and anti-PECAM-1 IONPs were calculated using GraphPad Prism. The first 6 h flux data were used with R2 cutoff >0.8. The Papp was calculated using the equation: Papp = ([ΔQ/At]/[area*CD]) [29]. A/Qt is the linear appearance rate obtained from the profile of the transported amount of the substrate against time (mg/s). CD is the initial donor concentration of LY or nanoparticles (mg/cm³). Area is the surface area of the cell monolayer (4.67 cm² for a 6-well plate).
Results

Anti-PECAM-1/IgG coating efficiency

After removal of unbound antibody, the surface coverage was determined to be 63.6±8.4% (Figure 1A). Based on the primary nanoparticle size of 80 nm (Figure 1B), this corresponds to 19.1 μg antibody/mg of nanoparticle or 105 antibody molecules/nanoparticle. DLS measurements showed the size increased from 80 nm to 130 nm after addition of anti-PECAM-1 (Figure 1B), indicating uniform coating with slight aggregation, as the antibody size is ~15 nm in length. The zeta potential of the nanoparticles decreased from ~10 to ~8 mV (Figure 1C).

Stability of antibody-coated iron oxide nanoparticles in whole blood

Because anti-PECAM-1 IONPs and IgG IONPs were prepared by surface antibody adsorption, their stability in blood is very important for in vivo study. The 125I labeled IgG antibody exhibited minimal detachment from nanoparticles for up to 4 h at 37°C. At 4 h, only 6.4±1.2% of labeled antibody was detected in the heparin-treated blood after centrifugation. Between 4 and 24 h this increased to 46.2±9.5%, likely due to the antibody on the nanoparticle surface being replaced with higher affinity serum proteins (Figure 2). The insignificant coating loss over 4 h suggests these nanoparticle modifications will stay stable throughout the circulation life and time frame of in vivo experiments.

PECAM-1 binding affinity to the human endothelial cell hCMEC/D3

hCMEC/D3 is a human BBB cell line developed in 2005. Previous research showed that it expressed PECAM-1 [24]. However, the binding affinity between hCMEC/D3 and PECAM-1 antibody was not known. Figure 3 shows that anti-PECAM-1, but not non-specific control IgG, adhered specifically to this BBB cell model. The predicted antibody saturation (B max) was determined to be 16.94×10^5 molecules/cell, with a binding constant (K D) of 32 nM, which is relatively low compared with a designed PECAM-1 antibody (a paired monoclonal antibody) for vascular targeting, with reported affinities between 0.5–5 nM [30]. However, previous research showed that relatively low affinity antibodies boost brain uptake by transcytosis targeting [31]. In the next experiment, anti-PECAM-1 IONP flux across the human endothelial cell hCMEC/D3 was tested.

Anti-PECAM-1 IONP flux and cell association using a hCMEC/D3 in vitro BBB model

The TEER of the hCMEC/D3 in vitro BBB model (Figure 4) was tested every other day after the cells were seeded. After 7-10 days, the resistances were >90 Ω/cm², similar to previously reported [22]. The permeability coefficient of LY, the indication of paracellular flux, was 2.9±0.2×10^-6 cm/s.

Anti-PECAM-1 IONP flux was significantly higher than IgG IONPs and LY from 3 h to 6 h. The permeability coefficient after 6 h of anti-PECAM-1 IONPs was 6.7±0.2×10^-6 cm/s, versus 4.8±0.2×10^-6 cm/s for IgG IONPs, and 2.9±0.2×10^-6 cm/s for LY (Figure 5A). After 6 h, 30% of anti-PECAM-1 IONPs was in the receiving chamber and ~45% of anti-PECAM-1 IONPs...
was associated with the hCMEC/D3 cells, significantly higher than IgG IONPs (Figure 5B). PECAM-1 antibody significantly enhanced the flux of IONPs across the hCMEC/D3 monolayer in vitro. In the next experiment, anti-PECAM-1 IONP brain targeting, accumulation, and biodistribution were studied using Sprague Dawley rats.

