



University of Kentucky
UKnowledge

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII
International Rangeland Congress

Cultivation in China Grassland: Angel or Devil?

L. Yang

Inner Mongolia University, China

X Y. Hou

Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China

Follow this and additional works at: <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc>



Part of the [Plant Sciences Commons](#), and the [Soil Science Commons](#)

This document is available at <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/21/19-1/17>

The XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII International Rangeland Congress took place in Hohhot, China from June 29 through July 5, 2008.

Proceedings edited by Organizing Committee of 2008 IGC/IRC Conference

Published by Guangdong People's Publishing House

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Cultivation in China grassland : angel or devil ?

L. Yang¹, X. Y. Hou²

¹School of Economics and Management, Inner Mongolia University, Hohhot, 010021, China, E-mail: ecomanager@sohu.com ²Department of Science and Technology Management, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, No. 12 Zhongguancun Nandajie, Beijing, 100081, China.

Key words : cultivation, sustainable development, the grassland counties

Introduction Cultivation in grassland destroyed grassland ecosystem, reduced grazing grassland, and increased grassland degradation. However, this work discovered that the relationship between legal cultivation and grassland sustainable development was complex.

Methods 299 grassland counties around China were studied, including all the counties where grassland played an important role in primary industry (Hou, et al., 2007). The grassland counties were divided into two groups according to two standards: $(C2-C1)/C1 > 50\%$; $C2 > 10$ ha (the C1 was the average of cultivated land in 1989, 1990 and 1991; the C2 was the average in 1999, 2000 and 2001): one group (CL) included 34 counties where cultivated land increased obviously, the other 265 counties were included into another group (NCL). CL and NCL were studied by ordination and analysis of variance using more than twenty social and economic indexes, including Total Population Year-End, Yield of Grain, Output of Pork Beef and Mutton Meat, Total Revenue, Gross Domestic Product, and so on.

Results and discussions Territory of CL averaged 16004 km², NCL's averaged 15954 km². In ordination results using the natural, social, and economic indexes, CL and NCL in Inner Mongolia Autonomy Region in 1992 mixed together, the same result in 1999. The results showed that the group of CL was not obviously different from NCL's. However, economy of CL developed more quickly than NCL's economy (Table 1). The indexes, for example Total Revenue and Revenue per Capita, of CL increased more quickly than NCL's. The results of analysis of variance did not prove that cultivation would constrain the economic development. At least, if cultivation had caused serious grassland degradation, the degradation did not influence income of rural residents and economic development of the CL counties. Moreover, cultivation did not influence rural residents' income and economic development of the CL counties in the long run. This work did not advocate illegal cultivation in grassland, because all the above data only involved the legal cultivated land, so degradation, if it happened, was caused not by legal cultivation, but by illegally cultivating grassland.

Table 1 Economic indexes of CL and NCL in different years.

	1990		1992			1996		1999			1992-1999		2002	
	APCDI	NRP	YG	OPBM	TR	APCDI	NRP	YG	OPBM	TR	IYGPP	ITRPP	OAH	NSG
CLN	34	33	33	33	33	34	33	33	33	33	33	33	34	34
NCLN	205	262	240	262	230	260	264	265	265	208	239	230	258	261
CLA	644.7	14.3	9.4	0.5	1596.3	1770.5	14.8	16.1	1.2	95541.6	223.1	228.9	14492.7	48.1
NCLA	589.1	10.7	10.4	0.6	1275.5	1206.8	11.2	12.2	1.0	69943.1	118.0	113.7	10165.4	31.7
Sig	0.55	0.12	0.75	0.31	0.28	0.00	0.14	0.39	0.35	0.00	0.16	0.01	0.12	0.00

Notes: CLN: Number of CL (unit), NCLN: Number of NCL (unit), CLA: Average of CL, NCLA: Average of NCL, Sig: P value of One-Way ANOVA. APCDI: Annual per Capita Disposable Income of Rural Residents (yuan), NRP: Number of Rural population (10 000 persons), YG: Yield Of Grain (10 thousands ton), OPBM: Output Of Pork Beef And Mutton Meat (10 thousands ton), TR: Total Revenue (10 thousands yuan), IYGPP: Increments of Yield Of Grain per Capita from 1992 to 1999 (kilogram/person), ITRPP: Increments of Revenue per Capita from 1992 to 1999 (yuan/person), OAH: Output of Animal Husbandry (10 thousands yuan), NSG: Number of Sheep and Goats (year-end, 10 000 heads).

Conclusions and discussions Economy of CL increased more quickly than NCL's during 1990-2000. The relation between cultivation and sustainable development in China grassland was much more complex than expected, more influence details of cultivation on grassland should be studied to make sure that cultivation increased or decreased the stress on grassland conservation. Moreover, this work did not support illegal cultivation in grassland which degraded grassland without question, because all the above data only came from the legal cultivated land.

References

Hou, X. Y., Yang, L., 2007. Classification and development of husbandry counties and semi-husbandry counties in China. Science & Technology Review 25(9): 21-25.