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ABSTRACT
In our previous work, we found that only two scenarios are capable of reproducing the observed integrated mass–metallicity relations for the gas and stellar components of local star-forming galaxies simultaneously. One scenario invokes a time-dependent metal outflow loading factor with stronger outflows at early times. The other scenario uses a time-dependent initial mass function (IMF) slope with a steeper IMF at early times. In this work, we extend our study to investigate the radial profile of gas and stellar metallicity in local star-forming galaxies using spatially resolved spectroscopic data from the SDSS-IV MaNGA survey. We find that most galaxies show negative gradients in both gas and stellar metallicity with steeper gradients in stellar metallicity. The stellar metallicity gradients tend to be mass dependent with steeper gradients in more massive galaxies while no clear mass dependence is found for the gas metallicity gradient. Then we compare the observations with the predictions from a chemical evolution model of the radial profiles of gas and stellar metallicities. We confirm that the two scenarios proposed in our previous work are also required to explain the metallicity gradients. Based on these two scenarios, we successfully reproduce the radial profiles of gas metallicity, stellar metallicity, stellar mass surface density, and star formation rate surface density simultaneously. The origin of the negative gradient in stellar metallicity turns out to be driven by either radially dependent metal outflow or IMF slope. In contrast, the radial dependence of the gas metallicity is less constrained because of the degeneracy in model parameters.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Metallicity is a key parameter in galaxy evolution, which plays an important role in many fundamental physical processes that drive the evolution of galaxies, such as gas cooling, star formation, stellar evolution, and dust formation. A correlation of gas metallicity with another fundamental parameter, stellar mass, is known since the 1970s (Lequeux et al. 1979) and is well established after several decades (Tremonti et al. 2004; Kewley & Ellison 2008). More recently, a three-dimensional relation between gas metallicity, stellar mass, and star formation rate (SFR) of local star-forming galaxies has also been proposed (Ellison et al. 2008; Lara-López et al. 2010; Mannucci et al. 2010; Andrews & Martini 2013), in which galaxies with higher SFR are typically less metal-enriched at a given stellar mass. Similar to the mass–metallicity relation for the ionized gas (MZRgas) in galaxies, people also found that more massive galaxies tend to have higher metallicity in stars, i.e. positive mass–metallicity relation for the stellar component (MZRstar; Gallazzi et al. 2005; Panter et al. 2008; Thomas et al. 2010).

In addition to the integrated chemical properties, the spatial distribution, i.e. the radial gradient in metallicity is also important to understand the formation history of galaxies. Studying metallicity...
gradients does not only shed light on the origin of the integrated metallicity scaling relations but also helps to understand how the various internal parts evolve to shape the whole galaxy. Based on long-slit spectroscopy, a negative metallicity gradient (i.e., lower metallicity at larger radii) in ionized gas in the interstellar medium (ISM) has been found to be prevalent in local star-forming galaxies (Vila-Costas & Edmunds 1992; Zaritsky, Kennicutt & Huchra 1994; van Zee et al. 1998; Moustakas et al. 2010). Likewise, many studies, mostly focusing on early-type galaxies, also found negative gradients in stellar metallicity (Carollo, Danziger & Buson 1993; Davies, Sadler & Peletier 1993; Jørgensen 1999; Mehlert et al. 2003; Sánchez-Blázquez et al. 2007).

Integral field unit (IFU) spectroscopy provides a better spatial sampling of a galaxy that enables a more accurate measurement of the gradient in galaxy properties. Early IFU surveys, such as SAURON and ATLAS-3D (Bacon et al. 2001; Cappellari et al. 2011) focused on early-type galaxies while many recent and ongoing IFU surveys, CALIFA, (Sánchez et al. 2012), SAMI (Croom et al. 2012), and MaNGA (Bundy et al. 2015) also target star-forming galaxies with a large galaxy sample. Based on the CALIFA survey, many studies found a characteristic negative gas oxygen abundance gradient in nearby star-forming galaxies (Sánchez et al. 2014; Ho et al. 2015; Pérez-Montero et al. 2016; Sánchez-Menguiano et al. 2016), which is independent of the galaxy stellar mass. Using what is currently the largest galaxy sample observed by the MaNGA survey, Belfiore et al. (2017) revisited the oxygen abundance gradient of local galaxies and found the gradient steepens with stellar mass at the low-mass end and flattens at $M_*$ > 10^{10.5} M_\odot. As for the stellar metallicity, a negative gradient is usually found based on IFU observations which is consistent with the previous long-slit spectroscopy studies (Kuntschner et al. 2010; González Delgado et al. 2015; Goddard et al. 2017b; Zheng et al. 2017). In terms of the mass dependence, using IFU data from the MaNGA survey, Goddard et al. (2017b) found that the stellar metallicity gradient in local star-forming galaxies steepens considerably with increasing galaxy mass.

To explain the observed metallicity gradients in gas and stars, a number of galactic chemical evolution models have been proposed (Chiappini, Matteucci & Romano 2001; Fu et al. 2009; Schönrich & McMillan 2017), including cosmological chemo-dynamical simulations (Rahimi et al. 2011; Gibson et al. 2013; Taylor & Kobayashi 2015, 2017). To reproduce the gas metallicity gradient in local star-forming galaxies, models typically assume a radially dependent gas accretion, no radial matter exchange, and no galactic metal outflow (Chiappini et al. 2001; Fu et al. 2009). As for the stellar metallicity gradient, most theoretical studies focus on explaining the observations in the Milky Way (Rahimi et al. 2011; Gibson et al. 2013) or early-type galaxies in the low-redshift extragalactic Universe (Pipino et al. 2010). Only a few theoretical studies attempt to explain both the extragalactic stellar and gas metallicity gradients of star-forming galaxies.

In modelling the chemical evolution of galaxies, it is of great importance to combine the gas and stellar metallicities to constrain the chemical enrichment processes at different epochs. In Lian et al. (2018, hereafter Paper I), we present a numerical chemical evolution model that successfully reproduces the observed integrated mass–metallicity relations (MZR) for both gas (MZRgas) and stars (MZRstars), as well as the observed mass–SFR relation of local star-forming galaxies. Our model is capable of producing a much lower stellar metallicity than gas metallicity and a steeper MZRstars compared to the MZRgas. It turns out that only two scenarios can reproduce these metallicity observations simultaneously. One invokes a time-dependent metal outflow loading factor with stronger outflow at early epochs. The alternative scenario requires a time-dependent initial mass function (IMF) slope, in which a steeper slope in lower mass galaxies is needed at early times. In this paper, we extend this work and investigate the spatially resolved gas and stellar metallicity observations using MaNGA IFU data. In particular, we aim to investigate the chemical evolution history of galaxies as a function of radius by reproducing the radial profiles of gas and stellar metallicity.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we illustrate the sample selection from the MaNGA survey and the derivation of gas and stellar metallicity. We also make a direct comparison between the two metallicity radial profiles in this section.

Then we provide a brief introduction to the chemical evolution model in Section 3 and show the two effective scenarios that match the observations in Section 4. Finally, we present our conclusions in Section 5. Throughout this paper, we adopt the cosmological parameters, $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.70$, and $\Omega_m = 0.30$.

