LITERATURE REVIEW SUPPLEMENT

There is a substantial body of qualitative research that explores health providers’ perspectives on the impact of the opioid crisis. Many of these studies focus on how the opioid crisis shapes professionals’ prescribing patterns.\textsuperscript{1,2} However, when physicians begin to stop prescribing, potentially because they suspect addiction, opioid users have a higher tendency to visit emergency departments, inpatient hospitals, and outpatient medical clinics (e.g., safety-net clinics like Remote Area Medical [RAM]), rather than revisiting their primary care physician.\textsuperscript{3} Studies on physicians that volunteer at safety-net clinics have shared similar sentiments when prescribing opioids as the previously noted studies that there is a fear of harming patients, the community, and opioid addiction and overdose.\textsuperscript{4} Patients in this study also noted increased difficulty in getting opioid prescriptions due to policies aimed at mitigating opioid misuse.\textsuperscript{4} While this research is essential to understanding how healthcare systems may be linked to opioid misuse, it does not target the lived experiences of people living in Appalachian communities where opioid misuse is pervasive.

Most of the research on the opioid crisis in Appalachia focuses chronic pain patients or opioid users. For example, one study, focusing on people who use opioids recreationally, found fears of stigma and law enforcement lead users to change their usage setting to one that increased the risk of overdose.\textsuperscript{5} Antoniou and colleagues\textsuperscript{6} found that, from the perspective of people who misuse opioids, harm reduction policies could be problematic because they propagated the stigma of opioid use, made the individuals feel a loss of autonomy, and exacerbates the existing vulnerabilities of poverty and drug criminalization. Similarly, Allen and colleagues found that patients believed that suspending syringe service programs increased the perception of stigmatization and decreased access to naloxone and routine HIV testing.\textsuperscript{7} Another study focused on individuals in recovery, trying to reenter the workforce.\textsuperscript{8} These individuals emphasized how finding a new identity, lifestyle, and purpose is essential with their recovery, and that work can be an important part of that rediscovery.\textsuperscript{8} Furthermore, in a study partially targeting addiction clinic patients’ perceptions on the opioid crisis found several themes including: prescription drug abuse access being connected with legitimate and illegal routes, both being quickly accessible, and that the underlying rationale for acquiring and illegally distributing prescription drugs for abuse was both increased tolerance or addiction to the pain medication and that the distribution of these prescription drugs was a source of revenue.\textsuperscript{9} These themes provide important information.
about individuals’ perceptions about why and how opioid abuse occurs in their community. For chronic pain patients, there appear to be several ongoing struggles in maintaining pain medication use, but they had to “just keep plugging.” This suggests a fortitude to manage the chronic pain they have despite their frustrations with logistic barriers at treatment facilities.¹⁰

**METHODS SUPPLEMENT**

Quantitative studies of opioid use dominate the research on the impacts of the opioid epidemic. However, qualitative studies are well suited for revealing how circumstances play out in a particular context. In this case, underserved Appalachian culture (i.e., rural communities or people living with a lower income) is the context for the study, and investigating the phenomenon in this way will provide more depth of understanding.

**Procedures**

Participants were recruited from RAM clinics across seven clinics in Central and Southern Appalachia. RAM is an organization that has been providing medical, dental, and vision clinics in rural, underserved, and remote areas since 1985. They are headquartered in Appalachia and provide approximately half of their clinics in the Appalachian region. RAM provides a highly valued service – people who would not ordinarily be able to have their teeth repaired or extracted, who may not be able to purchase glasses or who lack access to any preventative care such as mammograms or vaccinations, can get these services with no questions asked. The very poor and uninsured, often immigrants and homeless, wait in line for days to receive these healthcare services. In areas that have been so heavily struck by the opioid epidemic, there are a substantial impact among these, particularly vulnerable patients.

