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ABSTRACT

The narrow emission line spectra of active galactic nuclei are not accurately described by simple photoionization models of single clouds. Recent Hubble Space Telescope images of Seyfert 2 galaxies show that these objects are rich with ionization cones, knots, filaments, and strands of ionized gas. Here we extend to the narrow-line region the "locally optimally emitting cloud" (LOC) model, in which the observed spectra are predominantly determined by powerful selection effects. We present a large grid of photoionization models covering a wide range of physical conditions and show the optimal conditions for producing many of the strongest emission lines. We show that the integrated narrow-line spectrum can be predicted by an integration of an ensemble of clouds, and we present these results in the form of diagnostic line ratio diagrams making comparisons with observations. We also predict key diagnostic line ratios as a function of distance from the ionizing source and compare these with observations. The predicted radial dependence of the [O III]/[O II] ratio may be matched to the observed one in NGC 4151, if the narrow-line clouds see a more intense continuum than we see. The LOC scenario when coupled with a simple Keplerian gravitational velocity field will quite naturally predict the observed line width versus critical density relationship. The influence of dust within the ionized portion of the clouds is discussed, and we show that the more neutral gas is likely to be dusty, although a high-ionization dust-free region is most likely present too. This argues for a variety of narrow-line region cloud origins.

Subject headings: galaxies: ISM — galaxies: Seyfert — line: formation

1. INTRODUCTION

The failure of "simple" photoionization models to describe the narrow emission line spectrum of active galactic nuclei (AGNs) was shown dramatically by Filippenko & Halpern (1984), who found that the line widths of the optical forbidden lines were correlated with the critical density and ionization potential of those lines. This correlation indicates stratification of the photoionized region, with the lines emitting near their critical densities.

Recent Hubble Space Telescope (HST) images of Seyfert 2 galaxies show that these objects are rich with ionization cones (Evans et al. 1991; Tsvetanov & Walsh 1992; Wilson et al. 1993; Macchetto et al. 1994; Arribas, Mediavilla, & Garcia-Lorenzo 1996), knots (Acosta-Pulido et al. 1996), filaments, and strands (Falcke et al. 1996) of ionized gas. We should not expect that these complex environments can be described with simple, single-component photoionization models. Similar images of Seyfert 1 type objects show a less complex, symmetric structure that may indicate a viewing angle preference consistent with the unification model of Seyfert galaxies (Schmitt & Kinney 1996).

Two-component photoionization models have been proposed by Binette, Wilson, & Storchi-Bergmann (1996) and have met with some success in describing the emission-line spectrum of Seyfert galaxies. This model assumes that the narrow-line region (NLR) is composed of both matter-bounded and ionization-bounded clouds and that the covering fraction of the two populations can account for the range of observed line emission. A multicomponent photoionization model has been proposed by Komossa & Schulz (1997), who assume that the NLR is effectively composed of a few clouds with a range of gas densities and distances from the central AGN. Both of these types of models do much better at reproducing the scatter in the line ratio observations than single-component photoionization models.

An alternative to photoionization by the central source is photoionization by fast-moving shocks. Dopita & Sutherland (1995) describe in detail these "photoionizing" shocks and argue that all narrow emission lines can be excited by these processes. However, Morse, Raymond, & Wilson (1996) and Wilson (1997) discuss the viability of such shocks in describing the emission spectrum of active galaxies. In particular, Wilson (1997) argues that the energetics of radiative shocks may not account for the observed line luminosities. It is still unclear exactly what role shocks play in the NLR environment, that is, whether they provide the bulk of the energy input for the observed emission or merely compress gas so that it emits more strongly when photoionized by a central source (Pogge 1997).

We will assume that photoionization by the central source is the dominating mechanism responsible for NLR emission. We extend the locally optimally emitting clouds (LOC) hypothesis of Baldwin et al. (1995) to NLR gas. This
assumes that, in nature, gas with a wide range of physical conditions is present and the emission-line spectrum we observe is predominately a result of a simple, yet powerful, selection effect: we observe lines from clouds best able to emit them. To this end, we present the results of a large grid of photoionization models and make predictions of the nature of the integrated spectrum.

2. MODEL CALCULATIONS

2.1. Assumptions

For simplicity, we will assume that the source of narrow-line emission observed in active galaxies is from photoionized clouds that are similar to illuminated molecular clouds in our own Galaxy. The source of these clouds may be fourfold: (1) molecular cloud complexes in the disk of the galaxy, (2) the dusty molecular torus that is believed to surround the central source and that will certainly be photoionized, (3) interstellar matter (ISM) entrained behind a radio jet so that photoionization by the central source becomes important, and (4) material “snowplowed” in the form of bow shocks in front of a radio jet such that it too may be photoionized by the central source. We will ignore emission that may be due to shock excitation coming from photoionizing shocks, although how important this emission is to the overall spectrum is unclear (Wilson 1997).

We have used the spectral synthesis code CLOUDY (version 90.03; Ferland 1996) to calculate the emission from plane-parallel, constant hydrogen density clouds ionized by a continuum similar to that expected from a typical Seyfert galaxy with \( L_{\text{ion}} = 10^{43.5} \) ergs s\(^{-1}\). The shape of the ionizing continuum was chosen to be a combination of a UV bump of the form \( f_\lambda \propto \lambda^{-0.3} \exp(-h\nu/kT_{\text{cut}}) \) and an X-ray power law of the form \( f_\lambda \propto \lambda^{-1.0} \) spanning 13.6 eV to 100 keV. The UV bump cutoff temperature, \( T_{\text{cut}} \), was chosen such that the UV bump peaked (in \( vF_\lambda \)) at 48 eV. The UV and X-ray components were combined with a typical Seyfert UV to X-ray spectral slope, \( c_{\text{Obs}} = -1.2\).

The ionization/thermal equilibrium and radiative transfer calculations for a single cloud proceeded until one of the following three conditions were met. First, the electron temperature dropped below 3000 K. Gas with lower temperatures does not contribute significantly to the emission lines presented in this paper. Second, we do not allow clouds to have thicknesses in excess of 10% of its distance from the central continuum source. Third, we do not allow the total hydrogen column density to exceed \( 10^{24} \) cm\(^{-2}\); this mainly affects the very high ionization clouds and prevents them from becoming Thomson thick. In practice, the third condition had little impact on the narrow emission lines. The largest cloud of the grid presented below is one with a thickness of \( \sim 16 \) pc, consistent with the size of large molecular clouds. We will comment further on the effects of these stopping criteria in a later section.

Finally, we assume that each cloud sees the full continuum with no obscuration. At large enough distances, intervening clouds or diffuse ISM may attenuate the ionizing spectrum significantly. We have looked at the effects of such ISM attenuation on the incident continuum: an ISM with gas densities as low as 0.1 cm\(^{-3}\) such as found in the local ISM of the Milky Way (Wood & Linsky 1997) would have no effect on the results presented below. A higher density ISM \( [n(H) = 0.5 \text{ cm}^{-3}] \), however, would have an effect at large distances from the ionizing source, due to the larger column density and lower ionization resulting in a higher gas opacity. For the higher density gas and the shape and luminosity of the incident continuum given above, the attenuated continuum has an optical depth of unity at 4 ryd at a distance of \( R \sim 10^{21.7} \) cm from the ionizing source, resulting in 5%–20% changes in the line luminosities. At larger distances, the attenuation by a dense ISM would result in a decrease in the luminosity of the emitted spectrum of up to a factor of 10. We neglect this complication for simplicity.

