The Molecular Mechanism of N-Acetylglucosamine Side-Chain Attachment to the Lancefield Group A Carbohydrate in *Streptococcus pyogenes*

Jeffrey Rush  
*University of Kentucky, jrush@uky.edu*

Rebecca J. Edgar  
*University of Kentucky, rebecca.edgar@uky.edu*

Pan Deng  
*University of Kentucky, pde233@uky.edu*

Jing Chen  
*University of Kentucky, jchen4@email.uky.edu*

Haining Zhu  
*University of Kentucky, haining@uky.edu*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://uknowledge.uky.edu/biochem_facpub](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/biochem_facpub)

Part of the Amino Acids, Peptides, and Proteins Commons, Biochemistry, Biophysics, and Structural Biology Commons, and the Pathogenic Microbiology Commons

Repository Citation  
Rush, Jeffrey; Edgar, Rebecca J.; Deng, Pan; Chen, Jing; Zhu, Haining; van Sorge, Nina M.; Morris, Andrew J.; Korotkov, Konstantin V.; and Korotkova, Natalia, "The Molecular Mechanism of N-Acetylglucosamine Side-Chain Attachment to the Lancefield Group A Carbohydrate in *Streptococcus pyogenes*" (2017). *Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry Faculty Publications*. 133.  
[https://uknowledge.uky.edu/biochem_facpub/133](https://uknowledge.uky.edu/biochem_facpub/133)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.
Authors
Jeffrey Rush, Rebecca J. Edgar, Pan Deng, Jing Chen, Haining Zhu, Nina M. van Sorge, Andrew J. Morris, Konstantin V. Korotkov, and Natalia Korotkova

The Molecular Mechanism of N-Acetylglucosamine Side-Chain Attachment to the Lancefield Group A Carbohydrate in Streptococcus pyogenes

Notes/Citation Information


The copyright holder has granted the permission for posting the article here.

Digital Object Identifier (DOI)
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M117.815910

This article is available at UKnowledge: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/biochem_facpub/133
The molecular mechanism of N-acetylglucosamine side-chain attachment to the Lancefield group A carbohydrate in *Streptococcus pyogenes*

Received for publication, September 1, 2017, and in revised form, October 6, 2017. Published, Papers in Press, October 11, 2017, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M117.815910

Jeffrey S. Rush†, Rebecca J. Edgar†, Pan Deng§, Jing Chen§, Haining Zhu†, Nina M. van Sorge§, Andrew J. Morris†, Konstantin V. Korotkov§, Natalia Korotkova†, and Jeffrey S. Rush

From the †Department of Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry and §Division of Cardiovascular Medicine and the Gill Heart Institute, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky 40536 and the ‡Department of Medical Microbiology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht 3584 CX, The Netherlands

Edited by Chris Whitfield

In many Lactobacillales species (*i.e.* lactic acid bacteria), peptidoglycan is decorated by polyrhamnose polysaccharides that are critical for cell envelope integrity and cell shape and also represent key antigenic determinants. Despite the biological importance of these polysaccharides, their biosynthetic pathways have received limited attention. The important human pathogen, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, synthesizes a key antigenic surface polymer, the Lancefield group A carbohydrate (GAC). GAC is covalently attached to peptidoglycan and consists of a surface polymer, the Lancefield group A carbohydrate (GAC) side chains, which is an essential virulence determinant. The molecular details of the mechanism of polyrhamnose modification with GlcNAc are currently unknown. In this report, using molecular genetics, analytical chemistry, and mass spectrometry analysis, we demonstrated that GAC biosynthesis requires two distinct undecaprenol-linked GlcNAc-lipid intermediates: GlcNAc-phosphate-undecaprenol (GlcNAc-P-P-Und) produced by the GlcNAc-phosphate transferase GacO and GlcNAc-phosphate-undecaprenol (GlcNAc-P-P-Und) produced by the glycosyltransferase GacI. Further investigations revealed that the GAC polyrhamnose backbone is assembled on GlcNAc-P-P-Und. Our results also suggested that a GT-C glycosyltransferase, GacL, transfers GlcNAc from GlcNAc-P-P-Und to polyrhamnose. Moreover, GacJ, a small membrane-associated protein, formed a complex with GacI and significantly stimulated its catalytic activity. Of note, we observed that GacI homologs perform a similar function in *Streptococcus agalactiae* and *Enterococcus faecalis*. In conclusion, the elucidation of GAC biosynthesis in *S. pyogenes* reported here enhances our understanding of how other Gram-positive bacteria produce essential components of their cell wall.

The cytoplasmic membrane of Gram-positive bacteria is surrounded by a thick cell wall consisting of multiple peptidoglycan layers decorated with proteins and a variety of carbohydrate-based polymers. Rhamnose (Rha) is the main component of the carbohydrate structures in many species of the Lactobacillales order. These polymers play essential roles in maintaining and protecting bacterial cell envelopes and in pathogenesis of infections caused by *Streptococcus pyogenes* and *Enterococcus faecalis*. It is of paramount importance to understand the molecular mechanisms of Rha-containing cell wall polysaccharide biosynthesis for developing novel therapeutics against these bacterial pathogens.

*S. pyogenes* or group A *Streptococcus* (GAS) is associated with numerous diseases in humans ranging from minor skin and throat infections, such as impetigo and pharyngitis, to life-threatening invasive infections, such as streptococcal toxic syndrome and necrotizing fasciitis. The main component of GAS cell wall is the Lancefield group A carbohydrate (GAC) that includes about 40–60% of the total cell wall mass. Serological grouping of β-hemolytic streptococci (A, B, C, E, F, and G groups), introduced by Rebecca Lancefield in 1933, is based on the detection of carbohydrate antigens present on the cell wall. GAC is presumably covalently linked to N-acetylmuramic acid (MurNAc) of peptidoglycan, although the molecular structure of the linkage unit has not been established. All serotypes of GAS cell wall consisting of a polyrhamnose backbone with N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) side chains (6, 7). The Lancefield classification of GAS relies on serological specificity of the immunodominant GlcNAc residues in the carbohydrate antigen (7). The average molecular mass of GAS has been reported to be 8.9 ± 1.0 kDa, corresponding to an average of 18 repeating units of [→3]α-Rha(1→2)[β-GlcNAc(1→3)].
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3) [α-Rha(1→)] (8). Because Rha is absent in mammalian cells, GAC is an attractive candidate for a universal GAS vaccine (8, 9). Moreover, the GlcNAc side chains are an important virulence determinant in GAS (5). GAS mutants lacking GlcNAc are susceptible to innate immune clearance by neutrophils and antimicrobial agents and are significantly attenuated in animal models of GAS infection (5).

Similar to the biosynthesis of other cell-envelope polymers, peptidoglycan, lipopolysaccharide, wall teichoic acid (WTA), and capsular polysaccharide, the synthesis of Rha-containing carbohydrate structures is likely initiated on the inside of the cytoplasmic membrane and proceeds through several steps, including the attachment of the first sugar residue to a lipid carrier, undecaprenyl phosphate (Und-P), followed by elongation of the polysaccharide through stepwise addition of activated sugar residues to the lipid carrier (1). After translocation of the polysaccharide across the membrane and further modifications, it is presumably attached to peptidoglycan via a phosphate ester linkage (1). The first membrane step of O-antigen biosynthesis in enterobacteria and WTA biosynthesis in Bacillus subtilis and Staphylococcus aureus (10) is catalyzed by the UDP-GlcNAc:Und-P GlcNAc-1-phosphate transferase encoded by WecA homologs (11, 12). WecA transfers GlcNAc-phosphate from UDP-GlcNAc to Und-P, forming GlcNAc-pyrophosphoryl-undecaprenol (GlcNAc-P-P-Und). Although GAS strains do not produce WTA, all GAS genomes contain a wecA homolog, gacO (5). Significantly, GAC biosynthesis is sensitive to tunicamycin, a known inhibitor of WecA (5), and the Streptococcus mutans GacO homolog, RgpG, has been shown to complement WecA activity in E. coli (13). These observations support an essential role for GacO in the biosynthesis of GlcNAc-P-P-Und and suggest GlcNAc-P-P-Und may function as a lipid–anchor in the initiation of GAC biosynthesis.

