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LAT1 Protein Content Increases Following 12 Weeks of Resistance Exercise Training in Human Skeletal Muscle

Paul A. Roberson 1†‡, C. Brooks Mobley 2, Matthew A. Romero 1‡, Cody T. Haun 1‡, Shelby C. Osburn 1, Petey W. Mumford 1‡, Christopher G. Vann 1, Rory A. Greer 1‡, Arny A. Ferrando 3 and Michael D. Roberts 1*†

1 School of Kinesiology, Auburn University, Auburn, AL, United States, 2 Department of Physiology, College of Medicine, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, United States, 3 Department of Geriatrics, Donald W. Reynolds Institute on Aging, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AK, United States

Introduction: Amino acid transporters are essential for cellular amino acid transport and promoting protein synthesis. While previous literature has demonstrated the association of amino acid transporters and protein synthesis following acute resistance exercise and amino acid supplementation, the chronic effect of resistance exercise and supplementation on amino acid transporters is unknown. The purpose herein was to determine if amino acid transporters and amino acid metabolic enzymes were related to skeletal muscle hypertrophy following resistance exercise training with different nutritional supplementation strategies.

Methods: 43 college-aged males were separated into a maltodextrin placebo (PLA, n = 12), leucine (LEU, n = 14), or whey protein concentrate (WPC, n = 17) group and underwent 12 weeks of total-body resistance exercise training. Each group’s supplement was standardized for total energy and fat, and LEU and WPC supplements were standardized for total leucine (6 g/d). Skeletal muscle biopsies were obtained prior to training and ~72 h following each subject’s last training session.

Results: All groups increased type I and II fiber cross-sectional area (fCSA) following training (p < 0.050). LAT1 protein increased following training (p < 0.001) and increased more in PLA than LEU and WPC (p < 0.050). BCKDHα protein increased and ATF4 protein decreased following training (p < 0.001). Immunohistochemistry indicated total LAT1/fiber, but not membrane LAT1/fiber, increased with training (p = 0.003). Utilizing all groups, the change in ATF4 protein, but no other marker, trended to correlate with the change in fCSA (r = 0.314; p = 0.055); however, when regression analysis was used to delineate groups, the change in ATF4 protein best predicted the change in fCSA only in LEU (r² = 0.322; p = 0.043). In C2C12 myoblasts, LAT1 protein overexpression caused a paradoxical decrease in protein synthesis levels (p = 0.002) and decrease in BCKDHα protein (p = 0.001).
INTRODUCTION

Skeletal muscle possesses the unique ability to adapt to metabolic and loading demands. For example, disuse and physical inactivity contribute to muscle atrophy (1, 2), while both aerobic and resistance training can improve skeletal muscle quality and promote hypertrophy (3, 4). To enhance exercise training adaptations it has been suggested to ingest upwards of 1.6–2.0 g/kg of dietary protein (5–7). While it remains to be fully determined how greater consumption of amino acids promotes muscle growth, essential amino acids (and leucine in particular) are capable of simulating increases in muscle protein synthesis suggesting a positive role for amino acids in promoting skeletal muscle hypertrophy (8–10).

In skeletal muscle, amino acid transporters function by transporting amino acids that are unique to specific transporters from the interstitial fluid to the sarcoplasm of muscle fibers. For instance, the L-type amino acid transporter 1 (LAT1) primarily transports leucine into the sarcoplasm while co-transporting glutamine out of the cell, whereas the sodium-coupled neutral amino acid transporter 2 (SNAT2) co-transport both sodium and glutamine into the sarcoplasm. These two transport proteins work in tandem such that SNAT2 brings in glutamine for LAT1 and glutamine into the sarcoplasm. As such, it could be posited that an increase in protein synthesis through activation of the mechanistic target of rapamycin in complex 1 (mTORC1) (11–13). Another important amino acid transporter, proton-assisted amino acid transporter 1 (PAT1), lies within the lysosomal membrane and is speculated to be important for sensing lysosomal amino acids as well as signaling mTORC1 to the lysosomal membrane to promote the complex’s activation (14). These intricate mechanisms illustrate that amino acid transporters are likely critical for facilitating skeletal muscle hypertrophy.

The previously mentioned amino acid transporters share a common transcription factor known as activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) (15, 16). It could be posited that an increase in ATF4 protein would induce the transcription of the aforementioned amino acid transporters and, thereafter, promote an increase in protein synthesis by increasing intracellular amino acid concentrations. Indeed, several acute human studies have demonstrated that increases in protein synthesis occur in conjunction with an increase in ATF4 protein levels (17–21). However, recent literature suggests that inhibition or knockdown of ATF4 protein promotes protein synthesis, improves muscle strength and quality, and increases muscle fiber diameter in mice (22–24). It has yet to be determined how ATF4 protein is altered following exercise and/or nutrition chronic interventions in human skeletal muscle.

Metabolism of branched-chain amino acids (BCAAs) also plays a role in regulating protein synthesis. Notably, BCAA catabolism begins in the mitochondria with the reversible transamination of BCAAs by the metabolic enzyme branched-chain aminotransferase 2 (BCAT2) which produces branched-chain a-keto acids (BCKAs). BCKAs then undergo irreversible oxidative decarboxylation by the branched-chain a-keto acid dehydrogenase (BCKDH) complex. Importantly, the BCKDH reaction is the rate-limiting enzyme and the commitment step for BCAA metabolism. Regulation of the BCKDH complex is tightly controlled by BCKDH kinase and BCKDH phosphatase. BCKDH kinase phosphorylates the BCKDH complex on the alpha/E1 component (BCKDHα) to inactivate it (25), while BCKDH phosphatase dephosphorylates the BCKDH complex to activate it, resulting in BCAA catabolism. The literature involving the BCKDH complex with regard to humans, exercise, and nutrition is scarce and discrepant. For instance, in rat skeletal muscle it was reported that endurance training decreases skeletal muscle BCKDH kinase protein content (26); however, in human skeletal muscle, endurance training increases BCKDH kinase protein content (27). Interestingly, exercise increases activation of the complex to promote oxidation of BCAAs (28, 29). Furthermore, BCKDH kinase is inactivated by protein or amino acid supplementation promoting increased BCAA oxidation (29). Research on the complex involving exercise has utilized various forms of endurance exercise; however, the effects of resistance exercise and nutrition on regulation of the complex is unknown.

