

Differential gene expression patterns of male sterile lines of Alfalfa hybrids at bud differentiation stage and heterosis

Cai Liyan¹, Shi Fengling^{2*}, Gao Cuiping², Yi Fengyan³, Gao Xiia¹

¹Inner Mongolia Academy of Forestry Monitoring, Hohhot, China

²Inner Mongolia Agriculture University, Hohhot, China

³Inner Mongolia Academy of Agricultural and Animal Husbandry Sciences, Hohhot, China

*Corresponding author e-mail: gcp1978@126.com

Keywords: Alfalfa, cDNA-AFLP, Heterosis, Male sterile lines

Introduction

Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) is important legume forage that is widely cultivated in China and other countries. Alfalfa breeding can't meet the need of production now, highlighted in yield and resistance of current alfalfa cultivars (He *et al.*, 2000; Wei *et al.*, 2007). Increasing heterozygosity of hybrids and thus the heterosis is a way to breed alfalfa cultivars with high yield and resistance (Hong *et al.*, 2009). The objective of this study was to investigate the correlations of various differential gene expression patterns with forage yield in order to better understand the role the alfalfa male sterile lines may play in the heterosis of their progeny.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials: An incomplete diallel cross (NC II) was used to investigate the performance of the hybrids and their correlations with gene expression patterns present, where eight male sterile lines of alfalfa as female and four alfalfa cultivars with high yield as male parents were crossed and 32 combinations were generated. Randomized block design was conducted to compare the yields of parents and hybrids produced. The forage yield was then measured in the following year, and the cross combinations were grouped according to their hay yield of hybrids and their respective heterosis-based performance. 2 superior and 2 less superior combinations were chosen differential gene expression analysis.

Primers and adaptors for cDNA-AFLP analysis: The primers and adaptors (Bachem *et al.*, 1996) were synthesized by the Shanghai Bio-Engineering Co., Ltd.

Statistical analysis: All the differentially displayed cDNA bands between 100 and 1,000bp were scored. According to the presence of the band in the parents and/or F₁ progeny, differential expressions of a cDNA-AFLP marker were arranged to different patterns. Numbers of fragments in every differential pattern of each cross combination were calculated for statistics. For each differential pattern of all cross combinations, the set of numbers of fragments as a whole was used as one variety. Correlation analysis of each two differential patterns was evaluated with the average of performance and mid-parent heterosis of forage yield traits was carried out.

The mid-parent heterosis = [(F₁/ the average of parents)/ the average of parents] × 100%. The correlation analysis was analyzed by SAS 9.0.

Results and Discussion

Patterns of differentially expressed fragments: Six differential expression patterns were observed according to the presence of the band among F₁ and its two parents. They were defined as follow: (1) UNF₁: markers only expressed in F₁. (2) ABF₁: markers expressed in both parents but not in F₁. (3) UNP₁: markers expressed only in maternal parent. (4) UNP₂: markers expressed only in paternal parent. (5) DMP₁: markers expressed in only maternal parent and F₁. (6) DMP₂: markers expressed in only paternal parent and F₁.

Differentially expressed fragments in superior and less superior combinations: The best fourteen informative primer combinations out of the 64 (E₂M₁, E₂M₅, E₃M₁, E₃M₂, E₃M₃, E₃M₇, E₃M₈, E₄M₄, E₄M₇, E₅M₂, E₇M₂, E₇M₅, E₇M₆, E₇M₈) were used in selective amplification for cDNA-AFLP analysis. Approximately 2336 fragments with molecular weight of 100~1000bp were detected among the F₁s and parents in at least one cross. 2028 (or 86.82%) fragments were detectable

in both replicates, and 411(or 20.27%) were polymorphic. 65.21% polymorphic bands were observed in superior crosses while 34.79% in less-superior crosses.

Correlations between differential patterns and hybrid performance of forage yield traits: Correlation analysis showed that: (1) significant positive correlation was detected between UNF₁ and the hay yield, branch number, diameter of main stem and node number). (2) UNP₂ was only positively correlated to the plant height ($r=0.9647$). (3) DMP₁ was positively correlated to the branch number ($r=0.9662$). (4) ABF₁, UNP₁ and DMP₂ were not correlated to the performance of all forage yield related traits.

Correlations between differential patterns and mid-parent heterosis of forage yield traits: Correlation coefficients between 6 differential gene patterns and the mid-parent heterosis of forage yield traits were listed in Table 4. Correlation analysis showed that: (1) significant positive correlations were detected between UNF₁ and hay yield, plant height as well as branch number. (2) significant positive correlations were observed between DMP₁ and plant height, branch number as well as node number. (3) significant positive correlations were detected between ABF₁, and node number. (4) UNP₁, UNP₂ and DMP₂ were not correlated to the any of the traits related to heterosis.

UNP₁ and DMP₂ were not correlated to the mid-parent heterosis based on the forage yield-related traits, while UNF₁ positively correlated to the mid-parents heterosis based on hay yield, and DMP₁ and UNF₁ positively correlated to the mid-parents heterosis based on branch number. It can be also speculated that alfalfa hay yields and branch number and the corresponding heterosis may be controlled by the same genes. However, the genes controlling plant height, diameter of main stem and node number may be different from the genes controlling their heterosis.

Conclusion

The results indicated that there were 411 differential gene expression fragments and 6 differential gene expression patterns by 14 informative primer combinations. Significant correlations between bands expressed in hybrids and the performance of forage yield were observed while lower correlations were found between bands expressed in only one parent as well as the F₁ and forage yield. Our study also showed that bands expressed only in male parent were in favor of the forage performance or heterosis.

References

- Bachem, C.W. B., R. S. Hoevan and Z. M. Bruijn. 1996. Visualization of differential gene expression using a novel method of RNA finger printing based on AFLP: analysis of gene expression during potato tuber development. *Plant Journal* 9: 745-753.
- He, F. Y., J. H. Wang and M. G. Hu. 2000. Analysis of sustainable development of alfalfa industry in Hexi corridor. *Pratacultural Science* 17(5): 41-44, 48.
- Hong Fuzeng. 2009. *Alfalfa Sinica*. Beijing: China Agriculture Press, pp: 285-289.
- Wei Zhenwu, F. U. Xin and C. A. O. Zhizhong. 2007. Forage yield component and growth characteristic- s of *Medicago sativa*. *Acta Prataculturae Science* 16(4): 1-8.

Acknowledgement

The work was supported with the Chinese Foundation of Natural Science (31060321) and Inner Mongolia Foundation of Natural Science (2010zd22).