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Dear Jane,

Thanks for your letter of October 5th. We have by this time gone well over the 18 votes (21) in favor of adopting the proposed Constitution and By-Laws of the Southeastern Chapter. I agree that this is certainly sufficient to declare both adopted.

As you know, prior to this time, there was no necessity for billing members for dues since we received our "cut" from National. However, under the new Constitution and By-Laws a billing procedure will have to be established. This raises two questions:

1. What billing form should be used so as to distinguish members from non-members of A.A.L.L.?

2. What membership listing should be used in billing for dues?

I would like to submit the following for your approval. In order to make the billing procedure less complicated would it not be permissible to send out a standard bill on which we list two rates as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Member of A.A.L.L.</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Member of A.A.L.L.</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As for the membership list - I have the listing which I submitted to National last year which listing is composed of those who answered our inquiry as to interest in the Southeastern Chapter and those who attended the Chapel Hill meeting. Since we used this list last year for obtaining refund it would seem that it is the logical membership listing for the Chapter for dues. Can you think of any group other than those who evinced interest and those who attended the meeting who should be included? This list I have now, of course, includes members of library staffs who might attend meetings and were so listed by their librarian. Shall we take this as evidence of their membership?
I am sorry to have to bother you with questions but under the circumstances our "hashing out" must be done at long distance.

In answer to your question concerning the next meeting it seems to me that if we could take care of the situation without the problem that confronted us last year we would do well to meet with the Law Teachers in Kentucky. However, if this cannot be worked out it is probably best for us to go ahead and meet in Atlanta.

I hope that the new Law Librarian at Georgia can be persuaded to join Southeastern. Do you know of any other new ones and should we contact any newcomers in the area as to their interest in Southeastern?

This letter will probably take six cents if I don't stop.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Sarah Leverette