## 2 OBSERVATIONS

### 2.1 Sample selection

The MaNGA survey (Bundy et al. 2015; Drory et al. 2015; Law et al. 2015; Yan et al. 2016a,b; Wake et al. 2017) is part of the fourth generation of SDSS surveys (Blanton et al. 2017) and aims to obtain IFU observation for a representative sample of 10,000 nearby galaxies with a redshift range 0.01 < $z$ < 0.15. The MaNGA target sample is selected from the NASA-Sloan Atlas catalogue (NSA; Blanton et al. 2011) which covers a wide range of galaxy properties (Yan et al. 2016b; Wake et al. 2017) and incorporates UV observation from the GALEX survey (Martin et al. 2005). The observed spectra were reduced using the MaNGA data-reduction-pipeline (DRP; Law et al. 2016) and then further analysed using the MaNGA data analysis pipeline (DAP; Westfall et al., in preparation).

In this work, we select our star-forming galaxy sample from the latest MaNGA data release 14 (DR14; Abolfathi et al. 2017) in two steps. All of the integrated galaxy properties used for sample selection are taken from the NSA catalogue. The sample selection of MaNGA galaxies is discussed in detail in Yan et al. (2016b). First, to select a parent galaxy sample, we apply two basic criteria as follows:

(i) Blue colour with NUV − $u$ < 1.4
(ii) Non-edge-on system with axis ratio $b/a > 0.5$.

The colour cut is set to select star-forming galaxies according to the galaxy distribution in NUV − $u$ colour space in Lian et al. (2016). The number density distribution of galaxies in NUV − $u$ colour shows dramatic number density drops which can be used to roughly classify galaxies into star-forming, green valley, and quiescent populations. These colour cut criteria for star-forming galaxies are consistent with the specific SFR criteria used in Paper I.

Fig. 1 shows the distribution of galaxies in the mass–NUV − $u$ diagram. The black points represent the entire galaxy sample in MaNGA DR14 with UV detections. Blue points are galaxies selected by the NUV − $u$ colour criteria. The contour in the background indicates the distribution of nearby SDSS galaxies with $z < 0.05$. By definition, the galaxies selected by the NUV − $u$ colour cut are located in the blue star-forming region. The non-edge-on criterion is set to minimize the effects of inclination and
dust extinction. These criteria lead to 773 star-forming galaxies from the sample of 2744 galaxies observed in MaNGA DR14.

To increase the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of spectra, especially for the spaxels in the outskirts, the original low-SNR spaxels are binned using a Voronoi binning algorithm (Cappellari & Copin 2003) to reach a minimum SNR = 5 in the $r$ band. To obtain a reliable distribution of galaxy properties, we only use the cells that have an SNR in the strong emission lines $\lambda\lambda$4363, Hβ, $\lambda\lambda$4959,5007, Hα, $\lambda$$\lambda$5007 above 5 and an SNR in the $r$-band stellar continuum above 10.

After Voronoi binning, there are still cells left that do not satisfy the SNR criteria outlined above. To derive the radial profiles of galaxy properties, we split each galaxy into eight elliptical rings from the centre to 1.6 $e$ (effective radius) with a width of 0.2 $e$. The position angle and ellipticity are taken from the NSA catalogue. We also require the galaxy to have at least one Voronoi cell that satisfies the SNR criteria and star-forming classification based on the demarcation line proposed by Kauffmann et al. (2003) in the BPT diagram (Baldwin, Phillips & Terlevich 1981). This leaves us with 687 galaxies as our final galaxy sample. We use the Gaussian integral emission line fluxes from the MaNGA DAP and correct the emission line fluxes for the galactic internal extinction using the Balmer decrement and adopting a Milky Way extinction law (Cardelli, Clayton & Mathis 1989).

Fig. 2 shows the distribution of all Voronoi cells in the log($\lambda$[O iii]λ5007/Hβ) versus log($\lambda$[N II]λ6584/Hα) diagram. The galaxy sample is divided into four mass bins from 10$^9$ to 10$^{11}$ $M_\odot$ with a width of 0.5 dex and shown in four separate panels. The number of galaxies per bin are 258, 184, 156, and 89, from the low to the high mass bin, respectively. In each panel, each data point indicates one Voronoi cell within the corresponding mass bin. The red solid and blue dashed lines represent the demarcation boundaries between star-forming and AGN objects derived by Kewley et al. (2001) and Kauffmann et al. (2003). It is interesting to note that there are relatively more regions classified as non-star-forming in more massive galaxies, which is likely a manifest of the downsizing scenario where more massive galaxies tend to have their bulk of star formation at earlier times (e.g. Thomas et al. 2005).

2.2 Metallicity determination

2.2.1 Gas metallicity

There are many methods proposed to measure the gas metallicity of emission-line galaxies (Kewley & Ellison 2008; Maiolino et al. 2008). One of the most reliable methods is the so-called ‘Te’ method which utilizes the anticorrelation between electron temperature and metal abundance. To obtain the electron temperature, two excitation lines of the same ion are needed. The most widely used pair of excitation lines is [O iii]λ4363 and [O i]λ5007. However, since the [O i]λλ4363 line is weak, many other empirical methods calibrated to the Te method are proposed.

These empirical methods use a single or a combination of strong emission line ratios for the calibration. Some of the most widely used are the ‘N2’ method ([N II]λ6584/Hα; Pettini & Pagel 2004), the ‘O3N2 method’ ([O iii]λ5007/Hβ)/([N ii]λλ6584/ Hα; Pettini & Pagel 2004), and the ‘R23 method’ ([O ii]λλ3727 + [O i]λλ4959,5007)/ Hβ; Pilyugin & Thuan 2005). Rather than calibrating emission line ratios to the metallicity determined by Te method, some studies use similar emission line ratios but calibrate to theoretical photoionization models (e.g. McGaugh 1991; Kewley & Dopita 2002).

However, a large discrepancy by up to 0.7 dex exists between these calibrations (Shi et al. 2005; Kewley & Ellison 2008). Generally, the theoretical methods lead to much higher metallicities than the empirical methods. The physical origin of this discrepancy is still not fully understood. Following our study in Paper I, to ensure our result is independent of this discrepancy, we adopt two methods for the measurement of gas metallicity, namely the theoretical R23 method from Kewley & Dopita (2002) and the empirical ‘N2’ method calibrated by Pettini & Pagel (2004).

2.2.2 Stellar metallicity

The stellar metallicity is an important observable as it carries the information of the early chemical properties of galaxies. However, the stellar metallicity of a galaxy is difficult to measure due to well-known degeneracies between age, metallicity, and dust when analysing galaxy spectra and broad-band galaxy photometry. Yet, modern advancements in stellar population modelling and improvements in the quality of observational data have enabled significant advances in the analysis of stellar population parameters, allowing robust measurements of metallicity to be obtained. In this work, we adopt the stellar metallicities from Goddard et al. (2017b) derived from using the full spectral fitting code FIREFLY (Wilkinson et al. 2015, 2017) and the stellar population models of Maraston and Strömbäck (Maraston & Strömbäck 2011, M11) with a Kroupa initial mass function (IMF; Kroupa 2001).