2.2. Reprocessing Efficiency

We have computed, on the University of Kentucky Convex Exemplar parallel supercomputer, a grid of 1881 of the single cloud models described above in a plane of hydrogen gas density and distance from the central ionizing source. We chose a range of parameters in order to cover all the possible physical conditions of narrow-line region gas. The hydrogen gas density, \( n(H) \), ranged from \( 10^2 \) to \( 10^{10} \) cm\(^{-3}\). The incident continuum flux was varied so that for an assumed ionizing continuum luminosity \( L_{\text{ion}} = 10^{43.5} \) ergs s\(^{-1}\) [monochromatic luminosity \( L_{\lambda}(1450 \text{Å}) = 10^{39.558} \) ergs s\(^{-1}\) Å\(^{-1}\) for the continuum shape used here], the distance from the ionizing source of radiation, \( R \), varied from \( 10^{15} \) to \( 10^{22} \) cm. This distance range scales with the luminosity as \( L_{\text{ion}}^{1.2} \). The conversion from incident flux to luminosity and radius assumes that the ionizing radiation is emitted isotropically. However, observations of ionizing “cones” (Evans et al. 1991; Tsvetanov & Walsh 1992; Wilson et al. 1993; Macchetto et al. 1994; Arribas et al. 1996) and UV-photon deficits (Binette, Fosbury, & Parker 1993; Morse, Raymond, & Wilson 1996) imply that the gas may see a different ionizing continuum than we do.

Calculations were performed assuming two abundance sets: a solar set and a set including dust that varied with distance from the ionizing source. We will describe each set of abundances in turn and compare the two in terms of diagnostic line ratio diagrams in a later section.

2.2.1. Solar Abundances

Figure 1 shows the results of grid calculations, for solar abundance clouds, in the form of contour plots of logarithm equivalent width referred to the incident continuum at 4860 Å. The solar abundances are from Grevesse & Anders (1989) and Grevesse & Noels (1993) and have the following values:

\[
\begin{align*}
H: & 1.00, \text{He: } 1.00 \times 10^{-1}, \text{Li: } 2.04 \times 10^{-9}, \text{Be: } 2.63 \times 10^{-11}, \text{B: } 7.59 \times 10^{-10}, \text{C: } 3.55 \times 10^{-4}, \text{N: } 9.33 \times 10^{-5}, \text{O: } 7.41 \times 10^{-4}, \text{F: } 3.02 \times 10^{-8}, \text{Ne: } 1.17 \times 10^{-4}, \text{Na: } 2.06 \times 10^{-6}, \text{Mg: } 3.80 \times 10^{-5}, \text{Al: } 2.95 \times 10^{-6}, \text{Si: } 3.55 \times 10^{-5}, \text{P: } 3.73 \times 10^{-7}, \text{S: } 1.62 \times 10^{-5}, \text{Cl: } 1.88 \times 10^{-7}, \text{Ar: } 3.98 \times 10^{-6}, \text{K: } 1.35 \times 10^{-7}, \text{Ca: } 2.29 \times 10^{-6}, \text{Sc: } 1.58 \times 10^{-9}, \text{Ti: } 1.10 \times 10^{-7}, \text{V: } 1.05 \times 10^{-8}, \text{Cr: } 4.84 \times 10^{-7}, \text{Mn: } 3.42 \times 10^{-7}, \text{Fe: } 3.24 \times 10^{-5}, \text{Co: } 8.32 \times 10^{-8}, \text{Ni: } 1.76 \times 10^{-6}, \text{Cu: } 1.87 \times 10^{-8}, \text{Zn: } 4.52 \times 10^{-8}.
\end{align*}
\]

Furthermore, we assume that there is no dust present in the solar abundance computations.

The ionization parameter provides a useful homology relationship with the emission lines (Davidson 1977). \( U(H) \) is defined as the ratio of hydrogen ionizing photon density to hydrogen density, \( U(H) \equiv \Phi(H)/n(H)c \), where \( \Phi(H) \) is the flux of hydrogen ionizing photons and \( c \) is the speed of light. For reference, a dashed line is placed in the upper
Fig. 1a.—Contours of constant logarithmic line equivalent widths as a function of log $R$ and log $n(H)$ for the 23 ions indicated, referenced to the incident continuum at 4860 Å. The bold lines represent 1 dex increments, and the dotted lines are 0.2 dex steps. The triangle is the peak of the equivalent width distribution, and the contours decrease downward to the outer value of 1 Å. The reader will sometimes find it convenient to view the contour plots along the ridge at large inclination angle to the sheet of paper. The upper right-hand plot in panel $a$ is the log $T_e$ of the front face of the cloud. The temperature decreases from $10^7$ K in the lower left-hand corner of the plot to $10^3$ K in the upper right-hand corner. Again, the bold lines represent 1 dex increments, and the dotted lines are 0.2 dex steps.

The left-hand plot of Figure 1$a$ representing log $U(H) = 3.0$. The ionization parameter increases from top right to bottom left in these diagrams; lines of constant $U(H)$ have a slope of $d \log R/d \log n = -0.5$.

Shown in Figure 1 are 23 strong optical, UV, and infrared recombination, resonance, and forbidden lines. The temperature of the illuminated face of the cloud is also shown in the upper right-hand plot of Figure 1$a$. For many emission lines, a ridge of near maximum equivalent width runs diagonally across the distance–gas density plane, roughly parallel to lines of constant ionization parameter. Most lines are emitted optimally for a narrow range of ionization parameter spanning this ridge. For larger (smaller) ionization parameters, the gas is overionized (underionized), and the line is not efficiently emitted. This explains the sudden drops in the emission-line equivalent widths on either side of their ridges. Moving along the ridge to increasing gas densities, at near constant ionization parameter, the forbidden lines become collisionally deexcited and the line equivalent width falls off. Moving along the ridge at con-
stant ionization parameter to smaller gas densities, the equivalent widths of some lines also diminish as other lines of similar ionization, but lower critical density, become important coolants. This effect is especially dramatic for C\textsc{iv} λ1549 and O\textsc{vi} λ1035.

The stopping criteria assumed in §2.1 do affect the resulting spectrum. The grid of models shown in Figure 1 includes clouds that are both optically thin and optically thick to hydrogen ionizing radiation. Optically thin clouds generally occupy the lower left-hand portion of the distance-density plane below a line with slope \( d \log R/d \log n \sim -\frac{2}{3} \) and intersecting the lower right-hand corner. The temperature of this gas (see Fig. 1) ranges from 20,000 K to several million K. Emission lines presented in this paper are not emitted by gas with \( T_e \gtrsim 10^5 \) K (X-ray lines are). These hot clouds are generally truncated by the plane-parallel condition discussed earlier. Optically thick clouds are in the upper right-hand portion of the figures, and the gas generally has nebular temperatures, except the extreme upper right-hand corner, where the electron temperatures can be as low as a few hundred K and the gas is mostly molecular. Generally, the optically thick clouds were stopped because the electron temperature fell below 3000 K (§2.1). The total hydrogen column density restriction mentioned in §2.1 applies to a small number of high-density optically thick clouds in a triangular region bounded by a distance of 1 pc.
on the top and a line roughly parallel to log $U \sim -1.0$ on the left-hand side. The clouds in this region do not significantly contribute to the narrow forbidden line spectrum.

