Improving quality of livestock products to meet market and community demands

Forage allowance and cow genotype, tools to increase animal production in native pastures
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**Introduction**

In eight plots (four per block) the effects of two FA per two cow genotypes were tested from August 2007 to March 2010, on a Campos grassland (major species were *Axonopus affinis*, *Oxalis* sp., *Cyperus* sp., *Cynodon dactylon*, *Eryngium nudicaule*, *Gaudinia fragilis*, *Chevreulia sarmentosa*, *Stipa setigera*, *Paspalum notatum* and *Coelorhachis sellaona*) in Uruguay (32° 20' S, 54° 26' W). Forage allowance varied seasonally, in HIGH (5, 3, 4 and 4 kg DM/kg LW) and LOW (3, 3, 2 and 2 kg DM/kg LW) during autumn, winter, spring and summer, respectively. Continuous stocking method was applied throughout the year, with FA adjusted monthly, using the “put and take method” (Mott and Lucas 1952). Thirty PURE (Hereford and Aberdeen Angus) and thirty CROSS (F1 reciprocal Hereford and Angus crosses) multiparous cows, aged four to eight years with normal calving and pregnancies, were randomly assigned to the plots. Cow LW and BCS were measured monthly and in key moments such as calving and at the beginning of the breeding season. BCS was visually assigned on a scale ranking from 1 = very thin to 8 = very fat (Vizcarra et al. 1986). Cows did not breed during summer 2010. Data of cow LW and BCS and calf weight at weaning (94 ± 31 d) were analyzed using the MIXED procedure (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA, 2002). The model included FA, cow genotype, year and their interactions as fixed effects, block as random effect, and for cow BCS at the beginning of the breeding season, cow BCS at calving was used as covariate. Tukey–Kramer test were conducted for mean separation (α = 0.05).

**Results**

Cow BCS at calving was affected by the interaction between forage allowance x year (P<0.01) and cow genotype x year (P<0.01), but not by forage allowance x cow genotype (P>0.5). Cow BCS at calving was higher in HIGH than in LOW only in 2009, after a severe drought. However, cow genotype affected BCS at calving during 2008 (Fig. 1). Cow BCS at the beginning of the breeding season was affected (P<0.05) by forage allowance during 2008 (start of the drought) and tended to be significant during 2007 (3 months after the beginning of the differential FA). Cow BCS at the beginning of breeding was affected by BCS from the previous calving. Reproductive rate is highly influenced by both cow BCS at calving (that affects the length of the anoestrous period), and BCS at the beginning of the breeding season that interacts with BCS at calving to determine early and total pregnancy rate (Soca et al. 2013). On the other hand calf weight at weaning was higher in HIGH than in LOW (120 vs 104 ± 2 kg) and in CROSS than in PURE (119 vs 105 ± 2 kg), which can be explained by the higher milk production in HIGH and CROSS cows (Gutierrez et al. 2012). There was no interaction of FA x cow genotype, but effects were additive, being 96.6, 112, 114 and 126 ± 2 kg for LOW-PURE, LOW-CROSS, HIGH-PURE and HIGH-CROSS respectively.

![Figure 1. Body condition score (BCS) of purebred (■) and crossbred (□) cows under HIGH (●) or LOW (□) forage allowance at calving or beginning of the breeding season. Mean differences (Tukey-Kramer) are indicated with **.](image-url)
Conclusions

Our work highlights the opportunity to enhance the BCS at calving and at the beginning of the breeding and the weight of calves at weaning through the use of FA and cow genotype. Differences between HIGH and LOW FA were not associated with a difference stocking rate (Do Carmo et al. 2013 at this congress).
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