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October 22, 1985

Richard A. Danner
Director of the Library and
   Associate Professor of Legal Research
School of Law
Duke University
Durham, North Carolina 27706

Dear Dick:

   The Scholarship Committee has reviewed the Lucille Elliott Scholarship application and wishes to recommend several additions to the form.

   Enclosed is a draft incorporating the proposed changes, as well as a copy of the form used by last year's Committee. Each of the proposed changes is discussed below:

Item 3: This question was added because the Committee assumes that applicants must be a member of S.E.A.L.L.

Item 4: Formerly Question 6, it was moved closer to the beginning because if answered in the affirmative, the applicant is not eligible for a grant and the rest of the information need not be considered.

Item 11: This statement is based on language used in the Continuing Education Grant Application of the Law Librarians' Society of Washington, D.C. A copy of their Application's Section 8 is attached. (Incidentally, thank you for forwarding that information to me.)

   No where on the application is it stated that the money can only be used for the purpose mentioned in Question 8. This information is included in the award letter from the Treasurer. However, the Committee thought it should be stated on the Application, so that all are informed at the beginning of the application process.
I have learned that the application form must be published in the December Newsletter, which means that the copy must reach the Editor by November 15.

The Committee appreciates any comments you may have about the proposed changes. Would it be possible for you to be in touch with me by November 1 so that any changes may be incorporated before the newsletter copy deadline?

Thank you very much for your help.

Sincerely yours,

Constance M. Matzen
Chairman
S.E.A.L.L. Scholarship Committee
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