Different types of lines can be seen in Figure 1 to be emitted from clouds with a range in gas densities and distances. Recombination lines, such as H$\beta$ and Ly$\alpha$, generally form broad planes of maximum equivalent width, whereas the forbidden lines, such as [Ne v], are either narrow ridges or peaked islands ([O ii] $\lambda$7325). Figure 1b shows the differences in the nebular and auroral lines of [O ii] and [O iii]. Notice that the auroral features are formed optimally at higher densities, because of their higher critical density. Figure 1c shows the contour plots of a few of the stronger UV lines. Figure 1d shows a few strong [Fe ii] infrared fine-structure lines from a 16 level model atom. The model atom has the same configuration as that shown in Thompson (1995) and uses the collision data of Pradhan & Zhang (1993) and Einstein $A$-coefficients from Quinet, Le Dourneuf, & Zeippen (1996). Verner et al. (1997) compare the differences between the 16 level model atom and a much larger definitive 371 level calculation. Preliminary comparisons show that predicted line intensities of the 16 level atom differ typically by a few percent and at maximum by 10% from the larger 371 level calculation.

To illustrate the problems encountered when trying to use conventional diagnostic line intensity ratios for an ensemble of clouds with a wide range of physical conditions, we momentarily assume that each emission line in the total
spectrum is produced with the maximum possible equivalent width found in the figures. We then use this spectrum to reproduce the standard density and temperature diagnostic line ratios. This is the simplest way to document the effects of a distribution of clouds. Three density indicators are given by Osterbrock (1989). These include the [S II] λ6716/λ6731 and [O II] λ3729/λ3726 doublets and the [C III] λ1907/C III] λ1909 ratio. For [Fe II], Pradhan & Zhang (1993) use the 1.534/1.644 μm ratio as a density indicator. Assuming a temperature of 10,000 K, the [O II] ratio we predict gives an $n_e$ of 300 cm$^{-3}$, for the predicted [S II] doublet the density is 700 cm$^{-3}$, for the [Fe II] ratio $n_e$ is 20,000 cm$^{-3}$, and the [C III]/C III] ratio gives a density of nearly 300,000 cm$^{-3}$. The [O III] (λ4959 + λ5007)/λ4363 temperature-density diagnostic using the peak emission of Figure 1 and an electron temperature of 10,000 K gives an electron density on the order of a few million cm$^{-3}$. The point of this exercise is clear: line diagnostics fail when the gas has a wide range of physical conditions. As Figure 1 shows, many of these lines form at different densities and temperatures. However, by using the diagnostic line ratios, one assumes that the lines are formed in gas of similar physical conditions, which is obviously not the case in Figure 1 and is likely not the case in nature. In a later section, we will integrate the “visibility functions” of Figure 1 to calculate an emission spectrum by assuming that the observed spectrum is the result of an ensemble of clouds with differing properties.
2.2.2. A Dusty NLR

In the previous section we have assumed that the narrow-line region is a dust-free environment. We now recompute the grid assuming that the clouds are dusty. Grains will not survive in an environment with high photoionizing flux because of the various sublimation processes discussed by Laor & Draine (1993). Therefore, after the manner of Netzer & Laor (1993), we vary the abundances as a function of distance from the ionizing source, in order to mimic these destruction processes. At small distances from the central source, we assume the abundances are solar, as given in the previous section. At a distance of approximately $10^{16.9}$ cm from the central source (for the ionizing luminosity given above), Orion-type graphite grains (Baldwin et al. 1991) are just at their sublimation temperature ($\sim 1750$ K) at the cloud face. At distances larger than this, we include graphite grains within the calculation of the single cloud and deplete carbon such that its abundance is typical of an H II region (see below and Baldwin et al. 1996). Orion-type silicate grains sublimate at $\sim 1400$ K, corresponding to a distance of $10^{17.6}$ cm. Beyond this distance, the abundances are set to values that approximate those found in the Orion nebula:

- H: $1.00$, He: $9.50 \times 10^{-2}$, C: $3.00 \times 10^{-4}$, N: $7.00 \times 10^{-5}$, O: $4.00 \times 10^{-4}$, Ne: $6.00 \times 10^{-5}$, Na: $3.00 \times 10^{-7}$, Mg: $3.00 \times 10^{-6}$, Al: $2.00 \times 10^{-7}$, Si: $4.00 \times 10^{-6}$, S: $1.00 \times 10^{-5}$, Cl: $1.00 \times 10^{-7}$, Ar: $3.00 \times 10^{-6}$, Ca: $2.00 \times 10^{-8}$, Fe: $3.00 \times 10^{-6}$, Ni: $1.00 \times 10^{-7}$. 

![Image](image-url)
These abundances are based on the results of several recent studies of the Orion nebula (Baldwin et al. 1991; Rubin et al. 1991, 1992; Osterbrock, Tran, & Veilleux 1991, 1992; Osterbrock, 1992). Although this discontinuous “turning on” of grains is unphysical and our choices of grain composition and gas depletions are specific, it serves as an example to simulate the presence of dust in the narrow-line region. The grain physics used here is described by Baldwin (1991).

Figure 2 shows the same contour plots as Figure 1, except that the individual models include the grains as specified above. The discontinuous grain sublimation distances are easily seen in the figure as sharp discontinuities in the contour plots at $R = 10^{16.9}$ and $10^{17.6}$ cm.

Comparisons of Figures 1 and 2 show four major effects of grains: (1) the depletion of refractory elements; (2) the weakening of emission lines due to absorption of the incident continuum by dust at large $U(H)$; (3) the photoelectric heating of the gas by grains; and (4) in the case of resonance lines such as Ly$\alpha$ $\lambda$1216 and C$\text{IV}$ $\lambda$1549, line destruction by grains.

Higher ionization lines, such as [O III], [Ne III], and [Ne v], tend to be emitted less efficiently than in the dust-free models, with the equivalent width falling by as much as a factor of 2. The weakening of these lines is caused by the absorption of the incident continuum by the dust. The lower ionization lines ([S II], [O II], [N II], [O I], etc.)
actually have their peak emission increase, though at lower ionization parameters, because of the photoelectric heating of the gas by the grains. Resonance line destruction is readily apparent in Figure 2c. The heavy depletion of iron is also apparent in Figure 2d, wherein the peak equivalent width drops by nearly a factor of 10. This last point will be discussed more fully in a later section.

3. INTEGRATED LINE EMISSION

The equivalent widths shown in Figures 1 and 2 reflect the efficiency with which the clouds reprocess the incident continuum. It is clear that different lines are formed optimally in different places in the gas density-distance plane. If the distribution function of clouds in this plane is known, then the total line luminosity emitted by a set of clouds will be given by

$$L_{\text{line}} \propto \int \int r^2 F(r, n) \psi(r, n) dn dr,$$

where $F(r, n)$ is the emission line flux of a single cloud at radius $r$ and gas density $n$ and $\psi(r, n)$ is the cloud distribution function, which is not necessarily analytical.

