



University of Kentucky  
UKnowledge

---

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII  
International Rangeland Congress

---

## Development of a Grassland Property Rights System Must Confront the Fragile Ecosystem

Kanglong Luo  
*Jihou University, China*

AlaTanBaoLiGe  
*Inner Mongolia University, China*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc>



Part of the [Plant Sciences Commons](#), and the [Soil Science Commons](#)

This document is available at <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/21/19-1/12>

The XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII International Rangeland Congress took place in Hohhot, China from June 29 through July 5, 2008.

Proceedings edited by Organizing Committee of 2008 IGC/IRC Conference

Published by Guangdong People's Publishing House

---

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact [UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu](mailto:UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu).

## Development of a of grassland property rights system must confront the fragile ecosystem

Luo Kanglong<sup>1</sup> AlaTanBaoLiGe<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The History and Culture Department of Jishou University, <sup>2</sup>Inner Mongolia University

**Key words** : grassland property rights system , grassland ecosystem , herdsman , livestock , intensive

**Preface** At present , the definition of a grassland property rights system should include "intensive" or "extensive" , and this has launched a fierce debate both at home and abroad . Mongolians rely on the customary law that institutional ownership is the use of the grassland , it is based on the ecological symbiotic coupling of the herdsman and grassland , and building up the institutional guarantee . Such benefits from the use of customary law and ecological values have definitely the amount of reasonability and inevitability . Therefore , in the development of the current grassland property rights system we must not ignore or abandon this tradition .

**Materials and methods** We used anthropological field research methods , visited herdsman and grassroots officials , obtained advice from grassland experts , and accessed historical documents .

**Results and analysis** Grassland culture must define property rights as the basis for the prairie . Advocated by the "intensive" approach to define property rights , which is standing position on the non-grassland culture . They represent the views of either type of agricultural culture , or on behalf of cultural industries . Insist nomadic culture position , and strive to the traditional customary law to identify the use of the grassland ownership , the arguments on behalf of the other party . The authors believe that the traditional definition of property rights grassland customary law is not in fact "extensive ." New grassland property rights system is not the essence of the "intensive" and "extensive" , but lies in the maintenance of herdsman and grassland ecosystems of the coupling operation .

Defining property rights in any form , it must be based on a particular culture , and culture and the values inherent difference , and its value is only relative . As a result , defining grassland property rights if requested inter-cultural identity , cultural differences should be excluded from the interference and based on grassland ecosystems at the end of this ecological safety features and all arguments . Contemporary "intensive" property rights system is a kind of property rights system depends on cheap fossil energy input , and the privatization of land resources for the personal characteristics . There are four preconditions for its establishment : First , the constraints associated ecosystems of natural factors , its fluctuation range can not be too large . Second , the investment of maintenance of ecosystem steady continuation must be less than the cost in the use of resources . Third , the ecological system must have a high homogeneity in a larger context . Because of their relatively limited scope , we can rely on the community together to implement the system of co-management natural factors . Fourth , within the ecosystem of different geographic regions the difference is relatively small , and this can be relatively equal to share land resources among the members . These preconditions in the grasslands is very difficult to have , or even contrary .

The establishment of grassland property rights system , whose goal is to maintain the coupling operation between grassland ecosystems and herdsman . Herdsman live on "livestock" , (the material world and the spiritual world) , so we must first have the "livestock" . In order to be rich in "livestock" there must be grassland .

**Conclusions** Mongolia Construction of the Grassland property rights system must not be used for the so-called intensive farming , and should , as far as possible follow the original Mongolian traditional customary law . The state-owned grassland ownership should be implemented by the State to establish the corresponding monitoring and the use of the grassland management institutions . Only in this way , can the efficient use of the grassland ecosystem and safeguard the property rights system also be a powerful support .