FIREFLY uses a $\chi^2$ minimization technique to fit combinations of Simple Stellar Population (SSP) models to an input galaxy spectrum. The code uses an iterative algorithm to combine arbitrarily weighted linear combinations of SSps, in order to find the best-fitting model given the data, and employs minimal priors, allowing maximal exploration of the parameter space (for more detail, see Goddard et al. 2017b; Wilkinson et al. 2017). This has been shown to be a good way to accurately recover the properties of galaxies and
globular clusters (Wilkinson et al. 2017). For a comparison between FIREFLY and other stellar population synthesis models, we refer the reader to Goddard et al. (2017b) and Wilkinson et al. (2017).

2.3 Gas and stellar metallicity comparison

2.3.1 2D maps

Before modelling in detail the radial metallicity profiles, it is worth showing the 2D maps of gas and stellar metallicities to visualize the spatial distribution. For this purpose of visualization, we stack the metallicity maps of galaxies in our sample in two steps. The first step is to rescale the original metallicity maps of each galaxy to their effective radius, \( r_e \). Then we define a new 2D grid and find the Voronoi cells of galaxies that are located in each spaxel of the new grid. We take the median metallicity of these Voronoi cells as the metallicity in each spaxel. Since the 2D maps are only used for visualization, we do not deproject the galaxies to be exactly face-on before stacking. Position angle and ellipticity are taken into account, though, when producing the one-dimensional profiles for the scientific analysis.

Fig. 3 shows the resulting 2D stacked maps of gas (top row) and stellar metallicity (middle row) and their difference (bottom row) as a function of galaxy stellar mass (panels from left to right). We split the galaxy sample into the same four mass bins as in Fig. 2. Each column indicates one mass bin from low mass at the left-hand side to the high mass at the right-hand side. These maps are smoothed by a Gaussian kernel with a width of half a spaxel to remove pixelized features at very large radii.

It can be seen that the gas metallicity measured through the R23 method is much higher than the stellar metallicity by up to \( \sim 0.7 \) dex (see Paper I). Fig. 3 shows that this difference is radially dependent with a significantly smaller difference in galaxy centres. Regarding the spatial distribution, gas metallicity generally exhibits a relatively shallow negative gradient with no significant dependence on mass.

In contrast, for the stellar metallicity, we can see a much steeper gradient which is strongly dependent on stellar mass with a steeper gradient in more massive galaxies. This is consistent with the mass dependence of the stellar metallicity gradient found by MaNGA for late-type galaxies (Goddard et al. 2017a,b). It is also interesting to note that the stellar metallicity of massive star-forming galaxies is distinctively higher in the centre than in the outer disc, while the difference in gas metallicity is small. This suggests that galaxy bulges in massive star-forming galaxies form at early times and have distinctive metal enrichment histories from the discs.

2.3.2 1D profiles

For the analysis in this work, we use 1D radial profiles of gas and stellar metallicity. To obtain these, we take the median measurement of the Voronoi cells of galaxies in each mass and radial bin. We use elliptical radial bins to take the inclination of galaxies into account. The same mass bins are used for the stacked maps in
Fig. 3. The median effective radii $r_e$ are 2.9, 3.9, 5.5, and 8.5 kpc for galaxies in the four mass bins, respectively. Fig. 4 shows a direct comparison of the gas and stellar metallicity radial profiles for galaxies in each mass bin. The shaded region indicates the $1\sigma$ error of the median value in each mass and radial bin which is obtained through bootstrapping. The four different mass bins are indicated by the different colours. The two gas metallicity calibrations, the theoretical R23 and the empirical N2 methods, are shown in the left-hand and right-hand panels, respectively.

It can easily be appreciated that gas metallicity is always higher than the stellar metallicity at all radii in agreement with the global properties discussed in Paper I. As discussed there, this is indeed to be expected if the metal enrichment is a monotonous process and the gas metallicity is set primarily by the instantaneous chemical enrichment rather than by the past history of the system (Lilly et al. 2013). Although a higher gas metallicity is expected, we show in Paper I that the relatively large difference poses a challenge to chemical evolution models that generally fail to reproduce gas and stellar metallicity simultaneously.

In Paper I, we find that this difference between gas and stellar metallicity is dependent on stellar mass with the difference being largest in the lowest mass galaxies. In Fig. 4, this trend can still be appreciated. In addition to this dependence on stellar mass, we can now also see a clear dependence on radius. The discrepancy between gas and stellar metallicity clearly increases with increasing radius. In other words, the stellar metallicity gradient is much steeper than the gas metallicity gradient. A key motivation of this paper is to reproduce these radial profiles to set constraints on the galaxy chemical enrichment and formation histories.

It is also interesting to note that the stellar metallicity gradient significantly steepens with increasing stellar mass while the gas metallicity gradient hardly changes. This is consistent with the 2D maps shown in Fig. 3. This trend also implies that galaxy bulges tend to have significantly enhanced stellar metallicities but only slightly higher gas metallicities compared to the disc, suggesting different metal enrichment histories for different galaxy components. The mass dependence of the stellar metallicity gradient in early-type galaxies is similar but much milder compared to the late-type galaxies as shown in Goddard et al. (2017b). A more complicated picture of the mass dependence in early-type galaxies is proposed by Spolaor et al. (2010) and Kuntschner et al. (2010). Another interesting fact worth noting in Fig. 4 is that, unlike the inner region of galaxies which have a stellar metallicity strongly dependent on the global stellar mass, the outer discs beyond $1\ r_e$ tend to show similar stellar metallicities.

---

Figure 3. Stacked 2D maps of gas (top row) and stellar metallicity (middle row) and their difference (bottom row) as a function of galaxy stellar mass. Each column indicates one mass bin from low mass at the left-hand side to high mass at the right-hand side. The coordinates of the maps are in units of effective radius. The gas metallicity is derived using the theoretical R23 method.
without strong dependence on galaxy mass. This suggests a universal formation history of galaxy discs largely independent of galaxy mass.

3 CHEMICAL EVOLUTION MODEL

In Paper I, we constructed a full galactic chemical evolution (GCE) model to reproduce the integrated properties of local star-forming galaxies, including mass, SFR, gas, and stellar metallicity. To study the metallicity gradient in this paper, we modify our chemical evolution model to account for the chemical evolution at different radii. Here, we briefly introduce the basic ingredients of the chemical evolution model. For a more detailed description, we refer the reader to section 3.1 in Paper I.

3.1 Model ingredients

Generally, a numerical chemical evolution model accounts for the main processes that regulate metal enrichment, including gas inflow, gas outflow, and mass ejection from stars including AGB stellar winds, Type II supernovae (SN-II), and Type I supernovae (SN-I). In our model, we adopt a single phase of pristine-gas inflow that declines exponentially with cosmic time. As a result, the mass assembly history and star formation history of the model galaxy are mainly regulated by this gas inflow process. The SFR at each time is calculated based on the gas mass surface density and the Schmidt–Kennicutt (KS) star-formation law (Kennicutt 1998). Observed galaxy sizes (effective radii) are used to convert between the surface density and integrated properties.