Resistance exercise independently, and with essential amino acid or protein supplementation, has been shown to improve skeletal muscle size, function, and quality in both young and old humans (30–36). Furthermore, acute resistance exercise has been shown to independently increase the mRNA expression and protein content of amino acid transporters (19, 37). Researchers have also demonstrated that amino acid transporter protein content is further improved when a supplement containing amino acids is ingested following exercise (18, 21, 38). Studies in this area, however, have only examined the acute response following resistance exercise, and the chronic response following resistance exercise training has yet to be determined. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine how skeletal muscle ATF4 protein levels, amino acid transporters, and metabolic enzymes involved with BCAAs catabolism are altered following resistance exercise training with different nutritional supplementation strategies, and if these changes are related to skeletal muscle fiber hypertrophy. Furthermore, based on these

Conclusions: Amino acid transporters and metabolic enzymes are affected by resistance exercise training, but do not appear to dictate muscle fiber hypertrophy. In fact, overexpression of LAT1 in vitro decreased protein synthesis.
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in vivo findings, in vitro experiments were performed to elucidate potential mechanisms.

METHODS

Ethical Approval and Participant Screening
Prior to commencing this study, this protocol was reviewed and approved by the Auburn University Institutional Review Board and was in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration (approved protocol #: 15-320 MR 1508). Participants read and signed an informed consent prior to participation. Males that were apparently healthy, free of medical or orthopedic conditions, 19–23 years old, and unaccustomed to resistance training were recruited for participation. Participants stated they had not resistance trained within 6 months, used anabolic steroids, consumed dietary protein in excess of 2.0 g/kg/day regularly, or been consuming dietary supplements.

Experimental Design
This study is a follow-up analysis from a prior study, and readers are encouraged to read Mobley et al. (39) for complete methods including participant assignment to supplement groups according to whole-body lean tissue mass (assessed by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry). Importantly, certain groups from that investigation were omitted (i.e., PLA and WPH), given that we felt the included groups (i.e., PLA, LEU, and WPC) would better delineate supplementation-related effects related to leucine and all amino acids (further described in “Supplementation and Dietary Intake”). Briefly, for baseline testing (PRE), participants refrained from physical activity for 96 h, reported to the laboratory hydrated (urine specific gravity <1.020), and 4 h fasted. Participants were then analyzed for body composition via DEXA (General Electric Lunar Prodigy enCORE, version 10.50086; Madison, WI, USA) and donated a skeletal muscle biopsy sample from the vastus lateralis. Two to three days following PRE, initial strength testing was conducted, and these baseline values were used for program prescription. Upon PRE completion, supplementation including placebo (PLA), leucine (LEU), and whey protein concentrate (WPC) was assigned to participants. Participants were instructed to consume supplements twice daily throughout the resistance exercise training protocol, which was completed 3x/week for 12 weeks. Approximately 72 h following each participant’s last training session, the participant arrived similar to PRE conditions, underwent DEXA analysis, and donated a second skeletal muscle biopsy sample (POST).

Skeletal Muscle Biopsy
Skeletal muscle biopsy procedures have been described by our laboratory elsewhere (40, 41). Briefly, participants were instructed to lay supine on a treatment table. Excision of vastus lateralis tissue using a 5 mm Bergstrom Biopsy needle with suction was performed using local anesthesia (1% Xylocaine) and aseptic technique. Tissue was immediately blotted and then distributed for immunohistochemistry (IHC) and molecular analyses. The IHC section was placed in a cryomold with optimal cutting temperature (OCT) media (Electron Microscopy Sciences; Hatfield, PA, USA), slowly frozen in liquid nitrogen-cooled isopentane, and then stored at –80°C until staining procedures. Leftover tissue following IHC partitioning was flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80°C until further analyses. PRE and POST muscle biopsies were taken from the same leg at least 5 cm apart.

Supplementation and Dietary Intake
Participants were counterbalanced into one of five groups although as previously mentioned only three groups were utilized: placebo (PLA), leucine (LEU), and whey protein concentrate (WPC). Group counterbalancing was based on DEXA lean body mass. Groups differed based on the supplementation provided, and the nutritional breakdown for each supplement is provided in Table 1. Notably, each PLA serving contained 44.4 g of carbohydrate with little leucine and protein, each LEU serving contained 43.1 g of carbohydrate with 2,871 mg of leucine and little protein, and WPC serving contained little carbohydrate with 2,794 mg of leucine and 26.3 g of protein. Each supplement was formulated to contain a similar amount of total energy (kcal) and fat (g) and was blinded to laboratory personnel and participants. Supplements were similar in appearance, taste, texture, and packaging; however, supplement packages only contained a serial number and were double-blinded to the investigators as well as the participants. The serial numbers corresponding to the individual supplements were kept blind to the staff until the conclusion of the study.

