4-15-1997

The History of the Word "Mere"

Michael Caufield
University of Washington
DOI: https://doi.org/10.13023/DISCLOSURE.06.11

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/disclosure

Part of the Poetry Commons
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 License.

Recommended Citation
DOI: https://doi.org/10.13023/DISCLOSURE.06.11
Available at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/disclosure/vol6/iss1/11

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Social Theory at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in disClosure: A Journal of Social Theory by an authorized editor of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.
AIDS activists demonstrate against Reagan policies, Wall St. at Trinity Church, downtown Manhattan. [photo: Chris Huestis]

shortly after the first language cooled
and right after books appeared and humans overpopulated the fields
it showed up
signifying want
against ideal abundance
a sly understatement that more often than not
could not differentiate between not, naught, naughty, knot
a word used to imply intellectual subtlety and force but
instead ended up expressing an inability to seductively resist
routine disaster
like girls sighing, grainbelts dying, mountainsides sliding, nimbus clouds
cleaving and
surf heaving retractorively to pull the world out
from under knotty stationary objects
not unlike the spindly white legs that
alliterate Imperial Palaces of Policy on their way to the destruction of all
green swaying empires of the
 senses
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