The remaining question is the spatial distribution of the NLR clouds. Many type 2 Seyfert galaxies have resolved NLRs, often with complex structures that are associated with linear or double-lobed radio sources (Bower et al.
et al. 1995; Pogge & De Robertis 1995; Capetti et al. 1996; Cooke et al. 1997), whereas the structure of the NLR in type I Seyfert galaxies is generally more compact and axisymmetric, at least in projection (Schmitt & Kinney 1996; Nelson et al. 1996). Since it is not clear how the emitting gas is distributed, in all its dimensions, we will assume that the distribution function \( \psi(r, n) \) can be approximated by \( \psi(r, n) \propto f(r)g(n) \), where \( f(r) \) and \( g(n) \) are the cloud covering fractions with distance and gas density, respectively (see Baldwin et al. 1995). For simplicity, we assume simple power laws:

\[
f(r) \propto r^\gamma, \quad g(n) \propto n^\beta.
\]  

The power-law indices, \( \gamma \) and \( \beta \), will be the only free parameters of our predicted integrated spectra. In the case where both weighting functions are proportional to the inverse of \( n \) or \( r \), then the line equivalent widths of the clouds are equally weighted (in log space) across the gas density–distance plane.

In the integrations computed below, we assumed certain integration limits in the gas density–distance plane in order to exclude gas that may not be present in the NLR. We exclude gas with densities \( \log n(H) > 8 \), the rough maximum critical density of the optical forbidden lines observed. By including gas with \( \log n(H) \sim 9 \), the line ratios do not change significantly except for those integrations
that are weighted to higher densities [flatter $g(n)$]. If present, the contribution of high-density gas in the inner regions of the NLR cannot be significant or else the narrow-line spectrum would appear vastly different (forbidden lines would be too weak relative to the hydrogen lines). We exclude gas that is closer than 0.1 pc to the ionizing source. This distance corresponds to the grain sublimation point described in § 2.2.1. Integration limits were also included in ionization parameter space in order to exclude gas that is far overionized (underionized) and does not contribute to the observed emission. These limits effectively exclude gas from the broad emission line region and very high density gas hundreds of parsecs away from the central engine.

### 3.1. Diagnostic Line Ratio Diagrams

Integrations described above have been computed and plotted after the manner of Baldwin, Phillips, & Terlevich (1981, hereafter BPT). Intensity ratios for the integrated model spectra are plotted in this manner in Figure 3. Two types of lines are shown in the figure. Solid lines represent dusty models with depleted refractory elements typical of H II region type abundances (hereafter “dusty”). The dashed lines represent dust-free clouds with solar metallicities (hereafter “dust free”). Different values of $g(n)$ (cf. eq. [2]) are represented by different lines, with the power of $f(r)$ lying in the range $-2.0 \leq \gamma \leq 1.0$ in 0.25 increments along each line with the direction of more negative $f(r)$ shown by the arrows. For the dusty simulations (solid lines), three values of the $g(n)$ index are shown ($\beta = -1.0, -1.6, -1.8$), and the trend of more negative $\beta$ are indicated by the appropriate arrows in the figures. For the dust-free simulations (dashed lines), the $g(n)$ index are $\beta = -1.0, -1.4, -1.8$; similarly, the trends for the more negative index are indicated. The “S” and “L” indicate in the figures the average values of the line ratios for Seyfert, taken from Ferland & Osterbrock (1986), and LINER-type spectra, taken from Netzer (1990), with the exceptions of He II $\lambda 4686$, [O III] $\lambda 4363$, from Ho, Filippenko, & Sargent (1996) for the LINER galaxy M81. The observed range in [O II] $\lambda 7325$ and [S II] $\lambda \lambda 9069, 9532$ strengths were taken from Dopita & Sutherland (1995).

To make it possible to follow particular models from panel to panel, we have marked two fiducial models in Figure 3. The stars represent a dusty model (solid lines) with

![Figure 3a](image-url)

**Fig. 3a**
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In column (4) of Table 1 we give the dereddened UV/optical HST Faint Object Spectrograph spectrum of the Seyfert 2 galaxy NGC 3393, from Cooke et al. (1997). The Cooke et al. observations were taken at two overlapping but significantly different aperture positions within a complicated two-dimensional line-emitting structure; spectra covering 1100–2300 Å were taken at one position, while the range 2300–6800 Å was covered at the other position. Because of the unintended positional offset, it is impossible to unambiguously join together the two spectral regions. We have normalized the two sections of the NGC 3393 spectrum so that the UV/optical He II ratios would agree with case B predictions. This does not guarantee consistency among the other emission lines, but it is not clear that the uncertainty due in this case to a 0.4 offset between two 1" diameter apertures is any worse than the errors incurred through the comparison of IUE to ground-based data, whereas the signal to noise ratio in the NGC 3393 spectra is much higher than in the earlier data.

Observations by Oliva et al. (1994) of the Seyfert type 2 Circinus galaxy are given in column (5) of Table 1. These observations include the ground-based optical and infrared spectra of many high-ionization “coronal lines” (ionization potentials greater than 100 eV).

Columns (6) and (7) of Table 1 show the results of the predicted integrated line spectrum for the dust-free and the

\[ f(r) \propto r^{-1.5} \text{ and } g(n) \propto n^{-1.6}, \]

while the squares represent a dust-free model (dashed lines) with \( f(r) \propto r^{-1.25} \) and \( g(n) \propto n^{-1.4} \). These particular models were chosen because they approximately reproduce the \([\text{O II}] \lambda 3727/\text{[O III]} \lambda 5007 \) and \([\text{O III}] \lambda 5007/\text{H} \beta \) line ratios in the average Seyfert 2 spectrum.

Interestingly, solar abundance, grainless calculations are not excluded by the line ratios presented in Figure 3. The dashed, dust-free, lines in Figure 3 tend to converge in the area of Seyfert observations. The dusty integrations tend to be shifted toward and into the LINER region of the diagrams, that is, with higher \([\text{N II}], [\text{S II}], \text{and [O I]} \) relative to \text{H} \alpha \) and higher \([\text{O II}] \) relative to \([\text{O III}] \).

Table 1 compares the fiducial models represented by the star and square in Figure 3 with the observed average Seyfert 2 spectra from Ferland & Osterbrock (1986), Dopita & Sutherland (1995), Thompson (1995), and Villar-Martin & Binette (1997). The UV/optical line ratios given by Ferland & Osterbrock likely suffer from inaccurate absolute optical spectral flux calibrations coupled with substantially different aperture sizes between the IUE UV spectra and ground-based optical spectra coupled with the extendedness of the NLR, making many of these UV/optical narrow-line ratios highly uncertain. The table also lists the observed range of equivalent widths of H \beta \) taken from Netzer (1990) and Binette, Fosbury, & Parker (1993).
dusty fiducial points. Recall that the integration parameters for the two fiducial models were chosen to simultaneously reproduce the [O III]/[O II] and [O III]/Hβ emission line ratios of the average Seyfert galaxy, and with this in mind, the integrated spectrum is representative of the observed values.

