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ABSTRACT

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the second-leading cause of cancer-related mortality in the United States. Over 50% of patients with CRC will develop local recurrence or distant organ metastasis. Cancer stem cells play a major role in the survival and metastasis of cancer cells. In this study, we examined the effects of novel AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) activating compounds on CRC metastatic and stem cell lines as potential candidates for chemotherapy. We found that activation of AMPK by all fluorinated N,N-diarylureas (FND) compounds at micromolar levels significantly inhibited the cell cycle progression and subsequent cellular proliferation. Additionally, we demonstrated that select FNDs significantly increased apoptosis in CRC metastatic and cancer stem cells. Therefore, FNDs hold considerable promise in the treatment of metastatic CRC, through elimination of both regular cancer cells and cancer stem cells.
INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the second leading cause of cancer deaths in the United States (1). This high mortality rate is largely attributed to tumor metastasis and recurrence (2). At initial presentation, more than half of all patients display metastatic disease in regional lymph nodes or systemic organs (e.g., liver). The five-year survival rate for patients with metastatic CRC is approximately 13%, as compared to 90% for non-metastatic disease (1). A growing body of evidence suggests that cancer stem cells play a role in the resistance and spread of cancer cells (2-4). Cancer stem cells are a subpopulation of tumor cells that are capable of self-renewal and display resistance to DNA damage-induced cell death, a ruinous property that allows metastatic tumors to survive initial treatments and re-populate. Developing new anti-neoplastic agents that selectively target cancer stem cells represents a potentially valuable treatment to improve CRC outcomes (2-4).

Cancer stem cells possess demanding metabolic requirements and survival mechanisms that are potential targets for treatment (5). One such critical pathway involves AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), which coordinates growth, autophagy, and metabolism (6). AMPK acts as a metabolic sensor for the ratio of AMP to ATP in cells and has several downstream transcriptional targets (6). Among the anti-neoplastic effects of AMPK activation are cell cycle arrest through stabilization of p53 and cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors p21<sup>wafl</sup> and p27<sup>kip1</sup>, inhibition of macromolecular synthesis, inhibition of mTORC1, and inhibition of the Warburg effect (7, 8). In support of the particular importance of AMPK in cancer cells and cancer stem cells, the widely studied AMPK activator, metformin, reduces cancer incidence in diabetic populations for many different cancers, including CRC (9). Furthermore, <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> studies with metformin specifically show cytotoxicity toward cancer stem cells (10, 11).
We identified the anti-neoplastic effects of fluorinated N,N’-diarylureas (FNDs) in a high-throughput screening program (12) and found that several potent FNDs inhibited growth of CRC cell lines through inhibition of the mTOR pathway (12). Overexpression of mTORC1 and mTORC2 components, Raptor and Rictor, is important to tumorigenesis (13), and the activation of AMPK regulates cell growth by suppressing mTORC1 through direct phosphorylation of the tumor suppressor, TSC2, and Raptor (6). Through this mechanism, we anticipated that AMPK activation would directly inhibit CRC cell proliferation.

In this study, we investigated the ability of eight FNDs to inhibit growth and induce apoptosis in CRC metastatic cell lines and stem cells. Activation of AMPK by all FND compounds successfully inhibited cell cycle progression and subsequent cellular proliferation. These results demonstrate that FNDs exhibit considerable promise in the treatment of metastatic CRC, predominantly through the inhibition of CRC stem cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

**FNDs.** FNDs were synthesized as previously described (12). Table 1 shows the FNDs used in this study. Stock solutions (10 mM) in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were stored at -20°C.

**Cell lines and culture maintenance.** Human cell line HT29 was purchased from American Type Culture Collection. KM20 was provided by Dr. Isaiah J. Fidler (M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX); STR validation analysis (Bio-Synthesis, Lewisville, TX) yielded a full DNA profile and no contamination by another human cell line was detected; there were no matches after searching ATCC, JCRB or DSMZ databases. HCT116 pik3ca wild-type and mutant cell lines were a gift from Dr. J. Wang (14). Human CRC stem cell line 1 (#36112-39; lot
#12121800-05) and stem cell line 2 (#36112-39; lot #1313161-12) were purchased from Celprogen (Torrance, CA). Cancer stem cells were limited to less than 12 passages.