Other genes required for GAC biosynthesis and transport are found in a separate location on the GAS chromosome and include a 12-gene locus (gacA–gacL) (Fig. 1A). The first three genes, gacA–gacC, together with gacG, are conserved in many species of the Lactobacillales order (1). gacA encodes a dTDP-4-dehydrorhamnose reductase, the enzyme responsible for dTDP-rhamnose biosynthesis (14). gacB, gacC, and gacG...
encode putative cytoplasmic rhamnosyltransferases. In S. mutans homologous genes rpgA, rpgB, and rpgF are involved in rhamnan backbone biosynthesis (15). In GAS, gacA–gacC are essential for viability and cannot be deleted (5). However, deletion mutants have been obtained in other genes of the GAC gene cluster (5). It has been shown that gacI, gacJ, and gacK are non-essential for viability but are required for GlcNAc side-chain addition to the polyrhamnose (5). They encode a putative cytoplasmic glycosyltransferase, a small-membrane protein, and a protein with homology to the Wzx family of membrane proteins involved in the export of O-antigen and teichoic acids, respectively. In contrast, inactivation of gacD, gacE, gacF, gacG, gacH, or gacL has no effect on GAS viability, and the GAC produced by these mutants is reported to display a wild-type antigenic profile, indicating the presence of the immunodominant GlcNAc side chains (5). GacD and gacE encode the components of an ABC transport system. In S. mutans, the gacD and gacE homologs are responsible for rhamnan polysaccharide transport (15). gacF, gacH, and gacL encode a cytosolic glycosyltransferase, a putative membrane-associated glycerol phosphate transferase, and a membrane-associated glycosyltransferase, respectively.

In this study we address the molecular mechanism of GlcNAc attachment to polyrhamnose. We demonstrate that GacL catalyzes formation of GlcNAc-P-Und, and GacJ stimulates the catalytic activity of GacL. Subsequently, GacL transfers GlcNAc from GlcNAc-P-Und to the polyrhamnose backbone of GAS polysaccharide. Moreover, we confirm GacO function in GlcNAc-P-Und formation and demonstrate the role of this GlcNAc-lipid in initiation of polyrhamnose biosynthesis.

**Results**

**GacL is required for GlcNAc attachment to polyrhamnose**

GacL, a polytopic (12–13 transmembrane segments) membrane protein, is reported to be dispensable for GlcNAc attachment to polyrhamnose (5). To investigate the function of GacL in GAC biogenesis, we disrupted gacL in the hyperinvasive S. pyogenes M1T1 serotype strain, MGAS5005 (16), creating the 5005ΔgacL mutant. In agreement with published data (5), 5005ΔgacL did not display any detectable growth phenotype in comparison with the WT strain. Surprisingly, we found that 5005ΔgacL cells failed to bind GlcNAc-specific anti-GAC antibodies, suggesting a loss of the GlcNAc antigenic epitope (Fig. 1B). The 5005ΔgacL phenotype was restored by expressing the WT copy of gacL on the mutant chromosome (Fig. 1B). To confirm that 5005ΔgacL cells are deficient in GlcNAc addition to polysaccharide, we measured the binding of fluorescently labeled succinylated wheat germ agglutinin (sWGA), a lectin that specifically binds non-reducing terminal β-GlcNAc residues, to WT and 5005ΔgacL cells. Deletion of gacL led to a significant decrease in binding of WGA to the 5005ΔgacL strain as compared with the WT (Fig. 1C), indicating that the mutant has substantially less GlcNAc-containing saccharides on the cell surface. Furthermore, direct compositional analysis of cell wall purified from WT and 5005ΔgacL cells confirmed a significant decrease of GlcNAc content in the 5005ΔgacL cell wall sample (Fig. 1, D–F). These data strongly support a role for GacL in GlcNAc side-chain attachment to polyrhamnose.

**gacL deletion mutant accumulates GlcNAc-P-Und**

To investigate further the effect of GacL deletion in S. pyogenes, we isolated the phospholipid fractions from the membranes of WT and 5005ΔgacL cells. TLC analysis revealed accumulation of a previously unidentified phosphoglycolipid in 5005ΔgacL (Fig. 2A). The novel lipid was found to be stable to mild alkaline methanolysis, but sensitive to mild acid (0.1 M HCl). Moderate alkaline methanolysis, but sensitive to mild acid (0.1 M HCl).
HCl, 50 °C, 50% isopropyl alcohol), and it reacted with orcinol spray (17), Dittmer-Lester phospholipid spray reagent (18), and with anisaldehyde, an isoprenol-specific reagent (19), consistent with its tentative identification as a glycophosphoprenol (data not shown). High-resolution negative-ion ESI-MS analysis of the purified lipid identified a molecular ion $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^{-}$ of $m/z = 1048.73621$ (Fig. 2B). In addition, prominent high-resolution fragment ions characteristic of PO$_3$H$_2$PO$_4$, N-acetylhexosamine-phosphate, and Und-P were also found (Table 1). These data are consistent with a glycolipid composed of N-acetylhexosamine-phosphate-undecaprenol. In experiments described in detail below, we found that when membrane fractions from GAS are incubated with UDP-$[^{3}\text{H}]$GlcNAc, a $[^{3}\text{H}]$GlcNAc-lipid that co-chromatographs on TLC with this novel lipid is rapidly formed. Importantly, the product ion spectra shown in Fig. 2B does not contain a fragment ion containing $[\text{Und-PO}_4-\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{NHCOCH}_3]^{-}$ ($m/z = 929.7$), arising from a cross-ring fragmentation reaction, suggesting that the hydroxyl of the anomeric carbon and the nitrogen at the 2-position of the glycosyl ring are trans to the plane of the ring (20, 21). This observation strongly suggests that the anomeric hydroxyl is present in the $\beta$ configuration. Furthermore, isolated $[^{3}\text{H}]$GlcNAc-P-Und phospholipid (described in detail below) is extremely sensitive to incubation with 50% phenol at 68 °C, a property that is consistent with $\beta$-GlcNAc-P-Und (supplementary Table S1) (22). Taken together, these data identify the novel glycolipid accumulating in the 5005$\Delta$gacL strain as $\beta$-GlcNAc-P-Und and suggest that GacL is a GlcNAc transferase using $\beta$-GlcNAc-P-Und as GlcNAc donor for the addition of the GlcNAc side chains to GAC.

**GAS synthesizes two GlcNAc-lipids in vitro**

To investigate the biosynthetic origin of the novel glycolipid accumulating in 5005$\Delta$gacL cells, membrane fractions from MGAS5005 were incubated with UDP-$[^{3}\text{H}]$GlcNAc and analyzed for lipid products as described under “Experimental procedures.” Experiments showed that $[^{3}\text{H}]$GlcNAc was efficiently transferred from UDP-$[^{3}\text{H}]$GlcNAc into two detectable GlcNAc-lipids (Fig. 3A and Table 2). The major lipid product co-migrates on TLC with the novel lipid accumulating in the 5005$\Delta$gacL strain and is identified as $[^{3}\text{H}]$GlcNAc-P-Und, as described above. The minor product is assumed to be $[^{3}\text{H}]$GlcNAc-P-P-Und, because it co-migrates with authentic GlcNAc-P-P-Und synthesized in Bacillus cereus membranes (Fig. 3B) (23), and its formation is potently inhibited by tunica-mycin (supplemental Fig. S1).