The gas outflow, which is difficult to model, is parametrized by the fraction of mass ejected from stars and expelled from the galaxy. This metal outflow fraction is linearly proportional to the metal outflow mass loading factor defined in the literature. Regarding the mass ejection by stellar processes, which usually depend on the mass and the metallicity of the progenitor star, we adopt the metal yields of AGB stars from Ventura et al. (2013), yields of SN-I from Iwamoto et al. (1999), and mass ejection of SN-II derived by Portinari, Chiosi & Bressan (1998) based on SN nucleosynthesis models of Woosley & Weaver (1995).

The integrated metal production of a single stellar population depends on the IMF (Thomas, Greggio & Bender 1999). In the chemical evolution model, we adopt a double-power-law IMF and allow the slope at the low-mass end ($M_* < 0.5 \, M_\odot$) and at the high-mass end ($M_* > 0.5 \, M_\odot$) to vary. The low-mass and high-mass cut-offs of the IMF are 0.01 and 120 $M_\odot$, respectively. There are three basic assumptions in this chemical evolution model. First, as mentioned above, we assume a single phase of pristine-gas inflow which dominates the star formation history of the model galaxy. Secondly, we only consider newly ejected mass from stars to be expelled out of the galaxy by the galactic outflow. Lastly, no radial mass exchange is assumed.

3.2 Model parameters

So far, we have the following eight free parameters:

(i) $A_{KS}, a_{KS}$: coefficient and power index of the KS star-formation law.
(ii) $A_{infl}, \tau_{infl}$: initial inflow strength and its declining time-scale.
(iii) $f_{out}$: metal outflow fraction.
(iv) $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$: IMF slope at the low-mass and high-mass ends, respectively.
(v) $f_{delay}$: mixing time delay of the stellar ejecta with ISM.

The coefficient of the KS law is normalized to the value of $2.5 \times 10^{-4} \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1} \, kpc^{-2}$ as derived by Kennicutt (1998). As we found in Paper I, a simple chemical evolution model with a KS star-formation law, Kroupa IMF, and no outflow is too efficient in metal production at early times and thus results in stellar metallicities much higher than the observed values. Moreover, the predicted stellar metallicities are strongly coupled with the gas metallicities and therefore the model cannot reproduce the different dynamic ranges of observed gas and stellar metallicity simultaneously. To decouple the gas and stellar metallicity and reproduce the...
observations, either a time-dependent metal outflow or IMF is needed (see Paper I).

3.3 Radial dependence

To reproduce the radial profile of gas and stellar metallicity, we use eight GCE models to mimic eight radial bins from the centre to 1.6r_e with a bin width of 0.2r_e. With this ingredient, all of the parameters have the additional freedom of varying as a function of radius. The initial inflow strength will be strictly constrained by the stellar mass in each radial bin derived from the observational data, while the inflow time-scale will be tuned to match the observed SFR. For simplicity, we fix the power index of the KS law a_ks to be 1.5 which is close to the value of 1.4 obtained by Kennicutt (1998). The rest of the free parameters will be tuned to match the observed radial profiles in gas and stellar metallicity.

The best-fitting model and parameters as a function of mass and radius are chosen by visual inspection. A radial dependence has been tested for all parameters in the search for the best-fitting model, but, for the sake of simplicity, we only use radially dependent parameters when necessary to reproduce the observations. As a result, many parameters in the best-fitting model will be invariable as a function of radius and will be listed as a constant in the tables. The form of the radial dependence of the gas inflow rate is assumed to be exponential to match the typically exponential profiles of the surface mass density. The radial dependence of other parameters is chosen to be linear for the sake of simplicity.

3.4 Parameter degeneracies

In Paper I, we explored the parameter space to understand the potential degeneracy between these model parameters. Generally, A_ks, a_ks, and A_0 are degenerate since they regulate the metallicity in the same way by affecting the star formation efficiency (SFE). Higher values of these three parameters lead to higher SFE and usually result in higher gas and stellar metallicity. Aside from the SFE, the metallicity (both in gas and stars) could also be enhanced by adopting a lower metal outflow fraction or a flatter IMF slope. Because of the degeneracy between these parameters, three models with various present SFE, present metal outflow fraction or present IMF slope are all capable of reproducing the mass and radial dependence of the gas metallicity.

3.5 Failure of simple test models

Fig. 5 presents the comparison between MaNGA data and model prediction for a simple test model of the radial profile of gas and stellar metallicities. The top and bottom panels show results where the gas metallicity has been measured through the theoretical R23 and empirical N2 methods, respectively. The models assume a radially dependent gas accretion and no time-dependence of metal outflow fraction or IMF slope. The model parameters are tuned to reproduce the radial profile of gas metallicity as derived through the R23 method (top panels) or through the N2 method (bottom panels, see also Table 1 and Table 2). For simplicity, we only show the test model with varying metal outflow fraction when necessary keeping the IMF fixed to Kroupa (for a discussion on the test model with varying IMF, we refer the reader to section 4 in Paper I). These test models are close to the chemical evolution model typically used in the literature to reproduce the gas metallicity gradient. The dashed lines indicate the observations while the solid lines represent the predictions of the model. Different colours show the galaxies in different mass bins, from the lowest mass bin in blue at the bottom to the highest mass bin in red at the top. Since the radial profiles of the mass surface density in the four mass bins can be approximated by a power-law function, we adopt a surface density of inflow rate that declines exponentially with radius.

It can be seen that the model matches the radial profile of gas metallicity very well. The negative gradient in gas metallicity is produced by a negative gradient in gas accretion rate, i.e. SFE. However, the model fails to reproduce the stellar metallicity profiles. First, we can see that the model predicts a stellar metallicity gradient that is much flatter than the observation for both gas metallicity calibrations. When adopting the gas metallicity from the R23 method, the models also overpredict the stellar metallicity by up to 0.5 dex at all radii and for all galaxy masses. This overprediction problem implies that the model is too efficient in metal enrichment at early times which results in relatively high present stellar metallicity. Using the gas metallicity determined through the N2 method, this overprediction problem seems to be partially relieved but the model still produces stellar metallicity gradients that are too shallow, and it overpredicts the stellar metallicity in the lowest mass galaxies and the outer disc. This overprediction problem is equivalent to the problem in matching the global mass–metallicity relations as discussed in Paper I.

4 RESULTS

To reproduce the relatively low stellar metallicity, it is necessary to suppress metal enrichment at early epochs without changing the star formation history significantly. In Paper I, we identified two scenarios that are able to achieve that. One is a time-dependent metal outflow loading factor with stronger outflow at early times. The other viable scenario requires a time-dependent IMF slope, such that a steeper IMF slope is assumed at early times.

4.1 Variable outflow model

Following our study in Paper I, we use a modified linear function to describe the time evolution of the metal outflow fraction as illustrated in Fig. 6. Before an early transition time t_{out, e}, the metal outflow fraction is set to be a high value f_{out, e} (usually 100 per cent). The gas and stellar metallicity are extremely low during this period. After this transition time, the metal outflow fraction begins to decrease linearly to a lower value f_{out, p} until reaching a recent transition time t_{out, p} and then staying constant afterwards. The first period with a constant high outflow fraction is needed to efficiently suppress the metal enrichment at early times.

