



University of Kentucky
UKnowledge

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

21st International Grassland Congress / 8th
International Rangeland Congress

Soil Factors Affecting the Distribution of Four Salt Tolerant Range Plants in Eastern Azarbaijane Province (Gharakhlar)

A. Mokhtari Asal
Islamic Azad University, Iran

Sh. Rastegar
Islamic Azad University, Iran

S. Kh. Mahdavi
Islamic Azad University, Iran

M. R. Sadeghimanesh
Islamic Azad University, Iran

Follow this and additional works at: <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc>



Part of the [Plant Sciences Commons](#), and the [Soil Science Commons](#)

This document is available at <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/21/1-3/8>

The 21st International Grassland Congress / 8th International Rangeland Congress took place in Hohhot, China from June 29 through July 5, 2008.

Proceedings edited by Organizing Committee of 2008 IGC/IRC Conference

Published by Guangdong People's Publishing House

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Soil factors affecting the distribution of four salt tolerant range plants in eastern Azarbaijane province (Gharakhtar)

A .Mokhtari Asal¹ Sh .Rastegar² ,S . Kh .Mahdavi³ M .R .Sadeghi manesh⁴

¹ Ph.D student of Range Management in Islamic Azad University-Science and Researches Branch ,Tehran-Iran , member of young researchers club

² Ph.D student of Range Management in Islamic Azad University-Science and Researches Branch ,Tehran

Introduction Correct management of a range land is on the basis of ecological principals . Understanding the ecological processes is the main term of management Mesdaghi (2002) . Environmental variables consist of complex reactions between environmental variables and plants (canopy cover and density) Jangman (et al , 1987) . Various plant types have correlation with soil types . Zahran (et al , 1992) said that in saline areas salt , soil texture and organic carbon are the most important factors in distribution of plant communities . The results showed that index plants were the presidents of ecological soil factors . Layon and Sagers (2003) in misiyory of United states , by using ordination method (CCA , DCA) , came to the conclusion that there is a little correlation between plant vegetation . The goal of this research is determination of relationship between four saline range plants parameters (canopy cover and density) and physico-chemical soil factors to develop range lands , managing the vegetation and conserving the soil and water .

Materials and methods The investigation was undertaken in the part of sub-basin of Ghatoor Chai River , eastern Azarbaijan state in the north eastern of Iran .Mokhtari (2005) . Plant communities analyzed by topographic map (scale : 1/50000) , then in each community introducer site selected . According to the vegetation changes and the goal of research 10 transect with 50 meters long and 100 meters distance from each other lay down in each community . The way of sampling was random-systematic . In each transect the length of each plant's canopy cover recorded . For measuring the density of plants , the way of point-center quarterwas selected , besides soil sample supplied . In each transect soil dug through the depth of 60 cm , samples were removed from 0-20cm , 20-40cm and 40-60cm . In laboratory soil acidity , Electrical conductivity , sodium in saturated extract , (Ca + Mg in saturated exacted) , ratio of absorbed Na (Na .A .R .) , percentage of saturated salts (PSW) and soil moisture calculated in a way of weight .

Results The results of multiple regression analysis of investigating the relation between four index saline range plants and soil factors showed in Tables 1 and 2 .

Conclusions According to the results , there is a close relation between physico-chemical soil factors and density and cover percentage of the predominant plants . The results of multivariable regression showed that *Halocnemum strobilaceum* had direct relation with moisture of the soil , so distributed in the places that ground water was high . *Salsola dendroides* had direct relation with Na from other soil factors ; this was because of high resistance of this plant to the salt of the soil . pH , clay and Na had effect on the distribution of the *Atriplex veruciferum* . Direct relation of this plant with clay percentage was due to the presence of this plant in the heavy and high PH . The weak or none relation of this plant with soil factors in the first layer may be because of the structure of their roots . *Aeluropus littoralis* had inverted relation with the absorbed Na , this was due to the low resistance of this plant to salt compared to the other species . This result confirmed the results of other researchers (Jafari , 2004) , (Zare Chahooki , 2001) , (Mirmohammadi et al , 2002) . According to the relation between density and vegetation percentage with soil features can determine that predominant plant vegetation of the majority of soils with S .A .R .E .C and Na was *Halocnemum strobilaceum* and *Salsola dendroides* . This result was the same as Mirmohammadi (et al , 2002) and Alakh & Rdif (1988) .

Table 1 Step by step multi regression of different species density

Species	Depth	Soil factor	R ²	Equation
Hal-str	0-20	moisture	75.4	Y = -187 + .135moisture
	20-40	moisture	70.2	Y = 1154 + .151moisture
	40-60	moisture	46.9	Y = -.977 + .115 moisture
Sal-den	0-20	-	-	-
	20-40	-	-	-
	40-60	Na	84.9	Y = 190 + 0.824 Na
Atr-ver	0-20	-	-	-
	20-40	Clay , S .A .R	94.4	Y = 2358 + 122 clay - 31.9 S .A .R .
	40-60	moisture	32	Y = 0.056 - 184moisture
Aelu-litt	0-20	Ca + Mg	32.1	Y = 311 - 1.72(Ca + Mg)
	20-40	-	-	-
	40-60	Loam , EC , moisture	83.1	Y = 1157 + 14.4 silt - 2.6 EC - 4.77 moisture

Table 2 Step by step multi regression of different species canopy cover

Species	Depth	Soil factor	R ²	Equation
Hal-str	0-20	Moisture and sand	71	-Y = -12.1 + 56.1 moisture + 0.381 sand
	20-40	Moisture	29.1	Y = 5 + 0.961 moisture
	40-60	Moisture	21.2	Y = 4.8 + 0.775 moisture
Sal-den	0-20	Na , Ca + Mg , Clay	73.4	Y = 3.38 + 0.00517Na - 0.132Ca + Mg + 0.341 clay
	20-40	-	-	-
	40-60	Na	79.5	-Y = 0.642 + 0.00310Na
Atr-ver	0-20	pH	27	-Y = 156 + 19.8 pH
	20-40	Clay , S .A .R	97.3	Y = 2.58 + 0.728 clay - 0.653 S .A .R
	40-60	Moisture and Clay	64	Y = 54.5 - 1.54 moisture + 0.0365 clay
Aelu-litt	0-20	S .A .R , PSW	64.4	Y = 1.95 - 0.109S .A .R + 0.116 PSW
	20-40	pH	17.6	Y = 7.25 - 0.737 pH
	40-60	S .A .R .	30.9	Y = 1.66 - 0.00579S .A .R .