University of Kentucky **UKnowledge**

[Physical Therapy Faculty Publications](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/rehabsci_facpub) **Physical Therapy** Physical Therapy

2022

Efficacy of power training to improve physical function in individuals diagnosed with frailty and chronic disease: A metaanalysis

Alexander B. Sklivas University of Kentucky

Lauren E. Robinson University of Kentucky

Timothy L. Uhl University of Kentucky

Esther E. Dupont-Versteegden University of Kentucky

Kirby P. Mayer University of Kentucky, Kpmaye2@uky.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [https://uknowledge.uky.edu/rehabsci_facpub](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/rehabsci_facpub?utm_source=uknowledge.uky.edu%2Frehabsci_facpub%2F135&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Rehabilitation and Therapy Commons,](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/749?utm_source=uknowledge.uky.edu%2Frehabsci_facpub%2F135&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) and the [Sports Sciences Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/759?utm_source=uknowledge.uky.edu%2Frehabsci_facpub%2F135&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Right click to open a feedback form in a new tab to let us know how this document benefits you.](https://uky.az1.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV_0lgcRp2YIfAbzvw)

Repository Citation

Sklivas, Alexander B.; Robinson, Lauren E.; Uhl, Timothy L.; Dupont-Versteegden, Esther E.; and Mayer, Kirby P., "Efficacy of power training to improve physical function in individuals diagnosed with frailty and chronic disease: A meta-analysis" (2022). Physical Therapy Faculty Publications. 135. [https://uknowledge.uky.edu/rehabsci_facpub/135](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/rehabsci_facpub/135?utm_source=uknowledge.uky.edu%2Frehabsci_facpub%2F135&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Review is brought to you for free and open access by the Physical Therapy at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physical Therapy Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Efficacy of power training to improve physical function in individuals diagnosed with frailty and chronic disease: A meta-analysis

Digital Object Identifier (DOI) 10.14814/phy2.15339

Notes/Citation Information

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. © 2022 The Authors. Physiological Reports published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of The Physiological Society and the American Physiological Society.

Sklivas, A. B., Robinson, L. E., Uhl, T. L., Dupont-Versteegden, E. E., & Mayer, K. P. (2022). Efficacy of power training to improve physical function in individuals diagnosed with frailty and chronic disease: A metaanalysis. Physiological Reports, 10, e15339. https://doi. org/10.14814/ phy2.15339

DOI: 10.14814/phy2.15339

REVIEW

Efficacy of power training to improve physical function in individuals diagnosed with frailty and chronic disease: A meta-analysis

Alexander B. Sklivas^{1,2} | Lauren E. Robinson³ | Timothy L. Uhl¹ | | **Esther E. Dupont-Versteegden^{[1,2](#page-2-0)} | Kirby P. Mayer^{1,2} | ©**

1 Department of Physical Therapy, College of Health Sciences, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, USA

2 Center for Muscle Biology, College of Health Sciences, University of Kentucky Lexington, Kentucky, USA

3 University of Kentucky Libraries Lexington, Kentucky, USA

Correspondence

Kirby P. Mayer, Department of Physical Therapy, College of Health Sciences, University of Kentucky, 900 Rose Street, Lexington, KY, USA. Email: Kpmaye2@uky.edu

Abstract

Muscle power training with emphasis on high-velocity of concentric movement improves physical functionality in healthy older adults, and, maybe superior to traditional exercise programs. Power training may also be advantageous for patients with acute and chronic illnesses, as well as frail individuals. To determine the efficacy of power training compared with traditional resistance training on physical function outcomes in individuals diagnosed with frailty, acute illness or chronic disease. PubMed (MEDLINE), CINAHL, PEDro, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. (1) at least one study group receives muscle power training of randomized controlled trial (RCT) (2) study participants diagnosed as prefrail, frail or have an ongoing acute or chronic disease, condition or illness; (3) study participants over the age of 18; (4) publication in English language; (5) included physical function as the primary or secondary outcome measures. Two independent reviewers assessed articles for inclusion and graded the methodological quality using Cochrane Risk-of-Bias tool for RCTs. Fourteen RCTs met the inclusion criteria. In seven studies, muscle power training was more effective at improving physical function compared to control activities with a mean fixed effect size (ES) of 0.41 ($p = 0.006$; 95% CI 0.12 to 0.71). Power training and conventional resistance training had similar effectiveness in eight studies with a mean fixed ES of $0.10 (p = 0.061; 95\% \text{ CI} - 0.01 \text{ to } 0.40)$. Muscle power training is just as efficacious for improving physical function in individuals diagnosed with frailty and chronic disease when compared to traditional resistance training. The advantages of power training with reduced work per session may support power training as a preferential exercise modality for clinical populations. The findings should be interpreted with caution since generalizability is questioned due to the heterogeneity of patient populations enrolled and participants were relatively mobile at baseline.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons [Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

[©] 2022 The Authors. *Physiological Reports* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of The Physiological Society and the American Physiological Society.

KEYWORDS

chronic disease, exercise, frailty, patient outcomes, physical function, power training

1 | **INTRODUCTION**

Skeletal muscle dysfunction and weakness are common in patients with acute and chronic illnesses (Powers et al., [2016](#page-14-0)) and are typically the initial manifestation of frailty. Frailty is the clinically recognized state of vulnerability as a result of age-associated decline (Fried et al., [2001;](#page-13-0) Walston et al., [2019](#page-15-0); Xue, [2011\)](#page-15-1). More recently frailty is recognized to be inter-related to illness and disease, that is, frailty predicts negative consequences following illness (Augustin et al., [2016;](#page-12-0) Evered et al., [2020](#page-13-1); Marengoni et al., [2021\)](#page-14-1); acute illness (Bagshaw & Muscedere, [2017;](#page-12-1) De Biasio et al., [2020\)](#page-13-2) or chronic disease may accelerate or exacerbate frailty (Chowdhury et al., [2017;](#page-13-3) Onder et al., [2018](#page-14-2)). Impairments in muscle health and function lead to deficits in functional mobility and ability to perform activities of daily living that negatively impact the quality of life (Reid & Fielding, [2012](#page-14-3)), which is observed in frailty and multiple clinical populations (Files et al., [2015;](#page-13-4) Johansen et al., [2003\)](#page-13-5). An estimated 50% of patients surviving an intensive care unit admission will experience persistent skeletal muscle weakness (Puthucheary et al., [2013](#page-14-4)). Like-wise, individuals with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease suffer skeletal muscle weakness and reduced exercise tolerance leading to limited functional mobility (Bernard et al., [1998\)](#page-12-2). Exercise and physical activity can reverse or attenuate the loss of muscle function due to sarcopenia, cachexia, and ICU-acquired weakness in clinical populations and during aging (Evans, [1996;](#page-13-6) Gould et al., [2013](#page-13-7); Jones et al., [2015;](#page-13-8) Knols et al., [2005;](#page-13-9) Wischmeyer & San-Millan, [2015](#page-15-2)). It is critically important to determine the exercise modality that induces the maximum benefit at the lowest frequency and intensity, since many clinical populations and the elderly have limited capacity for physical activity.

