



***Albizia procera* Based Silvipastoral System: An Ideal Alternate Land Use System for Sustainable Forage Production in Semi-Arid Region**

Inder Dev

Central Agroforestry Research Institute, India

S. K. Dhyani

Central Agroforestry Research Institute, India

K. B. Sridhar

Central Agroforestry Research Institute, India

Asha Ram

Central Agroforestry Research Institute, India

D. R. Palsaniya

Indian Grassland and Fodder Research Institute, India

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc>



Part of the [Plant Sciences Commons](#), and the [Soil Science Commons](#)

This document is available at <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/23/2-6-2/8>

The 23rd International Grassland Congress (Sustainable use of Grassland Resources for Forage Production, Biodiversity and Environmental Protection) took place in New Delhi, India from November 20 through November 24, 2015.

Proceedings Editors: M. M. Roy, D. R. Malaviya, V. K. Yadav, Tejveer Singh, R. P. Sah, D. Vijay, and A. Radhakrishna

Published by Range Management Society of India

Presenter Information

Inder Dev, S. K. Dhyani, K. B. Sridhar, Asha Ram, D. R. Palsaniya, R. K. Tewari, Ramesh Singh, Rupali Tiwwari, Madhulika Srivastava, Mahendra Singh, A. R. Uthappa, and P. Rai

***Albizia procera* based silvipastoral system: An ideal alternate land use system for sustainable forage production in semi-arid region**

Inder Dev¹, S. K. Dhyani¹, K. B. Sridhar¹, Asha Ram¹, D. R. Palsaniya², R. K. Tewari¹, Ramesh Singh¹, Rupali Tiwari¹, Madhulika Srivastava¹, Mahendra Singh¹, A. R. Uthappa¹, P. Rai¹,

¹Central Agroforestry Research Institute (CAFRI) Jhansi 284 003 (U.P) India, Jhansi, India

²ICAR-Indian Grassland and Fodder Research Institute, Jhansi, India

*corresponding author e-mail : drinderdev@gmail.com

Keywords: Agroforestry, *Albizia*, Biomass, Livestock, Silvipasture

Introduction

India's economy is agro-based and about 69% of the total population depends on it for their livelihood (GoI, 2013). Livestock is the integral component of Indian agriculture since time immemorial. Its contribution to national economy through milk, meat, wool as well as farmyard manure is enormous. India has the largest number of livestock, representing over 17% of the world. Among four important species of livestock, cattle represent over 43% of the population followed by buffaloes (19%), goats (26%) and sheep (10%). The share of livestock reared is highest in marginal followed by small and semi-medium land holders implying that marginal holders and small land holders are playing seminal role in development of livestock sector in country. The productivity of livestock and growth of animal husbandry are closely linked with the biomass and quality of forages. Currently there has been radical change in realising the importance of forages in integrated farming system, crop diversification, watershed management, restoration of degraded lands and climate resilient agriculture. Grasslands represent some 70% of global agricultural land area; unfortunately as much as 35% of the grasslands are degraded. The insufficient fodder availability has adversely affected all the three systems of livestock production. Silvipasture systems is an integrated approach of growing ideal combinations of grasses, legumes and trees for higher land productivity, conserving biodiversity and nutrients and producing forage, timber and firewood from a single unit area on a sustainable basis. The trees and shrubs used in silvipasture are used primarily to produce fodder for livestock. Looking at the enormous production potential of the silvipastoral systems, it is pertinent to introduce these in the arid and semi-arid regions so that large area of wasteland which is not suitable for crop production can be used for of fodder and biomass production. Dev *et al.* (2014) observed significant impact of participatory silvipastoral intervention and soil conservation measures for forage resource enhancement in western Himalaya. The study aims to present the suitability of silvipastoral systems in detail and advocate the extensive use of silvipasture in semi-arid regions for higher production.

Materials and Methods

The study was carried out at the research farm of Central Agroforestry Research Institute, Jhansi (Uttar Pradesh), India during 2007-2013 in an ongoing experiment on "Initiation of pruning and its intensity on productivity of *Albizia procera* based silvipastoral system". The site of experimental field was situated at 25° 30' - 25° 32' N latitude and 78° 32' – 78° 34' E longitudes at an altitude of 272 m. Annual rainfall of the area ranged from 700-1150 mm. The potential evaporation was quite high in the range of 1400-1700 mm with moisture index value of – 40 to – 50. The soil of experimental site was intermixed red and black soil covered under the order of alfisols (*Rakkar* soil). The soil pH was 6.5. The experiment on initiation of pruning and its intensity on productivity of *A. procera* based silvipastoral system was initiated during the year 2006 with plantation of *A. procera* saplings during August and the pasture component (*Chrysopogon fulvus* and *Stylosanthes seabrana*) was established during July-August, 2007. *A. procera* was pruned (25, 50 and 75% intensity) in 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th and 6th year pruning initiation treatments. Grass species was planted at a distance of 100 cm x50 cm, while legume component was sown in between the rows of *C. fulvus*. The experiment was laid out in split plot design and replicated thrice.