Participants were instructed to consume two servings per day of their respective supplement. On training days, one serving was consumed immediately following resistance exercise, and the other serving was consumed prior to bed. On non-training days, one serving was consumed between meals, and the other serving was consumed prior to bed. Supplements were mixed in shaker bottles with 500 mL of water, and participants were instructed as to how to blend their supplement. Individual packets were distributed in a 3-week supply, and empty packets were returned to ensure compliance. Participants that did not consume 80% or more of packets were excluded from analyses.

Food logs were filled out by participants at baseline (PRE) and week 12 (POST) of training. Participants were given detailed instructions on how to determine food proportions and thoroughness of meal description needed for accurate assessment. Four daily food records (2 weekdays [Mon–Fri]
Participants were instructed to not add supplementation to their food logs. Upon completion of the study, supplement nutrition was added to existing totals and then averaged to represent participants’ eating habits.

**Resistance Training Program**

Strength testing was completed at PRE whereby participants performed a 3-repetition maximum for squat and bench press. Following PRE testing, participants completed 12 weeks of resistance exercise training prescribed 3x/week. Exercises included squat, bench press, deadlift, and bent-over row prescribed at 4 sets of 10 repetitions on Sunday or Monday, 6 sets of 4 repetitions on Tuesday or Wednesday, and 5 sets of 6 repetitions on Thursday or Friday. Relative training intensities were based on PRE testing 3-repetition maximum values and increased weekly with the exception of 2 weeks (weeks 7 and 12) which were dedicated for “deloading” (i.e., a reduction in training volume) to prevent injury and promote recovery. More information regarding progression through the training period can be found within Mobley et al. (39). Participants who missed more than 4 exercise sessions were not included in analyses due to lack of training compliance. POST strength testing was performed following 12 weeks of resistance exercise training whereby participants performed a 3-repetition maximum for squat and bench press.

**Western Blot Analysis**

For protein analyses, ~50 mg from each muscle biopsy sample was placed in 1.7 mL microcentrifuge tubes containing 500 μL of ice-cold cell lysis buffer [20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM Na₂EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% Triton; Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA] pre-stocked with protease and Tyr/Ser/Thr phosphatase inhibitors (2.5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM β-glycerophosphate, 1 mM Na₃VO₄, 1 μg/mL leupeptin). Samples were then homogenized by hand via micropestle manipulation, insoluble proteins were removed with centrifugation at 500 g for 5 min and obtained sample lysates were batch process- assayed for total protein content using a BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Lysates were then prepared for Western blotting using 4x Laemmli buffer and standardized for protein content at 1.0 μg/mL. Samples were equally loaded onto 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gels (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) and subjected to electrophoresis (150 V for 60 min) using pre-made 1x SDS-PAGE running buffer (Ameresco). Proteins were then transferred (200 mA for 2 h) to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Bio-Rad), Ponceau S stained, and imaged to ensure equal protein loading between lanes. Membranes were then blocked for 1 h at room temperature with 5% non-fat milk powder in Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween-20 (TBST; Ameresco, Solon, OH, USA). Following blocking, membranes were incubated in primary antibodies including: ATF4 (1:2,000; Abcam, ab1371, Cambridge, MA, USA), LAT1 (1:1,000; Cell Signaling, #5347, Danvers, MA, USA), PAT1 (1:200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-368553, Dallas, TX, USA), SNAT2 (1:1,000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-514037), BCAT2 (1:1,000; Abcam, ab95967), BCKDHa (1:1,000; Genetex, GTX45109, Irvine, CA, USA), eGFP (1:1,000; Bioss Inc.; bs-2194R, Boston, MA, USA), and Puromycin (1:1,000; MilliporeSigma; MABE342; Burlington, MA, USA). Primary antibody was incubated with membranes overnight at 4°C in TBST with 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA). The following day, membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG (1:2,000, Cell Signaling) in TBST with 5% BSA at room temperature for 1 h. Membrane development was performed using an enhanced chemiluminescent reagent (Luminata Forte HRP substrate; MilliporeSigma). Band densitometry was performed using a gel documentation system and associated densitometry software (UVP, Upland, CA, USA). Densitometry values for all protein targets were normalized to Ponceau S densities. Each participant’s PRE and POST sample was run in continuous lanes and on the same gel. All values for a given protein target were normalized to each participant’s PRE value to provide fold change scores.

Notably, membranes for various targets were cut into upper and lower sections for antibody incubations; for example, one set of membranes were cut in half ~55 kDa and the top half membrane was incubated with ATF4 primary antibody solution (target band ~63 kDa), whereas the bottom half membrane was incubated with LAT1 primary antibody solution (target band ~48 kDa). Additionally, bottom half membranes were re-probed for BCKDHa by exposing them to commercial stripping buffer following LAT1 quantification (ThermoFisher Scientific; 21059), and we ensured the stripping buffer removed all visible LAT1 bands prior to reprobing for BCKDHa. In these situations, the same Ponceau images were used to normalize ATF4, LAT1, and BCKDHa data (this can be seen in Figures 2A,B,F where the same subjects were used for representative images).

**Immunohistochemical Analysis**

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed to determine muscle fiber cross-sectional area (fCSA) and LAT1 protein content, and these procedures have been previously described (42). Briefly, skeletal muscle biopsy samples frozen in OCT media were sectioned to 10 μm using a cryotome (Leica, Biosystems; Buffalo Grove, IL, USA). All samples were sectioned and stored at −80°C until staining occurred. To determine fCSA, sections were air-dried at room temperature for 30 min, fixed with 10% formalin for 10 min, permeabilized in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) that contained 0.5% Triton X-100, and blocked with 100% Pierce Super Blocker (ThermoFisher Scientific; #37515) for 25 min. Sections were then washed with PBS and incubated for 1 h in primary antibody solution containing rabbit anti-dystrophin (ThermoFisher Scientific; PA5-32388) at 1:100 dilution and Alexa Fluor 488 anti-mouse (ThermoFisher Scientific) at 1:100 dilution in blocking solution. Sections were then washed for 5 min in PBS and incubated with secondary antibody solution containing Texas Red anti-rabbit (Vector Laboratories; Burlingame, CA, USA) at 1:100 dilution and Alexa Flour 488 anti-mouse (ThermoFisher Scientific) at 1:100 dilution in blocking solution. As a result, the “mean fCSA” metric used as a dependent measure herein is the change
in fiber cross sectional area relative to that individual's fiber type distribution. Alternatively stated, the change in Type I fibers was made relative to the participant's respective fiber type distribution and was then added to the change in Type II fibers relative to the respective fiber type distribution for a "mean fCSA."

