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### Introduction

*Brachiaria humidicola* is well adapted to infertile and acid soils with poor drainage or temporary flooding (Keller-Grein et al. 1996). It is widely used in Brazil in wetlands and areas of marginal land characterised by waterlogged soils. During the evaluation stages of the breeding program of this species, genotypes are generally tested using consecutive cuts within different seasons for at least 2 years. The 'Cerrado' region, where most animal production takes place in Brazil, has 2 well defined seasons: spring-summer with warm weather and rain; and autumn-winter with cooler, dry weather. Thus, owing to environmental variation, especially related to climate, it is important to investigate the main effects of environmental factors (years and seasons), as well as interactions between genotypes and environmental factors in order to have greater confidence in the selection of superior hybrids of *B. humidicola* on the basis of agronomic and nutritional traits.

### Methods

Fifty hybrids resulting from crossing *B. humidicola* cv. BRS Tupi with a sexual accession, both hexaploid (2n = 6x = 36), were evaluated with the 2 parents as controls. They were established by seedlings in a complete randomised block design with 8 replications, using plots of 2.0 m². Each experimental unit was subjected to 7 cuts during the rainy seasons and 2 cuts during the dry seasons in 2008 and 2009. The production of total green matter was weighed and a sample of approximately 300 g of green material was taken for separation and determination of the dry weight of leaves, stems and dead material. With this information, we calculated total dry matter (TDM), leaf dry matter (LDM), leaf percentage (L%) and leaf:stem ratio (LSR). After 7 days a visual assessment of regrowth was performed. Leaf samples were analysed for: crude protein (CP), neutral detergent fibre (NDF), acid detergent fibre (ADF) and lignin (Lig) concentrations and *in vitro* organic matter digestibility (IVOMD) using near infrared reflectance spectroscopy (NIRS) (Marten et al. 1985). The traits were analysed statistically for the 9 cuts together using the following model:  

\[
y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times x_1 + \beta_2 \times x_2 + \beta_3 \times x_3 + \beta_4 \times x_4 + \beta_5 \times x_5 + \beta_6 \times x_6 + \beta_7 \times x_7 + \beta_8 \times x_8 + \epsilon
\]

where y is the data vector, t is the effect of the hybrids x years interaction, i is the effect of the seasons x hybrids interaction, t is the effect of the hybrids x times x years interaction, m is the effect of the blocks x cuts interaction within season and year, and e is the error term. X is the incidence matrix of fixed effects and P, Z, N, Q, T, W and O are the incidence matrices of random effects b, g, k, a, i, t and m, respectively. The analyses were performed using the statistical package Statistical Analysis System - SAS (SAS Institute 2002).

### Results

The year effect was significant (P<0.001) for LDM, L%, regrowth, CP and NDF (Table 1), showing that overall mean performance of hybrids varied between the 2 years. This is due to climatic fluctuations between years causing one year to be more favourable than the other. There was a significant effect of seasons for most characters, except for NDF and ADF, since the rainy season promotes more growth than the dry season. Within agronomic characters, the years x seasons interaction was significant only for percentage of leaf blades (L%) (P<0.001). Regarding nutritive value, NDF, IVOMD and lignin showed a significant years x seasons interaction, which is understandable since fibre deposition is highly correlated with climate and plant age and strongly affects digestibility.

There was significant variation between cuts in both years and seasons for all characters. It is important to verify the existence of genetic variability among hybrids for response to environmental factors. While significant genetic divergence was observed for most characters, no significance was observed for the effects of interactions with years and/or seasons for the characters studied (Table 1), implying that hybrids behaved consistently in the seasons and/or years of evaluation. The hybrids x cuts interaction within seasons and years, however, was significant for almost all agronomic traits (P<0.001), except for LSR (null value), as opposed to that observed for the characters of nutritive value, with only CP influenced by this effect. We conclude that the interactions of hybrids with years and/or seasons were not important, but there was marked variation explained by the interaction of cuts within seasons and years. This suggests that breeders should not correct for the effects of years and seasons in models used in the statistical analysis, once the use of a parsimonious model involving the general effect of the cuts can properly select hybrids for agronomic and nutritive value traits.
Table 1. P-values of the F statistic for fixed effects and Wald Z statistic for random effects for agronomic and nutritive value traits of *Brachiaria humidicola* hybrids evaluated over 9 cuts. (.) Null values for Wald Z statistic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effects</th>
<th>LDM</th>
<th>TDM</th>
<th>L%</th>
<th>LSR</th>
<th>Regrowth</th>
<th>CP</th>
<th>NDF</th>
<th>ADF</th>
<th>IVOMD</th>
<th>Lig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.422</td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>0.775</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y x S</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.582</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut (Y – S)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H x Y</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H x S</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H x Y x S</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H x C (Y – S)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.387</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion**

The effects of seasons and years were less important than the effects of the cuts x hybrids interaction in the evaluation and selection of *Brachiaria humidicola* hybrids for agronomic traits in Brazil. This has implications for the analysis of data collected during evaluation of new hybrid material from breeding programs.
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