3.1.1. UV Lines

Compared with the mean Seyfert 2 spectrum, the UV lines, Lyα, C IV, and C III], are generally underpredicted by the solar, dust-free integration shown in column (5) of Table 1. In column (6) of the table, the dusty integration underpredicts Lyα, and C IV even worse than the dust-free case (generally because of resonance line destruction by dust grains). The exceptions being that C III] λ1909 line is within the observed range and Mg II is on the low end of the range. The predictions of the weaker UV emission lines observed in NGC 3393, not included in the mean Seyfert 2 spectrum of Table 1, are generally consistent with the observations. The exceptions N v and He II will be elaborated on in the next section.

The integrations of the dust-free clouds predict Lyα/Hβ ~ 28, which is close to the high-density case B limit (~34), while the observations compiled by Ferland & Osterbrock (1986) suggest much larger ratios (30–70). Our calculations find a maximum Lyα/Hβ ~ 100 occurring at log n(H) = 9.75 cm^{-3}. Lyα/Hβ significantly larger than ~34 implies the existence of a substantial population of high-density clouds; more specifically, 40 ≤ Lyα/Hβ ≤ 70 occurs for 5.5 ≤ log n(H) ≤ 8.5. However, the spectrum resulting from integrations that heavily weight this dense gas would not be consistent with the strong optical forbidden line strengths relative to Hβ.

Our predicted hydrogen line spectrum is in better agreement with the HST data of Cooke et al. (1997; see our Table 1). The corrected observed Lyα/Hβ ~ 19 is inconsistent with the compilations of Ferland & Osterbrock (1986), with the caveat that this observed ratio is somewhat uncertain. Lyα/Hβ ratios smaller than 23 are not possible, unless Lyα is weak because of either very dense (>10¹¹ cm⁻³) or dusty gas. The NGC 3393 Mg II/Hβ ratio is also consistent with the dusty simulations. HST observations of the narrow lines of several high-luminosity AGNs (Wills et al. 1993) also yield ratios that are more consistent with the dusty simulations. Clearly, uniform, long-slit, high signal-to-noise, UV to infrared spectra are required to understand the narrow emission line spectra of AGNs. Future observations using the Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph (STIS) on HST will accomplish a good part of this for the first time.

3.1.2. Optical Lines

The predicted narrow optical forbidden lines are generally within the observed ranges of the mean spectrum, with the exceptions of [Ne III] and [Ne V], both being slightly
underpredicted by \( \sim 50\% \) and \( \sim 20\% \), respectively, indicating possible differences in abundances. However, these lines are predicted to be stronger relative to \( H\beta \) than observed in NGC 3393. The \([S\ II]\), \([S\ III]\) lines are within the observed ranges of the mean Seyfert 2 spectrum.

Both integrations of the predicted nitrogen line \([N\ II]\) are consistent with the observed mean Seyfert 2 range, although the \([N\ II]\) line is predicted to be lower than observed by

The table below shows the observed versus integrated spectra:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line (1)</th>
<th>Mean Seyfert 2*</th>
<th>Referenceb</th>
<th>NGC 3393c</th>
<th>Circinusd</th>
<th>Solar*e</th>
<th>Dustye</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(W(H\beta)^b)</td>
<td>5–30</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(W_C(H\beta)^b)</td>
<td>15–100</td>
<td>BFP</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([	ext{N}^\text{II}]\lambda 5755)</td>
<td>(55 \pm 20)</td>
<td>FO</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>9.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{O}^\text{III}]\lambda 5007)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Ne}^\text{III}]\lambda 6584)</td>
<td>1.2 ( \pm 0.2)</td>
<td>FO</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Ne}^\text{V}]\lambda 2380)</td>
<td>1.4 ( \pm 0.4)</td>
<td>FO</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{S}^\text{II}]\lambda 4074)</td>
<td>0.7 ( \pm 0.2)</td>
<td>FO</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{N}^\text{II}]\lambda 6300)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{He}^\text{II}]\lambda 4471)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{He}^\text{I}]\lambda 5876)</td>
<td>0.13 ( \pm 0.06)</td>
<td>FO</td>
<td>(&lt;0.11)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Fe}^\text{II}]\lambda 6087)</td>
<td>0.10 ( \pm 0.05)</td>
<td>FO</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{O}^\text{I}]\lambda 6300)</td>
<td>0.57 ( \pm 0.20)</td>
<td>FO</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Fe}^\text{II}]\lambda 6678)</td>
<td>0.04 ( \pm 0.04)</td>
<td>FO</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{He}^\text{II}]\lambda 6684)</td>
<td>0.10 ( \pm 0.01)</td>
<td>FO</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{N}^\text{II}]\lambda 6717)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Ar}^\text{II}]\lambda 7135)</td>
<td>0.24 ( \pm 0.07)</td>
<td>FO</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{S}^\text{II}]\lambda 6731)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Fe}^\text{II}]\lambda 7799)</td>
<td>0.04 ( \pm 0.04)</td>
<td>VB</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{O}^\text{II}]\lambda 7325)</td>
<td>0.10–0.46b</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Fe}^\text{II}]\lambda 7065)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{S}^\text{II}]\lambda 1807)</td>
<td>0.5–4.5b</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{S}^\text{II}]\lambda 1891)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Si}^\text{III}]\lambda 1304)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Fe}^\text{II}]\lambda 1608)</td>
<td>0.12 ( \pm 0.01)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Fe}^\text{II}]\lambda 1792)</td>
<td>0.03 ( \pm 0.01)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Fe}^\text{II}]\lambda 2324)</td>
<td>0.16 ( \pm 0.01)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Fe}^\text{II}]\lambda 1534)</td>
<td>0.02 ( \pm 0.01)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Fe}^\text{II}]\lambda 1644)</td>
<td>0.09 ( \pm 0.01)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Fe}^\text{II}]\lambda 1677)</td>
<td>0.02 ( \pm 0.01)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Si}^\text{II}]\lambda 1.96)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Br}^\text{II}]\lambda 2166)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.027b</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Ca}^\text{II}]\lambda 3933)</td>
<td>2.32 ( \mu)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Si}^\text{II}]\lambda 2.48)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Si}^\text{III}]\lambda 3.94)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Br}^\text{II}]\lambda 4052)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.079b</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Fe}^\text{II}]\lambda 25.98)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The range of observed values taken from the reference in col. (3).

b Reference of the observed values: N is Netzer 1990; BFP is Binette, Fabry, & Parker 1993; FO is Ferland & Osterbrock 1986; VB is from Villar-Martín & Binette 1997; DS denotes a range of values from Dopita & Sutherland 1995; and T is from an observation of NGC 4151 by Thompson 1995.

c Observations of NGC 3393 from Cooke et al. 1997.

d Observations of the Circinus galaxy from Oliva et al. 1994.

e Predictions from the integrated spectrum assuming solar abundances indicated by the square in Fig. 3.

f Predictions from the integrated spectrum assuming H II region abundances indicated by the star in Fig. 3.

* Equivalent width of H\beta in \( \AA \).

** These observations are given as a range.

* Assuming case B conditions.
nearly half. Comparisons between both integrations and NGC 3393 of the nitrogen emission lines ([N i], [N ii], and N v) relative to Hβ indicate that nitrogen may be enhanced in that object relative to the solar value by a factor of ~2.