**GacI encodes a UDP-GlcNAc:Und-P GlcNAc transferase activity**

The GAS polysaccharide gene cluster contains a gene, gacI, reported to be required for GlcNAc addition to cell wall polysaccharide (5) and annotated as a GT-A type glycosyltrans-
ferase. The following studies were conducted to determine whether GAS GacI might be responsible for the synthesis of GlcNAc-P-Und. To determine whether GacI function was essential for formation of GlcNAc-P-Und, we generated a deletion of gacI in the MGAS5005. In agreement with published data (5) 5005gacI lost reactivity with anti-GAC antibodies, with specificity for the immunodominant GlcNAc, indicating a loss of GlcNAc modification in polyharmnose (Fig. 1B). When 5005gacI membrane fractions were incubated with [3H]UDP-GlcNAc, no incorporation into [3H]GlcNAc-P-Und was observed, and only the minor lipid [3H]GlcNAc-P-Und was found (Fig. 3C, Table 2), strongly supporting an essential role for GacI in GlcNAc-P-Und synthesis. The observation that the 5005gacI strain synthesizes normal levels of [3H]GlcNAc-P-Und in vitro (Fig. 3D) indicates that 5005gacI does not fail to add GlcNAc side chains to polyharmnose due to a lack of GlcNAc-P-Und and supports the conclusion that GacI may be the GlcNAc-P-Und:polyharmnran GlcNAc transferase.

To confirm the role of GacI in GlcNAc-P-Und biosynthesis, we expressed GAS GacI in Escherichia coli. When membrane fractions from E. coli carrying an empty vector were incubated with UDP-[3H]GlcNAc and analyzed by silica gel TLC, a small peak of [3H]GlcNAc-P-Und was found (Table 2 and supplemental Fig. S2A). In contrast, the membranes of recombinant E. coli expressing GacI accumulated two products corresponding to a small amount of GlcNAc-P-Und and a very large amount of GlcNAc-P-Und (Table 2 and supplemental Fig. S2B). Thus, our data strongly indicate that GacI is the GlcNAc-P-Und synthase.

GacI homologs in GBS and E. faecalis function in the biosynthesis of GlcNAc-P-Und

The presence of GlcNAc-P-Und and GlcNAc-P-Und has been previously reported in B. cereus and Bacillus megaterium (12, 23). Our in vitro studies show that the MGAS5005 strain synthesizes two [3H]GlcNAc-lipids that exactly co-migrate on TLC with the previously reported [3H]GlcNAc-lipids from B. cereus (Fig. 3, A and B). Moreover, an analysis of bacterial genomes using GacI in a BLAST search identified a GacI homolog (60% identity) in B. cereus and B. megaterium, suggesting its role in GlcNAc-P-Und biosynthesis in these bacteria (supplemental file S1). Furthermore, gacI homologs were identified in the polysaccharide biosynthesis gene clusters of the important human pathogens E. faecalis (epal with 48% sequence identity) (24) and Streptococcus agalactiae (group B Streptococcus or GBS) (SAN_1536 gene with 46% sequence identity) (supplemental Fig. S3). To investigate whether the GBS homolog of GacI also catalyzes the formation of GlcNAc-P-Und, we engineered a knock out of the GacI homolog in GBS COH1. Although GBS COH1 membranes synthesize both [3H]GlcNAc-P-Und and [3H]GlcNAc-P-P-Und (Fig. 4B and supplemental Fig. S2D), GBS COH1ΔgacI membranes no longer synthesize [3H]GlcNAc-P-Und (Table 2 and supplemental Fig. S2E).

To confirm that the GacI homolog detected in E. faecalis, Epal (24), also possesses GlcNAc-P-Und synthase activity, Epal was expressed exogenously in E. coli and found to actively catalyze the formation of [3H]GlcNAc-P-Und (Table 2 and supplemental Fig. S2C). Altogether, our results are consistent with the function of GacI homologs from GAS, GBS, and E. faecalis in the transfer of GlcNAc from UDP-GlcNAc to Und-P forming GlcNAc-P-Und.

ATP stimulates GlcNAc-P-Und biosynthesis

Preliminary enzymatic properties for the synthesis of [3H]GlcNAc-P-Und established that Mg2+ was the preferred divalent cation and that the formation of GlcNAc-P-Und was substantially stimulated by exogenously added Und-P (as a dispersion in CHAPS detergent). In early studies of GAC biosynthesis in GAS (25), it was shown that the addition of ATP dramatically stimulated incorporation of radioactive UDP-[3H]GlcNAc into [3H]GlcNAc membrane lipids and [3H]GlcNAc-polysaccharide. We confirmed that inclusion of 1 mM ATP significantly stimulated the incorporation of [3H]GlcNAc into [3H]GlcNAc-P-Und (Fig. 5 and supplemental Fig. S4), as well as [3H]GlcNAc-P-P-Und (supplemental Fig. S4). However, the inclusion of ATP did not stimulate GacI activity in in vitro assays of CHAPS-soluble, affinity-purified GacI solely dependent on exogenously added Und-P as acceptor. These data suggest that the effect of ATP addition is most likely due to formation of Und-P, in situ, by phosphorylation of endogenous undecaprenol by undecaprenol kinase (26).

GacI forms a complex with GacJ and enhances its catalytic efficiency

gacI is located immediately downstream of gacJ in the GAS GAC biosynthesis gene cluster and encodes a small, 113-amino acid, membrane protein (Fig. 1A). We hypothesized that GacJ might form an obligate complex with GacI. This hypothesis is based on the observation that gacJ and gacI are frequently located adjacent to each other on bacterial chromosomes and are sometimes fused to form a single polypeptide (accession numbers: GAM11018, ADH5077, ALC15489, and ADU67183). To test this hypothesis, we solubilized membranes from E. coli co-expressing GacJ and N-terminal His tagged GacI with the zwitterionic detergent CHAPS, and we isolated GacJ complexes using Ni-NTA chromatography (Fig. 6A). SDS-PAGE of the affinity-purified sample revealed two bands corresponding to the anticipated molecular sizes of GacI and GacJ (Fig. 6B). Proteomics analysis of the excised protein bands confirmed the
identities of the recovered proteins. This result indicates that GacI and GacJ form a stable CHAPS-soluble complex and co-purify during affinity chromatography.

To investigate whether GacJ performs any catalytic function in association with GacI, we tested the proteins for GlcNAc-P-Und synthase activity in vitro, individually, and in combination. When GacI was expressed singly in E. coli, enzymatically active protein was found in the membrane fraction (Table 2 and supplemental Fig. S2B), indicating that GacI does not require GacJ for activity or membrane association. GacJ was found to be catalytically inactive when expressed by itself in E. coli, consistent with the observation that 5005ΔgacI membranes show no residual GlcNAc-P-Und synthase activity. Kinetic analysis of GlcNAc-P-Und synthase activity for Und-P

Figure 4. TLC of [3H]GlcNAc-lipids from in vitro incubations of GAS and GBS membranes with UDP-[3H]GlcNAc. Membrane fractions from WT MGAS5005 (A) or GBS COH1 (B) were incubated with UDP-[3H]GlcNAc and analyzed for [3H]GlcNAc-lipid synthesis by TLC. Reaction mixtures were exactly as described in the legend to Fig. 3. After 5 min of incubation with UDP-[3H]GlcNAc, reactions were processed for GlcNAc-lipid synthesis as described under “Experimental procedures.” The organic layers were dried and dissolved in a small volume of CHCl3/CH3OH (2:1), and a portion was removed and assayed for radioactivity by liquid scintillation spectrometry. The remainder was spotted on 10 × 20-cm plate of Silica Gel G and developed in CHCl3/CH3OH/NH4OH/H2O (65:25:1:4). [3H]GlcNAc-lipids were detected by scanning with an AR2000 Bioscan Radiograph scanner. AU, arbitrary units. The results are representative of three separate experiments.