Muscular power, the ability of the muscle to generate work per unit of time, is a critical determinant of physical function (Bean et al., [2003,](#page-12-3) [2010](#page-12-4); Reid & Fielding, [2012\)](#page-14-3). Muscle power is fundamentally different from strength since it accounts for the velocity of movement (Winger et al., [2021\)](#page-15-3). In aging, the rate of decline of muscle power is thought to occur earlier and twice as fast as the loss of muscle strength (Skelton et al., [1994\)](#page-14-5). Moreover, deficits in muscle power have been suggested as a more important source of limiting functional mobility and activities of daily living in older adults, when compared to muscular strength losses (Bean et al., [2003](#page-12-3); Izquierdo et al., [1999](#page-13-10); Suzuki et al., [2001](#page-14-6)). Power training with high-velocity of concentric movement has been compared to conventional strength or resistance training in a number of studies in community-dwelling older men and women (Byrne et al., [2016;](#page-12-5) Henwood et al., [2008](#page-13-11); McKinnon et al., [2017](#page-14-7)); these studies suggest that power training may be favorable to traditional training for improving functional performance (Bottaro et al., [2007](#page-12-6); Byrne et al., [2016](#page-12-5); Tschopp et al., [2011\)](#page-15-4). Moreover, power training is believed to be less exhaustive often requiring "less total work performed per session," therefore, potentially advantageous in clinical populations (Henwood et al., [2008](#page-13-11); Sayers, [2007](#page-14-8)). However, the efficacy of power training, as well as conventional resistance training (CRT) has not been elucidated in clinical populations. Moreover, the feasibility and efficacy of power training in clinical populations compared to CRT has not been established. Power training is commonly performed in a supervised environment potentially preventing this training from being considered pragmatic (Byrne et al., [2016](#page-12-5)), but research studying the effects of power training in clinical populations is growing. Thus, the purpose of this systematic review is to analyze the efficacy of power training to improve physical function in individuals diagnosed with frailty, acute illness, or chronic disease compared to CRT.

2 | **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This systematic review is reported in accordance with the PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions (Liberati et al., [2009](#page-13-12)). This protocol and the search strategies were registered in Prospero (ID 1335246).

2.1 | **Search strategy**

The comprehensive search strategy was developed by a medical librarian (MR) in collaboration with the authors (KM, AS). Relevant studies were identified by searching MEDLINE via PubMed, CINAHL (EBSCOhost), Web of Science (Clarivate), and PEDro. We selected these databases based on institutional availability and discipline coverage. Additionally, a variety of Google Scholar searches were conducted and the first 10 results were exported from each search. Search strategies are provided in Table [S1](#page-15-5). The searches were conducted in December 2020 and the databases were searched from inception.

2.2 | **Study selection**

Research studies were selected for inclusion if: (1) At least one study group received power training also referred to as high-velocity training; (2) study participants were diagnosed as prefrail, frail, or have ongoing acute or chronic disease or illness; (3) study participants were over the age of 18; (4) publication in English language; (5) included physical function as the primary or secondary outcome measure. Frailty diagnosis was defined according to the original studies which included the frailty phenotype and the physical frailty approach (Robinson et al., [2015](#page-14-9); Walston et al., [2019](#page-15-0)). Pre-frail was defined as individuals are high risk of progressing to frailty meeting at least one or two criteria for frailty (Gill et al., [2006](#page-13-13); Xue, [2011](#page-15-1)). Review articles, conference abstracts, and nonpeer-reviewed articles were excluded. Secondary analyses of previously published research studies were excluded for final analysis.

2.3 | **Assessment of study quality**

Quality assessment of all studies included in the final analysis was conducted by at least two independent reviewers (Kirby P. Mayer, Alexander B. Sklivas). Disagreements between initial reviewers were solved with discussion until consensus was achieved. The quality of randomized controlled trials was evaluated using the Cochrane risk of bias assessment (Higgins et al., [2011](#page-13-14)).

2.4 | **Study outcomes and definitions**

The primary outcome of interest was the efficacy of power training, a form of resistance training, compared to conventional strength or CRT in improving physical function. Resistance training is defined as a form of periodic exercise whereby external stimuli provide progressive overload to skeletal muscles in order to make them stronger and often results in hypertrophy (Phillips & Winett, [2010\)](#page-14-10). Power training was defined as a form of resistance exercise in which the concentric phase of the exercise is performed as fast as possible at a high-velocity. CRT was defined as resistance training at low-velocity or without the focus on velocity of movement. The efficacy of power training on improving physical function compared to a control group was a secondary outcome of interest. Control groups were considered in this analysis if participants were randomized to no intervention or light activity (i.e., walking program, yoga, or education) group, but did not receive power training or CRT as defined above. Efficacy was assessed based on improvement in

EXECUTAS ET AL. 3 of 14

physical function, defined as an objective measurement based on functional performance or functional capacity measured using validated outcome tests such as short physical performance battery (SPPB) or timed-up and go (TUG) test.

