



Challenges to Domesticating "Native" Forage Legumes

James P. Muir
Texas AgriLife Research

José C. B. Dubeux Jr.
Federal Rural University of Pernambuco, Brazil

Mércia V. F. dos Santos
Federal Rural University of Pernambuco, Brazil

Inacio C. Maposse
Instituto de Investigação Agrária de Moçambique, Mozambique

William D. Pitman
Louisiana State University

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc>



Part of the [Plant Sciences Commons](#), and the [Soil Science Commons](#)

This document is available at <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/22/1-4/14>

The XXII International Grassland Congress (Revitalising Grasslands to Sustain Our Communities) took place in Sydney, Australia from September 15 through September 19, 2013.

Proceedings Editors: David L. Michalk, Geoffrey D. Millar, Warwick B. Badgery, and Kim M.

Broadfoot

Publisher: New South Wales Department of Primary Industry, Kite St., Orange New South Wales, Australia

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Presenter Information

James P. Muir, José C. B. Dubeux Jr., Mércia V. F. dos Santos, Inacio C. Maposse, William D. Pitman, and Twain J. Butler

Challenges to domesticating “native” forage legumes

James P Muir^A, José CB Dubeux Jr^B, Mércia V Ferreira dos Santos^B, Inacio C Maposse^C, William D Pitman^D and Twain J Butler^E

^A Texas AgriLife Research, 1229 North US Hwy 281, Stephenville, TX 76401, USA

^B Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, CNPq fellow, Av. D. Manoel de Medeiros, s/n, Recife, Pernambuco 52061-160 Brazil

^C Instituto de Investigação Agrária de Moçambique, Maputo

^D Louisiana State Univ. Agricultural Center, 11959 Hwy 9, Homer, LA 71040, USA

^E The Samuel Roberts Noble Foundation, 2510 Sam Noble Parkway, Ardmore, Oklahoma 73401, USA

Contact email: j-muir@tamu.edu

Keywords: Ecotypes, forbs, germplasm, herbaceous, plant adaptation regions.

Introduction

If ruminant production from cultivated and natural grasslands is to depend less on petroleum-based products, forage legumes must serve as protein sources. Commercially available legumes for warm-dry climate grasslands are, however, very limited and resources available for developing such legumes are inadequate. Indeterminate flowering and dehiscent seed pods combined with the need for specialized seed harvesting equipment are major impediments (Butler and Muir 2012). Warm climates often present environmental challenges such as poor rainfall distribution, extended dry seasons, temperature extremes and aggressive grass species (Muir *et al.* 2011). Erosion of indigenous knowledge and replacement with inappropriate land management approaches from moist-temperate regions compound the challenges.

Limited efforts to commercialize local “native” legumes address this opportunity. The few current programs are regional and limited to locations with support from wealthy segments of the population. South Texas Natives (Smith *et al.* 2010), one success story in North America, benefits from ranchers interested in wildlife restoration, government agencies under pressure to avoid exotic germplasm for rangeland restoration and roadside revegetation, energy companies obligated to restore disturbed grasslands with natives and interested local commercial seed companies. Such broad-based support including involvement of grazing managers is rare, especially where most distinctly needed.

Germplasm for native area restoration is sought from the target ecosystem, but such local seed is often expensive and may not be available. Some herbaceous grassland legumes, however, are found across wide ranges of latitude, longitude, soil, climate and ecosystems. Examples in North America include *Desmanthus illinoensis* (Michx.) MacMill. found from southern Canada to northern Mexico and *Desmodium paniculatum* (L.) DC. native from the Rio Grande to the Atlantic coast (Diggs *et al.* 1999). Specific ecotypes of these species, however, are rarely suitable everywhere the species is endemic. To provide adaptable varieties for use on a commercial scale, groups such as South

Texas Natives are forced to work within plant adaptation regions (Vogel *et al.* 2005) with genetically diverse populations.

Current Status

Past and current research topics

Research on local herbaceous legumes in warm-dry climates has been ongoing for decades. Table 1 summarizes some of these efforts. With few exceptions, insufficient germplasm has been collected for thorough evaluation. Germination assessments indicate widespread hardseededness requiring scarification for rapid stand establishment. Tremendous range in growth form among species and wide genetic variation within some promising species provide opportunities. Forage nutritive value and palatability differences among legume species and between legumes and grasses require consideration for sustainable populations with bunch-grass growth more favourable to most legumes than sod-forming grasses.

Future research needs

Future research is needed on seed harvest technology (especially how to mitigate pod dehiscing), seedling vigour, grazing/browsing tolerance, persistence under natural conditions, multiple uses, and long-term population dynamics. Success will require research at scales and locations beyond typical trials.