We used a convenience sample of RAM clinic participants. During the clinics, as many patients as possible were given postcards with the advertising of the volunteer opportunity to participate in research to better understand the patients who attend RAM clinics. A researcher was stationed in the clinic traffic flow strategically to catch as many patients as possible, but an unknown number of patients may not have been recruited. Patient recruitment was completed at seven clinics across Appalachia between October 2018 and June 2019. Participants could participate in the study if they attended the clinic and were 18 years or older. Upon recruitment, participants were given informed consent. Participants were mailed a $10 gift card after completing the survey. We estimate
that approximately 5% of individuals who were given a postcard were recruited into the study and completed the survey; we derived this number by the total number of individuals who completed the survey divided by the total number of postcards given out across the clinics. The postcard asked interested individuals to text or call the research specific phone number within one week of the clinic. Participants who texted the phone number completed the survey and relevant qualitative questions in an online written survey. Participants who called the phone number completed the survey and relevant qualitative questions in an oral telephone interview that was recorded. In total, 189 participants consented and completed all parts of the survey included in this study. Because of the different methods for completing the survey, the set of data will be addressed separately in sequential studies: Study 1 (online interview sample) and study 2 (telephone interview sample). This study was approved by the University of California, Davis Institutional Review Board (IRB).

The online and telephone samples were asked the same stem question: *We’ve asked you a lot of specific questions. But we want to know, in your own words, how have opioids affected you, your family, and your community? There is no wrong answer, we just want to know your experience.* For the online interviews, there were no follow-up questions due to the nature of the data collection. For the telephone surveys, interviewers were trained to ask follow-up questions to get the participants’ opinions on how opioids had affected all three aspects: themselves, their family, and the community. In addition to demographic questions, participants were asked whether they identified as urban, suburban, or rural. Rurality is defined in the literature in a variety of ways, often based on the zip code where the participant resides. In this case, it is more effective to allow participants to self-identify as rural for several reasons. First, participants in our sample were often transient and may not have a residence or identify with a particular ZIP code. Secondly, while they may have a ZIP code where they currently reside, that residence could be a shelter or staying with a friend in a more urban area that is not consistent with their cultural identity of rural. Rural culture is an important and distinct component of identity, and self-report is the most accurate way of determining rurality in this qualitative study in addition to being an emerging strategy for determining rurality in the literature.11

**Analytic Plan**

**Content Analysis.** Qualitative survey responses were analyzed using content analysis methods. Two of the authors served as multiple analysts to develop themes. Initial coding was done on paper using consensus coding between the authors. Content analysis was conducted by pen and paper as the authors were
able to spend time together with the data for analysis purposes and combine the online and phone surveys into a paper document. We established credibility with the triangulation of more than one interview (initial and follow-up) as well as multiple analysts. The third author strengthened the methods and analysis by serving as a peer to debrief and to validate the concepts assessed. An audit trail was maintained throughout in a Word document to detail how the information was collected, and thematic connections were drawn from participant narrative.

**Researchers.** The researcher’s personal goals, previous knowledge, and assumptions can affect the development of the study and the analysis. The first author has a doctoral degree in Child and Family Studies and is currently faculty in a College of Nursing. Family studies researchers typically operate from a systems theory perspective whereby the underlying assumption is that all systems within a community and all individuals within a family are interconnected. This author has volunteered at over 20 Remote Area Medical clinics and is experienced working directly with the population sampled in this study. Further, the majority of this author’s research focuses on underserved communities including low-income and rural families in the Appalachian region. The second author is a master’s level social worker with a doctoral degree in nonprofit and community leadership. Social workers operate from a strengths-based perspective rooted in systems theory. These authors’ areas of interest are in rural health disparities, health and social policy, and community engagement. This author has volunteered at over 15 Remote Area Medical clinics, is very experienced working with the population, and is interested in the topic as a resident of a county bordering Appalachia. The final two authors are trainees of the first author.

**Data.** Undergraduate research assistants transcribed telephone interviews. The online survey responses and telephone transcriptions were put into separate excel databases for coding. Responses were read through in their entirety before coding began. The authors completed the initial coding cycle independently and identified themes of each participant’s response. Next, the authors met as a group four times to refine our categories and arrive at consensual themes. The refining of themes was done to select the most salient overall categories representing the participants’ experiences of how the opioid crisis has impacted individuals, families, and communities.
RESULTS SUPPLEMENT

Participants
The online interview sample had 169 participants. Of this sample, 60% of participants reported living in a rural area, 22% in a suburban area, and 17% live in an urban area. The majority reported being a woman (66%) and having children (62%). In terms of race/ethnicity, the vast majority identified as White (89%), followed by 5% who identified as Black, and the remaining 6% identified as another race/ethnicity. In terms of education, 51% reported having a high school education or less, 38% reported having some college, and 11% reported having a college education or a graduate degree. On average, participants were 36.54 years old (SD = 11.81, range = 18–73). Fifty-nine percent report having some type of health insurance.