Cell lines were routinely grown as monolayer cell cultures in 5% CO₂ in air, and 100% relative humidity at 37°C. HT29 and KM20 cell lines were grown in McCoy’s 5A medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and supplemented with 10% FBS and 1X antibiotic-antimycotic (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). Stem cell lines were grown in Cancer Stem Cell Complete Growth Media with Serum without antibiotic on pre-coated flasks with Human Colon Cancer Stem Cell Extra-cellular Matrix (both from Celprogen). Cell passages were carried out by detaching adherent cells in a logarithmic growth phase by addition of a mixture of 0.25% trypsin with 0.02% EDTA (Sigma Aldrich) and incubating for 10-15 min at 37°C. The number of viable cells was estimated with a cell counter V-CELL XR (Beckman Coulter, Miami, FL). Metformin HCl was purchased from Seleckchem (Pittsburgh, PA).

**Cytotoxicity SRB assay.** For each experiment, cell lines were seeded in two 96-well plates in normal medium (5x10³ cells/well, 100 µL). At 24 h, 100 µL of media with drugs at different concentrations were added to each well. DMSO was used as a treatment control. Cell viability was measured using the Cytoscan-SRB Cell Cytotoxicity Assay (G-Biosciences, St. Louis, MO) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Cell viability was plotted as a percentage relative to DMSO treatment alone. IC₅₀ values were approximated by plotting viability over a range of concentrations.

**Western blot analysis and antibodies.** Total protein lysates were resolved on a 4-12% bis-tris gel and transferred to Immobilon PVDF transfer membranes. Membranes were incubated for 1 h at room temperature in blocking solution (TRIS-buffered saline containing 10% nonfat dried milk and 0.1% Tween 20), followed by an overnight incubation in primary antibodies at
4°C. Membranes were washed 3 times and incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 h. After 3 additional washes, the immune complexes on the membranes were visualized using Immobilon Western Chemiluminescent HRP substrate (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA) or Amersham ECL (GE Life Sciences, Pittsburg, PA).

Antibodies for western blot analysis included the following: PARP (#9542, 1:1000), Phospho-AMPKα (#2531, Thr172, 1:1000), Phospho-S6 Ribosomal Protein (Ser235/236) (Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA); Cyclin D1 (Abcam, Cambridge, MA; #AB34175, 1:5000); β-actin (Sigma Aldrich, #A5441, 1:20000); anti-rabbit and anti-mouse (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, #SC-2054, #SC-2055, 1:3000).

**Patient tumor engraftment into SCID mice and PDX cell line establishment.** The original patient CRC tumor (F0 generation) was divided and implanted into the flanks of NOD scid gamma mice (The Jackson Laboratory; 005557). When the resulting tumors (F1 generation) grew to 1 cm³, they were resected, divided into 2-mm³ pieces, and implanted into 5 mice (F2 generation). All animal studies were performed in accordance with policies of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Kentucky.

Liberase DH Research Grade (05401054001; Roche Applied Science) was resuspended in sterile water at a 2.5 mg/ml concentration and stored in single-use 100 µl aliquots at -80°C. Collagenase/Hyaluronidase (07912; StemCell Technologies) was aliquoted into single-use 250 µl aliquots and stored at -80°C. Upon collection, PDX tumors (F2 generation) were placed into DMEM complete cell culture media supplemented with 1X Gibco Antibiotic-Antimycotic (15240-062; Life Technologies) for transportation. Tumor fragments were minced into 2-mm cubes using scissors and digested in 50 µg/ml Liberase DH (100 µl) and 0.5X
Collagenase/Hyaluronidase (250 µl), diluted in 5 mL of DMEM serum free media for 4 h at 37°C with gentle agitation by magnetic stirring bar. No undigested tissue was observed; cells were washed twice with complete cell culture media and transferred into 10% FBS DMEM media supplemented with 1X Gibco Antibiotic-Antimycotic.

**Statistical analysis.** Analysis of variance was employed for comparison of cell viability across DMSO and varying dose levels of FND treatment, and adjusted p-values using the Holm’s procedure were calculated for pairwise comparison of each dose level with DMSO.