Figure 5. Effect of ATP on GlcNAc-P-Und synthesis in vitro in MGAS5005 membrane fractions. GlcNAc-P-Und synthesis in MGAS5005 membranes was assayed after the indicated time at 30 °C in the presence (○) or absence (●) of 1 mM ATP. Reaction mixtures were identical to those described in Fig. 3 except for the presence of ATP. Following incubation, incorporation into [3H]GlcNAc-P-Und was determined as described under “Experimental procedures.” The results are representative of three separate experiments.

Figure 6. GacI and GacJ exist as a detergent-stable complex in the membrane. GacJ and His-tagged GacI were co-expressed in E. coli Rosetta DE3 cells and extracted from the membrane fraction in 2.5% CHAPS. The proteins were purified using Ni-NTA-agarose in the presence of 2.5% CHAPS. A, fractions collected during Ni-NTA purification were analyzed by immunoblot using anti-His antibodies. B, eluted proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The results are representative of three separate experiments.
Mechanism of cell wall modification with GlcNAc in GAS

Table 3
Kinetic parameters of GlcNAc-lipid synthases in membrane fractions from various bacterial strains

Membrane fractions from the indicated bacterial strains were assayed for the formation of $[^{3}H]$GlcNAc-lipids in the presence of increasing amounts of Und-P, added as a dispersion in 1% CHAPS. Apparent kinetic parameters for Und-P were calculated from Michaelis-Menten plots (supplemental Fig. S5) using the linear regression algorithm in Sigma Plot version 12 (Systat Software, Inc., San Jose, CA). $K_m$ values were 0.9953 for GAS GacI expressed in E. coli, 0.983 for GAS GacI co-expressed with GacJ in E. coli, and 0.9976 for GacO in MGAS5005, and 0.931 for GacO in MGAS5005. The results are representative of at least two separate experiments. ND = not detected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme source</th>
<th>GlcNAc-P-Und</th>
<th>GlcNAc-P-P-Und</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enzyme source</td>
<td>$K_m$</td>
<td>$V_{max}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGAS5005</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5005gacI</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>54.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli/Gacl</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli/GacJ</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

in membrane fractions of E. coli expressing GacI revealed an apparent $K_m$ of 18.7 $\mu$m (Table 3 and supplemental Fig. S5A). However, co-expression of GacI dramatically lowered the apparent $K_m$ value of GacI for Und-P to 1.1 $\mu$m, suggesting a significant change in affinity for the lipid acceptor. Moreover, the $V_{max}$ of GacI activity increased from 54.2 to 18,500 pmol/min/mg in the presence of GacJ (Table 3 and supplemental Fig. S5B). A kinetic analysis of GlcNAc-P-Und synthase for Und-P in MGAS5005 strain gave an apparent $K_m$ of 6.4 $\mu$m and a $V_{max}$ of 333 pmol/min/mg (Table 3 and supplemental Fig. S5C). Similar to the enzymatic parameters of GacJ co-expressed with GacJ in E. coli. These enzymatic parameters are in marked contrast to those of the GlcNAc-P transferase that synthesizes GlcNAc-P-P-Und. When a similar kinetic analysis was performed in 5005gacI, so that only GlcNAc-P-Und synthase could be scored, an apparent $K_m$ for Und-P of 19.3 $\mu$m was obtained, and the $V_{max}$ was only 2.75 pmol/min/mg (Table 3 and supplemental Fig. S5D). Clearly, GacJ displays a much greater catalytic efficiency for the synthesis of GlcNAc-P-Und than the enzyme synthesizing GlcNAc-P-P-Und, which is reflected in the dramatic difference in the rates of synthesis of the two glycolipids. In summary, our data indicate that GacJ forms a stable association with GacI and stimulates the catalytic activity of GacI.

GlcNAc-P-Und is required for initiation of polyhmannose backbone biosynthesis

The GAS genome contains a close relative, gaco, of E. coli weca, the tunicamycin-sensitive GlcNAc-phosphate transferase responsible for the synthesis of GlcNAc-P-P-Und in many bacteria (11, 12). Significantly, GAC synthesis in GAS is inhibited by tunicamycin (5), and a close homolog of Gaco, S. mutans RgpP, is required for rhamnopolysaccharide synthesis and can complement Weca deficiency in E. coli (13). Our analysis of UDP-$[^{3}H]$GlcNAc incorporation in GAS and GBS membrane lipids, revealing the presence of two GlcNAc-lipids, GlcNAc-P-Und and GlcNAc-P-P-Und, prompted us to investigate the role of GlcNAc-P-Und in the initiation of GAC biosynthesis. First, we investigated the role of Gaco in the synthesis of GlcNAc-P-P-Und. When membrane fractions from the Weca-deficient E. coli strain (CLM37) expressing Gaco, CLM37-Gaco, were incubated with UDP-$[^{3}H]$GlcNAc and Und-P (added as a dispersion in 1% CHAPS, $[^{3}H]$GlcNAc-P-P-Und was formed at an enzymatic rate that is similar to that found in the Weca overexpressor strain, PR4019 (Fig. 7A). CLM37 carrying an empty vector synthesizes no detectable GlcNAc-P-P-Und under these conditions (Fig. 7A).

To test whether GlcNAc-P-Und might function as a membrane anchor for the synthesis of the polyhmannose chain of GAC, membrane fractions from MGAS5005 or the 5005ΔgacI mutant were pre-incubated with UDP-$[^{3}H]$GlcNAc and ATP to form $[^{3}H]$GlcNAc-P-P-Und in situ and chased with non-radioactive TDP-rhamnose. The formation of the resultant $[^{3}H]$GlcNAc-lipids in 5005gacI was analyzed by TLC on silica gel G after 30 min of incubation as shown in Fig. 7, B and C. In the absence of TDP-rhamnose, 5005ΔgacI membrane fractions produced only $[^{3}H]$GlcNAc-P-Und (Fig. 7B), whereas in the presence of TDP-rhamnose two radioactive products, $[^{3}H]$GlcNAc-P-P-Und and an additional product with slower mobility on TLC, were observed (Fig. 7C). MS analysis of lipid extracts from the in vitro reactions revealed the presence of a molecular ion $[M-H]^- m/z = 1274.76084$ with the composition, $C_{69}H_{114}O_{16}NP_2$, consistent with the expected product, rhamnosyl-GlcNAc-P-P-Und (Fig. 7D). Furthermore, the major fragment ions derived from $m/z = 1274.76084$ can be assigned to Rha-GlcNAc-P, Rha-GlcNAc-P-P-Und, and P-Und, supporting the proposed structural interpretation (Fig. 7D and Table 4). Supplemental Fig. S6 shows the time-dependent accumulation of the new GlcNAc-lipid product. Significantly, parallel incubations with MGAS5005 showed that GlcNAc-P-Und was not glycosylated further. These results strongly support the possibility that GlcNAc-P-P-Und is an acceptor for rhamnosyl units in GAS and may function as the lipid anchor for the GAC polyhmannose backbone synthesis.

