



University of Kentucky
UKnowledge

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII
International Rangeland Congress

Restoration Technologies to Improve the Grazing Capacity of Degraded Arid-and Semi-Arid Rangelands in South Africa

Klaus Kellner
North-West University, South Africa

Loraine van den Berg
Grootfontein Agricultural Development Institute, South Africa

Follow this and additional works at: <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc>



Part of the [Plant Sciences Commons](#), and the [Soil Science Commons](#)

This document is available at <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/21/6-1/28>

The XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII International Rangeland Congress took place in Hohhot, China from June 29 through July 5, 2008.

Proceedings edited by Organizing Committee of 2008 IGC/IRC Conference

Published by Guangdong People's Publishing House

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Restoration technologies to improve the grazing capacity of degraded arid-and semi-arid rangelands in South Africa

Klaus Kellner, Loraine van den Berg

School of Environmental Sciences and Development, North-West University, Potchefstroom, South Africa, 2520. E-mail: Klaus.Kellner@nwu.ac.za, Grootfontein Agricultural Development Institute, Private Bag X529, Middelburg Eastern Cape, South Africa, 5900. E-mail: LoraineVDB@nda.agric.za

Key words: Passive and active, monitoring, reference/benchmark sites

Introduction About 80% of the total land area of South Africa is regarded as rangelands of which most are arid-or semi-arid. It is estimated that approximately 66% of the rangelands are moderately to severely degraded (Snyman, 1988) and many have passed the thresholds of self recovery. Once irreversible transitions have occurred, restoration practices have to be implemented to assist the recovery of these degraded ecosystems (SER, 2002). In most cases, the general aims of restoration is to increase the biodiversity for higher resilience, increase the vegetation cover to combat erosion and to improve the production potential for a higher grazing capacity (Bakker, *et al.* 1996; Van den Berg & Kellner, 2005). Restoration procedures include both active (burning, clearing, re-seeding and cultivation) and passive technologies (withdrawal of livestock/game) (Milton & Dean, 1995). All these technologies are very complex and the connection between ecological succession and ecosystem goods and services over time have to be addressed. The challenge is to investigate which technologies are most suitable for mitigating the poor environmental conditions, especially low rainfall and anthropogenic impacts that are responsible for the degradation in different livestock production systems.

Materials and methods Depending on the degree of degradation selected restoration technologies were introduced in the three main types of land-use systems found in South Africa, i.e. commercial, communal and game/conservation. In bare, denuded and heavily degraded areas, active technologies were applied, which included one or a combination of certain cultivation methods to increase the water use efficiency, re-seeding with indigenous, ecotype specific species, covering the area by brush (woody twigs) and the application of organic material to improve the soil structure and fertility. Where vegetation cover was still present, passive technologies were applied which means that grazing by livestock was controlled or withheld in exclosures. The success of the restoration experiments were assessed against selected reference or benchmark sites.

Results and discussions Depending on the degree of degradation and the land-use system, vegetation cover and density of especially high palatable, perennial species increased by > 50% in sites that were actively restored and the grazing capacity improved by > 60%, especially in communal managed systems that were formerly highly degraded and subsequently withheld from grazing. The dry matter (DM) production of grass species increased by > 60% and the biodiversity improved by > 30%, depending on the condition of the surrounding vegetation and habitat. Monitoring took place over a period of 5 years and compared to the reference/benchmark sites. The soil type and rainfall, before and during the restoration activities, and type of plants species used in re-seeding activities, influenced the success of the restoration activity.

Conclusions The aim of restoration will determine which type of technology to apply in the different land-use types. Proper management of restoration activities will contribute to the success and long-term sustainability of the restored site. The sites and results are used as demonstration plots to make farmers aware of land degradation, desertification and the application of restoration practices and to apply more sustainable rangeland management practices in the long-term.

References

- Milton, S.J., Dean, W.R.J. 1995. South Africa's arid and semiarid rangelands: why are they changing and can they be restored? *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 37, 245-264.
- Bakker, J.P., Poschlod, P., Strydstra, R.J., Bekker, R.M., Thompson, K. 1996. Seed banks and seed dispersal: important topics in restoration ecology. *Acta Botanica Neerlandica* 45 (4), 461-490.
- SER (Society for Ecological Restoration International) 2002. *The SER Primer on Ecological Restoration*. [Web:] <http://www.ser.org/>
- Snyman, H.A. 2003. Revegetation of bare patches in a semi-arid rangeland of South Africa: an evaluation of various techniques. *Journal of Arid Environments* 55, 417-432.
- Van den Berg, L., Kellner, K. 2005. Restoring degraded patches in a semi-arid rangeland of South Africa. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 61(3), 497-511.