**RESULTS**

*Treatment with FNDs induces cell cycle inhibition and apoptosis in metastatic CRC cells.* We performed a high-throughput screen of N,N’-diarylureas to identify potent AMPK activators and selected eight active agents (Table 1)(12). Next, HT29 cells were treated with the 8 agents (FND 4a, 4b, 4c, 4d, 4e, 4f, 4g, 4h) for 48h. Decreased cell viability was evident at a drug dose as low as 5 µM, and was below 50% for all 8 compounds treated at the 25 µM dose (Table 2). To determine whether the prominent decrease in cell viability was due to cell cycle inhibition or apoptosis induction, KM20 and HT29 CRC cells were treated with each of the 8 FNDs for 24h at 25 µM or 50 µM. Cyclin D1 expression and PARP cleavage were analyzed by western blot. Cyclin D1 expression was drastically decreased in both cell lines after treatment with FND 4b, 4c, 4d, 4e, 4f, 4g, and 4h at 25 µM (Fig. 1A). Prominent PARP cleavage was observed after treatment at the 50 µM dose, notably after treatment with the 4b compound (Fig. 1B).

*Treatment with FNDs induces cell cycle inhibition and apoptosis in cancer stem cells.* CRC stem cell lines were treated with FND 4b, 4d, 4f, 4g and 4h at 50 µM for 24h and analyzed for cyclin D1 expression and PARP cleavage. Cyclin D1 expression was decreased in all
treatment groups; prominent PARP cleavage was observed in both stem cell lines only after treatment with FND 4b (Fig. 2A). Activation of apoptosis is especially important for elimination of cancer stem cells, since these cells are progenitors for tumor progression; FND 4b treatment induced apoptosis in both metastatic cancer cells and cancer stem cells. To confirm that AMPK activation was dose responsive in CRC stem cells, we treated CRC stem cell line 1 with 5, 25 and 50 µM of FND 4b. Our results showed a dose-dependent AMPK activation after FND 4b treatment (Fig. 2B).

Next, we used compound 4b to evaluate dose-dependent AMPK activation in KM20 and HT29 cells. We observed AMPK activation starting with as little as 10 µM (Fig. 3A). We also examined the dose dependent effects of FND 4b treatment on cell viability (Fig. 3B), cell cycle, and apoptosis induction in KM20 and HT29 cells. KM20 cells were more sensitive to compound 4b treatment and showed marked suppression of cyclin D1 expression at a dose of 10 µM. PARP cleavage was detected in both cell lines starting at a 40 µM dose.

Treatment with FND 4b induces cell cycle inhibition and apoptosis in pik3ca mutant colorectal cancer cells. We evaluated whether the pik3ca mutation protects cancer cells against FND 4b treatment. HCT116 pik3ca mutant and wild-type CRC cell lines were treated with FND 4b at 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 µM for 24h. Both cell lines showed strong cell cycle inhibition but required higher dosages compared to KM20 and HT29 cell lines. PARP cleavage was noted with FND 4b treatment at 20 µM (Fig. 4A). These results show that the HCT116 pik3ca mutant and wild-type cells have a similar pattern of cell cycle inhibition and apoptosis in response to FND 4b treatment.

Metformin, which is a known activator of AMPK and is used for diabetes treatment and cancer prevention, activates AMPK at millimolar levels (15). AMPK downregulates TOR/S6K
activity via TSC2 in response to stress (16). We treated HT29 and KM20 cells with FND 4b at 10, 20, 30 μM and with metformin at 5, 10 and 20 mM for 24h to compare the effect on cyclin D1 expression and S6 phosphorylation at Ser234/235. Both metformin and FND 4b decreased phosphorylation of the S6 protein, a downstream effector of mTOR that is a crucial signaling pathway for proliferation and survival of cancer cells in vitro as well as tumors in vivo (Fig. 4B, C). Our results demonstrated that FND 4b had a similar effect on cell cycle inhibition and inhibition of S6 phosphorylation at the 20 μM dose as compared to the 10 mM dose of metformin.

Treatment of CRC cells, stem cells and PDX cell line with low dose FND 4b. To determine the effect of FND treatment on AMPKα activation, HT29 cells were treated with low dosages (i.e., 5 and 10 μM) of FND 4b for 12, 24, 48 and 72h. FND treatment demonstrated a long-lasting effect on AMPK activation at 10 μM (Fig. 5A). Low-dose FND 4b treatment resulted in a strong AMPKα activation, and decreased cyclin D1 expression in CRC stem cells at 48h (Fig. 5B, C). Next, we established a cell line from a patient-derived xenograft (PDX) and treated it with low-dose FND 4b for 48h. Cyclin D1 expression was markedly suppressed in the PDX cell line (Fig. 5D). FND treatment at 5, 7.5 and 10 μM significantly decreased stem cells and PDXL proliferation (Fig. 5 B, C, D; lower panels). Low-dose FND treatment did not increase PARP cleavage in all tested cells (data not shown). These results demonstrate a long-lasting effect of low-dose FND 4b treatment on AMPKα activation and cell cycle inhibition.