Anti-PECAM-1 IONP association and biodistribution in brain and peripheral organs

Using $^{125}$I tracing, we tested anti-PECAM-1 IONP targeting ability to brain and peripheral organs. As shown in figure 6A, the % dose per mL of blood in anti-PECAM-1 IONP treated rats was significantly lower than IgG IONP treatment, suggesting increased removal from blood and enhanced tissue accumulation. Ten min after infusion, $0.11 \pm 0.01\%$ of the anti-PECAM-1 IONPs dose was associated with each gram of brain, which was significantly higher than anti-IgG IONPs (Figure 6B). The specificity index (the ratio between targeted and non targeted control) was calculated to test the anti-PECAM-1 IONP’s brain targeting ability. Anti-PECAM-1 IONPs specificity in the brain was 5-fold higher than with IgG IONPs (Figure 6C). PECAM-1 targeting did not change anti-PECAM-1 IONP distribution in liver and spleen compared with IgG. However, anti-PECAM-1 IONP accumulation was significantly increased in the lungs (Figure 7).

Anti-PECAM-1 SPIONs Target the Brain

Figure 4. Schema of the Transwell® system used for flux studies.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0081051.g004

Figure 5. Anti-PECAM-1 IONP flux across hCMEC/D3 cells and cell association results. Anti-PECAM-1 IONP (0.05 mg/ml), IgG IONP (0.05 mg/ml) and LY (100 μM) flux across hCMEC/D3 cells for 6 h (A). Anti-PECAM-1 IONP and IgG IONP distribution in the donor and receiving chambers and hCMEC/D3 cells at 6 h (B). (N = 3, mean ± SD) * Significantly different compared to IgG IONPs.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0081051.g005

Figure 6. Percent of the anti-PECAM-1- and IgG IONPs dose in blood and brain association. The blood (A) and brain (B) levels of $^{125}$I labeled anti-PECAM-1-IONPs after intra-arterial infusion in rats, expressed as the percentage of injected dose (% Injected Dose (ID), 10 mg/kg). Specific tissue accumulation of anti-PECAM-1 IONPs compared with IgG IONPs in brain, calculated as the specificity index (SI). SI values above 1 represent specific targeting in an organ over IgG IONPs (C). * Significantly different compared to IgG IONPs. (N = 3, mean ± SD)
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0081051.g006
Effect of Anti-PECAM-1 IONPs on blood-brain barrier integrity

Since anti-PECAM-1 IONPs did not alter BBB permeability, ten min after anti-PECAM-1 IONP injection the concentration of the BBB permeability marker, fluorescein in the brain, did not change significantly compared with the control and IgG IONPs groups (Figure 8).

Anti-PECAM-1 IONP distribution between brain capillary cells and brain parenchyma

For brain delivery systems, determination of whether or not they can enter the brain parenchyma is crucial. Our permeability results showed that anti-PECAM-1 IONPs did not alter BBB permeability. Understanding the anti-PECAM-1 IONP distribution between the brain capillary cells and parenchyma would provide evidence for transcellular flux. The capillary depletion results showed that ten min after infusion, 82±12% of anti-PECAM-1 IONPs were associated with the capillary fraction and 17±12% of them entered the brain (Figure 9). The capillary depletion assay was also carried out with brain from IgG-IONPs treated rats. However, because of the low brain association of IgG IONPs, all radioactivity level readings were indistinguishable from background radioactivity.

Discussion

The use of vasculature-targeting antibodies, especially against PECAM-1, has been utilized before for lung targeting, injury treatment, and as tumor contrast agents. Anti-PECAM-1 IONPs can internalize into the cell through cellular adhesion molecule (CAM)-mediated endocytosis, which provides the potential to target the BBB and increase nanocarrier flux across the BBB. Previous research showed that IONPs coupled with affinity moieties targeted to receptors, such as transferrin, can facilitate IONP flux across the BBB. However, little is known whether anti-PECAM-1 surface-modified IONPs enhance brain flux across the BBB and change the distribution of IONPs between the BBB and brain parenchyma. In this study, we explored anti-PECAM-1 IONP targeting, transcytosis across the BBB, and biodistribution in the brain and peripheral organs.

We demonstrated that iron oxide nanoparticles can be sufficiently coupled with both IgG and anti-PECAM-1 using a non covalent physioabsorption strategy. It was determined that over 60% of the nanoparticle surface was coated with antibody (105 antibody molecules per nanoparticle). Previous research has demonstrated that clustering of the CAM through antibody binding can result in efficient internalization of anti-PECAM-1 nanoparticles, depending upon epitope of binding. Using a non-covalent targeting strategy, it was expected that upon contact with serum proteins they would inactivate the targeting coating, lowering the treatment efficacy. Contrary to this, it was seen that the coating on these nanoparticles stays intact for up to 4 h, vastly longer than the circulation half life of up to 2 h for uncoated particles. The IgG IONPs and anti-PECAM-1 we developed have desirable properties to investigate BBB targeting and flux in vitro and in vivo.