Conclusions

Efforts to domesticate “native” legumes for warm-dry climates have had limited application in grasslands. Some progress has occurred, but seed cost of even the most successful varieties limits extensive use. Further research and marketing are required if native legumes are to contribute to productive and sustainable natural and cultivated grasslands. A commercially viable seed industry to support widespread use of native legumes will require acceptance of broadly adapted, genetically diverse, and superior genotypes rather than only local ecotypes. Discerning and wealthy clientele are also a prerequisite for success.

Table 1. Examples of published research focusing on domestication of native herbaceous grassland legumes.

Topic	Genera	Location	Results	Citation
Diversity/collection	Multiple	Southern Africa USA, Brazil	Variable	Maposse et al. (2003) Smith et al. (2010) Trytsman et al. (2011)
Germination	Multiple	USA	Scarification	Multiple
Seed yield	<i>Neptunia</i> , others	USA	Variable	Muir et al. (2005)
Agronomy	<i>Desmodium</i> <i>Dalea</i> , <i>Rhynchosia</i>	USA	Determinate, upright	McGraw et al. (2004) Muir et al. (2005)
Quality	<i>Strophostyles</i>	USA	High	Foster et al. (2007)
Mixes	<i>Desmanthus</i> <i>Lespedeza</i>	USA	Bunch grasses	Springer et al. (2001) Muir and Pitman (2004)
Persistence	<i>Dalea</i>	USA	Relative palatability	Berg (1995)
Genetic variability	<i>Desmanthus</i> <i>Acacia</i>	USA	Wide	Kulakow (1999) Noah et al. (2012)
Releases	Multiple	USA	Universities, NRCS	Muir et al. (2011)

References

- Berg WA (1995) Native forb persistence under grazing of a southern great plains planting. Proc. V International Rangeland Congress (Society for Range Management, Denver, USA).
- Butler TJ, Muir JP (2012) Perspective on forage legume systems for the tallgrass and mixed-grass prairies of the Southern Great Plains of Texas and Oklahoma. *Crop Science* **52**, 1971-1979.
- Diggs Jr. GM, Lipsomb BL, O'Kennon RJ (1999) Shinnery and Mahler's illustrated flora of north central Texas. (Botanical Research Institute of Texas: Fort Worth, USA).
- Foster JL, Muir JP, Lambert BD, Pawelek D (2007) In situ and in vitro degradation of native warm-season legumes and alfalfa in goats and steers fed sorghum-Sudan basal diet. *Animal Feed Science Technology* **133**, 228-239.
- Kulakow PA (1999) Variation in Illinois bundleflower (*Desmanthus illinoensis* (Michaux) MacMillan): a potential perennial grain legume. *Euphytica* **110**, 7-20.
- Maposse IC, Muir JP, Alage A (2003) Status of forage and range research in Mozambique. *African Journal of Range Forage Science* **20**, 63-68.
- McGraw RL, Shocley FW, Thomspson JF and Roberts CA (2004) Evaluation of native legume species for forage yield, quality, and seed production. *Native Plants Journal* **5**, 153-259.
- Muir JP, Pitman WD (2004) Establishment of *Desmanthus* spp. in existing grass stands. *Native Plants Journal* **5**, 5-13.
- Muir JP, Pitman WD, Foster JL (2011) Sustainable, low-input warm-season pasture grass-legume mixes: mission (nearly) impossible? *Grass and Forage Science* **66**, 301-452.
- Muir JP, Taylor J, Interrante SM (2005) Herbage and seed from native perennial herbaceous legumes of Texas. *Rangeland Ecology and Management* **58**, 643-651.
- Noah RL, Muir JP, Brady JA, Wittie RD, Kattes DH, Pitman WD, Rea GL, Brakie MR (2012) Genotypic and phenotypic variability in three prairie *Acacia* accessions. *Crop Science* **52**, 951-959.
- Smith FS, Lloyd-Reilley J, Ocumpaugh WR (2010) South Texas Natives: a collaborative regional effort to meet restoration needs in south Texas. *Native Plants Journal* **11**, 252-268.
- Springer TL, Aiken GE, McNew RW (2001) Combining ability of binary mixtures of native, warm-season grasses and legumes. *Crop Science* **41**, 818-823.
- Trytsman M, van Wyk AE, Masemola EL (2011) Systematics, diversity and forage value of indigenous legumes of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. *African Journal of Biotechnology* **10**, 13773-13779.
- Vogel KP, Schmer MR, Mitchell RB (2005) Plant adaptation regions: ecological and climatic classification of plant materials. *Rangeland Ecology and Management* **58**, 315-319.