To determine LAT1 protein content, methods from Hodson et al. \((43)\) were used with the exception of the fixation step as this step was found to confound the LAT1 signal during pilot staining. This alteration may explain the difference in staining intensity between their investigation and the present investigation. Briefly, sections were air-dried for 10 min, washed 3 times in PBS for 5 min each, and then blocked using Superblocker (ThermoFisher Scientific; #37515) for 30 min. Sections were then incubated with primary antibody solution containing mouse anti-dystrophin (ThermoFisher Scientific; PA5-32388) at 1:100 dilution and rabbit anti-LAT1 (Abcam, #85226) at 1:100 dilution \((43)\). Notably, these experiments were duplicated with the same LAT1 primary antibody used during western blotting (Cell Signaling, #5347); however, this antibody was not specifically designated for IHC per manufacturer recommendations. As a result, only data yielded from the working antibody (Abcam, #85226) are presented herein. Sections were incubated in primary antibody solution for 2 h, washed in PBS, and incubated for 1 h with secondary antibody containing Texas Red anti-rabbit (Vector Laboratories; TI-1000) and Alexa Fluor 488 anti-mouse (Thermo Fisher Scientific; A-11001). Sections were then washed with PBS, mounted, and stored in the dark at 4°C until fluorescent images were taken. Notably, preliminary experimentation determined the antibodies used did not display cross-reactivity.

Digital 20x and 40x images were obtained using a fluorescence microscope (Nikon Instruments; Melville, NY, USA). Four sections (3 experimental and 1 negative control) per participant were mounted on each slide. Each section was separated by ~100 μm. Two images were taken per experimental section for a total of 6 images per participant per timepoint, and at least 50 fibers were quantified per image. The counts from the 6 images were averaged and used during statistical analysis. Approximate exposure times were 600 ms for red and green imaging. This staining method allowed the identification of cell membranes (detected by the FITC filter; green) and LAT1 protein (detected by the TRITC filter; red). Measurements for type I fCSA, type II fCSA, and mean fCSA and LAT1 protein were standardized with 0.1 N HCl that contained a stable isotopomer of every amino acid as an internal standard. Samples were incubated for 30 min, medium was removed, cells were washed with ice-cold PBS, and harvested in ice-cold cell lysis buffer that was previously described. Western blotting methods for cell culture samples was identical to methods previously described. Proteasome activity assays on cell lysates were completed using a commercially available fluorometric kit according to manufacturer’s instructions (MilliporeSigma; APT280).

**Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry**

Intracellular amino acid concentrations from C2C12 myoblasts were determined by using a liquid chromatography–electrospray ionization–mass spectrometry system (QTrap 5500 MS; AB Sciex; Framingham, MA, USA) with liquid chromatography device ExpressHT Ultra LC (Eksigent Div; AB Sciex). Samples were standardized with 0.1N HCl that contained a stable isotopomer of every amino acid as an internal standard. Samples were transfer pipetted onto strong-cation-exchange drip columns. Columns were washed with water and eluted with 2.5 mol/L ammonia. Eluates were desolvated in a centrifugal evaporator. Solid-residue tubes were capped for storage in the dark at room temperature. Within 3 d of liquid chromatography–electrospray ionization–mass spectrometry analysis, samples were derivatized with 9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl (FMOC) and subsequently neutralized, after which sample solutions were injected onto a 0.5 × 100 mm HALO C18 column (Eksigent Div; AB Sciex) and kept at 35°C. Analytes were eluted with a segmentally linear gradient from 35 to 85% acetonitrile in water supplemented with ammonium acetate to 10 mmol/L and 5% isopropanol. Detection was performed by using electrospray triplequadrupole–tandem mass spectrometry in multiple-reaction monitoring mode. FMOC amino acid derivatives were fragmented in the collision cell for detection of either free aminoacyl anions or a fragment larger by 26 atom mass units (coming from the FMOC derivative), whichever gave the highest sensitivity. Thus, monitoring occurred for each amino acid, and internal standards. We used the SignalFinder algorithm in MultiQuant software (version 2.1; ABSciex) to quantify peaks.

**Statistical Analyses**

Certain data (e.g., participant characteristics, muscle fCSA, nutrition information) have been previously analyzed with additional supplementation groups, different sample sizes, and different statistical methods \((39)\); however, these data have been reanalyzed herein using the three groups of interest, sample sizes...
related to this investigation, and alternate statistical methods which are described below.