As we discussed above, the dramatically different gradients in gas and stellar metallicity in massive galaxies imply that gas and stellar metallicity are decoupled with different drivers. Since the gas metallicity is generally driven by recent metal enrichment processes, we use the second period with a lower constant outflow fraction to separate the parameters that drive the gas and stellar metallicity as much as possible.

4.1.1 Radially dependent in- and outflow

Fig. 7 shows the comparison between the observations and predictions of the GCE model with a time-dependent metal outflow fraction for the radial profiles of gas (from the R23 method) and mass-weighted stellar metallicity, stellar mass surface density, and SFR surface density. The dashed lines indicate the observational
Figure 5. Comparison between the MaNGA data and model predictions for test chemical evolution models of the radial profile of gas metallicity and mass-weighted stellar metallicity (right-hand panel). The top and bottom panels show results where gas metallicity has been measured through the theoretical R23 and empirical N2 methods, respectively. Dashed lines represent the observed radial profiles, while solid lines are the model predictions. Different colours represent different mass bins as illustrated by the legend in the bottom left-hand panel. These test models are tuned to only match the gas metallicity observations.

Table 1. Parameter values adopted in the test chemical evolution models in Fig. 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[O/H] calibration</th>
<th>Mass bin</th>
<th>$A_{\text{ks}}$</th>
<th>$A_{\text{int}}$</th>
<th>$\tau_{\text{int}}$</th>
<th>$f_{\text{out}}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_1$</th>
<th>$\alpha_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[log(M$_{\odot}$)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R23</td>
<td>[9, 9.5]</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>$6\frac{\rho}{\rho_r}e^{-\frac{r}{r_e}}$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R23</td>
<td>[9.5, 10]</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>$13\frac{\rho}{\rho_r}e^{-\frac{r}{r_e}}$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R23</td>
<td>[10, 10.5]</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>$23\frac{\rho}{\rho_r}e^{-\frac{r}{r_e}}$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R23</td>
<td>[10.5, 11]</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>$25\frac{\rho}{\rho_r}e^{-\frac{r}{r_e}}$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>[9, 9.5]</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>$5\frac{\rho}{\rho_r}e^{-\frac{r}{r_e}}$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>[9.5, 10]</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>$13\frac{\rho}{\rho_r}e^{-\frac{r}{r_e}}$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>[10, 10.5]</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>$26\frac{\rho}{\rho_r}e^{-\frac{r}{r_e}}$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>[10.5, 11]</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>$26\frac{\rho}{\rho_r}e^{-\frac{r}{r_e}}$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note*: The radial dependence of parameters is illustrated as an exponential or a linear function of radius in the table.
the surface SFR density of massive galaxies at growth scenario proposed by Lian et al. (2017) to explain the negative stellar metallicity gradient in SFGs. This is consistent with the inside-out dependent inflow time-scale with longer time-scales at larger radii. More massive galaxies tend to have steeper radial profiles in surface mass density, suggesting they have steeper gradients in the gas inflow rate. To reproduce the surface mass density profile, we simply assume that the inflow rate declines exponentially with radius ($\dot{M}_{\text{in}}(r) \propto e^{-\alpha r}$). From the bottom left-hand panel of Fig. 7, we can see that more massive galaxies tend to have steeper radial profiles in surface mass density, suggesting they have steeper gradients in the gas inflow rate. It is also interesting to note that we need a radially dependent inflow time-scale with longer time-scales at larger radii in order to match the radial profiles in SFR (which is flatter than the surface mass density). This is consistent with the inside-out growth scenario proposed by Lian et al. (2017) to explain the negative colour gradients in star-forming galaxies. There is a feature in the surface SFR density of massive galaxies at $r \sim 0.3r_e$ with an unusual lower SFR than the adjacent radial bins. This feature is also present in Spindler et al. (2018) and Belfiore et al. (2017). However, an analysis at that level of detail goes beyond the scope of this paper, and we do not attempt to reproduce it with our modelling.

To reproduce the difference between gas and stellar metallicity, the metal enrichment at early epochs needs to be suppressed. In the variable outflow scenario, the early transition time $t_{\text{out}, e}$ of the outflow and the initial metal outflow fraction $f_{\text{out}, i}$ are the two key parameters that regulate the suppression of early metal enrichment and thus the final stellar metallicity. To reproduce the negative stellar metallicity gradient, the average metal outflow fraction has to be higher at larger radii. To achieve that, a higher $t_{\text{out}, e}(f_{\text{out}, i})$ is required, which implies a longer (more extreme) initial phase of high metal outflow in the outer regions. For example, for the most massive galaxy bin with the steepest stellar metallicity gradient, a steep gradient in $f_{\text{out}}$ of $5Gyr/r_e$ is needed.

This positive gradient in $t_{\text{out}, e}$ is astrophysically plausible since the gravitational potential well in the outer regions of galaxies is relatively shallow. At the same time, SFRs and hence the energy input are lower, though. Our results imply that the effect of the shallower potential well dominates, and the gas outflow at large radii must be more efficiently triggered. Gas outflow is also expected to last longer and to be more extreme at large radii during the evolution of a galaxy. The steeper negative stellar metallicity gradient observed in more massive galaxies suggests that the evolution of massive galaxies is characterized by a steeper positive gradient in $t_{\text{out}, e}$ and/or $f_{\text{out}, i}$.

### 4.1.2 Comparison with NGC 628

In comparing the mass of metals in gaseous and stellar components in the galaxy NGC 628, Belfiore, Maiolino & Bothwell (2016) found the average fraction of metals that has been lost to be $\sim 50$ per cent. This is in qualitative agreement with our result when we adopt the empirical N2 calibration for the gas metallicity. However, Sánchez-Blázquez et al. (2014) and Belfiore et al. (2016) found the loss fraction to be higher in the central region and to decrease towards larger radii, which is the opposite of what we conclude from the chemical evolution modelling presented here. This discrepancy is likely caused by the positive stellar metallicity gradient of this galaxy.
Figure 7. Comparison between MaNGA data and the prediction of models with time-dependent metal outflow fractions. Radial profiles of gas metallicity (from the R23 method), mass-weighted stellar metallicity, mass surface density, and SFR surface density are shown. The observational data are shown as dashed lines while the model predictions are represented by solid lines. Different colours represent different mass bins as indicated in the legend in the top left-hand panel. The parameters adopted in the variable outflow model are listed in Table 2.

Figure 8. Schematic plot to illustrate the linear function adopted for the time evolution of the IMF slope at low-mass end.
specific galaxy, which is not a representative of the normal galaxy population in the local Universe.

4.1.3 Drivers of the gas metallicity gradient

Different from the stellar metallicity gradient, the gas metallicity gradient is driven by a combination of radially dependent inflow rate, i.e. the SFE, and recent metal outflow properties. The inclusion of a second, constant period of (low) metal outflow fraction is necessary for massive galaxies which show a flatter gas metallicity gradient than less massive galaxies. If we do not consider the second constant period of (low) metal outflow fraction but instead assume a linear decline of the metal outflow fraction from the transition time \( t_{\text{out},c} \) until today, then the steep gradient of \( t_{\text{out},c} \) in massive galaxies would affect the negative gas metallicity gradient as well and the predicted gradient would be much steeper than the observation.

