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We report the successful synthesis of single crystals of the layered iridate (Na$_{1-x}$Li$_x$IrO$_3$, 0 $\leq$ x $\leq$ 0.9), and a thorough study of its structural, magnetic, thermal, and transport properties. This compound allows a controlled interpolation between Na$_2$IrO$_3$ and Li$_2$IrO$_3$, while maintaining the quantum magnetism of the honeycomb Ir$^{4+}$ planes. The measured phase diagram demonstrates a suppression of the Néel temperature $T_N$ at an intermediate x, indicating that the magnetic orders in Na$_2$IrO$_3$ and Li$_2$IrO$_3$ are distinct. X-ray data show that for x $\approx$ 0.7, when $T_N$ is suppressed the most, the honeycomb structure is least distorted, leading to the speculation that at this intermediate doping of the material is closest to the spin liquid that has been sought after in Na$_2$IrO$_3$ and Li$_2$IrO$_3$.

By analyzing our magnetic data with a single-ion theoretical model we also show that the trigonal splitting on the Ir$^{4+}$ ions changes sign from Na$_2$IrO$_3$ to Li$_2$IrO$_3$. A magnetic transition at $T_N$ = 15 K [10]. The conspicuous absence of single crystals of Li$_2$IrO$_3$ is clearly a major roadblock in a complete characterization of this material.

In this Rapid Communication we fill the gap in our understanding by the successful synthesis and study of single crystals of (Na$_{1-x}$Li$_x$IrO$_3$) [23]. The central findings of our work are as follows: As x is tuned, we find from x-ray data that the lattice parameters evolve monotonically from Na to Li, retaining the basic Mott insulating honeycomb structure of the Ir$^{4+}$ planes for all x. Even so, there is a nonmonotonic dramatic change in Néel temperature $T_N$ with x, in which $T_N$ initially decreases from 18 K at x = 0 to 1.2 K at x = 0.7 before it rises to 7 K at x = 0.90, indicating that the magnetic ground states at x = 0 and 1 are not related linearly, as had been previously suggested [10]. X-ray structure data show that the Ir$^{4+}$ honeycomb lattice is least distorted at x $\approx$ 0.7. Interestingly, we find the lowest $T_N$ and highest frustration parameter also at x $\approx$ 0.7. In addition, the high-temperature anisotropy in the magnetic susceptibility is simultaneously reversed and enhanced upon Li doping, and as a result, the in-plane magnetic susceptibility $\chi_{\parallel}(T)$ becomes significantly greater than the perpendicular-to-plane susceptibility $\chi_{\perp}(T)$ for x = 0.90, which sharply contrasts with the weaker magnetic anisotropy for x = 0 where $\chi_{\parallel}(T) > \chi_{\perp}(T)$. Using a single-ion theoretical model, we show that the anisotropy arises from a trigonal crystal field $\Delta$ oriented perpendicular to the honeycomb layers, and the anisotropy change is the result of a sign change in the local trigonal field between the Na and Li compounds. We thus conclude that the magnetic ground states of the pure Na and Li compounds are distinct and are separated by a quantum phase transition that is driven by the evolution of the crystal structure as x is varied.

Introduction. The iridates have been recognized recently as a unique arena for the study of new phases of matter that arise from simultaneously strong electron-electron and spin-orbit interactions. Thus far, the most novel manifestation of this interplay in this family of materials is the $t_{2g}^2$, $J_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ Mott insulating state, originally experimentally observed in the layered perovskite, Sr$_2$IrO$_4$ [1–3]. The iridates have inspired a large body of theoretical and experimental work [4], since the $J_{\text{eff}}$ levels have a mixed spin and orbital character, which may result in a host of exciting quantum ground states [5].

The interest in this field received a major boost when the Kitaev model (KM) for the honeycomb lattice and different Pauli matrix for each direction of bond on the honeycomb lattice was solved exactly, and its ground state is a spin liquid that has been sought after in Na$_2$IrO$_3$ and Li$_2$IrO$_3$. The KM can be solved exactly, and its ground state is a spin liquid that has been sought after in Na$_2$IrO$_3$ and Li$_2$IrO$_3$. On the experimental side, there are now fairly thorough studies of Na$_2$IrO$_3$ using both momentum resolved resonant inelastic x-ray and neutron scattering techniques that establish the pattern of magnetic ordering to be of an unusual zigzag type [12,19–21]. This has been possible in part due to the availability of large single crystals of Na$_2$IrO$_3$. Because of various difficulties in chemical synthesis, no such single crystals are available for Li$_2$IrO$_3$ and the detailed magnetic ordering pattern of this compound is still unknown. It is noted that an early study on polycrystal Li$_2$IrO$_3$ exhibited no magnetic order above 5 K [22], but more recent measurements show

\[ J_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} \]

\[ t_{2g}^2, \]

\[ t_{2g}^2, \]
and the basal plane $\beta$ susceptibility for inset of Fig. 2(b), we find consistent values for $x$ peaks in the evolution of distortion of the honeycomb lattice of Ir$^4$La near perfect honeycomb.