The strength of the He II λ4686 permitted line relative to Hβ is often used to constrain the ionizing continuum. A stronger He II λ4686 line (relative to Hβ) is indicative of more He+ ionizing photons. Simple photoionization models often underpredict the line while doing well with the rest of the optical spectrum (Ferland & Osterbrock 1986). Figure 3 and Table 1 show that the integrated model can predict this line within the observed range. Our fiducial integrations given in columns (6) and (7) of the table overpredict the entire He II spectrum relative to Hβ when compared with NGC 3393, which indicates that NGC 3393 has a slightly softer continuum than the one assumed here (§ 2.1).

The predictions of the relative strengths of the optical iron coronal lines, [Fe vi], [Fe x], [Fe xi], and [Fe xiv] to Hβ are in accord with the observations of the Circinus galaxy (Oliva et al. 1994) for the dust-free integrations. The dusty integrations underpredict these lines by factors of ~5–10. However, Oliva et al. (1994), Morisset & Piquéignon (1996), and Ferguson, Korista, & Ferland (1997) discuss the apparent large uncertainties in the collision strengths of [Fe x] λ6375, [Fe xi] λ7892, and [Fe xiv] λ5303. Given these uncertainties, we set the collision strengths for these transitions equal to 1 prior to running these simulations (note: Ferguson et al. used the collision strengths from the literature). These lines will not be useful probes of the high-ionization narrow-line region until this issue is resolved.

The weakness of the optical doublet [Ca ii] λλ7291, 7324 has been cited as evidence for the presence of dust grains with the NLR (Ferland 1993; Kingdon, Ferland, & Feibelman 1995). Table 1 indicates that the computations assuming solar abundances overpredict the [Ca ii] λ7291 line by factors of 3–160, with the dusty calculations on the very low end of the observations. This suggests that calcium is actually depleted by factors up to 160 compared with its solar abundance.

3.1.3. Infrared Lines

The infrared forbidden [Fe ii] lines from the integrations described above are compared with the observations of NGC 4151 made by Thompson (1995). Because Thompson’s observations included Pβ, we can reference the [Fe ii] to Hβ, as in Table 1, by assuming case B conditions for hydrogen. This is a valid assumption, since Pβ/Hβ predicted by our integrations is very nearly the case B ratio. Table 1 shows that the predicted intensities of the “dust-free” integration (col. [6]) are clearly too strong to match the observations, with some lines overpredicted by a factor of 10. Even for the “dusty” integration (col. [7]), where the iron abundance is depleted by a factor of 10, relative to the solar value, the strongest [Fe ii] lines are too strong by a factor of 2 compared with Hβ. This evidence suggests that iron may be depleted by factors up to 20 in NGC 4151.

Simpson et al. (1996) and Veilleux, Goodrich, & Hill (1997) present IR spectroscopy of a number of Seyfert 2 galaxies. Simpson et al. found [Fe ii] 1.257 μm/Pβ ≈ 1.21 (σ ≈ 0.81), and Veilleux et al. found [Fe ii] 1.257 μm/Pβ ≈ 1.24 (σ ≈ 0.90). As in NGC 4151, these observed values are better matched to the predicted ratio from the dusty integration in Table 1 (~1.4). The dust-free integration predicts a ratio of ~7, much like the ratios arising in the shocked (grain free) environments of supernova remnants, plotted by both Simpson et al. (1996) and Veilleux et al. (1997).

Although the [Fe ii] line ratios are too strong compared with the hydrogen lines, indicating an abundance difference, the relative [Fe ii] spectrum is fairly consistent with the observations. Thompson (1995) discusses at length the probable difficulties with the observation of weaker lines, such as 1.279 μm and 1.677 μm.

Table 1 also includes predictions of the relative strength of the far-IR [Fe ii] 25.98 μm line, the lowest transition within the D ground term. This line is predicted to be quite strong in the solar integration (4 times Pβ). Recall that the simulations presented here excluded gas with T_e < 3000 K, and emission from these low-excitation lines might still be important at lower electron temperatures. In fact, we find that this line and others that arise within the ground term will have substantial contributions from AGN-heated photodissociation regions, if present. None of the ~1 μm [Fe ii] lines are emissive in gas temperatures below 3000 K.

Our simulations of the infrared coronal lines shown in Table 1 are generally in accord with the observations of the Circinus galaxy for the dust-free integration. The [Si vi], [Si vii], and [Si ix] spectrum is well matched by the fiducial dust-free integrations. The dusty models do a poor job at matching the observations, particularly the [Ca viii] line. Our dust-free fiducial model predicts this line to within a factor of 2, whereas the dusty integration underpredicts this line by over a factor of 30. The predicted strength of the optical coronal line [Fe vi] λ6087 is also consistent with the proposition that the high-ionization lines are emitted in a dust-free environment.

4. LINE WIDTH AND CRITICAL DENSITY

Filippenko & Halpern (1984) showed that the line width of optical forbidden lines is correlated with the critical density of those lines. Other observers (Filippenko 1985; Appenzeller & Östereicher 1988; Espey et al. 1994; Ho, Filippenko, & Sargent 1996) have extended this work. It was realized by Filippenko & Halpern that the probable explanation for the observed correlation is that the lines emit most efficiently near their critical densities and that the line emission is spatially stratified. Our LOC models are a quantitative formulation of this idea. By just glancing at Figure 1, one observes that the peak emission of the set of lines shown occurs over a range of gas density and distance. If the dominant velocity field is one that diminishes with distance from the nucleus, then we expect that those lines that are emitted optimally at smaller distances [larger n(H)] will have broader line widths than those that are optimally emitted farther away [smaller n(H)] and qualitatively agree with the observed correlation. Consider the contour plots of [O iii] λ3463 and [S ii] λ6720 shown in Figure 1. It is clear by looking at their places of peak emission that [O iii] will be much broader than [S ii], as is observed.

Figure 4 shows the results of a simple calculation of relative line widths assuming that the density-distance phase-space system of clouds obey the covering fraction and density indices of the fiducial square shown in Figure 3. In lieu of a more realistic velocity field that takes into account the mass distribution of the bulge in addition to the supermassive black hole, we assume virial velocities about a simple point mass of 10^8 M_☉. In the figure we show the full width at half maximum (FWHM) and the full width at 10%
The figure also plots the ratios of the line intensities integrated over clouds of all densities, as functions of distance from the ionizing source; shown are the results of two different integrations along the density axis.

5.1. Density

The density indicator \([\text{S} \text{ II}] \lambda 6716/\lambda 6731\) is shown in the top two panels of Figure 5a. The line ratio has the linear value of 1.36 in the extreme upper left-hand corner of the plot at \([\log n(\text{H}) = 2.0, \log R = 22.0]\). Moving down and to the right along a line of constant ionization parameter, the solid lines are 1 dex increments, and the dashed lines are 0.1 dex steps. The large flat plain of constant ratio corresponds to the high-density limit or a linear value of \(\sim 0.44\).

