Judicial Reform

Kentucky Law Journal
the charter and an order of dissolution, which is seldom resorted to by the
courts, because such a decree is very often too harsh.

Can a better way to make great corporations behave themselves be
found?

JUDICIAL REFORM.

The attempt to practice law with our father's or our grandfather's imple-
ments would be equivalent to the attempt to keep up with the procession and
still ride in the old stage coach."

Every day we hear or read something about the delay and inefficiency
of the law. The delay, expense and uncertainty of litigation is said to be
so great that people will suffer almost any bearable wrong rather than take
ruinous recourse to the law. Our courts are said to be the most inefficient
institutions to be found in any country that can claim to be really civilized.

Whether merited or not, this severe criticism cannot be ignored much
longer by the legal profession. There is no question but that the present
rules of procedure are so numerous and complicated that shrewd lawyers
often find it possible to befog the issue and cheat justice, or delay it until it
has become worthless.

Few subjects have been more debated in the last few years than has
judicial reform. The people are demanding efficiency and promptness in
the administration of the law. Nothing can be done to meet this demand
unless both bench and bar co-operate in a sincere effort to remedy the evil.
Rules of procedure are absolutely necessary to the enforcement of the law.
The present systems are admitted to be far too complicated and involved. But
from what source are we to receive the ideal code of procedure, combining
simplicity, promptness and efficiency? The legal profession cannot afford to
sit back and complacently watch the present machinery of the law defeat
justice without raising a hand to remedy the evil. There is clearly a great
work to be done, and it cannot be done at one stroke; but much can be
accomplished by the honest co-operation of the bench and bar in an earnest
endeavor to simplify our present rules of procedure and thus aid the cause
of justice and add new glory to the profession.

The Government's success in several recent Sherman law prosecutions
calls attention to the record in "trust busting" established by Attorney-Gen-
eral Wickersham and his assistant, James A. Fowler. During the last four
years the Attorney-General and his assistant have filed eighty-one civil and
criminal anti-trust suits, exceeding by nineteen the total number of prosecu-
tions instituted by all his predecessors since the Sherman law was enacted in
1892. Seven anti-trust proceedings were begun in President Harrison's
administration, eight in President Cleveland's, three in President McKinley's
and forty-four in Roosevelt's.