Specific heat $C$ is consistent with previous measurements on polycrystalline $c$ is more severely compressed than $a$ and $b$. For example, for $x = 0.90$, the decrease in $a$, $b$, and $c$ is 2.5%, 2.7%, and 6.5%, respectively. The corresponding angle between the $c$ axis and the basal plane $\beta$ increases to 109.58° for $x = 0.90$ from 108.67° for $x = 0$. In Fig. 1(b), we show how the distortion of the $a$-$b$ honeycomb lattice on which the Ir$^4$ ions from $L_2 > L_1$ for $x = 0$ to $L_1 > L_2$ for $x = 1$. At $x \approx 0.7$, the system is a near perfect honeycomb.

An important feature of this change is that the lattice parameter $c$ is more severely compressed than $a$ and $b$. For example, for $x = 0.90$, the decrease in $a$, $b$, and $c$ is 2.5%, 2.7%, and 6.5%, respectively. The corresponding angle between the $c$ axis and the basal plane $\beta$ increases to 109.58° for $x = 0.90$ from 108.67° for $x = 0$. In Fig. 1(b), we show how the distortion of the $a$-$b$ honeycomb lattice on which the Ir$^4$ ions from $L_2 > L_1$ for $x = 0$ to $L_1 > L_2$ for $x = 1$. At $x \approx 0.7$, the system is a near perfect honeycomb.

We extract $T_N$ by locating the low-temperature peak in the specific heat $C(T)$, shown in Fig. 2(a), as well as the in-plane susceptibility $\chi_\parallel(T)$, shown in Fig. 2(b). The pronounced peaks in $C(T)/T$ unambiguously signal a continuous magnetic phase transition for all $x$. As shown in the main panel and inset of Fig. 2(b), we find consistent values for $T_N$ extracted from $\chi_\parallel(T)$. Interestingly, $T_N(x)$ is not a smooth interpolation between the already known $x = 0$ and 1 limits. It is initially suppressed from 18 K for $x = 0$ to 5 K for $x = 0.28$ and then to 1.2 K for $x = 0.70$ before it rises to 1.4 K for $x = 0.80$ and finally 7 K for $x = 0.90$. We note that the trend of $T_N$ increasing again in our single crystal, $x = 0.9$, is consistent with previous measurements on polycrystalline samples of Li$_2$IrO$_3$ [10]. A phase diagram that summarizes $T_N$ and the Curie-Weiss scale $\theta_{CW}$ as a function of $x$ is shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). A natural conclusion based on the strongly suppressed value of $T_N$ is that it goes to zero for some $x$ and one encounters at least one quantum phase transition in the evolution from Na$_2$IrO$_3$ to Li$_2$IrO$_3$ at $x \approx 0.7$, implying that the magnetic ground states of Na$_2$IrO$_3$ and Li$_2$IrO$_3$ are not adiabatically connected. It is interesting to note that at $x \approx 0.7$, when $T_N$ is suppressed the most, the honeycomb plane is closest to ideal [i.e., $L_2/L_1 \approx 1$ in Fig. 1(b)]. Finally, we note that the dominant role of Li doping is to tune the chemical pressure, which in turn causes an evolution of the lattice structure. This can be verified by noting that if we dope in K instead of Li, $T_N$ increases, as shown in Fig. 3(a). This is consistent with K doping achieving a negative chemical pressure because the ionic radius of K is larger than Na.

Anisotropy in $\chi_\parallel/\chi_\perp$. There are a number of striking features observed in our measurements of the direction dependent susceptibility in our single-crystal samples; the data for $x = 0$ and 0.9 are shown in Fig. 4. First, there are large anisotropies in the susceptibility even when $T \gg T_N$; indeed, the Curie constant $A$ itself depends on the direction of the applied field. We define the Curie constant by the usual definition, $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{\parallel/\perp} = A_{\parallel/\perp}/T$. It is natural to attribute such anisotropies to the spin-orbit coupling (we study this in detail below). Second, the anisotropy between $\chi_\parallel(T)$ and
A and is the natural direction to associate with $\vec{n}$ along a body diagonal for all the oxygen octahedra. In the material [see the inset of Fig. 1(a)], the direction perpendicular to $\vec{n}$ is reversed upon Li doping; for $x = 0, A_\perp < A_\parallel$, but for $x = 0.9, A_\parallel > A_\perp$.