GacI mutant displays increased sensitivity to peptidoglycan amidases

The Gram-positive cell wall protects interior structures, plasma membrane and peptidoglycan, from host-defense peptides and hydrolytic and antimicrobial enzymes. It has been shown that the GacI mutant, which is GlcNAc-deficient, is more sensitive to LL-37-induced killing (5). To test the hypothesis that the loss of GlcNAc decorations in GAC alters cell wall permeability, we investigated the sensitivity of 5005ΔgacI to peptidoglycan amidases: PlyC (27), PlyPy (28), and CbpD (29). When 5005ΔgacI cells were grown in increasing concentrations of CbpD (Fig. 8A), PlyPy (Fig. 8B), or PlyC (Fig. 8C), cellular growth was dramatically inhibited compared with MGAS5005, indicating increased sensitivity to the presence of amidases.

Discussion

In almost all Gram-positive bacteria, cell wall-attached glycopolymers are critical for cell envelope integrity, and their depletion is lethal (30). Most streptococcal species, including two human pathogens GAS and GBS, do not synthesize WTA and instead produce Rha-containing glycopolymers as functional homologs of WTA (1). GAC is the major cell wall component of GAS and plays important roles in bacterial physiol-
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Table 4

The major fragments of the precursor ion at m/z 1274.7612

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m/z</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Mass error (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>158.92409</td>
<td>HP₂O₆</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225.01646</td>
<td>C₇H₁₀PO₇</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>428.09637</td>
<td>C₁₄H₂₃O₁₂NP</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508.06271</td>
<td>C₁₄H₂₄O₁₅NP₂</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>907.61481</td>
<td>C₅₅H₈₉O₆P₂</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1274.76084</td>
<td>C₆₉H₁₁₄O₁₆NP₂</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. Analysis of GacO function in MGA55005. A, GacO catalyzes the synthesis of GlcNAc-P-P-Und in E. coli membranes. Reaction mixtures contained 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 20 mM MgCl₂, 0.5% CHAPS, 20 μM Und-P (dispersed by ultrasonication in 1% CHAPS), 5 μM UDP-GlcNAc (452 cpm/pmol), and E. coli membrane fraction from either PR4019, CLM37, or CLM37:GacO strains. Following incubation for 10 min at 30 °C, incorporation of [³H]GlcNAc into [³H]GlcNAc-P-P-Und was determined as described under “Experimental procedures.” Data are the average of three replicates ± S.D. B and C, GlcNAc-P-P-Und can function as an acceptor substrate for rhamnosylation to form rhamnosyl-GlcNAc-P-P-Und in 5005 gacI membranes. Incubation conditions were as described in the legend to Fig. 3. B, after 35 min of incubation with UDP-[³H]GlcNAc, the reactions were analyzed by formation of [³H]GlcNAc lipids as described in Fig. 3. C, after 5 min of incubation with UDP-[³H]GlcNAc, the reactions were incubated with 20 μM TDP-rhamnose for an additional 30 min and analyzed for formation of [³H]GlcNAc lipids. The results are representative of three separate experiments. D, ESI-MS/MS spectrum of rhamnosyl-GlcNAc-P-P-Und formed during chase with TDP-rhamnose from GlcNAc-P-P-Und synthesized in situ in membranes from S. pyogenes 5005 gacI.

Biosynthesis of the rhamnan backbone of GAC is likely essential for GAS viability because the GacA enzyme involved in dTDP-rhamnose biosynthesis (14) and GacB and GacC glycosyltransferases is indispensable in GAS (5). In contrast, deletion of genes required for GlcNAc attachment to the rhamnan backbone does not affect GAS viability (5). This observation supports our hypothesis that biosynthesis of polyrhamnose and its translocation to the cell surface occur separately from the pathway involved in polyrhamnose modification with GlcNAc. In bacterial and eukaryotic glycoconjugate assembly systems, structural modifications that are introduced late in the biosynthesis typically involve polypropenyl monophosphoryl donors (33). In our study, we found that GlcNAc modification of rhamnan requires GlcNAc-P-P-Und synthesis. Previously, GlcNAc-P-P-Und was isolated from various Bacilli membranes (12, 23). However the enzyme required for GlcNAc-P-P-Und biosynthesis was not identified, and the biological function of this lipid remained unclear. Our in vitro analysis of UDP-GlcNAc incorporation into GlcNAc-lipids by GAS membranes showed that GacI was required for the biosynthesis of GlcNAc-P-P-Und.
Moreover, CHAPS-soluble, affinity-purified GacI protein catalyzed the transfer of GlcNAc from UDP-GlcNAc to Und-P yielding GlcNac-P-Und in an in vitro reaction system. Interestingly, we found that, in contrast to B. cereus, GAS and GBS membranes incubated with UDP-[3H]GlcNAc synthesized primarily [3H]GlcNAc-P-Und. Because GacI and GacO utilize a common pool of Und-P, this phenomenon is presumably due to a much higher apparent affinity of GacI for Und-P compared with GacO. Significantly, we observed a measurable increase in [3H]GlcNAc incorporation into [3H]GlcNAc-P-Und in the GacI deletion mutant. This observation further confirmed that the relative synthetic rates of GlcNAc-P-Und and GlcNAc-P-Und biosynthesis are determined largely by relative affinity for Und-P. Furthermore, we found that the formation of GlcNAc-P-Und and GlcNAc-P-Und in GAS membranes was significantly stimulated by ATP. Because GacI activity is not stimulated directly by ATP, it is likely that the effect of ATP is due to increased formation of Und-P, in situ, via undecaprenol kinase activity. In S. mutans, membrane-associated undecaprenol kinase catalyzes the ATP-dependent phosphorylation of undecaprenol to Und-P (26). It is likely that the homolog of this enzyme encoded by M5005_Spy_0389 is responsible for Und-P biosynthesis in GAS.

GacI is predicted by the HHpred server to have structural homology to polyisoprenyl-glycosyltransferase GtrB from Synechocystis, which is a homolog of Shigella flexneri GtrB (34). GtrB homologs in bacteria and eukaryotes belong to the GT-A superfamily of glycosyltransferases and are responsible for the transfer of glucose from UDP-glucose to the bactoprenol carrier in the cytoplasm yielding the Und-P-glucose precursor in bacteria and dolichol phosphate–glucose precursor in eukaryotes (35, 36). Our BLAST search using the GacI sequence as query found homologs of this gene in many bacterial species, with the broadest diversity observed in the phylum Firmicutes (supplemental file S1). To determine evolutionary relationships between the GacI homologs, these sequences were analyzed using CLANS (CLuster ANalysis of Sequences) (Fig. 10) (37). It is noteworthy that the B. cereus GacI has two homologs clustered in two different groups. Our bioinformatics analysis shows that these gacI homologs are located in different gene clusters encoding proteins involved in polysaccharide biosynthesis and transport. Moreover, the GAS GacI subgrouped with one B. cereus GacI. The GBS GacI and E. faecalis GacI homologs are located in the cluster well-separated from the GAS GacI subgroup. Thus, this observation points to possible horizontal gene transfer between GAS and Bacillus. Analysis of UDP-GlcNAc incorporation into GlcNAc-lipids in a GBS gacI knock-out strain confirmed the function of this gene in the biosynthesis of GlcNAc-P-Und in GBS. Additionally, we demonstrated that the E. faecalis GacI homolog (EpaI) functions in the biosynthesis of GlcNAc-P-Und. Significantly, the presence of GacI homologs in GBS and E. faecalis matches the reported occurrence of GlcNAc residues in the cell wall polysaccharides of these bacteria (38–41).

