



University of Kentucky
UKnowledge

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII
International Rangeland Congress

Impacts of Grazing Management on Cattle Distribution and Non-Point Source Pollution from Pastures in the Central United States

M. Haan
Iowa State University

J. Russell
Iowa State University

D. Bear
Iowa State University

D. Morrical
Iowa State University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc>



Part of the [Plant Sciences Commons](#), and the [Soil Science Commons](#)

This document is available at <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/21/7-1/12>

The XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII International Rangeland Congress took place in Hohhot, China from June 29 through July 5, 2008.

Proceedings edited by Organizing Committee of 2008 IGC/IRC Conference

Published by Guangdong People's Publishing House

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Impacts of grazing management on cattle distribution and non-point source pollution from pastures in the central United States

M. Haan, J. Russell, D. Bear, and D. Morrical
Iowa State University, Dept. of Animal Science, 337 Kildee Hall, Ames, IA, USA 50011.
E-mail: mhaan@iastate.edu

Key words : grazing , cattle behavior , water quality , GPS

Introduction There are concerns that cattle tend to congregate in riparian areas which are highly susceptible to environmental damage , resulting in impaired water quality from stream bank erosion and manure deposition (CAST ,2002) . Few studies have evaluated the effects of grazing management on water quality in the humid Midwestern region of the USA . Climate , topography , forage species , and management practices may influence animal behavior , as it relates to use of riparian areas and the subsequent impacts of grazing on stream bank erosion and water quality . The objective of the current study was to evaluate the effects of grazing management , the availability of off-stream water sources , and micro-climate on the temporal/spatial distribution of cattle in pastures with streams .

Materials and methods Six 12.1-ha cool-season grass pastures , each bisected by a 196 meter stream segment , were grouped into 2 blocks and assigned one of three grazing management treatments . Treatments included : continuous stocking with unrestricted stream access , continuous stocking with stream access restricted to a 4.9-meter wide crushed rock crossing , and 5-paddock rotational stocking with one paddock in the riparian zone . Each pasture was stocked with 15 fall-calving Angus cows from mid-May through mid-October in 2005 , 2006 , and 2007 .

Cattle distribution patterns were monitored by visual observation and with GPS collars (AgTraX™-BlueSky Telemetry , Aberfeldy , Scotland) . During visual observations , cattle distribution patterns and activity were monitored from 0600 to 1800 hours on two consecutive days from May through September of 2005 through 2007 . One cow per pasture was fitted with a GPS collar for 2 weeks per month from May through September in 2006 and 2007 . Collars recorded cattle position every 10 minutes 24 hours per day . The effects of off-stream water on cattle distribution was evaluated by providing access to off-stream water to cows during the second week in which cows were fitted with GPS collars in May , July , and September . Off-stream waters were located a minimum distance of 220 meters from the stream on both sides of the stream . Cattle location was defined as within stream , 0 to 34 meters from the stream , 34 to 68 meters from the stream , and greater than 68 meters from the stream .

Results and discussion Based on both visual observation and GPS collar data , cattle managed by continuous stocking with unrestricted stream access spent a greater ($P < 0.05$) proportion of time in and within 34 meters of the stream than did cattle managed by continuous stocking with restricted stream access or rotational stocking . Cattle in unrestricted stream access pastures spent an average of 6.1% of the time within the stream and an additional 15.7% of the time within 34 meters of the stream over the 3 grazing seasons , based on visual observation data . Cattle managed by rotational stocking spent 0.3 and 3.5% of the time in and within 34 meters of the stream , respectively , while cattle managed by continuous stocking with restricted stream access spent 1.2 and 0.8% of the time in or within 34 meters of the stream , respectively , based on visual observation . The proportions of time cattle spent in or within 34 meters of the stream estimated by GPS collars were 1.2 and 10.6% in pastures managed by unrestricted stream access . The difference between visual observation and GPS collar data is likely caused by the visual observations being conducted during daylight hours only , while GPS collar data is collected 24 hours per day . With warmer temperatures during daylight hours , cattle are more likely to congregate near the stream in an attempt to regulate body temperature .

In 2006 , the presence of an off-stream water source decreased ($P < 0.05$) the proportion of time cattle spent within the stream by approximately half when cattle had unrestricted stream access . A similar effect was not observed in 2007 , possibly because of differences in the presence of natural off-stream water sources associated with precipitation between the two years .

At higher ambient temperatures , cattle distribution patterns within pastures were altered as cattle attempted to regulate body temperatures . At ambient temperatures above 27°C , the proportion of time cattle spent within 34 meters of the stream increased ($R^2 = 0.85$) when cattle were managed by continuous stocking with unrestricted stream access .

Conclusions The amount of time cattle spend in or near pasture streams may be reduced with improved grazing management that alter cattle behavior ; this alteration in cattle distribution may result in positive water quality impacts .

Reference

Council for Agricultural Science and Technology (CAST) . 2002 . Environmental impacts of livestock on U.S. grazing lands . Issue Paper 22 . Ames , IA .