Results and Discussion

Tree component: The growth and biomass potential of tree component and understorey pasture component was assessed over the years. Data about growth and biomass potential (Table 1 and Figure 1) reveals that the survival was 94% (2007),

which decreased upto 72% over the years, as the experimental area was totally a wasteland and rocky. *A. procera* attained an average height of 1.90 m (2007) and increased upto 14.4 m in 2013. Significant increase was observed in diameter at breast height (DBH) over the years, which increased from 1.98 cm (2007) to 15.15 cm (2013). Leaf fodder production was recorded as 0.15 (2007) and 1.35 D.W t ha⁻¹ (2013).

Pasture component: The observations recorded on understory pasture component reveals that the height gained by *C. fulvus* ranged from 1.1 to 1.63 m over the years, while *S. seabrana* gained height of 0.38 to 1.28 in 2008 and 2013, respectively. Biomass potential of understory pasture component increased significantly upto initial five years and during the subsequent years by and large similar biomass was obtained. The understory pasture biomass was recorded as 0.43 (2008), 3.62 (2009) and 6.15 D.W t ha⁻¹ (2013). The significant increment in tussock diameter was noticed over the years. It increased from 4.1cm (2008) to 32.36cm (2013), respectively. Similarly, tillers tussock⁻¹ followed the same trend as observed in tussock diameter. Number of tillers tussock⁻¹ increased with advancement of the age, highest numbers of tillers tussock⁻¹ was observed in 2013. As *C. fulvus* is a perennial grass, so initially during the establishment phase tussock diameter and number of tillers were poor but later on both the parameters increased over the years. Likewise *S. seabrana*, which is a perennial legume grass observed with increased number of branches plant⁻¹ and dry weight with the advancement of the establishment. The total pasture production (c+d; Table 1) increased from 0.43 t ha⁻¹ (2008) to 6.15 t ha⁻¹ (2013) (Table 1). Plate 1. depicts the biomass potential of *A. procera* based silvipastoral system.

Table 1. Survival (%), growth and biomass production in *Albizia procera* based silvipastoral system

Tree/pasture component	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Tree component							
Survival (%)	94	87	82	78	72	72	72
Plant height (m)	1.90	3.75	3.95	4.88	6.26	12.7	14.4
DBH (cm)	1.98	5.3	6.73	8.38	11.6	13.77	15.15
Canopy spread (m)	1.39	3.11	3.32	3.69	3.92	2.65	2.57
Fodder production D.W t ha ⁻¹ (a)	0.15	0.35	0.81	1.11	1.17	1.24	1.35
Fuelwood production t ha ⁻¹ (b)	0.52	0.82	1.34	1.38	1.46	1.58	1.74
Pasture Component							
<i>C. fulvus</i>							
Height (m)	-	1.1	1.45	1.38	1.52	1.63	1.57
Tussock dia (cm)	-	4.1	12.8	21.78	31.02	25.13	32.36
Tillers tussock ⁻¹	-	10.9	38.2	42.76	46.46	58.74	62.07
Grass fodder D.W t ha ⁻¹ (c)	-	0.21	2.46	2.53	2.68	3.12	3.45
<i>S. seabrana</i>							
Height (m)	-	0.38	0.95	1.02	1.08	1.17	1.28
Branches plant ⁻¹	-	9.7	10.9	33.6	39.8	59.4	63.5
legume fodder D.W t ha ⁻¹ (d)	-	0.22	1.16	1.93	2.34	2.72	2.70
Pasture production t ha ⁻¹ (c+d)	-	0.43	3.62	4.46	5.02	5.84	6.15
Silvipastoral system							
Fodder production D.W t ha ⁻¹ (a+c+d)	0.52	1.25	4.96	5.84	6.48	7.42	7.89
Total biomass D.W t ha ⁻¹ (a+b+c+d)	0.67	1.6	5.77	6.95	7.65	8.66	9.24



Plate 1: *Albizia procera* based silvipastoral system

Total biomass potential: Tree component also contributed to fodder production and the highest total (understorey pasture + tree leaf) fodder production (7.89 t ha^{-1}) was observed in year of 2013. In the era of climate change, agroforestry is one of the efficient green technology for carbon sequestration. Agroforestry produces higher biomass as compared to crops and cropping systems and act as a sink for atmospheric CO_2 . The total biomass potential of the silvipastoral system was recorded as 9.24 t ha^{-1} in 2013 (Table 1).




Fig. 1. Biomass potential of *A. procera* based silvipastoral system

Conclusion

The *A. procera* based silvipastoral system is an efficient land use system, which is producing enough fodder for the livestock in wasteland of arid and semi-arid system. Besides fodder production, it is also helpful in mitigating climate change through biomass production and helps in restoration of degraded/wasteland.

References

- GoI. 2013. *Agricultural Statistics at a Glance*. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture, Govt. of India.
- Dev, I., S. Radotra, O.P.S. Kholra, B. Misri, S. Sareen, J.P. Singh, A.K. Srivastava, B. Singh, S.K. Sharma, K.P. Chamoli and D. Kumar. 2014. Impact of participatory silvipastoral intervention and soil conservation measures for forage resource enhancement in western Himalaya. *Indian J Agril. Sci.* 84 (3): 365–70.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the Director, ICAR-Central Agroforestry Research Institute, Jhansi (U.P) India for providing infrastructure and facilities to carryout this study.