2.5 | **Statistical analysis**

Pooled descriptive statistics were calculated for age and sex. Mean and standard deviations were calculated from data as median and interquartile ranges using approach by Hozo et al. [\(2005](#page-13-15)). We computed Hedges adjusted g for individual effect size (ES) and variance of studies that assessed physical function using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis Software (Biostat, Englewood, NJ) (Lipsey & Wilson, [2001\)](#page-13-16), using 2 approaches: (1) Power training compared to CRT ; (2) power training compared to control. We calculated fixed- and random-effects models for these tests to ensure consistency in examining heterogeneity of the included studies. Effect sizes were categorized as small $(<0.2$), medium $(0.2-0.8)$, and large (>0.8) according to Hedge's g categories (Ottenbacher & Barrett, [1989\)](#page-14-11). Heterogeneity statistics including Cochran's Q and I-squared values were calculated.

3 | **RESULTS**

3.1 | **Study selection**

Search of the online databases yielded 1548 titles, of which, all but 58 were excluded based on title, language, or full-text not present. Of the 58 articles, 20 articles were excluded after abstract review. The remaining 38 studies were examined in full text. Of these studies, 24 were not included in the final review as study participants did not meet inclusion criteria (Figure [1\)](#page-5-0). Two independent reviewers had three disagreements (95% agreement rate) during the abstract review which was solved with discussion. There were no disagreements during the fulltext review.

3.2 | **Patient population**

A total of 711 patients were enrolled in the 14 studies with a pooled mean age of 71 \pm 6.7 years of age and at least 62% female (2 studies not reporting sex). Study characteristics including demographics and intervention descriptions are summarized in Table [1.](#page-6-0) One trial enrolled older adults recovering from a total knee arthroplasty (Kelly et al., [2016\)](#page-13-17). Five studies enrolled patients with neurological

FIGURE 1 Prisma flow diagram.

conditions including Parkinson's Disease (PD) (Cherup et al., [2019](#page-13-18); Ni et al., [2016;](#page-14-12) Paul et al., [2014](#page-14-13)), multiple sclerosis (MS) (Medina-Perez et al., [2016](#page-14-14)), and cognitive impairment (Yoon et al., [2017\)](#page-15-6). One trial studied power training in individuals classified as frail and five in those defined as pre-frail (mobility-limited older adults) (Bean et al., [2009;](#page-12-7) Cadore et al., [2014](#page-12-8); Reid et al., [2008](#page-14-15); Sayers et al., [2003](#page-14-16); Webber & Porter, [2010](#page-15-7); Zech et al., [2012\)](#page-15-8). Studies on older adults with hyperglycemia (Jin et al., [2015](#page-13-19)) and adults with type II diabetes (Celes et al., [2017\)](#page-12-9) were also included in the final analysis.

3.3 | **Study quality**

Only one study was rated a potential for considerable risk of methodological bias and the remaining 13 studies were low to moderate risk (Supplemental Table [2](#page-15-5)).

3.4 | **Physical function**

Studies assessed physical function using a diverse set of functional outcome tests (Table [2](#page-8-0)). The most common physical function performance-based outcome measures

were the SPPB and TUG utilized in eight studies, respectively.

3.5 | **Performance-based physical function ES**

Eight of the fourteen studies compared power training to CRT, which included populations of frailty and prefrailty, total knee arthroplasty, PD, and mild cognitive impairment. The mean ES for these studies was found to be small, demonstrating 0.19 in support of power training (SE 0.105; *p* = 0.061; 95% CI –0.01 to 0.40) (Figure [2\)](#page-9-0). One additional study compared power training to CRT, but physical function data were reported aggregated, so could not be used in meta-analysis. The authors provided an ES for combined groups reporting that power and resistance training did not significantly improve function (TUG).

Seven studies compared the effectiveness of power training to improve physical function outcomes versus a control group (no intervention or light physical activity). The meta-analysis of these studies resulted in a medium mean ES of 0.414 favoring power training (SE 0.149; $p = 0.006$; 95% CI 0.121–0.706, Figure [3\)](#page-10-0). The seven studies included study populations such as older women with

EXELIVAS ET AL. THE Physiological **and Society Physiological** Reports 7 of 14

TABLE 2 Study Outcomes and training description

TABLE 2 (Continued)

wer training to traditional strength training

Heterogeneity statistics: Q-value = 10.4, $df[Q] = 7$, $p = 0.167$, I-squared = 32.7

SPPB = Short Performance Physical Battery; TUG = Timed-up and Go Test

FIGURE 2 Effect size for performance-based physical function comparing power training to traditional strength training.

Heterogeneity statistics: Q-value = 14.3, $df[Q] = 6$, $p = 0.026$, I-squared = 58.1

SPPB = Short Performance Physical Battery; TUG = Timed-up and Go Test

FIGURE 3 Effect size for performance-based physical function comparing power training versus a control.

hyperglycemia, mild cognitive impairment, adults with type II diabetes, PD, frailty, and mobility limited older adults.

4 | **DISCUSSION**

The findings of this systematic review support power training as an effective therapeutic intervention for improving physical function in adults diagnosed with frailty and patients with chronic medical conditions. Medium effect size indicates that power training is more effective than control conditions. Small effect sizes suggest that power training is not inferior to CRT, and may demonstrate potential for therapeutic benefit when implemented in specific patient populations. Small to medium effect sizes should be interpreted with caution due to heterogeneity in the included patient populations. Participants included in this meta-analysis included individuals with pre-existing orthopedic, neurologic, and metabolic conditions as well as a diagnosis of frailty and pre-frailty. Thus, aggregated efficacy may not be representative of all clinical populations. The findings, however, provide preliminary evidence that muscle power training is efficacious for improving physical function in a variety of patient populations.

