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significantly the estate tax structure. Meanwhile, Mr. Covey's mastery of his subject has been demonstrated and should help lawyers fulfill their duty of properly obtaining maximum marital deductions for their clients.

Frederick W. Whiteside, Jr.*

* Professor of Law,
University of Kentucky

BOOKNOTES*


This collection of materials developed out of a forum conducted by the Practising Law Institute, which brought together law professors, individuals representing various levels of government, and civil rights leaders. The conference produced a fruitful interchange of ideas concerning the problems presented to local governments by the civil rights movement. The discussions placed primary emphasis on the methods of resolving the grievances of minority groups within the framework of government by effective communication between the governmental agencies and various interest groups. The editors have selected the best of this material and compiled it, together with editorial comments, into a useful and timely presentation of the difficulties faced by community leaders. Of particular interest is the section dealing with the relation of the police to minority groups. A host of helpful suggestions contained in the work should prove invaluable to both cities and civil rights groups in their endeavors to eradicate injustice and to prevent violence.
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This book professes to be and is a broad survey of the field of labor law. Leaving technical, legal discussions to others, Mr. Knee uses his

* Booknotes are descriptive accounts of recent works in the legal field; they are usually written by student staff members. Treatment of a work in this section does not foreclose the possibility of more extended analysis in a subsequent formal book review.
background as a labor lawyer to aid him in explaining the basics of the field in a manner understandable to both the lawyer and the layman. The author adopts a chronological approach to the development of the labor movement, describing the needs of labor and management during each era and the corresponding responses of Congress and the courts. He explains the main provisions of the major federal laws and points out that while the purpose of these laws was to reach a balance of power between labor and management, either the desired balance has never been achieved or changing conditions have made necessary new evaluations of what is desirable. Therefore, more legislation will likely be forthcoming. The book concludes with Mr. Knee's personal observations on the practical effects of economic, psychological, and social influences on labor-management relations and his predictions as to what the future may hold for this fascinating and increasingly important area of the law.
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This book is part of continuing series of "Studies of the Criminal Process" conducted by Georgetown University's Institute of Criminal Law and Procedure. As a starting point, the Institute takes the Escobedo decision and traces the development of five post-Escobedo cases—Miranda, Vignera, Westover, Johnson, and Stewart—through the framework of appellate procedure. In a way, the book can be characterized as a compilation of source materials. It contains, inter alia, relevant extracts form the briefs and from Supreme Court transcripts of the oral arguments in the post-Escobedo cases. However, the contents are structured and organized so skillfully that they become far more than a mere collection of data. As succinctly stated in the preface: "A comparison of the briefs with the ultimate opinions in the cases indicates how the arguments are woven into the fabric of decision. The transcript of oral argument affords the opportunity to compare the Justices' informal thoughts and views with their formal opinions in Miranda and Johnson." This work, then, represents not only an effective research tool in its particular area, but an example of a sound methodology for approaching significant and complex legal issues.

FR