Various participant characteristics were compared between groups using one-way ANOVAs. All dependent variables related to IHC, Western blotting, certain participant characteristics, and nutritional data were assessed using two-way repeated measures ANOVAs to test differences between groups (PLA, WPC, and LEU) and over time (PRE and POST). Fold-change was utilized for protein expression and IHC whereby POST was divided by PRE within each participant. This method was chosen based on past literature (18–20). Fold changes from all participants in a given group were then averaged. Given PRE was normalized to 1 with no standard deviation, the main effect for group p-value and group by time interaction p-value were identical; therefore, only the main effect for group and the main effect for time p-values were reported. Unlike this investigation, the aforementioned studies utilized 3 or more time points which allowed for numerical differences between the group main effect and group by time interaction p-values. Again, this method was chosen a priori based on the previous literature. Pearson correlations were also performed between all participant’s individual change in fCSA and their fold change protein, fat, and total energy following training (p < 0.050). All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 24.0 (Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Participant Characteristics and Nutrition Information

Participant characteristics are reported in Table 2. As noted in the parent publication by Mobley et al. (39), 18 participants were enrolled in the PLA group, and 15 participants finished the study due to supplement and workout compliance. The LEU group had 17 initial enrollees, and 15 participants finished the study due to supplement and workout compliance. The WPC group had 19 initial enrollees, and 17 participants finished the study due to supplement and workout compliance. Due to tissue limitations, however, samples sizes are reported in each figure legend. Notably, differences did not exist between groups for age, height, or total volume lifted (p > 0.050). Moreover, fat mass, fat free mass, total mass, or vastus lateralis (VL) thickness were not different between groups at the beginning of the study (p > 0.050). Fat free mass, total mass, and VL thickness increased following resistance training (p < 0.001). Performance measured by squat demonstrated a group by time interaction (p = 0.031) whereby PLA squatted less than LEU and WPC at PRE; however, no differences existed at POST. All groups increased squat performance from PRE to POST (p < 0.001).

Self-reported nutrient intake data are reported in Table 3. All groups increased nutrient intake shown by a significant time effect for consumption of absolute and relative carbohydrates, protein, fat, and total energy following training (p < 0.005). WPC consumed less absolute and relative carbohydrates (p < 0.050) and more absolute and relative protein (p < 0.050) compared to PLA and LEU; albeit, all groups consumed statistically similar amounts of absolute and relative total energy.

| TABLE 2 | Participant characteristics prior to and following resistance training. |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|         | PLA (n = 12)    | LEU (n = 13–14) | WPC (n = 15–17) | ANOVA p-value   |
|         | PRE | POST | PRE | POST | PRE | POST | Group | Time | G × T |
| Age (years) | 21 ± 1 | 20 ± 1 | 21 ± 2 | 0.336 |
| Height (cm) | 182.2 ± 9.1 | 179.4 ± 4.8 | 179.0 ± 6.4 | 0.444 |
| Total volume lifted (kg) | 3,07.020 ± 34.937 | 3,24.637 ± 60.633 | 3,30.086 ± 51.791 | 0.414 |
| Fat mass (kg) | 17.4 ± 4.9 | 17.8 ± 4.7 | 15.3 ± 5.8 | 15.8 ± 6.4 | 19.5 ± 8.6 | 18.7 ± 8.3 | 0.366 | 0.930 | 0.120 |
| Fat free mass (kg) | 57.3 ± 6.5 | 60.2 ± 6.4 | 56.8 ± 5.1 | 59.3 ± 4.9 | 59.0 ± 7.0 | 61.3 ± 6.9 | 0.625 | <0.001 | 0.664 |
| Total mass (kg) | 74.8 ± 10.0 | 78.0 ± 9.5 | 72.1 ± 9.5 | 75.1 ± 10.3 | 78.5 ± 13.0 | 80.0 ± 13.4 | 0.387 | <0.001 | 0.212 |
| VL thickness (cm) | 2.28 ± 0.30 | 2.74 ± 0.32 | 2.41 ± 0.24 | 2.77 ± 0.30 | 2.49 ± 0.23 | 2.96 ± 0.29 | 0.080 | <0.001 | 0.446 |
| 3RM–Squat (kg) | 67 ± 12a | 108 ± 15a | 85 ± 20b | 112 ± 22a | 83 ± 21b | 118 ± 23a | 0.177 | <0.001 | 0.031 |

*<0.050 compared to PRE within group only if the G × T interaction was significant. Differing letters represent significant differences between groups within each time point. Bold lettering denotes a significant p-value.
**TABLE 3 |** Nutrition information prior to and following resistance training.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PLA (n = 12)</th>
<th>LEU (n = 14)</th>
<th>WPC (n = 17)</th>
<th>ANOVA p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRE</td>
<td>POST</td>
<td>PRE</td>
<td>POST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates (g)</td>
<td>225 ± 92</td>
<td>348 ± 123&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>206 ± 62</td>
<td>310 ± 79&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates (g/kg)</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.8 ± 1.1</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.4&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g)</td>
<td>80 ± 20</td>
<td>112 ± 49&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>87 ± 24</td>
<td>108 ± 36&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g/kg)</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g)</td>
<td>78 ± 21</td>
<td>111 ± 52</td>
<td>73 ± 18</td>
<td>92 ± 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g/kg)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total energy (kcal)</td>
<td>1,969 ± 631</td>
<td>2,830 ± 1,006</td>
<td>1,835 ± 435</td>
<td>2,488 ± 495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total energy (kcal/kg)</td>
<td>25.4 ± 7.7</td>
<td>34.8 ± 10.1</td>
<td>24.9 ± 7.3</td>
<td>32.6 ± 8.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> <i>p</i> < 0.050 compared to PRE within group only if the G × T interaction was significant.

Differing letters represent significant differences between groups within each time point. Bold lettering denotes a significant p-value.

