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ConocoPhillips has recently seized assets in Curaçao to secure a settlement it reached with Venezuela after arbitration before the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) concluded in April.[2] The settlement has suspended a dispute that once blocked Venezuela's state-run oil company from exporting oil from key Caribbean facilities that account for nearly a quarter of Venezuela's oil-exporting capacity.[3]
The settlement relates back to the expropriation of Conoco's Venezuela assets in 2007. Conoco left Venezuela after it could not come to a joint venture agreement with Petróleos de Venezuela, S.A. (PDVSA), Venezuela's state-owned oil company. PDVSA has agreed to pay ConocoPhillips over $2 billion. Conoco has not yet received any amount of that balance.

Venezuelan officials have characterized the settlement outcome as a victory, a “tough lesson” for Conoco, considering the final settlement amount is 10% of the $22 billion initially sought by the oil firm. That is one way of framing the situation. What is more likely is that Conoco is afraid of letting slip a fleeting chance to receive compensation for long-past 2007 expropriation.

Once Conoco successfully took back hard assets and began direct oversight of oil-producing operations, a precedent was created that might encourage other entities to hastily close in to secure their investments in Venezuela. Requests for court attachments on Venezuelan-held assets are now arriving in the district courts of the United States, and some orders have been issued. But what Conoco has demonstrated is that arbitration before international tribunals may be better suited for resolving disputes under these circumstances than looking to national courts. That is especially true when dealing with parties like Venezuela, who currently face a myriad of crushing financial obligations and rising political turbulence.

The situation is delicate. Venezuela's declining macroeconomic condition is further compounded by sanctions and influence from the United States, sanctions that make it more difficult for Venezuela to manage its debt and raise much needed capital. Those sanctions were put in place out of humanitarian and economic concern. The Venezuelan government currently struggles to provide basic food, services, and employment to the Venezuelan people.

Most significantly, Venezuela is struggling to produce oil at even a quarter of its potential capacity. Reasons for Venezuela's record low oil production include theft of equipment from its oil-fields due to growing poverty and crime, the appointment of the politically connected within PDVSA to positions that require technical expertise, and, according to some sources, the malnourishment of experienced Venezuelan oil workers and their subsequent abandonment of the oil fields, some fleeing the country entirely. Unfortunately, Venezuela's continued ability to produce oil is its only true means of avoiding insolvency and collapse, and is one of the few remaining sources of leverage against eager debt-collectors and foreign influence.

Venezuela and its creditors are in a predicament, the causes of which are far too numerous and interconnected to list here. The reality is, Venezuela's...
oil production has declined to such a critical low,\[20\] in such large part because of mismanagement, that Conoco's relatively recent presence in the Caribbean could be a lifeline for the industry and to Venezuela.\[21\]

For the time being, the settlement agreement between Conoco and Caracas has set the entities in tandem towards a single goal: the successful rehabilitation of Venezuela's oil-producing assets.\[22\] The new production of oil provides longer-term security for Conoco's settlement award, and increases Venezuela's revenue from oil exports, allowing the country to pay back existing creditors and limit future dependence on outside financing. The entry of Conoco's technical resources and expertise back into the Caribbean, as well as its ready access to the United States market, should come as a breath of fresh air for a struggling PVDSA.\[23\]

International arbitration has become the mainstay of dispute resolution between international commercial entities.\[24\] Mutual consent to fora, choice of law, and the perceived impartiality of international tribunes have made arbitration the standard for international dispute resolution.\[25\] But perhaps most importantly, arbitration carries a sense that the parties are meeting half way, that there is no significant bias or homefield advantage for the parties to account for.\[26\] All these factors encourage voluntary adherence to the terms of an arbitral award. Such cooperation is especially important and delicate when a party to a dispute already perceives itself as embattled or controlled by adverse interests. Venezuela is precisely in that position. Conoco's use of arbitration with a country like Venezuela was advantageous for at least two reasons.

First, while the United States judicial and executive branches are separate in many respects, current sanctions against Venezuela by the Trump administration has likely fostered some degree of reluctance in Venezuela to accept influence from yet another branch of the United States government. PDVSA is unlikely to have much interest in being subject to the courts of the United States. Despite Venezuela's troubled economy, PDVSA has demonstrated a willingness to fight judgments from U.S. courts, adding to already mounting expenses for the parties involved.\[27\]

International arbitration, on the other hand, is theoretically more impartial by design, and it is the voluntary participation and freedom from unwanted influence that is thought to produce fairer and more reliable results for both parties.\[28\] Reluctant, combative, and uncooperative parties are deal killers, especially when the parties are separated by vast geographical barriers.\[29\] Processes that promote voluntary cooperation produce better results on average.\[30\] With president Maduro's publicly expressed commitment to pay Venezuela's debts, further influence by the United States, a country whose
The government is politically averse to the current regime in Venezuela, is unlikely to foster further cooperation, compromise, and stability at the moment. Further instability and tension caused by more foreign influence will only compromise investments faster.

Second, the ICC and other international tribunals actually bear significant enforcement power. Judgments rendered by the courts of the United States are comparatively feeble in an international context without direct enforcement such as involvement of the United States executive branch, the imposition of further sanctions, or one of the parties’ own unilateral enforcement of a judgment. With innumerable parties interested in the continued stability of the region, those approaches may actually compromise investments further and destabilize Venezuela. Settling disputes through voluntary submission to arbitration would perhaps be more effective than the United States government’s unwieldy attempts to enforce individual rulings beyond its borders.

There is likely little functional reason for an aggrieved party in Conoco’s position to choose the United States as a governing body in a dispute over arbitration before an international tribunal. Financially and politically unstable parties like Venezuela are more likely to deliver on their obligations if they feel as though they have some remaining control over the outcome of their negotiations and that they will be rewarded for voluntary cooperation. Arbitration is successful and profitable precisely because it avoids the heavy-handed enforcement against a sovereign party that litigation might otherwise require.

It is also worth being mindful of the Venezuelan people, who rely on the continued health of the Venezuelan oil industry and economic stability in the region. Arbitration is most suited to that end. As is already evident in this case, arbitral awards like the Conoco-PDVSA settlement are effective when the interests of both parties are entwined by design of the agreement’s terms toward a common goal. The parties who will reap the most profit will be the parties that recognize the financial and functional value of cooperative arbitration. The unfortunate alternative would be futile, undignified squabbling for scraps of a deteriorating and vulnerable nation, embarrassingly and unnecessarily sanctioned by United States courts.

Conoco is awaiting a final ruling on another case against Caracas filed with the International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID), a World Bank tribunal. That case also relates back to the previous expropriation of two of Conoco’s Caribbean oil projects by the Venezuelan government. A decision is likely to be rendered by the end of the year, according to a lawyer close to the case.
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