



University of Kentucky  
UKnowledge

---

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII  
International Rangeland Congress

---

## Effects of Additives on the Alfalfa Fibrous Residues Silage Quality

Yanlin Xue

*Inner Mongolia Agricultural and Animal Sciences College, China*

Z. Yu

*China Agricultural University, China*

C. S. Bai

*Shenyang Agricultural University, China*

Q. F. Xu

*Shanxi Agricultural University, China*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc>



Part of the [Plant Sciences Commons](#), and the [Soil Science Commons](#)

This document is available at <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/21/15-2/49>

The XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII International Rangeland Congress took place in Hohhot, China from June 29 through July 5, 2008.

Proceedings edited by Organizing Committee of 2008 IGC/IRC Conference

Published by Guangdong People's Publishing House

---

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact [UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu](mailto:UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu).

## Effects of additives on the Alfalfa fibrous residues silage quality

Y. L. XUE<sup>1</sup>, Z. YU<sup>2</sup>, C. S. BAI<sup>3</sup>, Q. F. XU<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Grassland Sciences, Inner Mongolia Agricultural and Animal Sciences College, Huhhot, 010030, Inner Mongolia, China, E-mail: xueyanlin\_1979@163.com, <sup>2</sup> College of Animal Science and Technology, China Agricultural University, Beijing, 100094, China, <sup>3</sup> College of ecology, Shenyang Agricultural University, ShenYang, 110161, Liaoning, China, <sup>4</sup> College of Animal Science and Technology, Shanxi Agricultural University, TaiGu, 030801, Shanxi, China

**Key word :** Alfalfa fibrous residues (AFR), silage additives, fermentation quality

**Introduction** The utilization of alfalfa fibrous residues (AFR) after leaf protein extraction is important in that the fraction accounts for 50%-80% of the dry matter harvested in the whole alfalfa plant (Pirie N W, 1987). Considering the importance of AFR as a feed source and of the ensiling technique for the conservation of AFR, this experiment was undertaken to study the effect of ensiling additives on the quality of alfalfa fibrous residue (AFR) silage.

**Materials and methods** The third cutting alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L) was harvested at pre-bud stage and immediately disintegrated in a laboratory triturator. The AFR after leaf protein extraction was used to ensile with a number of different additive treatments, which were: sucrose (S, 2% fresh weight, FW), corn flour (CF, 5% FW); formic acid (FA, 0.2% FW), lactic acid bacteria (LAB,  $5 \times 10^5$  CFU/g FW), and a control without any additives. The three bagged AFR silages of each treatment were stored at room temperature and were sampled 60d for quality analysis.

**Results** The pH, NH<sub>3</sub>-N and NP-N contents of the silage treated with S, CF and FA were shown to be significantly lower than the control ( $p < 0.01$ ), but the CP content was not significantly different ( $p > 0.01$ ). There was no BA in the silage with the S, CF and FA treatments. The DM content in each treatment with additives was significantly higher than the control ( $p < 0.01$ ). In the LBA treatment, the pH, WSC, NP-N, NDF and ANF contents in the AFR silage showed no difference to the control.

**Table 1** The quality of the AFR silage.

Treatments	pH	LA g/kg DM	BA g/kg DM	NH <sub>3</sub> - N/TN %	DM g/kg	WSC g/kg DM	CP g/kg DM	NPN/ TN %	NDF g/kg DM	ADF g/kg DM
CK	4.73 <sup>a</sup>	49.2 <sup>c</sup>	5.7 <sup>b</sup>	9.48 <sup>a</sup>	208.2 <sup>c</sup>	7.1 <sup>c</sup>	152.3	58.22 <sup>ab</sup>	486.0 <sup>b</sup>	315.9 <sup>a</sup>
S	3.84 <sup>c</sup>	65.4 <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>	3.14 <sup>c</sup>	220.3 <sup>d</sup>	11.8 <sup>a</sup>	155.3	51.26 <sup>d</sup>	470.1 <sup>b</sup>	283.6 <sup>b</sup>
CF	4.30 <sup>b</sup>	84.8 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>	6.82 <sup>b</sup>	232.6 <sup>bc</sup>	6.8 <sup>c</sup>	151.0	52.75 <sup>c</sup>	524.1 <sup>a</sup>	26.90 <sup>b</sup>
FA	3.98 <sup>c</sup>	36.8 <sup>f</sup>	0 <sup>c</sup>	2.71 <sup>c</sup>	241.2 <sup>a</sup>	10.0 <sup>b</sup>	151.3	48.57 <sup>d</sup>	446.7 <sup>c</sup>	311.4 <sup>ab</sup>
LAB	4.75 <sup>a</sup>	41.6 <sup>d</sup>	8.0 <sup>a</sup>	6.61 <sup>b</sup>	228.5 <sup>c</sup>	7.1 <sup>c</sup>	149.2	55.84 <sup>bc</sup>	477.5 <sup>b</sup>	293.6 <sup>ab</sup>
SE	0.05	1.8	0.01	0.16	2.0	0.2	2.0	0.80	7.6	6.5

Note: Means in the same row with different letters differ significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ); CK: Control; S: 2% Sucrose; CF: 5% Corn flour; FA: 0.2% Formic acid; LAB: Lactic acid bacteria.

**Conclusions** The additives of S, CF, FA can improve the quality of AFR silage. The lowest pH, NH<sub>3</sub>-N, NP-N and NDF contents of AFR silage treated with formic acid meant that the formic acid can restrain the bad microorganism in the silage. Lactic acid bacteria did not improve silage quality.

### Reference

Pirie N W (1987). Leaf protein and its by-product in human and animal nutrition [M]. Cambridge: Cambridge university press, 125-138.