



University of Kentucky
UKnowledge

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

22nd International Grassland Congress

Effects of Fertilizer Applications on Seed Yield and Quality of *Elymus sibiricus* in a Rain-Fed Condition

Qingfeng Li

Inner Mongolia Agricultural University, China

Xiao He

Inner Mongolia Agricultural University, China

Guihua Shi

Inner Mongolia Agricultural University, China

Follow this and additional works at: <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc>



Part of the [Plant Sciences Commons](#), and the [Soil Science Commons](#)

This document is available at <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/22/1-5/19>

The 22nd International Grassland Congress (Revitalising Grasslands to Sustain Our Communities) took place in Sydney, Australia from September 15 through September 19, 2013.

Proceedings Editors: David L. Michalk, Geoffrey D. Millar, Warwick B. Badgery, and Kim M.

Broadfoot

Publisher: New South Wales Department of Primary Industry, Kite St., Orange New South Wales, Australia

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Effects of fertilizer applications on seed yield and quality of *Elymus sibiricus* in a rain-fed condition

Li Qing-feng^A, He Xiao^A and Shi Gui-hua^B

^A Inner Mongolia Agricultural University, Huhhot 010019, Inner Mongolia, People's Republic of China

^B Xilinhote National Climate Observing Station, Xilinhote 026000, Inner Mongolia, People's Republic of China

Contact email: liff202@126.com

Keywords: *Elymus sibiricus*, fertilizer response, seed yield, seed quality.

Introduction

Siberian wildrye grass (*Elymus sibiricus* L.) is widely used for reseeding as part of grassland improvement programs in Inner Mongolia. Shortage of seed supply has been a problem that limits wider use of *E. sibiricus* in Northern China steppes. In this research, we investigate the effects of fertilizer application on the seed yield and seed quality of *E. sibiricus* grown under rain-fed conditions in Inner Mongolia.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was located in the Experimental Farm of Duolun Grassland Station, Duolun County, Inner Mongolia. Fertilizer was applied to a three year old *Elymus sibiricus* seed crop with a row width of 25 cm. Urea (46% - N), calcium superphosphate (18% - P₂O₅) and a local potash fertilizer (54% - K₂O) was used as sources of N, P and K nutrients.

The impact of three different fertilizer strategies on *E. sibiricus* seed production was examined: (1) the main effects and interactions of N, P, K inputs (Table 1); (2) the effect of variable N application with basal P (Table 2); and (3) Basal P with N applied according to stage of growth (Table 3). The treatments were set out in a randomized block design with three replicates and a plot size of 15 m².

At peak flowering time (mid July), flowering tillers (FT) were counted in a 1 m² quadrat in each treatment plot. Spikelet number per raceme (SR) and floret number per spikelet (FS) were measured with 20 randomized collected racemes in each plot. At harvesting, seed weight (SW) was measured with 100 seeds of 8 replicates. Final yield was measured by harvesting the seeds in a 1 m² quadrat in the treatment plot in early September. Potential yield was calculated as the product of FT x SR x FS x SW. Data measured for the control plots (CK) were denoted as 1 for easy relative comparison with other treatments.

Results

Seed yield response to different fertilizers

Results of the first year assessment (Table 4) showed that in general none of the yield components showed significant response to the fertilizer applications. However, there was an increase ($P < 0.05$) in yield measured with the NP and NPK combination treatments.

Seed yield responses to rated N and P applications

The significant effect of N and P on final yield was further investigated with results in Table 5 showing that there were significant responses to N and P applied alone. *E. sibiricus* final yield increased by 20% with application of N₄₀ to

Table 1. Fertilizer application details of the trial (kg/ha).

| Treatment | CK ₀ | N ₁₀₀ | P ₆₀ | K ₅₀ | N ₁₀₀ P ₆₀ | N ₁₀₀ K ₅₀ | P ₆₀ K ₅₀ | N ₁₀₀ P ₆₀ K ₅₀ |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| N applied | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| P ₂ O ₅ applied | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 60 | 60 |
| K ₂ O applied | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 50 |

Table 2. Treatment of different rated fertilizer applications (kg/ha).

| Treatment | N-CK | N ₄₀ | N ₈₀ | N ₁₂₀ | N ₁₆₀ | P _{CK} | P ₃₀ | P ₆₀ | P ₉₀ | P ₁₂₀ |
|-------------------------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| N | | 40 | 80 | 120 | 160 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| P ₂ O ₅ | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 0 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 |

Table 3. Treatments of N fertilizer application at different times (kg/ha).

| Treatment | N-Early | N-Late | N-E ₂₀ -L ₆₀ | N-E ₄₀ -L ₄₀ | N-E ₆₀ -L ₂₀ |
|---|---------|--------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| P ₂ O ₅ applied at early growth stage | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| N applied at early growth stage | 80 | 0 | 20 | 40 | 60 |
| N applied at shooting stage | 0 | 80 | 60 | 40 | 20 |

Table 4. Responses of different seed yield components to different fertilizer applications.

