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Brief Report: Evidence of Ingroup Bias on the Shooter Task in a Saudi Sample

Timothy P. Schofield¹, Timothy Deckman², Christopher P. Garris³, C. Nathan DeWall², and Thomas F. Denson¹

Abstract
When predominantly White participants in Western countries are asked to shoot individuals in a computer game who may carry weapons, they show a greater bias to shoot at outgroup members and people stereotyped as dangerous. The goal was to determine the extent to which shooter biases in the Middle East would vary as a function of target ethnicity and culturally appropriate or inappropriate headgear. Within a sample of 37 male Saudi Arabian residents, we examined shooter biases outside of Western nations for the first time. Targets in this task were either White or Middle Eastern in appearance, and wore either American style baseball caps or a Saudi Arabian style shemagh and igal. Our results replicated the bias to shoot racial outgroup members observed in Western samples; we found a bias to shoot White over Middle Eastern targets. Unexpectedly, we also found a bias for Saudi participants to shoot at people wearing culturally appropriate traditional Saudi headgear over Western style baseball caps. To explain this latter finding, we cautiously speculate that relative perceptions of dangerousness in the Middle East may be influenced by media exposure and changing social conditions in the region.
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Perceiving that dangerous groups of people lurk in your midst can strain intergroup interactions and relations. When predominantly White participants in Western countries are asked to shoot individuals who may carry weapons in computer games, they show a greater bias to shoot at outgroup members and people stereotyped as dangerous. The goal was to determine the extent to which shooter biases in the Middle East would vary as a function of target ethnicity and culturally appropriate or inappropriate headgear. Within a sample of 37 male Saudi Arabian residents, we examined shooter biases outside of Western nations for the first time. Targets in this task were either White or Middle Eastern in appearance, and wore either American style baseball caps or a Saudi Arabian style shemagh and igal. Our results replicated the bias to shoot racial outgroup members observed in Western samples; we found a bias to shoot White over Middle Eastern targets. Unexpectedly, we also found a bias for Saudi participants to shoot at people wearing culturally appropriate traditional Saudi headgear over Western style baseball caps. To explain this latter finding, we cautiously speculate that relative perceptions of dangerousness in the Middle East may be influenced by media exposure and changing social conditions in the region.
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Outgroups are often perceived as dangerous (Stephan & Stephan, 2000). The little attitudinal data from within the Middle Eastern, Arabic, and Islamic world shows that Western outgroups are perceived as dangerous. Muslims, and particularly those Muslims living in non-Western countries, view people in Western countries as violent (Pew Global Attitudes Project, 2006). In fact, Muslims are more likely to view Westerners as violent than Westerners are to view Muslims as violent (Pew Global Attitudes Project, 2011). In line with the previously discussed work showing the dangerousness basis of shooter biases, we expected that Middle Eastern participants would show biases to shoot at targets containing markers of a Western outgroup. In the present research, two distinct markers were used: race and clothing (specifically headgear).

We hypothesized that the race bias (Unkelbach et al., 2008) and headgear bias (Unkelbach et al., 2008; Unkelbach et al., 2009) typically observed in Western nations toward Middle Eastern targets would be reversed in a Saudi Arabian sample. This reversal would be consistent with the perceptions of Westerners as dangerous among Muslims in non-Western countries. More specifically, we hypothesized that Saudi men would display

1. A relatively greater bias for shooting White targets over Middle Eastern targets and
2. A relatively greater bias for shooting those wearing American style baseball caps over those wearing the culturally appropriate shemagh and igal.

No interaction was hypothesized. Prediction of an interaction would mean that we believed that the meaning of headgear varies by target race (or race by target headgear): While possible, we saw no reason to make this prediction. Both race and headgear should exert independent, additive effects on shooter biases. White race and baseball caps should both be indicative of the outgroup for Saudi participants and therefore induce greater shooter biases.