4.1.4 Effect of the gas metallicity calibration

To investigate how the discrepancy between different gas metallicity calibrations affects our results, we also tune the model to match the observed radial profiles in gas metallicity obtained through the empirical N2 method, alongside stellar metallicity, stellar mass surface density, and SFR surface density as before.

Fig. A1 in the appendix shows the comparison between the observations and the predictions of the GCE model with variable metal outflow fraction. The parameters of the model shown in Fig. A1 are listed in Table A1. Since the empirical N2 method leads to a much lower gas metallicity measurement compared to the theoretical R23 method, the difference between gas and stellar metallicity becomes smaller. Nevertheless, as discussed in Section 3.1, a variable outflow or variable IMF scenario is still needed to match the different slopes (i.e. dynamic range) of the gas and stellar metallicity radial profiles. Therefore, our main result is robust against the different gas metallicity calibrations, but the detail of the parameters derived differs quantitatively. With the smaller difference between gas and stars obtained through the N2 method, we can see from Table A1 that a smaller early outflow transition time \( t_{\text{out},c} \) (i.e. shorter duration time for the high outflow phase) and lower initial metal outflow fraction \( f_{\text{out},i} \) are needed to match the data. Otherwise, the conclusions remain the same.

4.2 Variable IMF model

As we found in Paper I, another viable scenario to reproduce gas and stellar metallicities simultaneously requires a time-dependent IMF. Generally, a steep IMF at early times is needed to suppress the metal enrichment and lower the stellar metallicity observed today. In this scenario, we allow the initial IMF slope to vary but fix the present one to the double-power-law Kroupa IMF. The IMF slope is assumed to change linearly with time. The slopes of the initial IMF at both the low-mass end (\( \alpha_1 \)) and the high-mass end (\( \alpha_2 \)) are free parameters. Fig. 8 illustrates the assumed time evolution of the IMF slope at the low-mass end. Based on this double-power-law IMF, we explore two time-dependent IMF models with variations of the IMF slope at the low-mass end and at the high-mass end, separately.

4.2.1 Radially dependent IMF slope

Fig. 9 shows the comparison between the MaNGA data and the model predictions for the model with a variable IMF slope at the low-mass end. The comparison for the model with the variable IMF slope at the high-mass end is shown in Fig. B1 in the appendix. The line-style and colour scheme are the same as Fig. 7. Again, the model parameters are finely tuned to match the radial profiles of all four observables, gas and stellar metallicities as well as stellar mass surface density and SFR surface density. Table 3 and Table B1 list the adopted parameters for the variable IMF models in Fig. 9 and Fig. B1, respectively.

The initial IMF slope at the low-mass end in the model is \( \sim 5 \) at large radii (\( r \sim 1.5r_e \)), nearly independently of the global mass of the galaxy. This is much steeper than a Kroupa IMF with a slope of 1.3 or even the Salpeter IMF with a slope of 2.3 (Salpeter 1955). The initial IMF slope required for the central region, instead, is highly dependent on the global mass of the galaxy, ranging from 4.2 at \( 10^9 \, M_\odot \) to 2.6 at \( 10^{10.5} \, M_\odot \).

As expected, also the GCE models with a time-dependent IMF slope are able to reproduce the radial profiles of gas and stellar metallicities simultaneously. This is because less metals are produced with a steeper IMF. The latter implies a larger fraction of long-lived, low-mass stars that only return few metals to the ISM. This is consistent with the low outflow fractions since there is comparatively less supernova feedback from massive stars. To match the steep negative stellar metallicity gradient, a positive gradient of average IMF slope is needed. We tune the average IMF slope by changing the initial IMF slope.

4.2.2 Dependence on galaxy mass

The steeper stellar metallicity gradient found in more massive galaxies requires a steeper gradient in the initial IMF slope. This is similar to our result in Paper I, where we found that a steeper IMF was required in lower mass galaxies in order to match gas and stellar metallicities. However, this required a steeper initial IMF at larger radii and in less massive galaxies, which is the opposite of what is found in passive galaxies based on the analysis of IMF-sensitive absorption-line indices (Cappellari et al. 2012; Conroy & van Dokkum 2012; van Dokkum et al. 2017; Parikh et al., in preparation).

As can be seen in the top left-hand panel of Fig. 9, the gas metallicity gradient in massive galaxies as predicted by the variable IMF model is mildly steeper than the observational data. This is due to the fact that changing the initial IMF slope not only changes the IMF slope at early times but also slightly changes the recent IMF slope because of the assumption of linear evolution.

4.2.3 Effect of the gas metallicity calibration

We also investigate the impact of the gas metallicity calibration on the models presented in this section. The result is shown in Fig. A2 in the appendix. The finely tuned parameters are listed in Table A2. For simplicity, we only tune the model with a variable IMF slope at the low-mass end. Similar to the variable outflow scenario, when adopting the empirical N2 method, a time-dependent IMF is still needed to match the steep slope of the stellar metallicity gradient. As expected, due to the smaller difference between gas and stellar metallicity, a somewhat flatter initial IMF slope gradient is sufficient to match the observations.

Finally, it should be noted that, to match the relatively low gas metallicity derived through the empirical N2 method, we need either a strong present metal outflow fraction of \( \sim 60 \) per cent, a steep present IMF slope or an extremely low KS law coefficient.
Figure 9. Similar figure to Fig. 7 but showing the comparison between MaNGA data and a model with a variable IMF slope (for detail see the text). The parameters adopted in the variable IMF model are listed in Table 3.

(10 per cent of the original value). These values are not supported by observations in the local Universe where no clear signature of strong galactic metal outflows or steep IMF slopes is found in local star-forming galaxies (Bastian, Covey & Meyer 2010; Concas et al. 2017). However, given the uncertainties in metal yields through stellar mass loss, especially from Type-II supernova, such unrealistic parameter settings may not be required, if, for instance, the metal yields are overestimated.

5 DISCUSSION

In this paper, we analyse gradients of gas and stellar metallicity in star-forming galaxies derived from MaNGA IFU observations. We show that gas metallicity is always higher than the average stellar metallicity, and that stellar metallicity gradients are significantly steeper. As a consequence, the discrepancy between gas and stellar metallicity is largest at large galaxy radii. As discussed in Paper I, gas and stellar metallicity values can only be reconciled in chemical enrichment scenarios where metal enrichment is significantly suppressed at early times. We show that this can be achieved with either a time-dependent metal outflow with larger metal loading factors in galactic winds at early times, or through a time-dependent IMF with steeper IMF slopes at early times. The former manipulates metal retention implying that most metals are lost from the galaxy at early times, while the latter manipulates metal production implying that less metals are produced at early times.

5.1 Galactic wind versus IMF

Here, we explore these scenarios and show that they can both explain the observed gas and stellar metallicity gradients. The retention model implies that early in the evolution metal outflow fractions
must have been larger at large radii, as well as larger in lower mass galaxies. The production model, instead, suggests that the IMF must have been steeper at large radii and in lower mass galaxies in the past.