| Treatment | CK | N | P | K | NP | NK | PK | NPK |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Spikelet/Raceme | 38.44 | 38.50 | 40.07 | 40.03 | 39.62 | 39.55 | 40.03 | 40.51 |
| Standardized value | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.04 | 1.04 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.04 | 1.05 |
| Floret/Spikelet | 4.16 | 4.20 | 4.04 | 3.99 | 4.17 | 4.25 | 4.26 | 4.46 |
| Standardized value | 1.00 | 1.01 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.07 |
| 1000-seed weight (g) | 3.71 | 3.77 | 3.62 | 3.68 | 3.83 | 3.85 | 3.85 | 3.99 |
| Standardized value | 1.00 | 1.01 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 1.03 | 1.04 | 1.04 | 1.06 |
| Potential yield (kg/ha) | 4503 | 4627 | 4448 | 4461 | 4803 | 4912 | 4983 | 5472 |
| Standardized value | 1.00 | 1.03 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1.07 | 1.09 | 1.11 | 1.22 |
| Final yield (kg/ha) | 800 | 944 | 932 | 786 | 1091 | 930 | 922 | 1103 |
| Standardized value | 1.00 | 1.18 | 1.17 | 0.98 | 1.36* | 1.17 | 1.15 | 1.39* |

* significantly different at $P < 0.05$ level.

Table 5. Responses of seed yield components to different rated N and P applications.

| Treatment | N-CK | N ₄₀ | N ₈₀ | N ₁₂₀ | N ₁₆₀ | P-CK | P ₃₀ | P ₆₀ | P ₉₀ | P ₁₂₀ |
|-------------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Spikelet/Raceme | 33.21 | 35.14 | 35.21 | 35.74 | 33.80 | 37.24 | 38.89 | 39.80 | 39.78 | 38.75 |
| Standardized value | 1.00 | 1.06 | 1.06 | 1.08 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 1.04 | 1.07 | 1.07 | 1.04 |
| Floret/Spikelet | 4.07 | 4.25 | 4.22 | 4.34 | 3.97 | 4.24 | 4.08 | 4.32 | 4.35 | 4.06 |
| Standardized value | 1.00 | 1.04 | 1.04 | 1.07 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 1.02 | 1.03 | 0.96 |
| 1000-seed weight (g) | 3.64 | 3.81 | 3.82 | 3.92 | 3.80 | 3.88 | 3.87 | 3.91 | 3.92 | 3.96 |
| Standardized value | 1.00 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 1.08 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.02 |
| Potential yield (kg/ha) | 3734 | 4318 | 4308 | 4580 | 3870 | 4650 | 4661 | 5103 | 5749 | 4729 |
| Standardized value | 1.00 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.23 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.09 | 1.24 | 1.02 |
| Final yield (kg/ha) | 736 | 879 | 890 | 972 | 756 | 757 | 743 | 823 | 1124 | 894 |
| Standardized value | 1.00 | 1.19* | 1.21* | 1.32* | 1.03 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.09 | 1.48* | 1.18 |

* significantly different at $P < 0.05$ level.

Table 6. Seed yield responses to N application at different times.

| Treatment | N-Early | N-Late | N-E ₂₀ -L ₆₀ | N-E ₄₀ -L ₄₀ | N-E ₆₀ -L ₂₀ |
|-------------------------|---------|--------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Spikelet/Raceme | 38.15 | 36.15 | 36.15 | 36.88 | 38.48 |
| Standardized value | 1.06 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.02 | 1.06 |
| Floret/Spikelet | 4.17 | 4.11 | 4.10 | 4.21 | 4.20 |
| Standardized value | 1.01 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| 1000-seed weight (g) | 3.87 | 3.82 | 3.80 | 3.88 | 3.99 |
| Standardized value | 1.01 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| Potential yield (kg/ha) | 4673 | 4308 | 4275 | 4572 | 4894 |
| Standardized value | 1.08 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.06 | 1.14 |
| Final yield (kg/ha) | 790 | 610 | 657 | 881 | 946 |
| Standardized value | 1.29* | 1.00 | 1.08 | 1.44* | 1.55* |

N₁₂₀, but declined with the highest N rate. A clear optimum was detected for P at the application rate of 90 kg P₂O₅/ha (Table 5). At the higher rate of 120 kg P₂O₅/ha yield declined indicating that other nutrients rather than P were limiting production. The results suggest that *E. sibiricus* yield is enhanced with N and P inputs of 120 kg/ha and 90 kg/ha, respectively.

Seed yield response to separated application times

Results in Table 6 showed that when 80 kg/ha urea was applied only once, an early application at the growth initiating stage was superior in final yield to a late application at shooting stage. When the application was divided into two times, a heavy early rate plus a light late rate achieved the highest yield. A light early rate plus heavy late rate showed almost no effects on seed yield.

Effects of fertilizer application on seed quality

There was no significant difference between any two treatments, although all the fertilizer applications seemed beneficial to seed germination performance.

Discussion and conclusion

A combined fertilizer application of 90-120 kg/ha urea and 60-90 kg P₂O₅/ha was considered a suitable rate for seed production in the experimental conditions. An early application was much more effective than the late application.

The similarities in seed germinability and seed vigour index obtained from the various fertilizer treatments indicated that plant nutrition level may not be an important factor affecting seed quality.

Cost efficiency is the most important factor determining the management decision whether or not to use fertilizers. In the current study, a maximum 1.54 fold seed yield over the CK was obtained by fertilizer application. A 500 Yuan/ha minimum net profit is expected from the fertilizer application. However, in comparison with other crops and even with the production for forage, this net income is still minimal. Furthermore, when considering the risk of unpredictable weather, the reluctance for any extra input, such as applying fertilizers into the field, was understandable.