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April 18, 1939

Honorable Dillard S. Gardner, Librarian
Supreme Court Library
Raleigh, North Carolina

Dear Mr. Gardner:

Enclosed is what I hope is the final draft of the Index to Addenda Materials in The North Carolina Reports. I am sorry I could not get it to you before, but changing the Annotation pagination to the official pagination required in many instances the locating of old official reports; because very often the material in the appendix of the Annotated Reports was not numbered according to the official reports.

I have included on page 10 the Resolution on the death of J. L. Taylor as found in 16 N. C. 308, with a footnote stating that this is an unsigned Resolution. It appears in M. Gulley's list of Resolutions, 206 N. C. 938, in which Mr. Gulley gives T. S. Kenan as the author. Since the enclosed list does not index the Resolutions, this item does not properly belong in this list, especially since it appears in Mr. Gulley's list. But I am perfectly willing for it to appear in this list if the judge thinks it should, and if Mr. Gulley's list giving Mr. Kenan as author is sufficient authority for this list giving him as author. If you think it should be left in, all right, and if you think the footnote should be cut out, please cut it out.

I have carefully checked each reference in the final list, and feel sure that it is accurate this time.

I am sorry I could not get this material back to you sooner, but it took a long time to ascertain the original pagination of the old reports. I hope it is not too late for the 214 Reports.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Helen Malloy Lumphkin
Legal Bibliography
Chapel Hill Subject

Chapel Hill, April 2.—The compilation of a bibliography of legal publications in North and South Carolina was the main topic of discussion at the spring meeting of the Carolina Law Librarians at the University here yesterday.

Following a luncheon at the Carolina Inn, the group held its business meeting at the home of Miss Lucile Elliott, law librarian at Chapel Hill. Sarah Leverette, University of South Carolina, chairman, presided.

It was decided that the next meeting would be held at Columbia, S. C., next fall.

Those attending included Miss Leverette and Jean Sims, University of South Carolina; Marianna Long, Madeline Copeland, Katherine Day and Mrs. John Graves, all of Duke University; Dillard Gardner, North Carolina Supreme Court Librarian; Miss Eula Myers, Charlotte Bar Library; Elton Edwards, Greensboro Bar Library, and Miss Elliott, Ruth Corry and Mary Oliver, all of the University of North Carolina Library.
QUESTIONNAIRE REGARDING TIME OF NEXT MEETING

In order to determine what time will be most convenient for the next meeting of the Carolina Law Library Association, I would like to submit several alternatives, with the understanding that any one of these times is perfectly satisfactory to the Law Library staff at Duke University. Inasmuch as one of the symposia (Symposium of Woman and Contemporary Life) in the series being given here this year will be held on March 31st and April 1st, we have thought that it might be of interest to some of our members to have our meeting held so that attendance could be combined with attendance at the symposium. I am, therefore, mentioning three dates, the first on the morning of Friday, March 31st, so as to combine with the first part of the program of the symposium which begins in the afternoon of this day. The other two dates suggested are merely afternoons toward the end of the succeeding week.

In order to secure information with respect to the wishes of the members I will appreciate it if you will answer the following questions.

1. Which of the following dates do you prefer?
   a. Friday morning, March 31st
   b. Thursday afternoon, April 6th
   c. Friday afternoon, April 7th

2. If you have no preference will you be able to attend if the meeting is held at any one of the three times above indicated?

3. Will it be inconvenient to you, or will you be unable to attend if the meeting is held at any of the times above suggested?

Please return this questionnaire as soon as possible.

(Name)

Feb. 14, 1939.