DISCUSSION

The central regulatory role played by AMPK in energy homeostasis makes it an attractive target for treatment of various diseases such as diabetes and cancer (6, 7, 17). Recent efforts have focused on elucidating the complex role of AMPK in cancer cell viability (18). We examined the
effects of 8 fluorinated N,N'-diarylureas as AMPK activators on CRC metastatic and stem cell lines to evaluate their potential utility as chemotherapeutic agents for CRC. The FNDs that were used in this study represent a new class of AMPK activators with no effect on AKT or ERK, unlike other N,N’ diarylureas [12]. We confirmed that FND treatment of CRC metastatic and stem cell lines resulted in dose-dependent AMPK activation. High doses of traditional AMPK activators, such as AICAR and metformin, were required to achieve anti-cancer effects (10-12, 19). However, similar to FNDs, more recent AMPK activators showed anti-tumorigenic effects at lower concentrations. Chen et al. (19) showed that plumbagin, an AMPK activator, induced apoptosis and inhibited growth of CRC cell lines at a treatment concentration of 10 µM. Kaushik et al. (20) showed that honokiol, another AMPK activating compound, inhibited melanoma growth and spheroid-forming capacity (i.e., stemness) through AMPK activation at concentrations up to 50 µM. Finally, Valtorta et al. (21) showed that 1-4 diaryl-azetidinone, also a novel AMPK activator, inhibited human CRC xenografts in mouse models at IC50 in the nM to 1 µM range. Taken together, these studies suggested that targeting cancer cells with novel AMPK activators represent an effective strategy for the treatment of different types of cancers. Thus, the beneficial effects on metastatic cancer cells by AMPK activation provide a rationale for further studies, to determine the potential clinical utility of AMPK activators, like FNDs, on cancer stem cells.

In our study, we evaluated the effect of FNDs on CRC stem cells. Many cancer stem cell models have been described, but a unifying theme in tumorigenesis is that tumors are not homogeneous. A hierarchical structure within tumors includes a small subset of cells with long-term self-renewal and differentiation capacity (3). CRC, in particular, is known to possess cancer stem cells (22). In order to survive, re-populate, and differentiate, cancer stem cells have
developed several mechanisms that provide resistance to the effects of anti-neoplastic agents. These mechanisms include resistance to DNA damage, drug penetration, apoptosis, and reactive oxygen species (ROS) (3-5). Up-regulation of the DNA repair machinery and down-regulation of telomerase allow cancer stem cells to maintain their genetic integrity. Cancer stem cells also up-regulate efflux transporters from the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) gene family to efficiently extrude chemotherapy agents. Resistance to apoptosis occurs, in part, through Akt pathway activation and increased production of apoptosis inhibitor proteins. Finally, cancer stem cells increase their free radical scavenging machinery to protect themselves from ROS damage (4). Several studies have investigated the role of stem cells in treatment resistance (2, 11). For example, Zhou et al. [2] created cisplatin-resistant CRC cells and found that these cells had stem cell-like markers. Treatment with salinomycin caused an accumulation of ROS and down-regulation of anti-apoptotic molecules, which suggested that salinomycin was able to overcome the cisplatin resistance of CRC cells. Since cancer stem cells possess these survival mechanisms, cancer treatment plans should include therapy to specifically target cancer stem cells in order to reduce future recurrence and metastasis.