---

**Fiber Cross Sectional Area**

Following 12 weeks of total-body resistance exercise training, type I fibers increased in fiber cross-sectional area (fCSA) from 3,618 ± 919 µm² to 4,052 ± 982 µm² which was demonstrated by a significant time effect (<i>p</i> = 0.002; **Figure 1A**). Likewise, Type II fibers increased in fCSA following training from 4,648 ± 1,170 µm² to 5,661 ± 1,768 µm² shown by a significant time effect (<i>p</i> < 0.001; **Figure 1B**). Lastly, growth of Type I and II fibers relative to each participant's fiber type composition (mean fCSA) increased following training from 4,297 ± 932 µm² to 5,063 ± 1,292 µm² demonstrated by a significant time effect (<i>p</i> < 0.001; **Figure 1C**). Neither type I, type II, or mean fCSA revealed a group effect (<i>p</i> > 0.050) or group × time interaction (<i>p</i> > 0.050).

**Protein Abundance**

ATF4 protein (**Figure 2A**) demonstrated a group effect (<i>p</i> = 0.030) whereby the fold change in PLA (0.77 ± 0.09) was less than LEU (1.04 ± 0.29; <i>p</i> = 0.011), but not different than WPC (0.87 ± 0.27; <i>p</i> = 0.308), although WPC trended to be lower than LEU (<i>p</i> = 0.061). ATF4 protein decreased following training which was demonstrated by a significant time effect (<i>p</i> = 0.029; 1.00 to 0.91); albeit, only PLA significantly decreased from PRE to POST (1.00 to 0.77 ± 0.09) while LEU and WPC were unaltered (<i>p</i> > 0.050).

LAT1 protein (**Figure 2B**) revealed a group effect (<i>p</i> = 0.043) whereby the fold change in PLA (5.01 ± 5.50) was higher than LEU (1.87 ± 1.49; <i>p</i> = 0.023) and WPC (2.15 ± 2.43; <i>p</i> = 0.031), but LEU and WPC were not significantly different from one another. LAT1 protein also increased following training which was demonstrated by a significant time effect (<i>p</i> = 0.001; 1.00 to 2.86). PLA (1.00 to 5.01 ± 5.50) and LEU (1.00 to 1.87 ± 1.49) significantly increased from PRE to POST while WPC was unaltered (<i>p</i> > 0.050).

SNAT2 protein (**Figure 2C**) was unaltered following training or by supplementation shown by a non-significant group effect (<i>p</i> = 0.399) and time effect (<i>p</i> = 0.216). Similarly, BCAT2 protein (**Figure 2E**) was unaltered by training (<i>p</i> = 0.170) or supplementation (<i>p</i> = 0.808). PAT1 protein (**Figure 2D**) increased with training shown by a significant time effect (<i>p</i> = 0.040; 1.00 to 1.37) but not with supplementation shown by a non-significant group effect (<i>p</i> = 0.993).

BCKDHa protein (**Figure 2F**) demonstrated a group effect (<i>p</i> = 0.044) whereby the fold change in PLA (2.35 ± 1.36) was greater than LEU (1.44 ± 0.88; <i>p</i> = 0.035) and WPC (1.38 ± 0.95;
FIGURE 2 | The protein content of amino acid transporters and metabolic enzymes are altered following 12 weeks of resistance exercise training. The fold change from PRE, designated as the dashed line at 1.00, for ATF4 (A), LAT1 (B), SNAT2 (C), PAT1 (D), BCAT2 (E), and BCKDHα (F) protein content for each group. A representative image for each protein and loading control (i.e., Ponceau S stain) accompanies each panel. Differing letters represent significant differences between groups within each time point. *p < 0.050 compared to PRE within group only if the G × T interaction was significant. Data are represented as mean ± standard deviation. Sample size for each group is PLA = 10–12, LEU = 13–14, and WPC = 16–17.

Immunohistochemistry
To confirm our LAT1 western blot data, IHC for LAT1 was performed. Two separate antibodies for LAT1 (Abcam, #85226; Cell Signaling, #5347) were used and analyzed identically but did not produce congruent results. In fact, no correlation existed for the same metric between the two different antibodies (p > 0.050) (data not shown). In this regard, one antibody (Abcam, #85226) was designated for immunohistochemistry per manufacturer’s recommendations and is presented herein (Figure 3), while the other antibody was not designated for immunohistochemistry (Cell Signaling, #5347). Results from this antibody (Cell Signaling, #5347) are shown in Supplementary Figure 1. Using the same dependent metrics for immunohistochemistry listed below, there were no significant findings using this antibody (Cell Signaling, #5347) (p > 0.050).

Using the appropriate antibody (Abcam, #85226), staining for total LAT1 protein (sarcoplasmic and membrane LAT1; Figure 3A), membrane LAT1 protein (Figure 3C), or membrane LAT1/fiber (Figure 3D) did not reveal a group effect (p > 0.050) or time effect (p > 0.050). Total LAT1/fiber did not demonstrate a group effect (p = 0.599) but did show a time effect whereby Total LAT1/fiber increased from PRE to POST (p = 0.003; 1.00 to 1.09 ± 0.14; Figure 3B).

Correlations and Regression
To determine if the change in amino acid transporters or metabolic enzymes was indicative of hypertrophy a correlation table was created and is shown in Table 4. Notably, changes in measured proteins were not indicative of the change in Type I fCSA, Type II fCSA, or mean fCSA; however, the change in ATF4 protein trended toward a significant (0.050 < p < 0.010) weak correlation (r = 0.287–0.314) for changes in measures of fCSA. Moreover, PRE raw-value protein content for each measured protein was not correlated with the change in Type I fCSA, Type II fCSA, or mean fCSA (p > 0.100) (data not shown).

