

Animal production from new *Panicum maximum* genotypes in the Amazon biome, Brazil

Carlos M S de Andrade^A, Luis H E Farinatti^A, Hemython L B do Nascimento^B, Andressa de Q Abreu^C, Liana Jank^A and Giselle M L de Assis^A

^A Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation – Embrapa, Brazil www.embrapa.br

^B Federal University of Viçosa - UFV www.ufv.br

^C Federal University of Acre - UFAC www.ufac.br

Contact email: mauricio.andrade@embrapa.br

Keywords: Guineagrass, forage breeding, liveweight gain, carrying capacity, forage allowance.

Introduction

The *Panicum maximum* breeding program coordinated by the Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation - Embrapa has been evaluating and selecting genotypes under different soil and climatic conditions, with the objective to release new cultivars adapted to the diverse regions of Brazil. For the Amazon biome, small-plot experiments carried out in Acre between 2003 and 2005 allowed the selection of some promising genotypes (Valentim and Andrade 2005; Valentim *et al.* 2006; Andrade and Valentim 2009), with higher potential for forage growth than cultivars on the market. The objective of this work was to compare 2 new *P. maximum* genotypes with cv. Tanzânia in relation to carrying capacity and animal performance, when managed under rotational stocking in the Amazon biome.

Methods

This grazing experiment was carried out between October 2010 and September 2012 in Rio Branco, State of Acre, Brazil. The local climate is Am according to Köppen-Geiger classification and the soil is classified as Haplic Plinthosol. Three *Panicum maximum* genotypes (accession PM32, hybrid PM46 and cv. Tanzânia) were tested in a randomised block design with 2 replications. Each experimental unit was 1.0 ha divided into 3 paddocks of 0.33 ha, managed under a 42-day rotation with a rest period of 28 days. Three Aberdeen Angus x Nelore heifers were used as tester animals. Additional animals (regulators) were placed in or removed from each paddock according to pasture height targets (PM32 and Tanzânia, pre-grazing 70-75 cm and post-grazing 30-35 cm; PM46, pre-grazing 50-55 cm and post-grazing 25-30 cm). Pastures were fertilised with 240 kg/ha of reactive rock phosphate, 100 kg/ha of simple superphosphate and 50 kg/ha of potassium chloride at establishment, and 300 kg/ha of urea was applied annually. Animals were weighed every 28 days after a fasting period of 16 h. Carrying capacity in AU (450 kg/ha) was calculated according to the mean weight and number of animals/days in each experimental unit. Animal production (kg/ha of live weight) was calculated based on animal daily gain and the number of animals/day in each experimental unit. Green forage allowance was calculated for each grazing cycle, dividing the green dry matter

(kg/ha) by stocking rate (kg/ha of live weight), as proposed by Sollenberger *et al.* (2005). Data obtained for the various stocking cycles were grouped into rainy (October-April) or dry (May-September) season and were analysed according to a randomised complete block design with repeated measures in time, using a mixed model with the fixed effects of genotype, season and their interactions, and the random effect of block. Significant interactions ($P < 0.10$) were conveniently broken down. Least squares means were compared using Fisher's protected LSD ($P < 0.10$).

Results

There was a significant ($P < 0.10$) genotype x season interaction for carrying capacity, with hybrid PM46 showing consistently a lower carrying capacity than the other genotypes during the rainy seasons (Table 1). The Plinthosol of the experimental area became waterlogged during the February-March period in both years and this decreased growth of hybrid PM46, which is highly intolerant of soil waterlogging (Andrade and Valentim 2009). To avoid stand loss of hybrid PM46, animals were removed for about 60 days each year and this lowered its carrying capacity during the rainy seasons.

The grazing management adopted, with adjustment of stocking rate based on predetermined pasture height goals, ensured a similar green forage allowance ($P > 0.10$) for the genotypes throughout the experimental period (Table 1). Despite this, hybrid PM46 supported greater ($P < 0.10$) daily liveweight (LW) gains, demonstrating its superior forage quality to the other genotypes. This is related to the plant architecture of this grass, with smaller plant size than traditional *P. maximum* cultivars like Tanzânia, maintaining a pasture structure more favourable to high forage intake, with higher percentages of green leaves and lower percentages of dead material (Farinatti *et al.* 2012). The highest animal production ($P < 0.10$) was obtained during the second rainy season, but it did not vary among genotypes ($P > 0.10$), with an annual average of 847 kg/ha/yr of LW gain (Table 1). This rather high production per unit area is a consequence of the level of nitrogen fertiliser used (135 kg/ha/yr of N) and the climatic conditions of the region. Thus, the higher daily gains of the heifers on hybrid PM46 compensated for the lower carrying