While the specific role of AMPK in cancer stem cells compared with the non-stem cell population has not yet been fully characterized, several studies have shown that metformin, an AMPK activator, is preferentially cytotoxic to cancer stem cells in pancreatic and breast cancers (10, 11, 23). Similarly, in our present study, we showed that FND treatment resulted in cell cycle arrest in CRC stem cells. AMPK activation led to inhibition of macromolecular synthesis, inhibition of mTORC1, and onset of the ‘anti-Warburg effect’ (7, 24, 25), all of which led to the inhibition of cancer cell proliferation. Hardie and Alessi (7) described several AMPK tumor suppressor functions that included cell cycle arrest through stabilization of p53, p21 and p27.
Particularly in cancer stem cells, it is likely that the anti-Warburg effect targets the utilization of anaerobic glycolysis by cancer stem cells, and decreases their ability to use AKT-mTOR. AMPK activation of apoptosis resulted from p53 phosphorylation; phosphorylated AMPK subsequently accumulated in the mitochondria and interacted with Bak to induce apoptosis (8). Our results demonstrated that AMPK activation reduced the ability of cancer stem cells to hijack energy metabolism for growth and proliferation and triggered an apoptotic cascade. In summary, we demonstrated that FNDs activated AMPK at micromolar concentrations, which resulted in consistent cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in all cancer cell lines that were studied. These findings provide additional information regarding the role of AMPK activation in cancer stem cells and demonstrate a potential role of FNDs in the treatment of metastatic CRC.
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Table 1. Fluorinated \( N,N' \)-diarylureas (FNDs) with substituents X or Y in the “first” aryl ring and substituents A,B,C,D in the “second aryl” ring. AMPK activation ratios were relative to compound 3 from a previous study [13].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>MW</th>
<th>AMPK Activation Ratio</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{15}\text{H}</em>{10}\text{F}_6\text{N}_2\text{O}_2 )</td>
<td>364.243</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>CF(_3)O</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>CF(_3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{15}\text{H}</em>{9}\text{Cl}\text{F}_6\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{S} )</td>
<td>430.753</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>CF(_3)O</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>SCF(_3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4c</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{15}\text{H}</em>{10}\text{F}_6\text{N}_2\text{OS} )</td>
<td>380.308</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>CF(_3)S</td>
<td>CF(_3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4d</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{14}\text{H}</em>{9}\text{Cl}_2\text{F}_3\text{N}_2\text{OS} )</td>
<td>381.200</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>CF(_3)S</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4e</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{14}\text{H}</em>{9}\text{F}_6\text{N}_2\text{O} )</td>
<td>334.217</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>CF(_3)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4f</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{14}\text{H}</em>{9}\text{F}_6\text{N}_2\text{OS} )</td>
<td>366.282</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>CF(_3)S</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4g</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{14}\text{H}</em>{8}\text{Cl}_3\text{F}_3\text{N}_2\text{OS} )</td>
<td>415.645</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>CF(_3)S</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4h</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>( \text{C}<em>{14}\text{H}</em>{7}\text{F}_7\text{N}_2\text{OS} )</td>
<td>384.272</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>CF(_3)S</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Effect of FNDs on metastatic CRC cell viability. HT29 cells were seeded in 96-well plates in complete medium (5x10^3/well) and treated with 8 different FNDs (4a-4h). DMSO (25 μM) was used as a treatment control. Cell viability was measured using an SRB assay after 48h of FND exposure and plotted as a percentage relative to DMSO treatment alone. Each measurement was performed in sextuplicate; *p≤0.05.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>5 μM</th>
<th>10 μM</th>
<th>25 μM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>67.7*</td>
<td>23.1*</td>
<td>15.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>36.0*</td>
<td>22.2*</td>
<td>8.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4c</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>21.4*</td>
<td>21.0*</td>
<td>6.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4d</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>24.1*</td>
<td>19.3*</td>
<td>4.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4e</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>29.9*</td>
<td>23.6*</td>
<td>9.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4f</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20.9*</td>
<td>21.0*</td>
<td>5.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4g</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>22.6*</td>
<td>23.2*</td>
<td>7.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4h</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>23.2*</td>
<td>20.0*</td>
<td>6.3*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure Legends

Figure 1. Effect of FNDs on cyclin D1 expression and PARP cleavage in metastatic CRC cell lines. **A, B.** KM20 and HT29 CRC cells were seeded in 6-well plates (8x10^5/well) in complete medium and treated 24h later with 8 different FNDs (4a-4h) for 24h at 25 μM (**A**) and 50 μM (**B**). Cyclin D1 expression and PARP cleavage (PARP cleaved product 85 kDa; arrow) was assessed by western blot analysis; β-actin was used as a loading control.