To delineate if the trending correlation between the change in ATF4 protein content and the change in fCSA was skewed by a
certain group, a simple linear regression analysis was performed for each group. Indeed, when the change in ATF4 protein was used to predict the change Type I fCSA, a significant \( (p = 0.035) \) coefficient of determination \( (r^2 = 0.344) \) was found for LEU but not for PLA or WPC (Figure 4A). The change in Type II fCSA was not significantly predicted when using the change in ATF4 protein as the predictor \( (p = 0.079); \) Figure 4B). When the change in ATF4 protein was used to predict the change in mean fCSA, LEU demonstrated a significant \( (p = 0.043) \) coefficient of determination \( (r^2 = 0.322); \) Figure 4C).

### Cell Culture

LAT1 protein was overexpressed (OvEX) in C2C12 myoblasts compared to controls (CTL) \( (p = 0.026; \) Figure 5A). Given the plasmid construct contained eGFP, this protein was also quantified using western blotting and was not different between CTL and OvEX \( (p = 0.977) \) suggesting transfection efficiency was equal between groups \( \text{(data not shown)} \). BCKDHA protein decreased with LAT1 protein overexpression compared to CTL \( (p = 0.001; \) Figure 5B). Using LCMS to determine amino acid concentrations within cell lysates, LAT1 protein overexpression did not alter the concentration of Thr, Val, Met, Ile, Leu, Phe, His, Lys, total BCAAs, or total EAAs (Figure 5C). Protein synthesis measured by puromycin incorporation was lower when LAT1 protein was overexpressed \( (p = 0.002; \) Figure 5E); however, proteasome activity was not altered \( (p = 0.347; \) Figure 5D).

### DISCUSSION

Resistance exercise training is a potent anabolic stimulus for promoting skeletal muscle growth and when paired with protein or amino acid supplementation, skeletal muscle growth is optimized \( (39, 45) \). Both resistance exercise and protein supplementation independently, and in concert, alter skeletal muscle amino acid transporters and enzymes involved with amino acid metabolism \( (29, 38) \). The current investigation demonstrates that amino acid transporters and enzymes involved with BCAA metabolism are altered following 12 weeks of total-body resistance exercise training. Our in vitro findings demonstrate that perturbations in LAT1 protein levels can
lead to appreciable alterations in protein synthesis and amino acid metabolism.

Each group utilized in the present study consumed a unique supplement and, as expected, PLA and LEU ingested more carbohydrates, but less protein, than WPC. Importantly, absolute and relative total energy consumption was not different between groups given increased energy consumption provides more energy to meet metabolic demand or energy-intensive processes such as protein synthesis. Furthermore, the total amount of weight lifted (i.e., training volume) was not different between groups. Even though supplementation was different between groups, all groups equally increased muscle strength and hypertrophy which was measured by 3-RM squat and ultrasound, respectively. Furthermore, all groups equally increased type I, type II, and mean fCSA with training. Taken together, these results suggest the differences in molecular markers are specific to the supplementation provided.

Several studies have demonstrated skeletal muscle LAT1 protein levels acutely increase following amino acid ingestion, resistance exercise, or both together (18, 19, 38). LAT1 protein is also influenced by bed rest as 7 d of bed rest attenuated the increase in LAT1 protein compared to control (20). The acute increase in amino acid transporters in response to resistance exercise appears transient given that LAT1 protein is not different 72h following 3 bouts of endurance or resistance exercise compared to basal levels (37) suggesting the findings herein are a resistance exercise training effect. Following 12 weeks of resistance exercise training, LAT1 protein increased and, unexpectedly, increased the most in PLA. This unexpected result may be a result of the significant downregulation of ATF4 protein in the PLA group. These findings regarding the LAT1 protein data expand and corroborate prior literature. First, ATF4 expression is upregulated during periods of amino acid or energy deficiency such as exercise (24). In this regard, Drummond et al. found an increase in ATF4 protein following exercise (19); however, an unexpected and similar increase in ATF4 protein has also been observed following amino acid ingestion (18). Second, ATF4 has been implicated in promoting muscle atrophy (22, 24) and, therefore, a reduction in ATF4 protein content would promote hypertrophy, which is corroborated herein by the significant reduction in ATF4 protein seen in PLA. It is plausible to suspect an initial increase in ATF4 protein during the first weeks of training promoted an increase in LAT1 protein (as well as PAT1 protein); however, as training progressed, ATF4 protein content may have become downregulated from the improved transport capacity of amino acids.

Although other leucine transporters exist (e.g., LAT4), LAT1 is suggested to be most important for leucine transport, and it is essential for LAT1 to reside on the cellular membrane to fulfill transport (46, 47). This study extends the findings of Hodson et al. (43) who optimized a procedure for LAT1 IHC, and found LAT1 protein was greater in Type II muscle fibers. The present investigation found total LAT1 protein per fiber increased equally in all groups with training, which partly agrees with the western blot data. Total LAT1 protein was unaltered following training, which is likely due to the increased fCSA and less countable fibers in the field of view as well as the sensitivity differences between IHC and western blotting. Interestingly, we did not find LAT1 protein to increase along the sarcolemma following training. This notion suggests LAT1 may be internalized within the cell and translocated to the sarcolemma acutely in response to an anabolic stimulus similar to how LAT1 can be recruited to the lysosomal membrane (48). Moreover, Hyde et al. suggests internalization of SNAT2 with ceramide treatment (49) which suggests amino acid transporters have altered subcellular distribution following a given stimulus. Under basal conditions, LAT1 may be internalized and shuttled to the sarcolemma in response to resistance training or amino acid ingestion, although this is speculation.