GacJ, a small-membrane protein with three transmembrane α-helices, is required for GlcNAc side-chain attachment to polyrhannose (5). GacI belongs to the DUF2304 family of proteins according to the Pfam protein family database (42). In Geobacter sp., Desulfuromonas sp., Desulfurivibrio alkaliphilus, Desulfuromonas soudensis, and Desulfurispirillum indicum, DUF2304 homologous domains are fused with gacI homo-
In many bacterial systems, modification of glycoconjugates involves the export of a polyprenyl monophosphoryl-glycosylmolecule to the periplasm providing the glycosyl donor for the modifying reaction catalyzed by the GacB, GacC, GacF, and GacG rhamnosyltransferases. Following polymerization, polyrhamnose is transferred to the outer leaflet of the membrane presumably by the GacD/GacE ABC transporter. Also in the inner leaflet of the membrane, GacI aided by GacJ significantly enhances GacI catalytic activity. Further work is under way to characterize the mechanism of GacJ action on GacI activity.

In conclusion, our study provides a platform for elucidation of novel pathways of glycopolymer biosynthesis in other bacterial pathogens, including important drug-resistant bacteria such as *E. faecalis* and *Clostridium sordellii* that possess GacI and GacJ homologs (Fig. 10 and supplemental file S1). Because the enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of cell wall–attached glycopolymer are promising targets for novel antimicrobials, and the glycopolymer represent important features for diagnostics and vaccine targets, our data may provide opportunities for developing novel therapeutics against antibiotic-resistant bacterial pathogens.
**Experimental procedures**

**Bacterial strains and growth conditions**

All plasmids, strains, and primers used in this study are listed in supplemental Tables S2 and S3. The strains used in this study were GAS M1-serotype strain MGAS5005 (16), *B. cereus* (ATCC 14579), *S. agalactiae* COH1 (GBS), *E. coli* CLM37 (47), *E. coli* PR4019 (12), *E. coli* DH5α, and *E. coli* Rosetta (DE3). GAS and GBS cultures were grown in Todd-Hewitt broth (BD Biosciences) supplemented with 0.2% yeast extract (THY) or on THY agar plates at 37 °C. *E. coli* and *B. cereus* strains were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium or on LB agar plates at 37 °C. When required, antibiotics were included at the following concentrations: ampicillin at 100 μg ml⁻¹ for *E. coli*; streptomycin at 100 μg ml⁻¹ for *E. coli*; erythromycin at 500 μg ml⁻¹ for *E. coli*, and 1 μg ml⁻¹ for GAS and GBS; chloramphenicol at 10 μg ml⁻¹ for *E. coli* and 5 μg ml⁻¹ for GAS; spectinomycin at 200 μg ml⁻¹ for *E. coli* and 100 μg ml⁻¹ for GAS and GBS.

**DNA techniques**

Plasmid DNA was isolated from *E. coli* by commercial kits (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and used to transform *E. coli*, GAS, and GBS strains. Plasmids were transformed into GAS and GBS by electroporation as described previously (48). Chromosomal DNA was purified from GAS and GBS as described previously (49). To construct single-base substitutions or deletion mutations, we used the QuikChange® II XL site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Constructs containing mutations were identified by sequence analysis. All constructs were confirmed by sequencing analysis (Eurofins MWG Operon).

**Construction of the gacI deletion mutant in GAS**

For construction of strain 5005ΔgacI, MGAS5005 chromosomal DNA was used as a template for amplification of two DNA fragments using two primers pairs: Gaclm-BamHI-f/
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GacIdel-r and GacIdel-f/GacIm-XhoI-r (supplemental Table S3). Primer GacIdel-f is complementary to primer GacIdel-r. The two gel-purified PCR products containing complementary ends were mixed and amplified using a PCR overlap method (50) with primer pair GacIm-BamHI-f/GacIm-XhoI-r to create the deletion of gacI. The PCR product was digested with BamHI and Xhol and ligated into BamHI/Sall-digested temperature-sensitive shuttle vector pJRS233 (51). The plasmid was designated pRS233∆gacI (supplemental Table S2). The resultant plasmid was transformed into MGAS5005, and erythromycin-resistant colonies were selected on THY agar plates at 30 °C. Integration was performed by growth of transformants at 37 °C with erythromycin selection. Excision of the integrated plasmid was performed by serial passages in THY media at 30 °C and parallel screening for erythromycin-sensitive colonies. Mutants were verified by PCR sequencing of the loci.

Construction of the gacI deletion mutant in GAS

To create BglII and Xhol cloning sites in the polylinker region of pUC19, site-directed mutagenesis of the plasmid, using two primer pairs, pUC19-Xhol-f/pUC19-Xhol-r and pUC19-BglII-f/pUC19-BglII-f, was carried out. The plasmid was designated pUC19BX. The non-polar aada A spectinomycin resistance cassette was amplified from pLR16T (supplemental Table S2) using primers Spec-Sall-f/Spec-BamH-r (supplemental Table S3), digested with Sall/BamHI, and ligated into Sall/BamH-digested pUC19BX to yield pUC19BXspec. MGAS5005 chromosomal DNA was used as a template for amplification of two DNA fragments using two primer pairs: gacLup-BglII-f/gacLup-SalI-r and gacLdown-XhoI-r (supplemental Table S3). The first PCR product was digested with BglII/Sall and ligated into BglII/Sall-digested pUC19BXspec. The PCR product was digested with BamHI/Xhol and used for ligation with the second PCR product that was digested with BamHI/Xhol. The resultant plasmid, pUC19BXspecL2, was digested with BglII and Xhol to obtain a DNA fragment containing aada A flanked with the gacI upstream and downstream regions. The DNA fragment was ligated into pHY304 vector (52) and digested with BamHI/Xhol to yield pHY304∆gacI. The resulting plasmid was transformed into MGAS5005, and erythromycin-resistant colonies were selected on THY agar plates at 30 °C. The mutants were isolated as described above. GBS COH1 ΔgacI mutants were screened for sensitivity to spectinomycin and verified by PCR sequencing of the loci.

Complementation of the 5005∆gacI mutant with gacI (5005∆gacI gacI+)

To construct the plasmid for complementation of the 5005∆gacI mutant, MGAS5005 chromosomal DNA was used as a template for amplification of a wild-type copy of gacI using the primer pair GacI-NcoI-f and GacI-XhoI-r (supplemental Table S3). The PCR products were digested with XhoI and BglII and cloned in pBBL740 (supplemental Table S2) previously digested with the respective enzymes. The integrational plasmid pBBL740 does not have a replication origin that is functional in GAS, so the plasmid can be maintained only by integrating into the GAS chromosome through homologous recombination. The resultant plasmid pGacI was transformed into 5005∆gacI by electroporation, and transformants were selected on agar plates containing chloramphenicol. Several chloramphenicol-resistant colonies were selected, and gacI integration into the chromosome was confirmed by sequencing a PCR fragment.

Construction of the gacI (SAN_1536) deletion mutant in GBS

GBS COH1 chromosomal DNA was used as a template for amplification of two DNA fragments using two primers pairs: gbsIup-BglII-f/gbsIup-SalI-r and gbsId-BamHI-f/gbsId-XhoI-r (supplemental Table S3). The plasmid for gacI (SAN_1536) knock-out was constructed using the same strategy described for gacI deletion (see above). The resultant plasmid, pHY304GBSΔI, was transformed into GBS COH1, and erythromycin-resistant colonies were selected on THY agar plates at 30 °C. The mutants were isolated as described above. GBS COH1 ΔgacI mutants were screened for sensitivity to spectinomycin and verified by PCR sequencing of the loci.