Figure 2. Effect of FNDs on PARP cleavage and cyclin D1 and pAMPK expression in CRC stem cell lines. **A.** CRC stem cell line 1 and stem cell line 2 were seeded in 6-well plates in complete medium and treated 24h later with FNDs 4b, 4d, 4f, 4g and 4h at 50 μM for 24h. Cyclin D1 expression and PARP cleavage (PARP cleaved product 85 kDa; arrow) was analyzed by western blot. DMSO (50 μM) was used as a treatment control, and β-actin was used as a loading control. **B.** CRC stem cell line 1 was seeded in 6-well plates (8x10^5/well) in complete medium and treated 24h later with FND 4b at 5, 25 and 50 μM for 12h. AMPKα phosphorylation (Thr172) was analyzed by western blot. DMSO (50 μM) was used as a treatment control, and β-actin was used as a loading control. Densitometry was performed with ImageJ software; relative pAMPKα (Thr172) band intensity was normalized to β-actin and quantified with respect to control set at 1.0.

Figure 3. Treatment of CRC cell lines with FND 4b. **A.** KM20 and HT29 CRC cells were seeded in 6-well plates (8x10^5/well) in complete medium and treated 24h later with FND 4b at 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 μM for 12h. AMPKα phosphorylation (Thr172) was assessed by western blot analysis. DMSO (50 μM) was used as a treatment control, and β-actin was used as a loading control.
control. Densitometry was performed with ImageJ software; relative pAMPKα (Thr172) band intensity was normalized to β-actin and quantified with respect to control set at 1.0. B. KM20 and HT29 CRC cells were seeded in 6-well plates (8x10^5/well) in complete medium for 24h and treated with FND 4b at 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 μM for 24h. Cyclin D1 expression and PARP cleavage (cleaved product 85 kDa; arrow) was assessed by western blot analysis. DMSO (50 μM) was used as a treatment control, and β-actin was used as a loading control.

**Figure 4. Treatment of Pik3ca mutant CRC cells with FND 4b.** A. HCT116 pik3ca wild-type and pik3ca mutant cell lines were seeded in 6-well plates (8x10^5/well) in complete medium for 24h and treated with FND 4b at 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 μM for 24h. Cyclin D1 expression and PARP cleavage (PARP cleaved product 85 kDa; arrow) was analyzed by western blot. DMSO (50 μM) was used as a treatment control; β-actin was used as a loading control. B. C. HT29 and KM20, respectively, cells were seeded in 24-well plates (5x10^5/well) in complete medium and treated 24h later with 5, 10, 20 mM metformin and FND 4b at 10, 20, 30 μM for 24h. Cyclin D1 (arrow), S6 phosphorylation (Ser234/235) and total S6 expression was analyzed by western blot. DMSO (30 μM) was used as a treatment control; β-actin was used as a loading control.

**Figure 5. Low dose FND 4b treatment.** A. HT29 CRC cells were seeded in 6-well plates (8x10^5/well) in complete medium for 24h and treated with FND 4b at 5 and 10 μM for 12, 24, 48 and 72h. AMPKα phosphorylation (Thr172) was analyzed by western blot. DMSO (10 μM) was used as a treatment control; β-actin was used as a loading control. B, C. (Upper panels) CRC stem cell line 1 and stem cell line 2 were seeded in 6-well plates in complete medium and treated 24h later with 5 μM FND 4b for 48h. AMPKα phosphorylation (Thr172) and cyclin D1 expression were analyzed by western blot. DMSO (10 μM) was used as a treatment control; β-
actin was used as a loading control. (Lower panels) Stem cells were seeded in 96-well plates in complete medium (5x10^3/well) and treated with FND 4b. Cell viability (lower panels) was measured using an SRB assay after 48h of FND exposure and plotted as a percentage relative to DMSO treatment alone. Graphic representations are the mean ± SE; each measurement was performed in sextuplicate. **D.** PDX cell line was seeded in 6-well plates (8x10^5/well) in complete medium and treated 24h later with FND 4b at 5 and 10 μM for 48h. Cyclin D1 expression was analyzed by western blot (upper panel). DMSO (10 μM) was used as a treatment control; β-actin was used as a loading control. PDX cell line was seeded in 96-well plates in complete medium (5x10^3/well) and treated with FND 4b. Cell viability (lower panel) was measured using an SRB assay after 48h of FND exposure and plotted as a percentage relative to DMSO treatment alone. Graphic representations are the mean ± SE; each measurement was performed in sextuplicate; *p≤0.05.
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