To better understand if any of the molecular targets were related to changes in muscle fCSA, a simple linear regression analysis was performed. Following a correlation analysis, it was determined the change in ATF4 protein, albeit trending significance, was the only plausible candidate from measured markers to predict the change in muscle fCSA. Intriguingly, a relationship existed for LEU, but not PLA and WPC, and

![FIGURE 4](Image)
FIGURE 5 | LAT1 protein overexpression in C2C12 myoblasts decreases protein synthesis. Overexpression of LAT1 protein (OvEX) increases LAT1 protein (A) but decreases BCKDHα protein (B) compared to control (CTL). Amino acid concentrations from whole cell lysates (C) as well as proteasome activity (D) were unaltered by LAT1 protein overexpression. Puromycin incorporation, a surrogate for protein synthesis, decreased following LAT1 overexpression (E). Representative images for each measured protein accompany each panel. In panel C open columns represent CTL and closed columns represent OvEX. *p < 0.050 compared to control. Sample sizes for each treatment group was CTL = 11–12 and OvEX = 12 with the exception of (C) as each group’s sample size was 8.

the significant regression equations were positive suggesting an increase in ATF4 protein was associated with skeletal muscle fiber hypertrophy. These findings are in direct conflict with previous literature suggesting ATF4 promotes muscle atrophy (22–24); however, it is paramount to note these findings are only respective to the changes seen following 12 weeks of resistance exercise training and do not reflect acute alterations and the ensuing responses that could have occurred during training. Importantly, the downregulation found in ATF4 protein following resistance exercise training supports that an attenuation in ATF4 protein promotes skeletal muscle hypertrophy, but the regression analysis suggests that acute alterations in ATF4 protein may be more important for determining skeletal muscle hypertrophy seen with exercise training. As noted, the change in ATF4 protein only predicted the change in muscle fCSA in the LEU group suggesting a unique relationship may exist between ATF4 protein and leucine supplementation. Moreover, it is possible the absence of the other BCAAs or amino acids in the presence of high leucine concentrations is causing this effect. Future investigations are
Amino acid metabolism, and specifically BCAA metabolism, is foundational for anaplerotic reactions. These reactions serve to meet metabolic demands while indirectly stimulating protein synthesis by limiting oxidation of amino acids (e.g., leucine). In spite of the fact that BCAT2 protein levels have been shown to be involved with metabolism and endurance performance (50, 51), our results suggest resistance exercise training or different supplementation paradigms do not impact this marker. The BCKDH complex is critical for BCAA metabolism given that it is the rate-limiting enzyme and is the commitment step toward generation of ATP from BCAA catabolism. BCKDHα protein levels increased with training herein, where a significantly greater increase occurred in the PLA vs. the LEU and WPC groups. The alpha-subunit of the complex is critical as phosphorylation of this subunit by the BCKDH kinase inactivates the complex (25). Unfortunately, due to limited resources and tissue, this investigation was unable to explore the protein content of other subunits of the BCKDH complex or associated regulatory enzymes such as the BCKDH kinase. Similarly, activity and phosphorylation of the BCKDH complex was also not measured, and these are limitations herein and warrant further investigation.

Given that resistance training was found to increase skeletal muscle LAT1 protein levels, in vitro experiments were performed to determine if LAT1 overexpression affected various molecular outcomes associated with anabolism (e.g., muscle protein synthesis and proteasome activity). While LAT1-tranfected cells possessed more LAT1 protein, intracellular amino acid concentrations (and leucine in particular) were not altered in LAT1-transfected vs. control cells. As mentioned above, this may be related to the lack of an anabolic stimulus required to localize LAT1 to the sarcolemma. Notwithstanding, a provocative and unexpected finding was that the LAT1-transfected cells experienced an attenuation in puromycin incorporation suggesting rates of protein synthesis were lower. Notably, our laboratory has also observed that when LAT1 protein was knocked down in C2C12 myotubes, there was an increase in protein synthesis [(52); unpublished observations]. These findings are puzzling given the presumption that increased LAT1 protein would lead to increased intracellular leucine and, thus, increased protein synthesis. Interestingly, amino acid concentration nor proteasome activity was different between control and overexpression cells, but BCKDHα protein was lower in LAT1 overexpressed cells compared to control. The findings from cell culture in conjunction with the findings from humans regarding the different alterations in the BCKDH complex suggests the BCKDH complex is not only important for metabolism but also affects protein synthesis. Indeed, dysregulation of the BCKDH complex results in maple syrup urine disease and is also associated with skeletal muscle atrophy (53, 54). Based on these findings, cellular transport of amino acids appears secondary to amino acid metabolism or, perhaps, overall metabolism given high glucose concentrations can limit amino acid oxidation (55). In attempt to reconcile the findings in this investigation in concert with findings from previous studies, increased LAT1 protein may promote greater efflux of amino acids from the sarcoplasm effectively lowering intracellular concentration and, perhaps, protein synthesis (48, 56). It is also possible the production of the BCKDH complex subunits turnover more slowly than transport proteins leading to a buildup of alpha-ketoacids which are toxic in excess. It is important to note these hypotheses are speculative and underpin the need for more research in this area.

In conclusion, the current investigation demonstrates total-body resistance exercise over 12 weeks affects amino acid metabolism and transporter content. While acute exercise was known to affect amino acid transporters, these data provide context to long-term adaptations in amino acid transporters in response to chronic resistance exercise. Indeed, resistance exercise training increases skeletal muscle LAT1, PAT1, and BCKDHα protein and decreases ATF4 protein. These markers are also affected by nutritional supplementation during training as shown by PLA participants experiencing the most pronounced alterations in these markers. The alterations in measured proteins do not appear to be associated with regulating skeletal muscle hypertrophy; however, regression analysis suggests a unique relationship between ATF4 and leucine. Lastly, overexpression of LAT1 protein decreased BCKDHα protein and protein synthesis without altering amino acid concentrations or proteasome activity, which suggests enzymes related to amino acid metabolism may affect protein synthesis.
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