Table 1. Carrying capacity, forage allowance, animal performance and production of heifers (Aberdeen Angus x Nelore) on *Panicum maximum* genotypes under grazing during 2 years. Means followed by different letters, upper-case in columns and lower-case in rows, differ at $P < 0.10$, AU = 450 kg live weight.

| Genotype | 2010 - 2011 | | 2011 - 2012 | | Annual mean |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|
| | Rainy | Dry | Rainy | Dry | |
| Carrying capacity (AU/ha) | | | | | |
| PM46 | 2.69 Ba | 2.23 Ab | 2.79 Ba | 2.28 Ab | 2.51 |
| PM32 | 3.42 Ab | 2.50 Ac | 3.83 Aa | 2.63 Ac | 3.14 |
| Tanzânia | 3.30 Aa | 2.30 Ac | 3.45 Aa | 2.54 Ab | 2.93 |
| Mean | 3.14 | 2.34 | 3.36 | 2.48 | 2.86 |
| Green forage allowance (kg DM/kg LW) | | | | | |
| PM46 | 1.75 | 1.53 | 1.52 | 1.83 | 1.66 A |
| PM32 | 1.50 | 1.37 | 1.33 | 1.59 | 1.49 A |
| Tanzânia | 1.60 | 1.69 | 1.43 | 1.50 | 1.56 A |
| Mean | 1.61 a | 1.53 a | 1.42 a | 1.64 a | 1.55 |
| Animal performance (g/hd/d) | | | | | |
| PM46 | 718 | 646 | 682 | 639 | 672 A |
| PM32 | 520 | 533 | 559 | 510 | 532 B |
| Tanzânia | 470 | 488 | 568 | 499 | 509 B |
| Mean | 569 a | 556 a | 603 a | 550 a | 571 |
| Area production (kg LW/ha) | | | | | |
| PM46 | 455 | 400 | 485 | 384 | 862 A |
| PM32 | 434 | 390 | 558 | 389 | 886 A |
| Tanzânia | 360 | 334 | 523 | 371 | 794 A |
| Mean | 416 b | 375 b | 522 a | 381 b | 847 |

capacity of this pasture during the rainy seasons.

Conclusion

The *Panicum maximum* hybrid PM46 has greater potential for beef cattle production under grazing in the Amazon biome than accession PM32 and cv. Tanzânia, but it should be released for areas with well-drained soils.

References

- Andrade CMS, Valentim JF (2009) Performance of accessions and cultivars of *Panicum* spp. and *Brachiaria* spp. in low permeability soils. Proceedings of the 46th Annual Meeting of the Brazilian Animal Science Society, Maringá, Brazil, 2009. www.sbz.org.br/reuniaoanual/anais/arg_reuniao_anual/sbz2009.rar. Accessed 19 March 2013.
- Farinatti LHE, Andrade CMS, Nascimento HLB, Abreu AQ, Jank L (2012) Sward structure and utilization of new *Panicum maximum* genotypes under grazing in the Amazon biome. *Proceedings of the 49th Annual Meeting of the Brazilian Animal Science Society*, Brasília, Brazil, 2012. www.sbz.org.br/reuniaoanual/anais/arg_reuniao_anual/sbz2012.rar. Accessed 19 March 2013.
- Sollenberger LE, Moore JE, Allen VG, Pedreira CGS (2005) Reporting forage allowance in grazing experiments. *Crop Science* **45**, 896-900.
- Valentim JF, Andrade CMS (2005) Use of farmer perception in the selection of genotypes of *Panicum* spp. adapted to the environmental conditions of the western Amazon. *Proceedings of the 42nd Annual Meeting of the Brazilian Animal Science Society*, Goiânia, Brazil, 2005. www.sbz.org.br/reuniaoanual/anais/arg_reuniao_anual/sbz2005.rar. Accessed 19 March 2013.
- Valentim JF, Andrade CMS, Ferreira ASF, Balzon TA (2006) Leaf dry matter production of *Panicum* spp. genotypes in Acre. *Proceedings of the 43rd Annual Meeting of the Brazilian Animal Science Society*, João Pessoa, Brazil, 2006. www.sbz.org.br/reuniaoanual/anais/arg_reuniao_anual/sbz2006.rar. Accessed 19 March 2013.