For the telephone interviews, 26 individuals participated. The majority of this sample reported having some type of health insurance (72%). The majority of this sample also reported being a woman (73%) and having children (68%). In terms of race/ethnicity, 89% identified as White, 6% identified as Black, and the remaining 5% identified as another race/ethnicity. In terms of education, 57% reported having a high school education or less, 32% reported having some college, and 11% reported having a college education or a graduate degree. On average participants were 47.17 years old (SD = 16.64, range = 18–88). Fifty-six percent of these participants reported living in a rural area, 14% in a suburban area, and 31% live in an urban area.

Content Analysis Results
From the online and telephone data, several themes emerged across varying levels of society: (1) individual impacts of opioids, (2) family impacts of opioids, and (3) community impacts of opioids. See figure 1 for an overview of themes and subthemes that we discuss more thoroughly in the supplemental file online.

Study 1: Online interview
Using the content analysis method, we identified three overarching themes in the interview responses. These themes were: (1) Benefits of Opioid Use, (2) Negative Impact of opioids, and (3) Resiliency. Within each theme, we highlighted several subthemes, how themes often span multiple levels of society (i.e., individual, family, community), and we took note of similarities in the participant’s responses. It is important to note that, at times, responses fell into more than one category.
**Benefits of Opioid Use**

In our first theme, several participants expressed the perception of the impact of opioids on themselves, their family, and their community as positive, despite the current opioid epidemic. Of the 169 participants, 36 participants’ responses fell into this first theme. In the responses, the data showed that some participants believe that, when not abused, opioids can be beneficial to those in severe pain and need. For example, one participant explained how opioids positively impact them and their family:

*They absolutely helped with headaches. 100% they do. They also cause stomach problems and weight gain...for family, they don’t abuse it, and it really helps them. They don’t have any problems.*

This participant reported that opioids are not a problem for themself or their family. While they acknowledge adverse side effects that came with using opioids, because they were using responsibly and they were benefiting (i.e., no more headaches), they did not see an issue with opioids for themself and their family.

**Negative Impact of Opioids**

The second overarching theme summarized many of the participants’ frustration with the negative impact of opioids in their community, family, and at times themself. Of the 169 participants, 85 participants expressed one subtheme of this theme.

**Negative Impact of Opioids: The Limitation of Access to Opioids**

One subtheme was the *limitation of access to opioids*. Some participants explained how systemic changes caused by the opioid epidemic impacts their access to legally prescribed opioids, affecting the quality of life for their loved ones or themself:

*See, my husband has pain levels of a woman having child-birth 24 hours a day. He was on opium (sic), and they took him off it because of the opioid problem, and they won’t give [him] anything. I think this is a stupid thing. I don’t agree with the opioid problem.*

This participant’s husband was in an accident in the past and uses a wheelchair and suffers from severe back pain. This participant reported that they were negatively impacted by the opioid epidemic in that they no longer had access to their prescribed opioids. In a similar sentiment, another participant expressed frustration about no longer having access to opioids:
Without opioids, I wouldn’t be able to do things for my family. They work. However, I live in an area where they are abused. Because of this, I can’t get them anymore. I have severe sciatic nerve pain. It’s the only thing that had worked for me.

This participant evidenced how particular areas are becoming stricter on opioid prescriptions, despite people who were currently using opioids reporting responsible usage. These limitations of legally prescribed opioids appeared to be negatively impacting people’s quality of life.

**Negative Impact of Opioids: The Risks and Harms of Opioid Misuse and Abuse**

A second subtheme is the risks and harms of opioid misuse and abuse. While numerous participants explained the utility and importance of opioids, others expressed the danger of opioids. Participants reported that opioids have changed their loved one’s personalities, or they have experienced loss caused by opioids (i.e., death of a loved one, removal of parental rights, reduced functioning) due to someone misusing or abusing opioids:

> I have a cousin that was in a severe car accident because of opioids, and it destroyed her life... she has a TBI [Traumatic Brain Injury] and needs a walker to walk and get around now, and she thinks a lot differently now... I’ve had many friends that have died due to opioid use too...

