



---

April 2014

## Editorial Comment: Changing the Rules in Vaccine Coverage for Vulnerable Populations

Nancy L. Winterbauer  
*East Carolina University, winterbauern@ecu.edu*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/frontiersinphssr>



Part of the [Health and Medical Administration Commons](#), [Health Policy Commons](#), [Health Services Administration Commons](#), and the [Health Services Research Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Winterbauer NL. Editorial Comment: Changing the Rules in Vaccine Coverage for Vulnerable Populations. *Front Public Health Serv Syst Res* 2014; 3(2).  
DOI: 10.13023/FPHSSR.0302.08

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Center for Public Health Systems and Services Research at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Frontiers in Public Health Services and Systems Research* by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact [UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu](mailto:UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu).

---

## Editorial Comment: Changing the Rules in Vaccine Coverage for Vulnerable Populations

### Abstract

Increasing life expectancy through decreasing vaccine preventable deaths is a hallmark of modern public health in the United States (1). Two federal vaccine programs help insure coverage for vulnerable populations. The Vaccines for Children (VFC) Program provides vaccines to eligible children[1] at no cost, removing financial barriers to vaccinations. Close to half of US children and 30 percent of adolescents are vaccinated through the VFC program yearly (2). The federal Section 317 Immunization Grant Program (Section 317) complements VFC by supporting the national immunization infrastructure, primarily immunization workforce, delivery systems, and emergency response, as well as by providing vaccines for non-VFC eligible financially vulnerable populations.

**I**ncreasing life expectancy through decreasing vaccine preventable deaths is a hallmark of modern public health in the United States (1). Two federal vaccine programs help insure coverage for vulnerable populations. The Vaccines for Children (VFC) Program provides vaccines to eligible children<sup>i</sup> at no cost, removing financial barriers to vaccinations. Close to half of US children and 30 percent of adolescents are vaccinated through the VFC program yearly (2). The federal Section 317 Immunization Grant Program (Section 317) complements VFC by supporting the national immunization infrastructure, primarily immunization workforce, delivery systems, and emergency response, as well as by providing vaccines for non-VFC eligible financially vulnerable populations.

Before the new policy took effect on October 1 2012, states could use Section 317 funds at their discretion and immunize any child, regardless of insurance status, at no cost. With the policy change, states can no longer use Section 317 vaccine for insured individuals with vaccine coverage (3). The CDC maintains that gaps in vaccine coverage will exist only for underinsured children not vaccinated at a Federally Qualified Health Center (FQHC) or Rural Health Center (RHC) and uninsured adults. The resulting savings are intended to strengthen the national immunization infrastructure (2).

Knight et. al use immunization data from Eastern Tennessee to determine if changes in Section 317 rules had a significant effect on vaccine uptake, comparing pre- (FY12) and post- (FY13) policy change median monthly vaccine counts for each of seven vaccine types required by the State of Tennessee for admission to school plus Hepatitis A. Analyses were conducted by age group: birth – 5 and 6 – 18. Results indicate significant differences in vaccine uptake only for 0 – 5 year olds and only for two vaccines; monthly uptake decreased for Hepatitis A&B, while uptake for *H. influenza* type B increased. Although these results are idiosyncratic, they suggest that changes to Section 317 have not had a substantial or immediate effect on uptake.

This study is important, as it examines the nuanced impact of changes brought about by ACA on a fundamental public health service. There is reason to suspect that changes to Section 317 might have unintended, negative consequences. Recent work in Colorado (4) has raised concerns that insured individuals with vaccine coverage might seek vaccines outside their provider network for a number of reasons. These include geographic or financial barriers that limit client mobility or access, client confusion or lack of awareness regarding access, and providers who do not stock all of the recommended vaccines. These issues are likely of particular concern in more rural, medically underserved areas. Results from the Tennessee study do not appear to support these concerns.

Not known is the degree to which local health departments complied with the recent changes to policy and since vaccines delivered by payor type is not examined in this study, it

---

<sup>i</sup> Ages 0-18 – Medicaid-eligible, uninsured, American Indian or Alaska native, or underinsured when receiving care at a FQHC or RHC.

is not known if cost savings envisioned for the Section 317 program were achieved. Consequently, it will be important to monitor vaccine uptake over time, as the new guidance becomes routine.

## REFERENCES

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Ten Great Public Health Achievements in the 20th Century, 1900-1999, accessed January 24, 2014, <http://www.cdc.gov/about/history/tengpha.htm>
2. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *Report to Congress on Section 317 Immunization Program* (Atlanta, 2013), 9, accessed January 24, 2014, <http://www.317coalition.org/documents/CDCRTCOnSection317ImmunizationProgram.pdf>
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Questions and Answers about Vaccines Purchased with 317 Funds, accessed January 24, 2014, <http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/spec-grps/prog-mgrs/317-QandA.htm>
4. Colorado Children's Immunization Coalition. Assessing the Impact of Changes in Colorado to the Section 317 Immunization Grant Program, accessed January 24, 2014, <http://www.childrensimmunization.org/index.php?s=16029&item=34843>