**Method**

**Participants and Design**

Forty-four non-White male residents of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia participated in the experiment (\(M_{age} = 22.8\) years, \(SD = 1.4\)) after giving written informed consent. The study protocols were approved by the department chairman, who was independent from this research project. Participants were reimbursed the equivalent of AU$10 for their participation. An a priori power analysis suggested that 34 participants were required to provide a target power of .80 to detect a medium effect (\(d = .50\)) with \(\alpha\) set at .05 (Faul, Erdfelder, Lang, & Buchner, 2007). Although power of .80 is convention, given the rarity of this sample, it was important not to have a Type 2 error, and as such, it was deemed important to recruit as many students as possible in the semester: A sample of 44 participants gives power of .90. Moreover, this sample size assured power of at least .80 after filtering participants. Most of the participants were Middle Eastern (79.5%), some were Asian (typically Pakistani; 9.1%), one reported being of more than one race (2.3%), and some did not report their race (9.1%). Prior large-scale surveys have shown that 97.0% of the Saudi population is Muslim and that 82.2% view cultural invasion by the West as a serious or very serious issue (World Values Survey Association, 2005).

**Materials and Procedure**

**Shooter task.** The shooter task was based on the computer game described in Unkelbach et al. (2008) and is similar to the shooter tasks used in other experiments (Correll et al., 2002; Kahn & Davies, 2010; Miller et al., 2012; Plant et al., 2011; Unkelbach et al., 2009). In the shooter task, participants are asked to shoot if an armed person appears but not if an unarmed person appears. In the present task, targets appeared superimposed on one of six randomly selected locations along the balconies of a three-floor apartment building. Three target properties were manipulated within-participants: the presence of a weapon (gun vs. object), target race (White vs. Middle Eastern), and target headgear (Saudi Arabian shemagh and igal vs. American baseball cap).

As per Unkelbach et al. (2008), pictures of prison inmates, sourced from the Florida Department of Correction website (http://www.dc.state.fl.us), were used to manipulate target race. The pictures contained the head and neck only (see Figure 1). Stimuli were 10 men, half of whom were Caucasian and half of whom were non-Caucasian with darker skin. There is no Middle Eastern option in the classification system used by the Florida Department of Corrections. Consistent with Middle Eastern origins, the non-Caucasian targets had been classified as “non-Black” and “non-Asian” by the website. A sample of 38 participants recruited through Amazon’s mTurk service confirmed each Middle Eastern and White target was perceived as such. For each Middle Eastern face, the majority of participants classified them as of “Arab/Middle Eastern” origin rather than “East Asian/South Asian,” “Indian,” ”Black/African,” or “European/Caucasian/White” (lowest: 52.63%, highest: 81.58%). Each White face was classified by the majority of participants as “European/Caucasian/White” (lowest: 94.74%, highest: 100%). Chi-square goodness of fit tests against chance levels (i.e., 20% correct, 80% incorrect) indicated that all faces were recognized as being of the correct race at significantly above chance levels, \(\chi^2\)'s (1, \(N = 38\)) \(\geq 25.29, ps < .001\). Superimposed on each face was either a Saudi Arabian shemagh and igal or an American style baseball cap (depicted in Figure 1). The shemagh is a traditional Arab headdress fashioned from a square scarf. The shemagh is held in place by the igal, which is a black chord that sits atop the head. Superimposed next to each face was a hand holding either a
Statistical Analyses

Signal detection theory has been crucial to understanding the decision to shoot (Correll, Hudson, Guillermo, & Ma, 2014), as it allows differences in the respondent’s sensitivity to the presence of a gun and their bias to shoot to be modeled separately.

Without signal detection procedures, it is impossible to tell whether an individual who successfully shoots at all armed targets was able to readily discriminate between guns and objects or simply held a bias to make the decision to shoot. Individuals who can readily tell apart the guns and objects are said to be highly sensitive to the presence of a weapon. High sensitivity emerges when participants readily hit armed targets and correctly reject the opportunity to shoot unarmed targets. Sensitivity here is reported as $d'$, with higher scores indicating a better ability to discriminate guns from objects. Individuals who do not require a lot of evidence to shoot are said to have set a low criterion for shooting, that is, they are biased to shoot. We report bias to shoot via the $\beta$ parameter, with lower scores indicating a bias toward shooting and that less evidence is required for a shoot response.

Measures of $d'$ and $\beta$ were calculated separately for each Race × Headgear combination in line with the formulas published by Stanislaw and Todorov (1999). Summaries of the raw hit rates and false alarm rates used to calculate $d'$ and $\beta$ are presented in Table 1. Because raw hit rates of 1 and false alarm rates of 0 need to be adjusted before signal detection analyses, hit rates of 1 became $1 - (1 / 2n)$, and false alarm rates of 0 became $1 / 2n$, where $n$ is the number of on-time responses for that trial type.