Physical function impairments commonly manifest from acute illness and chronic disease (Powers et al., [2016](#page-14-0)). Muscular weakness and dysfunction leading to deficits in functional mobility frequently hinder activities of daily living and negatively impact the quality of life for individuals with frailty, acute illness, and chronic disease (Alnahdi et al., [2012;](#page-12-10) LeBrasseur et al., [2006](#page-13-20); Parry et al., [2015](#page-14-17); Roshanravan et al., [2017](#page-14-18)). Deficits in muscular power may be targeted through power training to enhance muscle and physical function. Previously, studies have demonstrated that power training can improve physical function and maybe a superior training modality to traditional strength or resistance training in older adults (Bottaro et al., [2007](#page-12-6); Henwood et al., [2008](#page-13-11); Tschopp et al., [2011](#page-15-4)). Power training has been implemented in clinical populations and individuals with frailty. Frailty is defined as a clinical syndrome increases the risk of poor health outcomes such as falling, disability, hospitalization, and mortality (Cadore et al., [2014](#page-12-8)), and is associated with disability and comorbidity, but has distinct biologic bases that maybe independent of sarcopenia (Xue, [2011\)](#page-15-1). In 2001, Fried and colleagues developed a standardized definition for frailty with established criteria, including skeletal muscle weakness (Fried et al., [2001\)](#page-13-0). In this study, frailty was independently predictive of falls, worsening mobility, hospitalization, and mortality in older adults (Fried et al., [2001\)](#page-13-0). Frailty is a clinical term that has been accepted across a wide range of conditions, diseases, and illnesses. An estimated 15%–45% of older adults admitted to nursing-home are frail or pre-frail and the prevalence of frailty increases steadily with chronic disease (Cesari et al., [2006;](#page-13-21) Fried et al., [2001\)](#page-13-0). Moreover, the clinical diagnosis of frailty is common among younger critically ill patients, not just older adults (Cesari et al., [2006](#page-13-21)). Frail individuals have lower muscle density and muscle mass (Bagshaw et al., [2016\)](#page-12-11). Frailty is driven by the loss of metabolically active cellular mass resulting from muscle loss and subsequently leads to reductions in resting metabolic and physical activity (Cesari et al., [2006\)](#page-13-21). Therefore, there is a clinically meaningful, bidirectional relationship between frailty and acute illness (Bagshaw & Muscedere, [2017](#page-12-1); De Biasio et al., [2020](#page-13-2)), as well as frailty and chronic diseases (Chowdhury et al., [2017](#page-13-3); Onder et al., [2018](#page-14-2)). Moreover, the presence of acute illness (Files et al., [2015](#page-13-4); Johansen et al., [2003\)](#page-13-5) and chronic disease (Anagnostis et al., [2020;](#page-12-12) MacKinnon et al., [2018;](#page-14-19) Sepúlveda-Loyola et al., [2020](#page-14-20)) increases the risk for muscle deficits and physical function impairments, independent of frailty. Individuals with frailty and chronic disease have high utilization of healthcare resources, loss of income, and progressive risk of mortality. Therefore, it is of critical concern to find therapeutic interventions that prevent, reverse, or mitigate deficits associated with frailty, disease and illness, and power training may be this therapy.

Power training has gained substantial traction as an exercise modality to improve physical function, especially in older adults and was recommended in a recent position statement (Fragala et al., [2019](#page-13-22)). Of clinical significance, muscle power is closely associated with mobility and physical function (Bean et al., [2003](#page-12-3); Reid & Fielding, [2012](#page-14-3)). In addition, power training typically utilizes lighter weights or loads for exercises when compared to CRT thus enhancing the safety while still eliciting functional gains (Henwood et al., [2008\)](#page-13-11). The optimal intensity, load, and repetitions for traditional resistance training remains unclear (Steib et al., [2010](#page-14-21)), likewise, the optimal dosage for power training has not been established. In the studies included in this review, power training was implemented with various modalities including pneumatic machines, elastic bands, free-weights, and functional body movements at varied loads and repetitions. Thus, the optimal delivery of power training in clinical populations has not been defined. Despite the diverse approach to power training regimens, the findings of this systematic review support implementation for clinical populations. It should be noted that safety was not a focus of this analysis and should be considered before having individuals with disease and condition chronic engage in power training.

In this systematic review, we demonstrate that power training improves physical function when compared to control. A systematic review comparing the differences of power training versus CRT on muscle hypertrophy in older, though not necessarily diseased populations, found power training to be as effective as resistance training (Orssatto et al., [2020](#page-14-22)). Another systematic review comparing the effects of CRT versus power training on functional performance in older adults found power training to be as effective as CRT in improving functional performance in older adults (Tschopp et al., [2011\)](#page-15-4), similar to our own results. A third systematic review demonstrated thigh velocity training may be superior to moderate velocity training;

although the studies meeting eligibility only included adults ≥60 years of age, with many studies utilizing healthy adults (Rosa Orssatto et al., [2019](#page-13-23)). Our systematic review includes three overlapping studies (Bean et al., [2009](#page-12-7); Yoon et al., [2017;](#page-15-6) Zech et al., [2012\)](#page-15-8) all of which were classified as "pre-frail" category. The stage of pre-frailty may represent a transition from healthy older community-dwelling adult to the individual at risk of negative health outcomes and thus minimal overlap is noted in these systematic reviews. Our review, however, incorporates a diverse array of clinical populations including individuals with neurologic, cardiovascular, and orthopedic conditions. The culmination of data, supports that randomized controlled trials with larger sample sizes are necessary to determine if power training is more efficacious than CRT for improving physical function. Moreover, trials in specific patient populations are necessary to improve generalizability and reproduce results found in this systematic review. Continued research is imperative as several limitations in the original studies were present including potential bias in methodology and small sample sizes, which is a similar theme noted in the previous systematic reviews (Orssatto et al., [2020;](#page-14-22) Rosa Orssatto et al., [2019](#page-13-23); Tschopp et al., [2011\)](#page-15-4).

Our systematic review is not without limitations. As mentioned, the inclusion of all clinical populations reduced generalizability. Secondly, findings are limited by heterogeneity in reporting of physical function outcomes and thus we aggregated SPPB, TUG, and chair rise test to calculate effect sizes. The physical function may encompass a wide variety of mobility or movement tasks to assess patient's ability or capacity to perform activities of daily living. Secondary limitations include the potential for methodological bias as blinding of participants and participants is challenging when delivering a physical intervention. Finally, the baseline health of participants in the included studies should be considered when interpreting our findings. Interestingly, the study populations were considered chronically diseased or frail for inclusion, however, most participants had a high baseline functional and mobility status. Study enrollment criteria frequently excluded patients unable to ambulate. Hence, the interpretations of our data should only be applied to populations with chronic disease with mild functional impairments and not to those individuals with more significant physical disabilities. It should also be noted, that no studies included patients hospitalized or recently discharged for acute illness.