This participant explained the extreme risks of opioid misuse have had directly on their loved ones’ physical health and mortality. Other participants report how the risks and harms of opioid misuse and abuse indirectly affect them through crime. For instance, one participant explained:

> I don’t use opioids; no one in my family uses them either. But people in the city I live in do. It drives people to do crazy things. Someone tried to break into my car and apartment, and they were on opioids, at least that’s what the police told me. It was scary.

This participant described how they believe that crime in their community was linked to opioid misuse according to their local law enforcement. There is a stigma that substance use, particularly opioid use, is associated with criminal/violent behavior. However, it is important to recognize that the substance use does not cause criminal/violent behavior; instead, such behavior is more appropriately attributed to the stigmatization and criminalization of
substance use disorders as well as the lack of access to substance use interventions, which is particularly true in rural communities (31). This participant’s association between substance use and increased criminal/violent behavior perpetuates the stigma of persons with substance use disorders as “dangerous” and dehumanizes them and reduces the urgency for their need for intervention services. Finally, one participant, who claims to be actively using opioids, summarizes how the *risks and harms of opioid misuse and abuse* have negative impacts across multiple levels of society:

> Opioids have ruined my life. I was introduced to them by a third party to manipulate me [to] sell. My family is disappointed in me. I’m not a productive member of the community. Opioids have a very strong and negative impact on community.

While this participant explained the negative impact, opioids have had on the community, they also underscored how using opioids negatively impacted their life, their family’s life, and strained their family relationships.

**Resiliency**

The last overarching theme encapsulates many participants’ attempts to avoid opioids and/or recover from opioid addictions through their *resiliency*. Resilience can defined in many ways, though when expressed as a component of Community Resiliency Theory, Folke and colleagues\(^{16}\) state that *resilience* is a degree to which the system is capable of self-organization, and the degree to which the system can build capacity for learning and adaptation.\(^{16}\) For this study, individuals, communities and families that are *resilient* can learn and adapt to crisis in a way that allows them to utilize their strengths to maintain a minimum standard of life. Eighteen participants’ responses expressed this overarching theme.

**Resiliency: Physically Moving**

The first subtheme of resiliency was Physically Moving. Some participants expressed the need or desire to physically move out of communities impacted by opioids to avoid negative impacts of opioid misuse or abuse.

> Participant 1: I’ve been stolen from. Stole from money from me, personal items, important items. And it’s upset me, and I know they have a problem, so I’ve moved away.
Participant 2: My family is fairly responsible with regard to opioid use. I have a nephew who had a serious drug problem, but he has since moved out of the area and is doing well...

Both of these participants provided stories about how choosing to leave communities affected by opioid misuse and abuse. While this option is not feasible for everyone, it appears to be a helpful option for these individuals.

**Resiliency: Active Avoidance of Opioids**

The second subtheme to resiliency was Active Avoidance. Another way some individuals reported resiliency is by actively avoiding opioids even when prescribed opioids because of witnessing the devastating impact of opioid misuse. For instance, one participant explains their reasoning behind their avoidance:

> I won’t take opioids even if prescribed. There have been two occasions after surgery that I took a pill or half of a pill...hydrocodone. I didn’t like the way it made me feel. I felt like I was walking sideways. I couldn’t safely walk my dog. I’m also too afraid of becoming addicted. The opioid drug abuse in [state] is the highest in the country... I don’t want to become a slave to a drug. I don’t want to be the person stealing to support a drug habit. So now, I won’t even fill a prescription for an opioid if the doctor writes one. I will tear up the prescription, or I will tell the doctor not to write it.

This participant reported actively avoiding opioids to prevent addiction both because they do not like the way it makes them feel and because of the negative consequences they observed in their community.

**Resiliency: Seeking Treatment**

The fourth subtheme was seeking treatment. Many participants who became addicted to opioids in the past reported being resilient by attempting to stop their usage by entering treatment. For example, some participants expressed the following:

> Participant 3: I had problems in the past. But I overcame the addiction and moved on to a recovery program on my own. I am getting back on track one day at a time.

> Participant 4: It ruined my life... it sent me into a drug-addicted spiral that was a 15-year blur... I lost my children and still feel like I can’t get on my
feet... my family lost trust in me, and they want to do things with me... the loss of my children was a breaking point... to see them with family members breaks my heart... I have been clean for four months, and I’m trying to get back on my feet, but it’s so hard....