For at least one target type, three participants could not discriminate between guns and objects (i.e., $d' \leq 0$), suggesting that these participants were unlikely to be responding based on what the target was carrying. As it is most likely that these participants were responding indiscriminately, these three participants were removed from the analyses. A further four participants scored greater than ±3 SDs from a sample $\beta$ mean, and hence were outliers. Their data were removed from the analyses to prevent the undue influence. None of the reported results change if outlying scores on $\beta$ means were winsorized to prevent their undue influence instead. Final analyses were conducted using 2 (race: White vs. Middle Eastern) × 2 (headgear: shemagh and igal vs. baseball cap) repeated measures ANOVAs. The weapon factor was not explicitly included in the analysis as it is used to calculate the measures of discrimination and bias.

### Table 1. Raw Means and Standard Errors in the Shooter Task.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Arab Shemagh &amp; igal</th>
<th>Arab Baseball cap</th>
<th>White Shemagh &amp; igal</th>
<th>White Baseball cap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hit rate</td>
<td>.89 (.02)</td>
<td>.92 (.01)</td>
<td>.93 (.02)</td>
<td>.91 (.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False alarm</td>
<td>.14 (.03)</td>
<td>.13 (.02)</td>
<td>.11 (.02)</td>
<td>.15 (.02)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. These means are used to calculate the signal detection measures of sensitivity and response bias. Participants hit when they decided to shoot, rather than not shoot, an armed target. Participants made a false alarm when they decided to shoot, rather than not shoot, an unarmed target.
This was qualified by a significant interaction. Thus, the reaction time data is consistent, but there was no effect of race, the Target and participants. There were no follow-up comparisons revealed that participants White but there was no effect of

\[ F(1, 36) = 4.48, p = .041, \eta^2_p = .11. \]  

The Target Race interaction was not significant, \( F(1, 36) = 1.18, p = .284, \eta^2_p = .03. \)

**Supplementary analyses.** The patterns reported above were internally replicated using (a) a different set of participant inclusion criteria and (b) reaction times. Overall, the supplementary analyses demonstrate internal consistency across cut-offs and methods of analysis in the observed response biases.

A different set of participant inclusion criteria. Rather than filter outliers and those who could not discriminate guns from objects, Unkelbach et al. (2008) excluded participants who failed to respond within the response window more than 20% of the time. Two participants in the present sample met this exclusion criteria; neither of these individuals was filtered in the original analyses. Under these conditions, there was a bias to shoot White targets over Middle Eastern targets, \( F(1, 36) = 4.43, p = .042, \eta^2_p = .11 \) (Figure 1). Contrary to Hypothesis 2, participants were also more biased to shoot at targets wearing the shemagh and igal than targets wearing a baseball cap, \( F(1, 36) = 4.48, p = .041, \eta^2_p = .11. \) The Target Race \( \times \) Headgear interaction was not significant, \( F(1, 36) = 1.18, p = .284, \eta^2_p = .03. \)

**Weapon Discrimination**

The ability to discern the presence of a weapon was not affected by target race, \( F(1, 36) = 1.27, p = .268, \eta^2_p = .03, \)

but was marginally higher when the target wore the shemagh and igal rather than a baseball cap, \( F(1, 36) = 3.79, p = .059, \eta^2_p = .10. \) This was qualified by a significant interaction between target race and headgear, \( F(1, 36) = 7.57, p = .009, \eta^2_p = .17. \) Follow-up comparisons revealed that participants were significantly more sensitive to the presence of guns when the target was White and wearing a baseball cap (\( M = 2.92, SD = 0.88 \)) than each other Race \( \times \) Headgear combination, \( F(1, 36) \geq 5.41, ps \leq .026, \eta^2_p \geq .13. \) There were no significant differences in discrimination between the other Race \( \times \) Headgear combinations (White with shemagh and igal, \( M = 2.59, SD = 0.95 \); Middle Eastern with shemagh and igal, \( M = 2.71, SD = 0.81 \); Middle Eastern with baseball cap, \( M = 2.61, SD = 1.00 \)), \( F(1, 36) \leq 0.77, ps \geq .386, \eta^2_p \leq .02. \)

**Discussion**

We found support for Hypothesis 1 (race bias) in that Saudi Arabian men were more likely to shoot at their racial out-group than ingroup. This pattern of behavior is identical to that observed in racial majorities in Western samples (Correll et al., 2002; Miller et al., 2012; Unkelbach et al., 2008). Contradicting Hypothesis 2 (headgear bias), Saudis unexpectedly displayed greater bias toward shooting targets wearing the shemagh and igal over those wearing baseball caps. This result replicates prior research in which Western participants displayed greater shooter bias toward people wearing Arab headgear (Unkelbach et al., 2008) but failed to support our hypothesised reversal of the effect in Saudi Arabia. The results were internally consistent. Participant inclusion criteria did not alter the conclusions of the study, and reaction-time-based analyses supported the conclusions drawn from the signal detection analyses.

