



University of Kentucky
UKnowledge

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII
International Rangeland Congress

Investigation on Effects of Phenological Stages and Species on Forage Quality of Rangelands (Iran)

Marzieh Mosayyebi
Islamic Azad University, Iran

Hossein Arzani
University of Tehran, Iran

Mohsen Maleki
Islamic Azad University, Iran

Follow this and additional works at: <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc>

 Part of the [Plant Sciences Commons](#), and the [Soil Science Commons](#)

This document is available at <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/21/15-2/28>

The XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII International Rangeland Congress took place in Hohhot, China from June 29 through July 5, 2008.

Proceedings edited by Organizing Committee of 2008 IGC/IRC Conference

Published by Guangdong People's Publishing House

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Investigation on effects of phenological stages and species on forage quality of rangelands(Iran)

Marzieh Mosayyebi¹, H. Arzani², M. Maleki³

¹member of scientific board of Islamic Azad University Ardabil Branch, ² Natural resources, Tehran University, ³ member of scientific board of Islamic Azad University Khalkhal Branch. E-mail: Mosayebimail@Yahoo.com

Key words : forage quality , phenological stages , crude protein , ADF , dry matter digestible , metabolizable energy

Introduction Forages are mainly used by livestock as a source of nutrition ; forage quality is defined as an expression of the characteristics that affect consumption , nutritive value , and the resulting animal performance . Many factors influence forage quality . The most important are forage species , and , stage of maturity at harvest . Secondary factors include soil fertility and fertilization , temperatures during forage growth , and variety . Among several factors , determinations of crude protein , digestible dry matter and metabolizable energy were considered more appropriate for evaluation range forage quality (Minson , 1987 ; Garza and Fulbright , 1988 ; Rhodes and Sharrow , 1990) .

Materials and methods This study was conducted in the Taleghan region located between 50°34'30" to 50°44'18" east longitude and 36°10'4" to 36°16'58" north latitude within an area of 1325 km² and average rainfall of 500mm . Five samples for each species were gathered in the vegetative and mature stage . For each sample ten individual plants were randomly selected and clipped from 1cm above ground . So in each phenological stage 50 individual plants for each species were clipped . Samples were dried at 60°C for 24 hours , then ground and analyzed . Forage quality was determined based on Crude protein percentage (CP) , Acid Detergent Fiber percentage (ADF) , Dry Matter Digestibility (DMD) , and Metabolizable energy (ME) . Nitrogen was measured by the micro kjeldehal technique (ADAC , 1980) using a kjeltec system . CP determined by the formula $CP = N \times 6.25$. ADF measured using method introduced by van Soest (1982) with a fibertec system . DMD estimated using the formula $DMD\% = 83.58 - 0.82ADF\% + 2.262N\%$ as suggested by Oddy et al . (1983) . ME predicted using the equation $M/D = 0.17 DMD\% - 2.0$ described by the standing committee on agriculture (1990) where M/D in mega joules (MJ) per kg of feed DM , applicable at the maintenance level of feeding .

Results The results of forage quality analysis show that *Diplotaenia cuchrydifolia* contained high metabolisable energy (10.67 MJ/kg DM) in the vegetative stage and *Agropyron tauri* contained the lowest amount of metabolisable energy (6.42 MJ/ kg DM) in the mature stage . In all species advancement of plant growth caused reduction in CP , DMD and ME content of forage and an increase of ADF percentage (Table 1) .

Table 1 Forage quality and of species at vegetative and maturity stages .

Species	Phonological stage	CP%		ADF%		DMD%		ME MJ/kg DM	
		Mean	Std	Mean	Std	Mean	Std	Mean	Std
<i>Agropyron trichoforum</i>	Vegetative	12.48	0.64	37.46	0.54	57.96	0.59	7.85	0.10
	Maturity	6.18	0.13	44.44	0.38	49.56	0.34	6.42	0.06
<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	Vegetative	16.68	0.81	33.42	0.22	63.05	0.43	8.72	0.07
	Maturity	3.97	0.13	39.96	0.31	52.32	0.28	6.89	0.05
<i>Hordeum bulbosum</i>	Vegetative	29.63	1.04	26.06	1.17	74.56	1.37	10.67	0.23
	Maturity	9.16	0.19	34.74	0.62	58.80	0.59	8.00	0.10
<i>lotus goebliia</i>	Vegetative	15.14	2.01	20.24	0.94	73.26	0.82	10.45	0.14
	Maturity	7.56	0.15	37.04	0.55	56.23	0.51	7.56	0.09
<i>Astragalus aegobromus</i>	Vegetative	13.26	0.38	32.36	1.91	62.49	1.65	8.62	0.28
	Maturity	9.29	0.18	36.64	0.43	57.29	0.42	7.74	0.07

The effect of phenological stages on CP , ADF and ME was significant ($p < 0.01$) in 2 stages (Table 2) . Among the species *Diplotaenia cuchrydifolia* and *Agropyron tauri* showed highest and lowest forage quality respectively . High percentage of CP , DMD , ME and low percentage of ADF were obtained from all species in vegetative stage of growth . In contrast at the mature stage CP , DMD and ME decreased and ADF increased .

Conclusions Determining forage quality available to grazing animals assist to achieve their most timely utilization , helps predict nutrient deficiencies and suggest supplementation needs . The stage of growth greatly affects forage quality . However in the mature stage forage quality sharply decreases and dietary deficiencies may result .

References

- CSIRO 1990 : Feeding standards for Australian livestock : ruminants . Standing Committee on Agriculture and Resource Management . Ruminants sub-committee . Melbourne , *CSIRO Publications* 266p .
 Oddy , V . H . , Robards , G . E . and Low , S . G . , 1983 . Prediction of Invivo Matter Digestibility from the Fiber Nitrogen Content of a Feed , In Feed Information and Animal Production , eds . G . E . Robards , and R . G . Pakham Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux , Australia . pp . 395-398 .

Table 2 Comparison of forage quality .

Source of variation	Degree of freedom	Sum squarely	Mean of squares	F values	P-value
Phenology	CP	1302.745	1302.745	56.446	0.00
	ADF	937.099	937.099	36.478	0.00
	ME	47.142	47.142	56.960	0.00
Error	CP	1107.816	23.079		
	ADF	1233.92	25.689		
	ME	39.727	0.82		
Total	CP	2410.561			
	ADF	2170.191			
	ME	86.869			