In our in vitro flux study, LY showed higher flux at 1 h compared with anti-PECAM-1 IONPs and IgG IONPs. At 2 h, the fluxes of LY, anti-PECAM-1 IONPs and IgG IONPs were similar. After 2 h, anti-PECAM-1 IONP flux was significantly higher than LY and IgG IONPs. These results provided evidence that the paracellular pathway was not the major pathway for anti-PECAM-1 IONPs flux. Anti-PECAM-1 IONPs use a different mechanism of flux across the BBB compared with IgG IONPs. There are multiple pathways for internalization involving vesicles <300 nm in diameter. Clathrin- and caveolea-mediated endocytosis are the two major pathways for nanoparticle internalization. IgG IONPs are likely to be taken up through these pathways. Limited transcytosis was observed in our study, which was consistent with a previous study in which the flux of three different surface-charged IONPs was studied across a human BBB model in vitro. Very limited flux was observed over 25 h. On the other hand, clustered PECAM-1 can be internalized by a novel endocytic pathway, CAM endocytosis, which was distinct from clathrin and caveolin-mediated endocytosis. Our in vitro flux study showed that PECAM-1 antibody surface modification significantly improved BBB targeting and flux across the BBB. Previous research showed that intercellular adhesion
Another study also showed that anti-PECAM-1 nanocarriers demonstrated significantly higher brain association [42]. However, they analyzed whole brain tissue including the BBB, brain parenchyma and the blood in the brain vessels. The present study provides a better understanding of the association and flux of anti-PECAM-1 nanoparticles across the BBB in vitro and in vivo.

In our in vivo study, anti-PECAM-1 IONPs did not change BBB permeability, further suggesting that anti-PECAM-1 IONPs crossed the BBB through a transcellular pathway rather than a paracellular pathway. We are not aware of any reports on how anti-PECAM-1 IONPs influence BBB permeability. However, previous research showed that anti-PECAM nanocarriers did not change endothelial monolayer integrity compared with a IgG nanocarrier [42].

The biodistribution results of anti-PECAM-1 IONPs demonstrated that PECAM-1 antibody did not increase anti-PECAM-1 IONP accumulation in the liver or spleen 10 min after injection. More study at longer time points is needed to fully understand the disposition of anti-PECAM-1 IONPs in the liver and spleen. However, PECAM-1 antibody significantly increased the IONP accumulation in the lung. This result was consistent with previous reports that an anti-PECAM-1 nanocarrier is a good candidate for pulmonary targeting [49,50]. This is due to the massive surface area provided by lung capillary beds. For brain targeted delivery, high accumulation in the lung has potential to cause side effects there. However, we can take advantage of this property for certain diseases. For example, about 15−20% of patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) develop brain metastasis [51]. Anti-PECAM-1 nanocarriers can target lung and brain simultaneously and be taken up through the CAM-mediated endocytosis pathway [42]. Furthermore, recent clinical research showed that PECAM-1 could be a potential prognostic factor and a novel therapeutic target for the effective treatment of NSCLC [52]. Therefore, anti-PECAM-1 IONPs have potential to be used to target lung and NSCLC, while treating potential brain metastasis. More research needs to be conducted to test anti-PECAM-1 IONPs in a brain metastasis model and investigate how they associate with the blood tumor barrier and tumor cells.

**Conclusions**

This work demonstrated that anti-PECAM-1-modified IONPs enhance flux across the BBB in vitro and in vivo, which holds promise to deliver IONPs or other therapeutic agents to the CNS without compromising BBB permeability. This effect was a result of both the capacity of anti-PECAM-1 IONPs to target the BBB and the ability to transcytose across it into the brain. Meanwhile, anti-PECAM-1 IONPs demonstrated increased lung accumulation, which provides the potential to simultaneously target lung and lung cancer derived-brain metastasis. Future studies investigating anti-PECAM-1 IONPs using a lung cancer brain metastasis model in vivo should provide evidence about how anti-PECAM-1 IONPs associate with the blood tumor barrier and metastatic brain tumor. Anti-PECAM-1 IONPs have great potential to be employed in the diagnosis and therapy of CNS diseases such as NSCLC-originating brain metastasis.
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