5 | **CONCLUSION**

Power training is an effective intervention and is at least equal to CRT for improving physical function in

chronically ill and frail individuals, although further study is necessary to warrant this claim. The findings are limited as the included studies only enrolled individuals with low acuity of disease, therefore, generalizability to populations with severe illness is questioned. Additional research is necessary to confirm the efficacy of power training in different patient populations such as those with critical illness, cancer, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Future research should examine the appropriate dosing, frequency, intensity, and duration of power training to enhance safety and maximize potential benefit.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

ETHICS STATEMENT

This systematic review is reported in accordance with the PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions. This protocol and the search strategies were registered a priori in Prospero (ID 1335246). The study precluded need for institutional review board approval.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Alexander B. Sklivas and Kirby P. Mayer were involved in all stages of the study including concept, data collection and analyses, and dissemination. Lauren E. Robinson developed and performed the systematic searches and participate writing methods. Timothy L. Uhl and Esther E. Dupont-Versteegden provided research oversight, assisted with interpretations of the data, and edited manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final version.

ORCID

Alexander B. Sklivas [https://orcid.](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8887-4487) [org/0000-0002-8887-4487](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8887-4487) *Timothy L. Uhl* <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9868-417X> *Esther E. Dupont-Versteegden* **b** [https://orcid.](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5576-9659) [org/0000-0001-5576-9659](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5576-9659) Kirby P. Mayer¹ <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3844-3846>

REFERENCES

- Alnahdi, A. H., Zeni, J. A., & Snyder-Mackler, L. (2012). Muscle impairments in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Sports Health*, *4*(4), 284–292. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1941738112445726>
- Anagnostis, P., Gkekas, N. K., Achilla, C., Pananastasiou, G., Taouxidou, P., Mitsiou, M., Kenanidis, E., Potoupnis, M., Tsiridis, E., & Goulis, D. G. (2020). Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus is Associated with Increased Risk of Sarcopenia: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Calcified Tissue International*, *107*(5), 453–463. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00223-020-00742-y>

- Augustin, T., Burstein, M. D., Schneider, E. B., Morris-Stiff, G., Wey, J., Chalikonda, S., & Walsh, R. M. (2016). Frailty predicts risk of life-threatening complications and mortality after pancreatic resections. *Surgery*, *160*(4), 987–996. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2016.07.010) [surg.2016.07.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2016.07.010)
- Bagshaw, S. M., Majumdar, S. R., Rolfson, D. B., Ibrahim, Q., McDermid, R. C., & Stelfox, H. T. (2016). A prospective multicenter cohort study of frailty in younger critically ill patients. *Critical Care*, *20*(1), 175. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s1305](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-016-1338-x) [4-016-1338-x](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-016-1338-x)
- Bagshaw, S. M., & Muscedere, J. (2017). Is this intensive care unit patient frail? unraveling the complex interplay between frailty and critical illness. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, *196*(1), 4–5. [https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.20161](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201612-2538ED) [2-2538ED](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201612-2538ED)
- Bean, J. F., Kiely, D. K., LaRose, S., Goldstein, R., Frontera, W. R., & Leveille, S. G. (2010). Are changes in leg power responsible for clinically meaningful improvements in mobility in older adults? *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, *58*(12), 2363– 2368. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2010.03155.x>
- Bean, J. F., Kiely, D. K., LaRose, S., O'Neill, E., Goldstein, R., & Frontera, W. R. (2009). Increased velocity exercise specific to task training versus the national institute on aging's strength training program: changes in limb power and Mobility. *The Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*, *64A*(9), 983–991. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glp056) [gerona/glp056](https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glp056)
- Bean, J. F., Leveille, S. G., Kiely, D. K., Bandinelli, S., Guralnik, J. M., & Ferrucci, L. (2003). A comparison of leg power and leg strength within the InCHIANTI study: Which influences mobility more? *Journals of Gerontology Series A, Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*, *58*(8), 728–733. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/58.8.M728) [gerona/58.8.M728](https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/58.8.M728)
- Bernard, S., LeBlanc, P., Whittom, F., Carrier, G., Jobin, J., Belleau, R., & Maltais, F. (1998). Peripheral muscle weakness in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, *158*(2), 629–634. <https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.158.2.9711023>
- Bottaro, M., Machado, S. N., Nogueira, W., Scales, R., & Veloso, J. (2007). Effect of high versus low-velocity resistance training on muscular fitness and functional performance in older men. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, *99*(3), 257–264. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-006-0343-1) doi.org/10.1007/s00421-006-0343-1
- Byrne, C., Faure, C., Keene, D. J., & Lamb, S. E. (2016). Ageing, muscle power and physical function: a systematic review and implications for pragmatic training interventions. *Sports Medicine (Auckland, NZ)*, *46*(9), 1311–1332. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0489-x) [s40279-016-0489-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0489-x)
- Cadore, E. L., Casas-Herrero, A., Zambom-Ferraresi, F., Idoate, F., Millor, N., Gómez, M., Rodriguez-Mañas, L., & Izquierdo, M. (2014). Multicomponent exercises including muscle power training enhance muscle mass, power output, and functional outcomes in institutionalized frail nonagenarians. *Age (Dordr)*, *36*(2), 773–785. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11357-013-9586-z>
- Celes, R., Bottaro, M., Cadore, E., Dullius, J., Schwartz, F., & Luzine, F. (2017). Low-load high-velocity resistance exercises improve strength and functional capacity in diabetic patients. *European Journal of Translational Myology*, *27*(2), 6292. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.4081/ejtm.2017.6292) [org/10.4081/ejtm.2017.6292](https://doi.org/10.4081/ejtm.2017.6292)

12 of 14 Physiological Reports $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{P}^{\text{he}}}_{\text{pysiological}}$

