



University of Kentucky
UKnowledge

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

22nd International Grassland Congress

Production of Giant *Panicum* in Contrasting Environments in Semi-Arid Kenya

Donald M. G. Njarui

Kenya Agricultural Research Institute, Kenya

Mwangi Gatheru

Kenya Agricultural Research Institute, Kenya

David Miano Mwangi

Kenya Agricultural Research Institute, Kenya

George A. Keya

Kenya Agricultural Research Institute, Kenya

Follow this and additional works at: <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc>



Part of the [Plant Sciences Commons](#), and the [Soil Science Commons](#)

This document is available at <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/22/1-4/19>

The 22nd International Grassland Congress (Revitalising Grasslands to Sustain Our Communities) took place in Sydney, Australia from September 15 through September 19, 2013.

Proceedings Editors: David L. Michalk, Geoffrey D. Millar, Warwick B. Badgery, and Kim M.

Broadfoot

Publisher: New South Wales Department of Primary Industry, Kite St., Orange New South Wales, Australia

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Production of giant *Panicum* in contrasting environments in semi-arid Kenya

Donald M G Njarui^A, Mwangi Gatheru^A, David M Mwangi^B and George A Keya^B

^A KARI-Katumani Research Centre, PO Box 340 -90100, Machakos, Kenya

^B KARI Headquarters, POBox 57811 - 00200, Nairobi, Kenya

Contact email: donaldnjarui@yahoo.com

Keywords: Giant panicum, semi-arid, production.

Introduction

Giant panicum (*Panicum maximum* Jacq.) is a tall, vigorous perennial grass that is native to tropical and sub-tropical Africa. It is drought tolerant due to its deep and dense fibrous roots system and grows in a wide range of soil types. It is an important livestock feed and has been extensively cultivated in Brazil (Santos *et al.* 2006). Despite its wide genetic diversity in East Africa, its potential for livestock feed has not been exploited there due to limited research. Our research was aimed at evaluating the production of several giant panicum ecotypes in contrasting environments in semi-arid areas of Kenya.

Methods

The study was conducted at Kambi ya Mawe (KYM) (1° 57'S, 37° 40'E), Katumani (1°35'S, 37°14'E) and Ithookwe (1°37'S, 38°02'E) in the semi-arid, mid-altitude region of eastern Kenya. The elevation of this area ranges from 1100 to 1600 m above sea level with mean annual rainfall of between 500 to 1000 mm. All locations have a bimodal rainfall distribution with long rains occurring from March to May and short rains from October to December. The soil ranges from chromic luvisols to red sandy soils.

Nineteen giant panicum ecotypes collected from Kenya

were evaluated along with one commercial cultivar (cv. Makueni) as a control. The experiment was a randomized complete block design with three replications. Plot sizes were 4 m x 4 m with 1 m between plots and 1.5 m between replications. A single root split was planted in each hole at a spacing of 1 m between and within rows in November 2008. Dry matter (DM) estimates were obtained every eight weeks for a period of three years from 2009 to 2011. Data were subjected to analysis of variance, and least significant differences between means were calculated.

Results

The DM yields averaged over the three years at each site (2009-2011) were significant ($P < 0.05$) among panicum ecotypes (Table 1). Mean yield was highest at Katumani (6.56 t/ha) and lowest at KYM (5.27 t/ha). Seven out of the 20 ecotypes failed to survive at KYM, and this was attributed to low rainfall at this site (annual rainfall <500 mm). The DM yield averaged across sites was highest in 2010 (9.37 t/ha) and lowest in 2011 (3.60 t/ha) (Table 1). In 2009, ecotype K52-129 was the most productive, though its DM yield was not higher ($P > 0.05$) than ecotypes 105, 100, 76 and 25. In 2010, the panicum ecotypes were more productive with seven attaining 10 t/ha. In 2011, ecotype 35




Figure 1. The effect of number of tillers on dry matter (DM) yield of giant panicum at: (a) Katumani; (b) Kambi ya Mawe; and (c) Ithookwe. The prediction equations were derived from data for total DM yield and tiller numbers obtained in 2009, 2010 and 2011 at Katumani and KYM, and for Ithookwe in 2010 and 2011.

Table 1. Dry matter yield of giant panicum ecotypes across locations and through time.

Ecotype number	Location				Year			
	Katumani	Kambi ya Mawe	Ithookwe	Mean	2009	2010	2011	Mean
	(t/ha)							
2	7.09	- [†]	2.87	4.98	-	-	-	-
15	6.62	-	3.93	5.27	-	-	-	-
17	5.45	7.30	6.64	6.46	4.75	10.93	3.71	6.46
19	5.64	6.36	6.31	6.10	4.38	10.27	3.66	6.10
25	7.01	6.15	6.15	6.44	5.47	10.17	3.66	6.44
35	6.52	4.86	4.98	5.46	3.12	8.00	5.25	5.46
64	6.02	-	4.87	5.45	4.47	6.96	-	5.71
76	7.68	4.02	7.83	6.51	5.73	9.84	3.95	6.51
85	6.87	5.41	5.85	6.04	5.01	10.00	3.11	6.04
93	6.48	3.48	5.31	5.09	4.49	7.72	3.07	5.09
97	7.64	-	7.45	7.55	-	-	-	-
99	5.99	3.49	5.65	5.04	3.50	8.88	2.75	5.04
100	7.61	5.05	7.64	6.77	6.02	10.26	4.03	6.77
104	5.88	7.01	6.01	6.30	4.94	10.00	3.96	6.30
105	5.79	-	10.41	8.10	6.98	-	-	6.98
106	6.67	5.39	5.42	5.83	4.82	9.33	3.33	5.83
107	6.38	-	6.29	6.33	-	-	-	-
108	6.89	-	7.30	7.09	-	-	-	-
K52-129	5.71	5.84	8.77	6.77	7.28	10.23	2.80	6.77
cv. Makueni	7.21	4.16	4.56	5.31	3.76	8.64	3.53	5.31
Mean	6.56	5.27	6.21	6.14	4.98	9.37	3.60	6.05

[†]Ecotype failed to survive at Kambi ya Mawe; LSD ($P<0.05$): ecotype effect = 1.68; location effect = 0.66; ecotype x location effect = 2.60

LSD ($P<0.05$): ecotype effect = 1.12; year effect = 0.54; ecotype x year = 1.95

produced the highest DM (5.25 t/ha), while 99 had the lowest (2.75 t/ha). The number of tillers accounted for 43.7, 48.2 and 52.1% of the variation in DM yield at Katumani, KYM and Ithookwe, respectively (Fig. 1).

Conclusions

A number of giant panicum ecotypes were shown to be productive and have potential use as fodder in the semi-arid area of Kenya. However, before being integrated into the farming system, management practices need to be developed for the most productive ecotypes and their feeding value to livestock needs to be evaluated.

Acknowledgements

Our gratitude is extended to the Director, Kenya Agricultural Research Institute (KARI) for supporting this study. This study was funded by the European Union and KARI under the Kenya Arid and Semi-Arid Land Programme (KASAL).

Reference

Santos PM, Corsi M, Pedreira CGS, Lima CG (2006) Tiller cohort development and digestibility in Tanzania guinea grass (*Panicum maximum* cv. Tanzania) under three levels of grazing intensity. *Tropical Grasslands* **40**, 84-93.