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May 7, 1940

Dear Gardner:

I wondered if you were not mistaken in thinking that Duke would be host for the next meeting. The question arose, according to our minutes, whether Wake Forest or we would have it. It really is Wake Forest's time but they remained silent through the discussion so we extended an invitation. By this I do not mean that we are reluctant about entertaining the group but that we did not want to rush in if Wake Forest wanted to do the honors.

Would Friday, May 16, at 3:00 o'clock be a good time for you and Mrs. Adams? If so, drop me a card and I will circulate the news that we will have the meeting here. Could you and Mrs. Adams stay in town afterwards for an informal get together in my garden? It will be most informal. Couldn't Janie and the baby come and fraternize with us? She could visit around while we are discussing professional problems. I do hope so.

The matters on the docket awaiting our attention are the following:

1. News stories of the library, including one from Judge Winston.
2. Report on our affiliation with the A.A.L.L.
3. Exchange of publications within the group, mentioned by Miss Covington last time.
4. Mr. Gulley and Miss Covington's report on the status of the bibliography on North Carolina Session Laws.
5. Election of officers
   a. Appointment of Publicity Committee
6. Report on Bar Association Index
7. Appointment of one member to meet with bar group (I may be wrong about this. You will know better than I but my notes had something like this.)
8. Plans for continued circulation of our lists to seniors in law libraries, etc.

It occurs to me that we might be discussing a long time plan for the future work of our Association. Could we have a committee appointed for this? That would give direction to the work and make for continuity. I offer this suggestion, of course, subject to your approval and the sanction of all concerned.
I have looked over again the copy of the report of the Committee on Bar Law Libraries, which was submitted by your Committee to the State Bar Association last October. It is meaty and should not be buried down in the Minutes of the Association. It should have more publicity. May I become active and get it published where it will do the most good, or would you prefer to have it sponsored by the Association? I believe the Law Library Journal would be glad to get it. I mention it now so that you can be thinking about it and you can let me know your wishes when I see you.

If May 16, 3:00 o'clock, Chapel Hill, suits you, will you let me have a line from you saying so? And you can consider this notice.

Cordially yours,

Lucile Elliott
Law Librarian

Mr. Dillard Gardner
Supreme Court Building
Raleigh, N. C.