In the present Saudi Arabian sample, we found the hypothesised link between being a member of the racial ingroup and increased likelihood of shooting the racial out-group member. Although these results may appear intuitive, it must be emphasized that racial biases in non-Western populations have not been the subject of much quantitative investigation. Shooter biases are relative effects and do not necessarily

---

**Table 2. Mean Latencies in the Shooter Task.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Arab</th>
<th>White</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseball cap</td>
<td>Shemagh and igal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hit latency</strong></td>
<td>615.95 (6.31)</td>
<td>614.94 (8.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CR latency</strong></td>
<td>653.28 (5.88)</td>
<td>659.64 (8.98)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note. CR refers to correct rejections. The numbers in parentheses indicate the standard error of the mean latency.*
indicate that White people and the shemagh and igal are perceived as dangerous in absolute terms. Rather, we cautiously speculate that the present findings may indicate that White targets may have been perceived as relatively more dangerous (or less safe) than Middle Eastern targets. Moreover, targets wearing the shemagh and igal may have also been perceived as relatively more dangerous (or less safe) than targets wearing American style baseball caps.

It is important to consider why we may have found the predicted ingroup–outgroup pattern for race but not cultural markers. One possibility for this surprising finding is that it was a Type 1 error: Future research should try to replicate this effect in both Saudi Arabia and the Middle East more broadly. However, given the same pattern emerged in the analyses of response times, it is worth considering non-statistical explanations. The bias toward shooting targets wearing the shemagh and igal more than baseball caps may be a result of favorable shifts toward the Western world or negative shifts away from traditional-conservative values. Young Saudis are increasingly technologically engaged, and Westernized (Samin, 2012) especially in their clothing choices (Assad, 2008). Due to the immutability of race, these social changes should not shift danger and safety perceptions of White targets relative to Middle Eastern targets: however, increasing globalization may have changed perceptions of Western cultural markers relative to traditional Saudi cultural markers of the shemagh and igal.

The alternate possibility is that Saudi clothing is becoming more negatively perceived for the traditional-conservative values it represents. Such a shift could be a result of Saudi Arabian participants internalizing Western media stereotypes about Arabs, Muslims, and/or those from the Middle East. These stereotypes are resoundingly negative, with only 5% of Hollywood films presenting Arabs in normal roles (Shaheen, 2003). Exposure to this type of stereotyped media is known to increase anti-Arab bias in Western samples (Saleem & Anderson, 2013). Consequently, exposure to these Western stereotypes may have resulted in their internalization, and development of an anti-Arab bias among Saudi youth. This process may be similar to Black participants’ internalized stereotypes about Blacks explaining their bias to shoot at Black targets (Maddox & Gray, 2002; Plant et al., 2011). Contrary to this explanation, however, are observations of relatively minimal mass demonstrations in Saudi Arabia during Arab spring (Samin, 2012) and the defense of traditional-conservative values on Saudi Internet message boards (Samin, 2008).

In an increasingly globalized society, it is important to know how one’s race and culture may be perceived not only at home but also abroad. Due to the Western bias in experimental research on racial hostility, this information had not been previously available. The present findings may be helpful for White people traveling or interacting with people in Middle Eastern countries like Saudi Arabia. For instance, rather than conforming to a culturally normative dress-code, it may be better to dress in a stereotypically Western manner. Furthermore, the present findings provide unique insight into social perception processes that may be affected by the rapidly changing cultural milieu in the Arab world. However, because our study consisted of only male University students, caution must be practiced when generalizing to the broader Saudi population.

Conclusion
Consistent with research in the West, our participants showed a greater bias to shoot at a social outgroup: in this case, White targets. This mirrors the effect shown in Western countries in which participants show a greater bias to shoot Middle Eastern targets (Unkelbach et al., 2008). However, when examining clothing, we found that people wearing culturally appropriate Saudi attire may have been perceived as more dangerous. Our findings highlight the necessity of considering cultural variation in social perceptions of social categories.
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