- Cesari, M., Leeuwenburgh, C., Lauretani, F., Onder, G., Bandinelli, S., Maraldi, C., Guralnik, J. M., Pahor, M., & Ferrucci, L. (2006). Frailty syndrome and skeletal muscle: Results from the Invecchiare in Chianti study. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *83*(5), 1142–1148. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/83.5.1142) [ajcn/83.5.1142](https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/83.5.1142)
- Cherup, N. P., Buskard, A. N. L., Strand, K. L., Roberson, K. B., Michiels, E. R., Kuhn, J. E., Lopez, F. A., & Signorile, J. F. (2019). Power vs strength training to improve muscular strength, power, balance and functional movement in individuals diagnosed with Parkinson's disease. *Experimental Gerontology*, *128*, 110740. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2019.110740) [exger.2019.110740](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2019.110740)
- Chowdhury, R., Peel, N. M., Krosch, M., & Hubbard, R. E. (2017). Frailty and chronic kidney disease: A systematic review. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, *68*, 135–142. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2016.10.007) [org/10.1016/j.archger.2016.10.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2016.10.007)
- da Rosa Orssatto, L. B., de la Rocha, F. C., Shield, A. J., Silveira Pinto, R., & Trajano, G. S. (2019). Effects of resistance training concentric velocity on older adults' functional capacity: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised trials. *Experimental Gerontology*, *127*, 110731. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2019.110731) [org/10.1016/j.exger.2019.110731](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2019.110731)
- De Biasio, J. C., Mittel, A. M., Mueller, A. L., Ferrante, L. E., Kim, D. H., & Shaefi, S. (2020). Frailty in critical care medicine: A review. *Anesthesia and Analgesia*, *130*(6), 1462–1473. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000004665) [org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000004665](https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000004665)
- Evans, W. J. Reversing sarcopenia: How weight training can build strength and vitality. *Geriatrics (Basel)*. 1996;*51*(5):46-47.
- Evered, L. A., Vitug, S., Scott, D. A., & Silbert, B. (2020). Preoperative frailty predicts postoperative neurocognitive disorders after total hip joint replacement surgery. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, *131*(5), 1582–1588. [https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000](https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000004893) [004893](https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000004893)
- Files, D. C., Sanchez, M. A., & Morris, P. E. (2015). A conceptual framework: The early and late phases of skeletal muscle dysfunction in the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Critical Care*, *19*, 266. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-015-0979-5>
- Fragala, M. S., Cadore, E. L., Dorgo, S., Izquierdo, M., Kraemer, W. J., Peterson, M. D., & Ryan, E. D. (2019). Resistance training for older adults: Position statement from the national strength and conditioning association. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *33*(8), 2019–2052. [https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.00000](https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000003230) [00000003230](https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000003230)
- Fried, L. P., Tangen, C. M., Walston, J., Newman, A. B., Hirsch, C., Gottdiener, J., Seeman, T., Tracy, R., Kop, W. J., Burke, G., & McBurnie, M. A. (2001). Frailty in older adults: Evidence for a phenotype. *Journals of Gerontology Series A, Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*, *56*(3), M146–M156. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/56.3.M146) [org/10.1093/gerona/56.3.M146](https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/56.3.M146)
- Gill, T. M., Gahbauer, E. A., Allore, H. G., & Han, L. (2006). Transitions Between frailty states among community-living older persons. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, *166*(4), 418–423. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.166.4.418>
- Gould, D. W., Lahart, I., Carmichael, A. R., Koutedakis, Y., & Metsios, G. S. (2013). Cancer cachexia prevention via physical exercise: Molecular mechanisms. *Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle*, *4*(2), 111–124. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13539-012-0096-0) [s13539-012-0096-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13539-012-0096-0)
- Henwood, T. R., Riek, S., & Taaffe, D. R. (2008). Strength versus muscle power-specific resistance training in community-dwelling older adults. *Journals of Gerontology: Series A, Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*, *63*(1), 83–91. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/63.1.83) [org/10.1093/gerona/63.1.83](https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/63.1.83)
- Higgins, J. P. T., Altman, D. G., Gøtzsche, P. C., Juni, P., Moher, D., Oxman, A. D., Savovic, J., Schulz, K. F., Weeks, L., & Sterne, J. A. C. (2011). The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*, *343*, d5928. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.d5928) [org/10.1136/bmj.d5928](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.d5928)
- Hozo, S. P., Djulbegovic, B., & Hozo, I. (2005). Estimating the mean and variance from the median, range, and the size of a sample. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, *5*, 13. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-5-13) [org/10.1186/1471-2288-5-13](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-5-13)
- Izquierdo, M., Aguado, X., Gonzalez, R., Lopez, J. L., & Hakkinen, K. (1999). Maximal and explosive force production capacity and balance performance in men of different ages. *European Journal of Applied Physiology and Occupational Physiology*, *79*(3), 260–267. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004210050504>
- Jin, E. H., Park, S., & So, J. M. (2015). The effect of muscle power training with elastic band on blood glucose, cytokine, and physical function in elderly women with hyperglycemia. *Journal of Exercise Nutrition and Biochemistry*, *19*(1), 19–24. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.5717/jenb.2015.19.1.19) [org/10.5717/jenb.2015.19.1.19](https://doi.org/10.5717/jenb.2015.19.1.19)