Construction of the plasmids for E. coli expression of GacI, GacJ, and GacO

To create a vector for expression of GacI from GAS, the gene was amplified from MGAS5005 chromosomal DNA using the primer pair GacI-NcoI-f and GacI-XhoI-r (supplemental Table S3). The PCR product was digested with NcoI and XhoI and ligated into NcoI/XhoI-digested pRSF-NT vector. The resultant plasmid, pGacI, contained gacI fused at the N terminus with a His tag followed by a TEV protease recognition site. To create a vector for expression of GacJ and GacO, the bicistronic DNA fragment was amplified from MGAS5005 chromosomal DNA using the primer pair GacJ-NcoI-f and GacO-HindIII-r (supplemental Table S3). The vector was constructed as described above. The plasmid was designated pGacI. The construct was confirmed by sequencing analysis. The plasmids were transferred into competent E. coli Rosetta (DE3) (Novagen) using the manufacturer’s protocol.

To create a vector for expression of GacO, the gene was amplified from MGAS5005 chromosomal DNA using the primer pair GacO-Xba-f and GacO-HindIII-r (supplemental Table S3). The PCR product was digested with XbaI and HindIII and ligated into XbaI/HindIII-digested pBAD33 vector. The resultant plasmid, pGacO, was transferred into competent E. coli CLM37 strain that has a deletion of the wecA gene.

Construction of the plasmids for E. coli expression of PlyPy, CbpD, and PlyC

To create a vector for expression of CbpD amidase (29), the gene was amplified from MGAS5005 chromosomal DNA using the primer pair 28-Ncol-f and 28-stop-r (supplemental Table S3). The PCR product was digested with Ncol and XhoI and ligated into Ncol/XhoI-digested pRSF-NT vector. The resultant plasmid, pCbpD, contained cbpD fused at the N terminus with a His tag followed by a TEV protease recognition site. To create a vector for expression of PlyPy amidase (28), the gene was amplified from MGAS5005 chromosomal DNA using the
primer pair PlyPy-Ncol-f and PlyPy-XhoI-r. The PCR product was digested with Ncol and XhoI and ligated into Ncol/XhoI-digested pET-21d vector. The resultant plasmid, pPlyPy, contained the gene fused at the C terminus with a His tag.

To create a vector for expression of PlyC amidase (54), a DNA fragment-spanning bicistronic operon, which encodes plyCA and plyCB (27), was synthesized by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The plasmid was digested with Ncol and XhoI and ligated into Ncol/XhoI-digested pET-21d vector. The resultant plasmid pPlyC contained plyCA followed by plyCB fused at the C terminus with a His tag.

Expression and purification of GacI, Epal, and GacI–GacJ complex

For expression of GacI, Epal, and GacI–GacJ complex, *E. coli* Rosetta (DE3) cells carrying the respective plasmid were grown to an $A_{600}$ of 0.4–0.6 and induced with 1 mM IPTG at 18 °C for ~16 h. The cells were lysed in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl with two passes through a microfluidizer cell disrupter (Avestin, Inc., Ottawa Ontario, Canada). The lysate was centrifuged at 7000 $g$ for 30 min at 4 °C. Solubilized GacI–GacJ were purified by Ni-NTA chromatography with washes of 2.5% CHAPS, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole and eluted with 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole. The GacI–GacJ complex was further purified by size-exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 200 column in 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl for PlyPy or 20 mM MOPS, pH 6.5, 100 mM NaCl for CbpD. PlyC was further purified by anion-exchange chromatography on a Mono Q 5/50 GL (GE Healthcare) column in 10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 6.0, and a 20 column volume elution gradient of 0–500 mM NaCl.

Isolation of GAS and GBS membranes

Bacteria were grown at 37 °C to an $A_{600}$ of 0.8. To obtain GAS cell membranes, cell pellet was resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated 1 h with PlyC lysin as described previously (55). To obtain GBS membranes, the cell pellet was resuspended in acetate buffer (50 mM CH$_3$COONa, 10 mM CaCl$_2$, 50 mM NaCl, pH 5) and incubated with mutanolysin (200 units/ml, Sigma) for 2 h at 37 °C. After hydrolytic enzyme treatment, the bacterial suspension was sonicated using a Thermo Fisher Scientific model 505 sonic dismembrator, 15 times for 15 s. After centrifugation at 8000 $g$ for 10 min at 4 °C, the supernatant was collected and centrifuged at 40,000 $g$ for 60 min. The pellet was collected as the membrane fraction.

Mass spectrometry analysis of GacI and GacJ

LC-MS/MS for proteomic analysis was performed using an LTQ-Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) coupled with an Eksigent NanoFlex chIPLC system (Eksigent) through a nanoelectrospray ionization source. The LC-MS/MS data were subjected to database searches for protein identification using Proteome Discoverer software version 1.3 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with a local MASCOT search engine.

Dot-blot analysis

Bacterial cells (1 ml) from exponential phase cultures (A$_{600}$ = 0.8) were centrifuged, washed with PBS, resuspended in 100 μl of PBS, and incubated with 1 μl of PlyC (1.5 mg/ml) for 1 h at 37 °C. After centrifugation at 16,000 $g$ for 2 min, 5 μl of the supernatant was spotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was blocked for 1 h with 7% skim milk in PBS with 0.1% Tween 20 and incubated overnight with an anti-GAC antibody diluted 1:5000 (ab9191; Abcam). Bound antibodies were detected with a peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G antibody and the Amersham Biosciences ECL (enhanced chemiluminescence) Western blotting system.

Binding of sWGA to bacteria

MGAS5005 and 5005ΔgacL cells were collected during mid-exponential phase (A$_{600}$ = 0.6), washed three times with BSA/saline solution (0.5% BSA, 0.15 M NaCl), resuspended in BSA/saline solution, and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C with mixing. GlcNAc-specific fluorescein–sWGA was added to final concentrations of 0, 12.5, 25, 50, and 100 μg/ml. After 1 h of incubation at 37 °C with mixing, the cells were centrifuged, washed twice, and resuspended in BSA/saline. Bound fluorescein–sWGA was quantified in a fluorimeter SpectraMax M5 (Molecular Devices) using an excitation of 544 nm and emission of 590 nm.

Isolation of cell wall from GAS

MGAS5005 and 5005ΔgacL cell wall was prepared from exponential phase cultures (A$_{600}$ = 0.8) by the SDS-boiling pro-
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cedure as described for *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (56). Purified cell wall samples were lyophilized and used for composition analysis.

Carbohydrate composition analysis

Carbohydrate composition analysis was performed at the Complex Carbohydrate Research Center (Athens, GA) by combined gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) of the per- O-trimethylsilyl derivatives of the monosaccharide methyl glycosides produced from the sample by acidic methanolysis as described previously (57).

Assay of lytic activity of PlyPy, CbpD, and PlyC

Aliquots of MGAS5005 and 5005ΔgacL frozen stocks (prepared as described in Ref. 58) were inoculated into THY medium 1:20. To a 96-well plate, 200-μl aliquots of culture were dispensed in duplicate, per plate. The plates were grown at 37 °C without aeration for 2 h. An assay plate was set up containing PlyPy (8, 16, and 32 μg ml⁻¹), CbpD (0.31, 0.63, and 1.26 μg ml⁻¹), or PlyC (1.6, 3.1, and 6.2 ng ml⁻¹) in a volume of 100 μl. To the assay plate, 100 μl of culture was added to each well giving final concentrations of PlyPy (4, 8, and 16 μg ml⁻¹), CbpD (0.16, 0.31, and 0.63 μg ml⁻¹), or PlyC (0.8, 1.6, and 3.1 ng ml⁻¹). After mixing, the absorbance at 600 nm was measured for each well (t₀), and the plate was incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. The absorbance at 600 nm was then measured again (t₁). The percentage difference (t₁ – t₀) in growth relative to 0 mM enzyme/antimicrobial for each concentration was calculated (i.e. 0 mM PlyPy = 100%).