This second scenario could be caused by a metallicity dependence of the IMF implying that steeper IMFs would need to be generated in lower metallicity environments. This is not implausible, but clear evidence for such a behaviour is currently missing (Bastian et al. 2010; Bate 2014). Possible IMF variations seen in simulations point to the opposite trends implying bottom-heavier IMFs in environments of higher turbulence and SFR (Kroupa et al. 2013; Chabrier, Hennebelle & Charlot 2014). On top of this, a variable IMF as constrained here seems to enjoy little support from independent observational constraints on IMF variations in galaxies, though. While this subject is still controversially discussed in the literature (Smith, Lucey & Conroy 2015), IMF variations, if at all present, are seen in the opposite sense with the steepest IMF slopes generally inferred for the centres of more massive early-type galaxies (Cappellari et al. 2012; Conroy & van Dokkum 2012; Alton, Smith & Lucey 2017; Li et al. 2017; van Dokkum et al. 2017; Parikh et al. 2018).

The variable IMF scenario explored here appears also in tension with the top-heavy IMF reported to be measured in highly star-forming galaxies by Gunawardhana et al. (2011). From an analysis of the H$\alpha$ equivalent width distribution of galaxies as a function of optical colour, Gunawardhana et al. (2011) find an SFR-dependent IMF with a flatter high-mass IMF in galaxies with more vigorous star formation. This trend implies a flatter IMF in more massive galaxies, which would lead to an overproduction of stellar metallicity in our model. In the following, we therefore focus on the wind model and the implications of time-dependent metal loading factors in galactic winds.

Still, a combination of variable IMF and gas outflow may still be an adequate scenario. Chemical evolution models by Recchi & Kroupa (2015) show that both an IMF variation through implementation of the so-called integrated galactic initial mass function (Kroupa et al. 2013) and additional in- and outflow of gas are required to reproduce the extremely low metallicities of low-mass galaxies.

5.2 Metal loading in galactic winds

The mass and time dependence of the gas accretion rates assumed in our model are certainly plausible astrophysically with higher infall rates in more massive objects and a steeper subsequent decline. The gas accretion into a dark matter halo is not likely to be constant but declining with time as indicated by the cosmic evolution of SFR density (Madau & Dickinson 2014). However, like the gas accretion rate, the time-scale of the decline may also depend on the galaxy mass and distance to the galaxy centre. Gas accretion probably declines faster in more massive galaxies or in more central regions of galaxies to shape the ‘flat’ mass--SFR relation and the relative steeper gradient in mass surface density compared to the SFR surface density (e.g. Renzini & Peng 2015). The mass dependence of the gas accretion time-scale leads to the downsizing scenario where more massive galaxies tend to have the majority of their stars formed at earlier times (Cowie et al. 1996; Heavens et al. 2004; Thomas et al. 2005). The radial dependence fits to an inside-out growth scenario of star-forming galaxies and discs which results in the observed negative colour and stellar population gradients (Goddard et al. 2017b; Lian et al. 2018).

Our model suggests that galactic winds and their metal loading factors depend on cosmic time, galaxy mass, and radius. But very importantly, it also implies relatively high mass and metal loading factors. These are indeed observed in starburst galaxies (Strickland & Heckman 2009) and in good agreement with a study by Peeples & Shankar (2011), who show that efficient outflows are required to reproduce the observed correlation of gas metallicity and gas fraction with galaxy mass simultaneously. Also, Peeples & Shankar (2011) find from their simulations that the metal expulsion efficiency must scale steeply with galaxy mass. Interestingly, Taylor & Kobayashi (2015) show that metal outflow turns out to be small in a simulation of a massive galaxy, even if AGN driven. This result is consistent with the results of this study, as strong metal outflows are indeed required in low-mass galaxies and at large radii.

It is again reasonable to infer that deeper potential wells will lead to lower metal loading in galactic winds, which naturally leads to a dependence on both galaxy mass and galaxy radius in agreement with the model found here. Galaxies are more likely to lose their metals from their outskirts, and lower mass galaxies are more likely to eject metals overall. In summary, the mass- and radially dependent average metal outflow fraction shapes the MZR star and the stellar metallicity gradient of local star-forming galaxies. Gas metallicity, instead, is generally determined by the recent evolutionary processes (Lilly et al. 2013), including metal outflow, gas inflow, and star formation. A mass and radial dependence of one of these processes (or a combination of them) will then shape the MZR$_{\text{gas}}$ and the gas metallicity gradient of star-forming galaxies.

5.3 Observational constraints from high redshift

A prediction of our model is that the metal outflow fraction, hence the metal loading factor of galactic winds, must have been higher at early times in the evolution of star-forming galaxies. While local starburst galaxies do appear to exhibit supernova-driven winds with relatively high metal loading factors, it would be interesting to check observationally whether star-forming galaxies at higher redshifts are more efficient in ejecting metals than their low-$z$ counterparts.

In terms of the metallicity gradient, our model predicts a steeper gas metallicity gradient at higher redshift. In other words, the gas metallicity gradient is expected to flatten with cosmic time. This is implied by the relatively steeper gradient in stellar metallicity than the gas metallicity in local galaxies as presented here. Measurements of gas metallicity in planetary nebulae in the Milky Way agree with this picture and suggest that our Galaxy had a steeper gas metallicity gradient in the past up to $z \sim 1.5$ (Maciel, Costa & Uchida 2003). Observations at high redshifts, however, show more diverse results (e.g. Cresci et al. 2010; Jones et al. 2010; Yuan et al. 2011; Swinbank et al. 2012; Jones et al. 2013; Wuyts et al. 2016), with the slope of the gas metallicity gradient varying from negative to positive. A detailed and direct comparison between the prediction of our model and observations of the gas metallicity gradient at high redshift is beyond the scope of this paper and is the subject of future work.

5.4 Cosmological models

While the model presented here suggests a scenario to explain gas and stellar metallicities in galaxies, it does not explore the physical origin of it. As discussed above, the scenarios are astrophysically plausible, but it will be important to investigate whether the high metal outflow fractions assumed here can be accommodated in cosmological simulations of galaxy formation (e.g. Calura et al. 2009; Yates, Kauffmann & Guo 2012; Taylor & Kobayashi 2015;
6 CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we investigate the radial distribution of gas metallicity, stellar metallicity, mass surface density, and SFR surface density of local star-forming galaxies using IFU data from the SDSS-IV/ManNGA survey. The metallicity in the gaseous component of these star-forming galaxies is generally higher than the metallicity in the stellar component, especially in low-mass galaxies and at large radii.

When looking at the radial distribution, we find that most of the star-forming galaxies in our sample present a negative gradient in both gas and stellar metallicity. However, the gradient in stellar metallicity is much steeper than the gas metallicity. By dividing the galaxy sample into four mass bins, we also investigate the mass dependence of these gradients.

It turns out that the radial profile of the stellar metallicity steepens considerably in massive galaxies while no clear dependence on the global mass is found for the gas metallicity radial profile. The steepening of the stellar metallicity gradient with mass is mostly due to the enhanced stellar metallicity in the central region of massive galaxies, suggesting that the chemical evolution of the galaxy central region is dependent on the global mass. On the contrary, the stellar metallicity at large radii of galaxies tends to converge which implies an universal chemical evolution history of the outer disc components of galaxies.