The line ratio as a function of distance shows that for the integrations presented, the high-density limit is predicted at small distances. The solid line [integrated assuming that \(g(n) \propto n^{-1.0}\)] remains at that limit for larger distances, whereas the dashed line [integrated assuming a steeper density distribution, i.e., \(g(n) \propto n^{-1.8}\)] turns upward sooner. The dashed curve reaches a peak value nearly equal to the low-density limit given by Osterbrock (1989). The gas density inferred from the dashed curve falls off as \(R^{-2/3}\), while the density inferred from the solid curve is pinned at the high-density limit for larger values of \(R\), then falls off more steeply than \(R^{-2/3}\).

5.2. Temperature

The temperature indicator \([\text{O} \text{ III}] \lambda 5007/\lambda 4363\) (note that \(\lambda 4959\) is not included) is shown in the bottom two plots of Figure 5a. The contour plot has a peak value of 112 in the upper left-hand corner \([\log n(\text{H}) = 2.0, \log R = 21.0]\). Following a line of constant ionization parameter down and to the right, the solid contour line (which has a backward “S” shape) has the logarithmic value of 2.0, and the dashed lines are 0.2 dex increments. The outer contour in the lower right-hand part of the plot has a logarithmic value of \(-1.0\) at \([\log n(\text{H}) = 10.0, \log R = 18.0]\). The contour plot shows that in the low-density limit \([\log n(\text{H}) \lesssim 4.5 \text{ cm}^{-3}\]), the ratio is a good temperature indicator in that moving perpendicular to the ionization parameter, the ratio changes are roughly independent of density. At higher densities, the ratio becomes dependent on both temperature and density.

The gas density integrated line ratio as a function of distance shows that for large distances from the ionizing source, the ratio is independent of the weighting of the density axis; i.e., the ratio is in the low-density limit. Using the analytical expression from Osterbrock (1989), the ratio can be converted into temperature in the low-density limit. For the range \(20.0 \text{ cm} \leq \log R \leq 22.0 \text{ cm}\), the inferred temperature has the range \(14,000 \text{ K} \leq T_e \leq 8400 \text{ K}\), falling off very gradually as approximately \(R^{-1/3}\). At smaller radii, the \(\lambda 5007/\lambda 4363\) ratio further decreases, reflecting, mainly, the drop in \(\lambda 5007\) due to collisional quenching and the increase of \(\lambda 4363\), which continues to emit efficiently up to its critical density, rather than reflecting an increase in temperature.

The \([\text{N} \text{ II}] \lambda 5755/\lambda 6584\) line ratio is also a useful temperature indicator. Wilson, Binette, & Storchi-Berghmann (1997) use this ratio in concert with the \([\text{O} \text{ III}] \lambda 5007/\lambda 4363\) ratio as evidence for the presence of matter-bounded clouds in the NLR. Defining \(R_{\text{[N II]}}\) as the \([\text{N} \text{ II}]\) line ratio and \(R_{\text{[O III]}}\) as the \([\text{O} \text{ III}]\) line ratio, our model integrations predict that \(R_{\text{[O III]}}\) versus \(R_{\text{[N II]}}\) depends little on the density weighting factor \(g(n)\). We find a linear-log relationship of intensity (FW10%) for the ions indicated versus the logarithm of the critical density of the square point shown in Fig. 3. The solid circles are the full width at 10% intensity with a fitted slope of 0.193. The open squares are FWHM with a fitted slope of 0.118. The observed range in the slope is from 0.095 to 0.200.

Fig. 4.—Line width versus critical density of the square point shown in Fig. 3. The solid circles are the full width at 10% intensity with a fitted slope of 0.193. The open squares are FWHM with a fitted slope of 0.118. The observed range in the slope is from 0.095 to 0.200.

In a number of nearby Seyfert galaxies, the NLR is spatially resolved even on ground-based long-slit and imaging Fabry-Perot spectra. These NLRs frequently have the form either of ionization cones (cf. Pogge 1988; Tadhunter et al. & De Robertis et al. 1995; Pogge et al. 1995; Capetti et al. 1996; Cooke et al. 1997). Typical scales are \(200–400 \text{ pc} \text{ arcsec}^{-1}\), where \(h = H_o/100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}\). With the imminent commissioning of the HST STIS spectrograph and ground-based adaptive optics systems, it should be possible to study spectroscopically a reasonable sample of NLRs with \(\sim 20–40 \text{ pc} (\sim 10^{20} \text{ cm})\) resolution.

The exact scaling of such dimensions onto the \(y\)-axis of Figures 1 and 2 is complicated by the fact that the (probably beamed) ionizing continuum luminosity seen by these NLRs is not directly measured. However, simple arguments based on counting recombination photons (cf. Binette, Fosbury, & Parker 1993) imply that the gas sees an ionizing luminosity that is, on average, a factor of 10 larger than what we see. Therefore, the range in incident flux used in the model grid presented here is applicable to these resolved NLRs, and it is of interest to consider the predicted radial dependence of various line intensity ratios when integrated over the cloud gas density distribution.

Figure 5 shows four different line ratios indicating the gas density, temperature, and ionization as functions of distance and gas density in the form of logarithmic contour plots.
5. Line Ratio Diagnostic Diagrams of Gas Density, Temperature, and Ionization

The left-hand column of plots in the figure are logarithmic contour diagrams of the ratios indicated taken from the simulations shown in Fig. 1. The right-hand column of plots in the figure are graphs of the indicated line ratio versus distance from the central source for two different integrations as discussed in §5, but integrated in the density dimension only. The solid lines are integrations assuming that $g(n) \propto n^{-1.0}$, and the dashed lines assume $g(n) \propto n^{-1.8}$. Each line ratio is shown on a different scale; we will discuss each in turn in the text in §5.

5.3. Ionization

The ratio [O III] $\lambda5007/([H\alpha] + [N\ II])$ has been used as an ionization indicator, and this ratio is shown in the top left-hand panel of Figure 5b. The outer contour has the linear value of 1.0 and the dashed lines are $+0.2$ dex steps. We have chosen to include both H\alpha and [N\ II], since many filters include both lines. The contour plot of this ratio is relatively flat over a wide range in density, distance, and ionization parameter. In fact, for a constant density of $n(H) = 10^{4}$ cm$^{-3}$, the [O III] $\lambda5007/([H\alpha] + [N\ II])$ ratio would be constant from $\sim10$–50 $L_{43.5}^{1/2}$ pc, even though the ionization parameter decreases by $\sim10^{1.5}$ over the same range. A ratio that behaves in similar manner is [O III] $\lambda5007/H\beta$; it is shown in the bottom left-hand panel of Figure 5b. The outer contour has the linear value of 1.0, and the dashed lines are $+0.2$ dex steps. The [O III]/H\beta ratio is just as flat over a wider range in ionization parameter, as is [O III]/([H\alpha] + [N\ II]). Thus, while these line ratios are useful in separating H\ II region galaxies from active galaxies (cf. BPT), they are not reliable ionization parameter indicators.