- Johansen, K. L., Shubert, T., Doyle, J., Soher, B., Sakkas, G. K., & Kent-Braun, J. A. (2003). Muscle atrophy in patients receiving hemodialysis: Effects on muscle strength, muscle quality, and physical function. *Kidney International*, *63*(1), 291–297. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1755.2003.00704.x) doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1755.2003.00704.x
- Jones, S. E., Maddocks, M., Kon, S. S. C., Canavan,J. L., Nolan, C. M., Clark, A. L., Polkey, M. I., & Man, W. D. –C. (2015). Sarcopenia in COPD: prevalence, clinical correlates and response to pulmonary rehabilitation. *Thorax*, *70*(3), 213–218. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-206440) [org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-206440](https://doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2014-206440)
- Kelly, M. A., Finley, M., Lichtman, S. W., Hyland, M. R., & Edeer, A. O. (2016). Comparative analysis of high-velocity versus lowvelocity exercise on outcomes after total knee arthroplasty: A randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Geriatric Physical Therapy*, *39*(4), 178–189. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JPT.0000000000000070>
- Knols, R., Aaronson, N. K., Uebelhart, D., Fransen, J., & Aufdemkampe, G. (2005). Physical exercise in cancer patients during and after medical treatment: a systematic review of randomized and controlled clinical trials. *Journal of Clinical Oncology : Official Journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*, *23*(16), 3830–3842. [https://doi.org/10.1200/](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2005.02.148) [JCO.2005.02.148](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2005.02.148)
- LeBrasseur, N. K., Sayers, S. P., Ouellette, M. M., & Fielding, R. A. (2006). Muscle impairments and behavioral factors mediate functional limitations and disability following stroke. *Physical Therapy*, *86*(10), 1342–1350. [https://doi.org/10.2522/](https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20050162) [ptj.20050162](https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20050162)
- Liberati, A., Altman, D. G., Tetzlaff, J., Mulrow, C., Gotzsche, P. C., Ioannidis, J. P. A., Clarke, M., Devereaux, P. J., Kleijnen, J., & Moher, D. (2009). The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: Explanation and elaboration. *BMJ (Clinical Research ed)*, *339*, b2700. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b2700>
- Lipsey, M. W., & Wilson, D. B. (2001). *Practical meta-analysis*, Vol. *1*. SAGE Publications.
- MacKinnon, H. J., Wilkinson, T. J., Clarke, A. L., Gould, D. W., O'Sullivan, T. F., Xenophontos, S., Watson, E. L., Singh, S. J., & Smith, A. C. (2018). The association of physical function and physical activity with all-cause mortality and adverse clinical outcomes in nondialysis chronic kidney disease: A systematic review. *Therapeutic Advances in Chronic Disease*, *9*(11), 209– 226. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2040622318785575>
- Marengoni, A., Zucchelli, A., Vetrano, D. L., Armellini, A., Botteri, E., Nicosia, F., Romanelli, G., Beindorf, E. A., Giansiracusa, P., Garrafa, E., Ferrucci, L., Fratiglioni, L., Bernabei, R., & Onder, G. (2021). Beyond chronological age: Frailty and multimorbidity predict in-hospital mortality in patients with coronavirus disease 2019. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A*, *76*(3), e38– e45. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glaa291>
- McKinnon, N. B., Connelly, D. M., Rice, C. L., Hunter, S. W., & Doherty, T. J. (2017). Neuromuscular contributions to the age-related reduction in muscle power: Mechanisms and potential role of high velocity power training. *Ageing Research Reviews*, *35*, 147–154. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2016.09.003) [arr.2016.09.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2016.09.003)
- Medina-Perez, C., de Souza-Teixeira, F., Fernandez-Gonzalo, R., Hernandez-Murua, J. A., & Antonio de Paz-Fernandez, J. (2016). Effects of high-speed power training on muscle strength and power in patients with multiple sclerosis. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development*, *53*(3), 359–368. <https://doi.org/10.1682/JRRD.2014.08.0186>
- Ni, M., Signorile, J. F., Balachandran, A., & Potiaumpai, M. (2016). Power training induced change in bradykinesia and muscle powerin Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism & Related Disorders*, *23*, 37–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2015.11.028>
- Onder, G., Vetrano, D. L., Marengoni, A., Bell, J. S., Johnell, K., & Palmer, K. (2018). Accounting for frailty when treating chronic diseases. *European Journal of Internal Medicine*, *56*, 49–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2018.02.021>
- Orssatto, L. B. R., Bezerra, E. S., Shield, A. J., & Trajano, G. S. (2020). Is power training effective to produce muscle hypertrophy in older adults? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Applied Physiology, Nutrition and Metabolism*, *45*(9), 1031–1040. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1139/apnm-2020-0021) doi.org/10.1139/apnm-2020-0021
- Ottenbacher, K. J., & Barrett, K. A. (1989). Measures of effect size in the reporting of rehabilitation research. *American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation*, *68*(2), 52–58. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1097/00002060-198904000-00002) [org/10.1097/00002060-198904000-00002](https://doi.org/10.1097/00002060-198904000-00002)
- Parry, S. M., El-Ansary, D., Cartwright, M. S., Sarwal, A., Berney, S., Koopman, R., Annoni, R., Puthucheary, Z., Gordon, I. R., Morris, P. E., & Denehy, L. (2015). Ultrasonography in the intensive care setting can be used to detect changes in the quality and quantity of muscle and is related to muscle strength and function. *Journal of Critical Care*, *30*(5), 1151.e1159–1114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2015.05.024>
- Paul, S. S., Canning, C. G., Song, J., Fung, V. S., & Sherrington, C. (2014). Leg muscle power is enhanced by training in people with Parkinson's disease: a randomized controlled trial. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, *28*(3), 275–288. [https://doi.org/10.1177/02692](https://doi.org/10.1177/0269215513507462) [15513507462](https://doi.org/10.1177/0269215513507462)
- Phillips, S. M., & Winett, R. A. (2010). Uncomplicated resistance training and health-related outcomes: evidence for a public health mandate. *Current Sports Medicine Reports*, *9*(4), 208– 213. <https://doi.org/10.1249/JSR.0b013e3181e7da73>