In order to understand the origin of this change, we calculate the $\chi_{\perp,\parallel}$ from the Hamiltonian for a single Ir ion with a $t_{2g}^1$ configuration with spin-orbit coupling $\lambda > 0$, a trigonal distortion $\Delta$, and an external field $\vec{H}$:

$$H = -\lambda \vec{I} \cdot \vec{s} - \Delta (\vec{I} \cdot \vec{n})^2 - \vec{h} \cdot (2\vec{s} - \vec{I}),$$  

(1)

where $\vec{I}$ are the usual spin-$1/2$ matrices and $\vec{s}$ are the usual spin-$1$ matrices, satisfying $[I_\alpha, I_\beta] = i I_\gamma$ and $[s_\alpha, s_\beta] = i s_\gamma$. We have made use of the well-known $l = 1$ description of the $t_{2g}$ states [25]. The trigonal distortion vector $\vec{h}$ must point along a body diagonal of a cube that circumscribes the oxygen octahedra. In the material [see the inset of Fig. 1(a)] the direction perpendicular to the honeycomb planes indeed points along a body diagonal for all the oxygen octahedra and is the natural direction to associate with $\vec{h}$ (we will verify this assumption from an analysis of the magnetic data below; structural data included in the SM [24] also verify this assertion). We calculate $A_{\parallel,\perp}$, which in our theoretical calculation (see Supplemental Material [24] for details) only depends on $\Delta/\lambda$, and these are plotted in Fig. 5(a). We make the following observations from our model calculations: Because of the rotational symmetry, $A$ is the same for all directions perpendicular to $\vec{h}$; when $\Delta = 0$ the response is rotationally invariant (i.e., $A_\parallel = A_\perp$) even when $\lambda \neq 0$; the anisotropy between $A_\parallel$ and $A_\perp$ is reversed as the sign of $\Delta$ changes; and, as expected for $\Delta/\lambda \to +\infty$, the system becomes rotationally invariant again.

At high temperatures ($T \gg \theta_{\text{CW}}$), the Ir ions contribute independently to the susceptibility and one can hence use the high-$T$ experimental data to extract the Curie constants $A$. First of all, we find that the experimentally measured $A$ is the same within our errors of analysis for different directions in the honeycomb plane, but it is clearly different for the direction perpendicular to the honeycomb layers [shown for $x = 0$ by the rotation experiments in Fig. 4(b)]; this fact substantiates our claim that the $\vec{h}$ vector is along the direction perpendicular to the honeycomb layers. Next, as noted above, the anisotropy in the susceptibility requires a finite $\Delta$, indicating that this parameter cannot be neglected in models of these materials. From Fig. 5, it is clear that the change in anisotropy between
Note that Na$_2$IrO$_3$ has a phase transition that occurs at a temperature limit. At $T = \infty$ this ratio should be simply $A_\perp/A_\parallel$. Note that Na$_2$IrO$_3$ has $A_\perp/A_\parallel > 1$ and hence $\Delta < 0$, and based on the shown extrapolation for $x = 0.9$, Li$_2$IrO$_3$ will extrapolate to $A_\perp/A_\parallel < 1$ with $\Delta > 0$. In both cases, clearly $\lambda \gg |\Delta|$.

$x = 0$ and 0.9 indicates that the sign of $\Delta$ changes between Na$_2$IrO$_3$ and Li$_2$IrO$_3$. Quantitatively, we extract the ratio $A_\perp/A_\parallel$ by extrapolating $\chi_\perp/\chi_\parallel$ as a function of $1/T$, as shown in Fig. 5(b). For Na$_2$IrO$_3$ we can do this reliably. We estimate $\Delta/\lambda = -0.05$ for Na$_2$IrO$_3$, which we note is smaller than previous estimates [18,21]. Based on our data, we conclude that for Li$_2$IrO$_3$ the sign of $\Delta$ changes and its magnitude is somewhat larger: Our best estimate gives $\Delta/\lambda \approx 0.1$.

In summary, we present evidence that Na$_2$IrO$_3$ and Li$_2$IrO$_3$ have distinct magnetic orders, by studying the evolution of structural, thermodynamic, and magnetic properties of (Na$_{1-x}$Li$_x$)$_2$IrO$_3$ with $x$ across a phase transition at $x \approx 0.7$. Two possible tuning parameters for the phase transition that we have identified are the crystal field splitting $\Delta$ and the anisotropy of the distortion of the honeycomb layers, both of which change sign from the Na to Li compounds. It is likely that a competition between the two is required to explain the magnetic ordering. Exploring these issues is an exciting direction for future theoretical research.
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[23] For comparison only, we also present some results on (Na$_{1-x}$K$_x$)$_2$IrO$_3$ (with $x < 0.02$); the pure compound K$_2$IrO$_3$ has not yet been synthesized.