In terms of mass and SFR surface density, the radial distribution of the mass surface density is power-like and is steeper than that of the SFR surface density, suggesting that the gas inflow rate declines faster in the central regions of galaxies which is consistent with the inside-out growth scenario of disc galaxies.

To investigate the origin of the negative gradient in gas and stellar metallicity in a galaxy formation and evolution framework, we use a chemical evolution model to reproduce the observed radial distribution of galaxy properties, including the gas metallicity, stellar metallicity, mass surface density, and SFR surface density. We assume an initial gas inflow surface density that declines exponentially with radius to match the power law-like radial profile of the mass surface density. Following our results from Paper I, we show that either a time-dependent metal outflow fraction (proportional to the mass loading factor) with higher fraction at early times or a time-dependent IMF slope with steeper IMF at early times is needed to reproduce the different metallicity in the gaseous and stellar components and the different slopes in their radial profiles. We also explore the effect of using different gas metallicity calibrations. While the lower gas metallicities obtained by some empirical methods reduce the absolute difference in gas and stellar metallicity, these two scenarios are still needed to match the different slopes in the radial profiles of gas and stellar metallicity.

Based on these two scenarios and using the chemical evolution model developed in Paper I, for the first time we reproduce the radial distribution of gas and stellar metallicity simultaneously. In the time-dependent outflow model, the disc components of galaxies have experienced a higher fraction of metal loss than the central region on average. The negative stellar metallicity gradient is driven by the positive gradient in the average fraction of metal loss which could be achieved by a positive gradient in the early metal outflow transition time $t_{\text{out},e}$ and/or initial metal outflow fraction $f_{\text{out},i}$. The steeper stellar gradient observed in more massive galaxies suggests a steeper gradient in the average fraction of metal loss.

In the time-dependent IMF scenario, the stellar metallicity gradient is regulated by a positive gradient in the average IMF slope which is achieved by a positive gradient in the initial IMF slope with steeper initial IMF slopes at larger radii. In both scenarios, the gas metallicity is regulated by the recent evolutionary processes while the stellar metallicity is additionally regulated by the processes at early epochs. In conclusion from this study and Paper I, we find that either the metal loading factor in galactic winds must have been high in the past with the longest duration time and the highest loading factor of this outflow phase at the largest radii and lowest galaxy masses, or the IMF slope must have been steeper in the past, again with the strongest variation at the largest radii and the lowest mass galaxies.

A direct consequence of our galactic wind model is that the metal outflow fraction, hence the metal loading factor of galactic winds, must have been higher at higher redshift, a prediction that would be interesting to check observationally. Finally, while the model presented here suggests a scenario to explain gas and stellar metallicities in galaxies, the physical origin remains yet to be explored in the framework of cosmological simulations of galaxy formation.
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APPENDIX A: GAS METALLICITY BY N2 METHOD

Here, we present the finely tuned viable chemical evolution models with time-dependent outflow fraction and IMF slope to match the observed the radial profile of gas metallicity (N2 method), stellar metallicity, mass surface density, and SFR surface density as shown in Figs A1 and A2, respectively.

Figure A1. Similar to Fig. 7 except that the gas metallicity is derived by empirical N2 method rather than the theoretical R23 method.
Figure A2. Similar figure to Fig. A1 but showing the comparison between observations and the model with a variable IMF slope at low-mass end.

Table A1. Parameter value of the time-dependent outflow model in Fig. A1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass bin</th>
<th>$A_{\text{KS}}$</th>
<th>$A_{\text{inf}}$</th>
<th>$r_{\text{inf}}$</th>
<th>$f_{\text{out}, i}$</th>
<th>$f_{\text{out}, p}$</th>
<th>$t_{\text{out}, e}$</th>
<th>$t_{\text{out}, r}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_1$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{1i}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_2$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{2i}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[9, 9.5]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^{-1.3}$</td>
<td>4.4 $\pm$ 2.8</td>
<td>0.93 $\pm$ 0.02</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>2 $\pm$ 2</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[9.5, 10]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2.8 \times 10^{-1.3}$</td>
<td>5.5 $\pm$ 1.8</td>
<td>0.83 $\pm$ 0.12</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>2 $\pm$ 2</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10, 10.5]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$8.0 \times 10^{-1.3}$</td>
<td>5.5 $\pm$ 1.8</td>
<td>0.61 $\pm$ 0.33</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1 $\pm$ 2</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10.5, 11]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$24.0 \times 10^{-1.3}$</td>
<td>5 $\pm$ 2.2</td>
<td>0.54 $\pm$ 0.35</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>3 $\pm$ 2</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A2. Parameter value of the time-dependent IMF model in Fig. A2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass bin</th>
<th>$A_{ks}$</th>
<th>$A_{inf}$</th>
<th>$\tau_{inf}$</th>
<th>$f_{out}$</th>
<th>$t_{out,e}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_1$</th>
<th>$\alpha_2$</th>
<th>$\alpha_1$</th>
<th>$\alpha_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[9, 9.5]</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$3 \frac{M}{r_e} e^{-0.8 \frac{r}{r_e}}$</td>
<td>3+1.7 $\frac{\text{yr}}{r_e}$</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[9.5, 10]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3 \frac{M}{r_e} e^{-1.7 \frac{r}{r_e}}$</td>
<td>5.5+1.5 $\frac{\text{yr}}{r_e}$</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10, 10.5]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$10 \frac{M}{r_e} e^{-1.4 \frac{r}{r_e}}$</td>
<td>5+1.6 $\frac{\text{yr}}{r_e}$</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10.5, 11]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$26 \frac{M}{r_e} e^{-1.3 \frac{r}{r_e}}$</td>
<td>5+2.1 $\frac{\text{yr}}{r_e}$</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APPENDIX B: VARIABLE HIGH-MASS IMF SLOPE MODEL

We show another viable IMF model with a variable IMF slope at high-mass end that reproduces the observed radial profile of gas metallicity (R23 method), stellar metallicity, mass surface density, and SFR surface density.

Figure B1. Similar figure to Fig. 9 but showing the model with a variable IMF slope at high-mass end.
Table B1. Parameter value of the time-dependent IMF model in Fig. B1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass bin log(M⊙)</th>
<th>A_ks</th>
<th>A_{inf} M⊙ yr^{-1}</th>
<th>t_{inf} Gyr</th>
<th>f_{out}</th>
<th>t_{out, e} Gyr</th>
<th>α_{1}</th>
<th>α_{1i}</th>
<th>α_{2}</th>
<th>α_{2i}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[9, 9.5]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.5 r_e e^{-0.9 r_e}</td>
<td>3.1+1.2 r_e</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.6+0.2 r_e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[9.5, 10]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.5 r_e e^{-1.2 r_e}</td>
<td>4.6+1.8 r_e</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.6+0.7 r_e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10, 10.5]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11 r_e e^{-1.4 r_e}</td>
<td>4.4+1.8 r_e</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3+1 r_e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10.5, 11]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24 r_e e^{-1.3 r_e}</td>
<td>3.5+3 r_e</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.6+1.2 r_e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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