EXELIVAS ET AL. 13 of 14
EXELIVAS ET AL. 13 of 14
EXECUTE: Physiological Reports **13 of 14**

- Powers, S. K., Lynch, G. S., Murphy, K. T., Reid, M. B., & Zijdewind, I. (2016). Disease-induced skeletal muscle atrophy and fatigue. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, *48*(11), 2307–2319. <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000975>
- Puthucheary, Z. A., Rawal, J., McPhail, M., Connolly, B., Ratnayake, G., Chan, P., Hopkinson, N. S., Padhke, R., Dew, T., Sidhu, P. S., Velloso, C., Seymour, J., Agley, C. C., Selby, A., Limb, M., Edwards, L. M., Smith, K., Rowlerson, A., Rennie, M. J., ⋯, Montgomery, H. E. (2013). Acute skeletal muscle wasting in critical illness. *JAMA*, *310*(15), 1591–1600. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.278481) [org/10.1001/jama.2013.278481](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.278481)
- Reid, K. F., Callahan, D. M., Carabello, R.J., Phillips, E. M., Frontera, W. R., & Fielding, R. A. (2008). Lower extremity power training in elderly subjects with mobility limitations: A randomized controlled trial. *Aging Clinical and Experimental Research*, *20*(4), 337–343. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03324865>
- Reid, K. F., & Fielding, R. A. (2012). Skeletal muscle power: A critical determinant of physical functioning in older adults. *Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews*, *40*(1), 4–12. [https://doi.org/10.1097/](https://doi.org/10.1097/JES.0b013e31823b5f13) [JES.0b013e31823b5f13](https://doi.org/10.1097/JES.0b013e31823b5f13)
- Robinson, T. N., Walston, J. D., Brummel, N. E., Deiner, S., Brown, C. H., Kennedy, M., & Hurria, A. (2015). Frailty for surgeons: Review of a national institute on aging conference on frailty for specialists. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*, *221*(6), 1083–1092.
- Roshanravan, B., Gamboa, J., & Wilund, K. (2017). Exercise and ckd: skeletal muscle dysfunction and practical application of exercise to prevent and treat physical impairments in CKD. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*, *69*(6), 837–852. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2017.01.051) doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2017.01.051
- Sayers, S. P. (2007). High-speed power training: A novel approach to resistance training in older men and women. A brief review and pilot study. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *21*(2), 518–526. [https://doi.org/10.1519/00124278-](https://doi.org/10.1519/00124278-200705000-00040) [200705000-00040](https://doi.org/10.1519/00124278-200705000-00040)
- Sayers, S. P., Bean, J., Cuoco, A., LeBrasseur, N. K., Jette, A., & Fielding, R. A. (2003). Changes in function and disability after resistance training: Does velocity matter?: A pilot study. *American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation*, *82*(8), 605–613. [https://doi.org/10.1097/01.PHM.0000078225.71442.](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.PHM.0000078225.71442.B6) [B6](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.PHM.0000078225.71442.B6)
- Sepúlveda-Loyola, W., Osadnik, C., Phu, S., Morita, A. A., Duque, G., & Probst, V. S. (2020). Diagnosis, prevalence, and clinical impact of sarcopenia in COPD: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle*, *11*(5), 1164–1176. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcsm.12600>
- Skelton, D. A., Greig, C. A., Davies, J. M., & Young, A. (1994). Strength, power and related functional ability of healthy people aged 65–89 years. *Age and Ageing*, *23*(5), 371–377. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/23.5.371) [org/10.1093/ageing/23.5.371](https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/23.5.371)
- Steib, S., Schoene, D., & Pfeifer, K. (2010). Dose-response relationship of resistance training in older adults: A meta-analysis. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, *42*(5), 902–914. <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181c34465>
- Suzuki, T., Bean, J. F., & Fielding, R. A. (2001). Muscle power of the ankle flexors predicts functional performance in community-dwelling older women. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, *49*(9), 1161–1167. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1532-5415.2001.49232.x) [org/10.1046/j.1532-5415.2001.49232.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1532-5415.2001.49232.x)
- Tschopp, M., Sattelmayer, M. K., & Hilfiker, R. (2011). Is power training or conventional resistance training better for function in elderly persons? A meta-analysis. *Age and Ageing*, *40*(5), 549– 556. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afr005>
- Walston, J., Bandeen-Roche, K., Buta, B., Bergman, H., Gill, T. M., Morley, J. E., Fried, L. P., Robinson, T. N., Afilalo, J., Newman, A. B., López-Otín, C., De Cabo, R., Theou, O., Studenski, S., Cohen, H. J., & Ferrucci, L. (2019). Moving frailty toward clinical practice: NIA intramural frailty science symposium summary. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, *67*(8), 1559– 1564. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.15928>
- Webber, S. C., & Porter, M. M. (2010). Effects of ankle power training on movement time in mobility-impaired older women. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, *42*(7), 1233–1240. <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181cdd4e9>
- Winger, M. E., Caserotti, P., Ward, R. E., Boudreau, R. M., Hvid, L. G., Cauley, J. A., Piva, S. R., Harris, T. B., Glynn, N. W., & Strotmeyer, E. S. (2021). Jump power, leg press power, leg strength and grip strength differentially associated with physical performance: The developmental epidemiologic cohort study (DECOS). *Experimental Gerontology*, *145*, 111172. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2020.111172) doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2020.111172
- Wischmeyer, P. E., & San-Millan, I. (2015). Winning the war against ICU-acquired weakness: New innovations in nutrition and exercise physiology. *Critical Care*, *19*(Suppl 3), S6. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/cc14724) [org/10.1186/cc14724](https://doi.org/10.1186/cc14724)
- Xue, Q.-L. (2011). The frailty syndrome: Definition and natural history. *Clinics in Geriatric Medicine*, *27*(1), 1–15. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cger.2010.08.009) [org/10.1016/j.cger.2010.08.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cger.2010.08.009)
- Yoon, D. H., Kang, D., Kim, H. J., Kim, J. S., Song, H. S., & Song, W. (2017). Effect of elastic band-based high-speed power training on cognitive function, physical performance and muscle strength in older women with mild cognitive impairment. *Geriatrics & Gerontology International*, *17*(5), 765–772. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/ggi.12784) doi.org/10.1111/ggi.12784
- Zech, A., Drey, M., Freiberger, E., Hentschke, C., Bauer, J. M., Sieber, C. C., & Pfeifer, K. (2012). Residual effects of muscle strength and muscle power training and detraining on physical function in community-dwelling prefrail older adults: a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Geriatrics*, *12*, 68. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2318-12-68) [org/10.1186/1471-2318-12-68](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2318-12-68)

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.

How to cite this article: Sklivas, A. B., Robinson, L. E., Uhl, T. L., Dupont-Versteegden, E. E., & Mayer, K. P. (2022). Efficacy of power training to improve physical function in individuals diagnosed with frailty and chronic disease: A meta-analysis. *Physiological Reports*, *10*, e15339. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.14814/phy2.15339) [org/10.14814/phy2.15339](https://doi.org/10.14814/phy2.15339)