Extraction and characterization of bacterial glycolipids

Two liters of bacterial cells from exponential phase cultures (A₆₀₀ = 0.8) were recovered by sedimentation at 10,000 × g for 30 min and washed twice with ice-cold PBS. Cells were resuspended in 50 ml of PBS, sensitized by incubation with 10 ng ml⁻¹ PlyC for 1 h at 37 °C, and stirred vigorously with 2 volumes of CH₃OH and 1 volume of CHCl₃ for 30 min at room temperature. The mixture was divided into 5-ml aliquots in 12-ml glass centrifuge tubes. Insoluble material was removed by centrifugation at 200 × g, and the organic extract was transferred to a separatory funnel. The insoluble residue was further extracted with 1 ml of CHCl₃/CH₃OH (2:1) per tube, two times, and the extracts were combined with the previous organic phase. The organic extract was supplemented with CHCl₃ and 0.9% NaCl to give a final composition of CHCl₃/CH₃OH/0.9% NaCl (3:2:1), mixed vigorously, and allowed to stand in the cold until phase separation was achieved. The organic phase was drained off into a second separatory funnel, and the organic layer was washed with 1/3 volume of CHCl₃/CH₃OH/0.9% saline (3:48:47), two times. The aqueous layers were discarded. The organic extract was dried on a vacuum rotary evaporator, dissolved in a small volume of CHCl₃/CH₃OH (2:1), and transferred to a 12.5 × 100-mm screw cap glass tube (with Teflon lined cap). The organic extract was dried under a stream of nitrogen gas, and the glycerolipids were destroyed by deacylation in 0.1 M KOH in toluene/CH₃OH (1:3) at 0 °C, 60 min. Following deacylation, the reactions were neutralized with acetic acid, diluted with 2 volumes of CHCl₃, 1 volume of CHCl₃/CH₃OH (2:1), and 1/5 volume of 0.9% NaCl, 10 mM EDTA. The two-phase mixture was mixed vigorously and centrifuged to separate the phases. The organic phase was dried under nitrogen, spotted on a 20 × 20-cm sheet of Silica Gel G, and developed in CHCl₃/CH₃OH/H₂O/NaN₃OH (65:25:4:1). Bacterial lipids were visualized by staining with iodine vapors, and pertinent spots were scraped from the thin layer plate, eluted from the silica gel with CHCl₃/CH₃OH (2:1), and reserved for further analysis.

Mass spectrometry analysis of a phospholipid isolated from 5005ΔgacL

A phospholipid accumulated by 5005ΔgacL was purified as described above using preparative TLC in silica gel. The compound was analyzed by LC-MS using a Q-exactive mass spectrometer and an Ultimate 3000 ultra HPLC system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA) on a Kinetex C18 reversed-phase column (2.6 × 100 mm, 2.1 μm, Phenomenex). Two solvents were used for gradient elution: solvent A, acetonitrile/water (2:3, v/v) and solvent B, isopropanol/acetone (9:1, v/v). Both A and B contained 10 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid. The column temperature was maintained at 40 °C, and the flow rate was set to 0.25 ml/min. Mass spectrometric detection was performed by electrospray ionization in negative ionization mode with source voltage maintained at 4.0 kV. The capillary temperature, sheath gas flow, and auxiliary gas flow were set at 330 °C, 35 and 12 arbitrary units, respectively. Full-scan MS spectra (mass range m/z 400 to 1500) were acquired with resolution R = 70,000 and AGC target 5e5. MS/MS fragmentation was performed using high-energy C-trap dissociation with resolution R = 35,000 and AGC target 1e6. The normalized collision energy was set at 30.

Assay for incorporation of GlcNAc into polyisoprenol-linked glycolipid intermediates

Reaction mixtures for measuring the incorporation of [³H]GlcNAc into lipids contained 50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 0.25 mM sucrose, 20 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 5 μM UDP-[³H]GlcNAc ([100–2000 cpm/pmol] American Radiolabeled Chemicals), and bacterial membrane suspension (50–250 μg bacterial membrane protein) in a total volume of 10–100 μl. In some experiments, 1 mM ATP was included, as indicated. Following incubation at 30 °C, the enzymatic reactions were terminated by the addition of 40 volumes of CHCl₃/CH₃OH (2:1), thoroughly mixed, and incubated for 5 min at room temperature. Insoluble material was sedimented at 200 × g, and the organic extract was transferred to a 12 × 100-mm glass tube. The residue was re-extracted with 1 ml of CHCl₃/CH₃OH (2:1), two times, and the organic extracts were combined. The pooled organic extracts were freed of unincorporated radioactivity by sequential partitioning with 1/5 volume of 0.9% saline, 10 mM EDTA and then with 1/3 volume of CHCl₃/CH₃OH/0.9% saline (3:48:47) three times, discarding the aqueous phase each time. The washed organic phases were dried under nitrogen and re-dissolved in CHCl₃/CH₃OH (2:1). A carefully measured aliquot was removed and analyzed for radioactivity by liquid scintillation spectrometry after drying. The remainder of the sample was analyzed by TLC on Silica Gel.
Degradation of GlcNAc-lipids by mild acid and mild alkaline treatment

Bacterial lipids were subjected to mild acid hydrolysis (50% isopropyl alcohol, 0.1 m HCl, 50 °C, 1 h) and mild alkaline methanolysis (0.1 m KOH in toluene/CH₃OH (1:3), 0 °C, 1 h). Following incubation, the reactions were neutralized with either 1 m Tris or concentrated acetic acid, diluted with CHCl₃, CH₃OH, and 0.9% NaCl to give a final composition of 3:2:1, respectively, and partitioned as described above. The organic phases were dried under nitrogen and either quantified for radioactivity or analyzed by thin-layer chromatography and detected by iodine staining.

Phenolysis of GlcNAc-P-Und

Purified [³H]GlcNAc-P-Und was dried under nitrogen in a 12 × 100-mm conical screw-cap tube and heated to 68 °C in 0.2 ml of 50% aqueous phenol as described by Murazumi et al. (22). Following phenolysis, 0.1 ml of water was added, and the samples were thoroughly mixed. The aqueous and phenolic layers were separated, dried, and analyzed for radioactivity by scintillation spectrometry.

Analytical methods

Membrane protein concentrations were determined using the BCA protein assay kit (Pierce) employing the method of Ruiz et al. (44). Radioactivity was quantified by liquid scintillation spectrometry on a Packard Tri-Carb Liquid Scintillation Spectrometer using Econosafe Complete Counting Mixture (Research Products International, Inc., Elk Grove, IL).

Phylogenetic analysis of GacI homologs

Sequences of GacI homologs were retrieved from the non-redundant database using BLAST (59). One thousand sequences were downloaded and were manually curated to remove sequences with shorter than 90% sequence length overlap. The redundancy was reduced using CD-HIT with 0.98 cut-off (60). Sequences were manually curated to correct misannotated translation start codons. The pairwise similarities were analyzed and visualized using CLANS with an E-value cut off 1 e⁻⁸⁰ (37, 61, 62).

Bioinformatics analysis

The TOPCONS (http://topcons.net/)⁴ (63) web server was employed to predict trans-membrane regions of GacI, GacL, and GacL. Homology detection and structure prediction were performed by the HHpred server (https://toolkit.tuebingen.mpg.de/#/tools/hhpred)⁴ (45).

References


Statistical analysis

Unless otherwise indicated, statistical analysis was carried out from at least three independent experiments. Quantitative data were analyzed using the paired Student’s t test. A p value equal to or less than 0.05 is considered statistically significant.
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