In the top right-hand panel of Figure 5b, the [O III] $\lambda5007/([H\alpha] + [N\ II] \lambda6584)$ ratio as a function of distance is shown. The ratio has the feature of being constant from $\sim3$–300 $L_{43.5}^{1/2}$ pc for the integration assuming a steep distribution [$g(n) \propto n^{-1.8}$; dashed line] of clouds along the density axis. The solid line [$g(n) \propto n^{-1.0}$] is flat over a much smaller distance range, because in this integration emission from higher density gas is being included. The sharp falloff of the ratio at large distances ($R \geq 300L_{43.5}^{1/2}$ pc) occurs because the [O III] $\lambda5007$ emissivity is dropping very quickly with distance at the lowest gas density ($10^{2}$ cm$^{-3}$) considered here, since the gas is underionized and cannot
produce the line efficiently, while the [N II] λ6584 line is simultaneously increasing in strength (see Fig. 1). The bottom right-hand panel of Figure 5b shows the integrated [O III]/Hβ ratio as a function of distance; this ratio behaves similarly to the [O III]/(Hα + [N II]) ratio. Both of these line ratios are observed to be relatively constant over factors of 3–4 in distance in several Seyfert 2 galaxies (Robinson et al. 1994; Capetti et al. 1996).

Figure 6a shows the [O III] λ5007/[O II] λ3727 line ratio as a contour plot. In the figure, the outer contour has a linear value of 1.0, and the dashed lines are +0.2 dex steps. At low densities, the contours are closely spaced and parallel with ionization parameter, indicating that this line ratio is a good ionization indicator. At densities of ~10^{4.5} \, \text{cm}^{-3}, [O II] becomes collisionally quenched, while [O III] does not, thus the ratio becomes dependent on density as well.

In Figure 6b, we show the predicted [O III]/[O II] line ratio as a function of radius for four models compared with the observations of Robinson et al. (1994) for NGC 4151. The data have been placed on the distance axis by assuming that 1.0 ≈ 100 pc, as used by Robinson et al. The model integrations have been rescaled in distance by factors of 4, corresponding to a 16-fold increase in the ionizing luminosity incident on the clouds. The observed strengths of these and a number of other emission lines in NGC 4151 suggest that the ionizing luminosity incident on the narrow-line clouds may be 10–20 times the observed one (Penston et al. 1990; Robinson et al. 1994), though the magnitude of the photon deficit is very uncertain (see also Schulz & Komossa 1993). Since the ionizing luminosity employed here happens to match that of NGC 4151 emitted toward Earth (Robinson et al.), our rescaling is consistent with the continuum beaming inferred from the observations. The predicted [O III]/[O II] ratio shown in Figure 6b did not include emission from matter-bounded clouds; including such clouds would tend to enhance the [O III]/[O II] ratio where it is small in Figure 6b.

Robinson et al. (1994) include several other line ratios as functions of projected distance for NGC 4151. These include [Ne V]/[Ne III] and [O III]/[N II], which behave similarly to [O III]/[O II], shown in Figure 6b. Also observed are [N II]/Hα, [O I]/Hα, [O II]/Hα, and [S II]/Hα. Our simulations qualitatively match the general trends in these line ratios.

6. IS THE NLR DUSTY?

The presence of dust in the NLR is a vital clue to the origin of the gas. For instance, if shocks are prevalent in the emitting region, then grains will not survive (Donahue & Voit 1993). Both the dust-free and dusty simulations reproduce the average narrow emission line ratios shown in Figure 3. However, other lines do indicate substantial
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 recent observations of the Ca II line 1993; Kingdon, Ferland, & Feibelman 1995). Recent observations of the [Ca II] line (Villar-Martín & Binette 1997) show that the line is much weaker than the solar integrations predict (Table 1), supporting the conclusion of Villar-Martín & Binette that Ca is sharply depleted. The simulations presented here indicate that Ca is depleted relative to the solar value by factors of 3–160 based on the range of observed line strengths when compared with the dust-free simulations in Table 1. The results of the simulations for the infrared [Fe II] lines, as discussed in § 3.1.3, indicate that Fe is also underabundant relative to the solar value by factors of 10–20 in NGC 4151. Comparisons of the compilations of the [Fe II] 1.257 μm/IFβ line ratio by Simpson et al. (1996) and Vellieux et al. (1997) with the simulations provide further evidence that the NLR is a dusty environment. A similar conclusion was reached by Simpson et al. (1996).

Two line ratios, Lx/IFβ and Mg II/IFβ, observed in NGC 3393 and discussed in § 3.1.1, also suggest that dust exists in the emitting gas. However, the uncertainty in the correction for the unintended positional error in the observations makes the conclusion from Lx/IFβ uncertain. There is no positional error for the Mg II/IFβ ratio, and this ratio makes a stronger statement supporting the presence of dust in NGC 3393.

Evidence that a portion of the emitting gas is dust free is apparent in the observations of strong coronal lines in many AGNs. Oliva et al. (1994) have observed a very strong [Ca VIII] 2.32 μm infrared line and strong optical [Fe VIII] λ6087, [Fe X] λ6374, [Fe XI] λ7892, and [Fe XIV] λ5303 lines, indicating that the gas is dust free. Simulations of coronal line emitting gas by Korista & Ferland (1989), Oliva et al. (1994), Ferguson, Korista, & Ferland (1997), and the results of those shown in Table 1 indicate that these elements are not depleted.

Taking the observations at face value, we are presented with a conundrum: the low-ionization UV Mg II, optical [Ca II], and infrared [Fe II] lines clearly indicate that dust is present, but the strong coronal lines suggest a dust-free environment. One possible reconciliation is to postulate that there is dust in the NLR, but only in the neutral or partially neutral portions of the clouds. In the simulations presented in this paper, we have assumed that the entire cloud is either dust free or dusty. It is not unreasonable to imagine that the highly ionized portion of NLR clouds to be dust free, perhaps because the grains have been exposed to a strong UV continuum for quite some time, and that in more neutral regions grains are free to exist. Another possibility is that high-ionization clouds have been processed through shocks, but those that emit more neutral ions have not. Both of these possibilities argue for a very complex NLR environment, where the emitting gas has a variety of origins.

7. SUMMARY

We have shown that the AGN narrow emission line spectra, from [O I] to [Si IX], can largely be reproduced by assuming that the emitting region consists of clouds with a wide range of gas densities and distances from the ionizing source. Here we chose simple power-law cloud distribution functions and the appropriate power-law indices (β and γ), whose resulting spectrum matched the observed [O III]/[O II] and [O III]/IFβ ratios. While smooth power-law distributions in cloud properties may be too simple, its success
in predicting the observed spectrum points to the strength of the "locally optimally emitting cloud" scenario. The LOC model integrations result in the following:

1. The classical BPT line ratios for AGN narrow emission lines are reproduced (Fig. 3).
2. The predicted Hβ equivalent width, assuming full source coverage and an isotropic continuum, is more than sufficient to account for the observed emission in most Seyfert galaxies (Table 1). An anisotropic continuum will strengthen the predicted line equivalent widths and allow for smaller covering fractions. The predicted [O III]/Hβ ratio as a function of radius implies that the narrow-line clouds see a continuum that is an order of magnitude more luminous than we observe in NGC 4151.
3. The LOC integration simultaneously reproduces the low [S II] λ6716/λ6731 density and the high [O III] λ5007/λ4363 temperature (cf. Fig. 3b), resolving a long-standing problem.
4. The observed line width–critical density correlation for the optical forbidden lines can be reproduced. A natural consequence of an ensemble of clouds in the gas density–distance plane is that lines with higher critical densities are formed at smaller distances (cf. Fig. 1).
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