



University of Kentucky  
UKnowledge

---

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII  
International Rangeland Congress

---

## Dry Matter Production and Partition of Two Palatable Karoo Shrubs

P. J. Malan  
*University of the Free State, South Africa*

Hennie A. Snyman  
*University of the Free State, South Africa*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc>



Part of the [Plant Sciences Commons](#), and the [Soil Science Commons](#)

This document is available at <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/21/10-1/50>

The XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII International Rangeland Congress took place in Hohhot, China from June 29 through July 5, 2008.

Proceedings edited by Organizing Committee of 2008 IGC/IRC Conference

Published by Guangdong People's Publishing House

---

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact [UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu](mailto:UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu).

## Dry matter production and partition of two palatable Karoo shrubs

P.J. Malan, H.A. Snyman

Department of Animal, Wildlife and Grassland Sciences, P.O. Box 339, University of the Free State, Bloemfontein 9300, South Africa. E-mail: malanpj.sci@ufs.ac.za

**Key words:** edible, inedible, root production

**Introduction** It is taken for granted that Karoo shrubs (bushes) are well adapted to only the soils and climate of the Nama Karoo biome in South Africa. The easterly movement of Karoo vegetation into the Grassland biome (dominated by grasses) is however well documented, while ecosystems responding to global climate change are a new debate. The dry matter (DM) production and structure of two palatable shrubs from the Nama Karoo biome were evaluated on two different soil types.

**Material and methods** The plants *Nenax microphylla* and *Pentzia incana*, and one soil type were collected from Grootfontein Agricultural Development Institute at Middelburg Eastern Cape in the Nama Karoo biome (Valsrivier form-40% clay content, annual rainfall 365mm), while the other soil type was from Bloemfontein in the Grassland biome (Bainsvlei form-14% clay content, annual rainfall 530mm). One plant of each species was vegetatively multiplied by means of stem cuttings. This means that six clones of each species were used and therefore the different plants of each species were genetically identical. Three plants of each species were planted in each soil type in pots in a glasshouse. Pots were watered once a week with the same volume of water. Dry matter production and its partition (roots, inedible stems, edible stems and leaves) were determined by harvesting all plants destructively after six months of growth (Malan, 2000). Edible stems were those with a diameter of less than two millimeters (Du Toit, 1996).

**Results** The total DM production (above ground plus below ground) of *P. incana* was on average 58.97 g/plant on the Grassland soil and only 44.74 g/plant on the Karoo soil, while that of *N. microphylla* was also higher in the Grassland soil at 63.93 g/plant compared to 51.53 g/plant on the Karoo soil. The percentage contributions of different plant components was virtually the same for each species in both soil types, with *N. microphylla* producing a higher percentage of edible material than *P. incana*. Root development and production of both species was higher in the Grassland soil with the lower clay content (Table 1). Plants in the Grassland soil wilted earlier than those in the Karoo soil, which might be due to the higher clay content (40%) of the Karoo soil (Table 2). Even though the mineral content of the grassland soil was slightly lower (Table 2), it still showed the highest production. The higher soil pH level of the clay soil might inhibit the availability of the soil nutrients.

**Table 1** Percentage contribution of different plant components to average DM production per species, as well as the root/shoot ratio.

Species	Roots	Leaves and edible twigs(%)	Inedible twigs	Root/shoot ratio
Grassland soil				
<i>N. microphylla</i>	15	81	4	0.18
<i>P. incana</i>	17	73	10	0.20
Karoo soil				
<i>N. microphylla</i>	13	82	6	0.14
<i>P. incana</i>	12	76	12	0.16

**Table 2** Soil analysis results for the two soil types.

Ca	K	Mg	Na	P	Clay	pH
					(%)	
ppm						
Grassland soil (Bainsvlei form)						
486	200	154	254	22	14	6.1
Karoo soil (Valsrivier form)						
1908	320	900	320	11	40	7.8

**Conclusions** Surprisingly, plants generally grew better on the Grassland soil than on the Karoo soil, which might be ascribed to the better root development in the Grassland soil. The plants might persist longer in the Karoo soil with its higher clay content, which will enhance water retention, and higher nutritional value. Although a lower DM production in the Karoo soil over the short term, the tested plant species might over a longer period survive better in the Karoo soil. This study clearly indicated the adaptability of Karoo shrubs to different soil types which might be important for vegetation changes and movements due to global warming.

### References

- Du Toit, P.C.V., 1996. Karoobush defoliation in the arid Karoo. *Journal of Range Management* 49(2): 105-111.
- Malan, P.J., 2000. Selection and propagation of elite *Atriplex* material. MSc. Agric. Dissertation. University of Pretoria, South Africa, 21-58.