Participant 5: I was on IV drugs for ten years, current clean and sober four months. It affected my mother badly. It affected my and my child’s life negatively. IV drug use resulted in hepatitis C for me.

All of these participants evidenced resiliency by trying to reduce or terminate their opioid misuse or abuse, despite the challenges of treatment and maintenance post-treatment. Several participants explained how their opioid usage negatively impacted them and their family members, and the impact on their family motivated them to enter treatment.

Study 2: Telephone Interview
Also, using the content analysis, Study 2 identified similar overarching themes with the addition of a fourth theme (Systemic Restrictions and Frustrations). Despite these similarities in theme categories, the telephone interview provided more nuanced detail about the participants’ perspective. This is likely because it is often easier to talk then type responses to open-ended questions. These four themes from the telephone interviews were: (1) Benefits of Opioid Use, (2) Negative Impacts of Opioid Use, (3) Resiliency, and (4) Systemic Restrictions and Frustration. Like with the online interview, within each theme, we highlight several subthemes, how these themes spanned multiple levels of society (i.e., individual, family, community), and we noted similarities in the participant’s responses. It is important to note that, at times, responses fell into more than one category.

Benefits of Opioid Use
Similar to Study 1, some participants expressed how opioids have been beneficial for them, despite the current opioid crisis occurring in their communities. Of the 26 participants that completed the telephone interview, 4 participants’ responses expressed this overarching theme. For instance, one participant explained:

Well when we get pain medicine, it helps us improve our quality of life, and to live a little bit less pain-free from the pain we are experiencing, and we can be more productive and be able to do things that we wouldn’t be able to do... But in our community, I guess we’re, they’re having this big opioid crisis or whatever so I guess it’s getting pretty bad or whatever.
Similar to Study 1, this participant expressed the utility of opioids to perform daily functions and improve quality of life. Further, this participant (in addition to others) recognized the opioid crisis occurring in their area despite their responsible usage.

**Negative Impact of Opioid Usage**

Also similar to Study 1, some participants described how opioids have negatively impacted them both indirectly and directly. Twenty-three participants’ responses fell into this theme. However, these participants’ concerns were all categorized into the subtheme, The Risks, and Harms of Opioid Misuse and Abuse.

**Negative Impact of Opioid Usage: The Risks and Harms of Opioid Misuse and Abuse**

Participants on the phone interview expressed frustration with how opioids misuse and abuse have had negative impacts. For example, participants explained:

*Participant 6:* … It’s raised the crime level. Everything has to do with drugs. If you’ve got a robbery, it’s drugs. If you’ve got a murder, it’s drugs. Most of the traffic things, drugs. My niece’s boyfriend got hit by a car. They arrested him for drugs. I mean, it’s everything…

*Participant 7:* They won’t talk to me…None of my (inaudible) wants to talk to me. My kids, my family, nobody. Because of all them pain pills.

*Participant 8:* …I feel like there’s no economy, so the drug problem is very large. I’ve watched so many people my age die early. I’ve just watched so many become addicted. They have gotten on a lot harder drugs. It just makes me sick. To see what the things that drugs have done to [County name]. I think they’re just must be a better, another, way than drugging everybody. We’ve got to figure out other ways to cope with problems that we’ve got to resolve problems without having to take pills.

These participants exemplify the perception of how opioid misuse and abuse has impacted communities at the three levels of society: individual, family, and community. Participant 6 described that opioid misuse is linked to increased crime in their area. Participant 7, who was actively using opioids, reported how their usage negatively impacted their close relationships, presumably with
friends or family members. Participant 8 expressed their anger at the severe impact of opioid misuse and death in their community. Further, this participant alluded to the potential cyclical nature of opioid misuse, whereby opioid misuse is linked to community problems but also that community problems (e.g., poverty, unemployment) are linked to opioids misuse.

Resiliency
Participants in the phone interview also stated ways they are resilient. Three of the 26 participants’ responses fell into this theme. However, most of these examples were under the subtheme’s active avoidance. For example, some participants expressed the following:

Participant 9: …But a lot of people make a lot of excuses they think is a good excuse to take it. I believe a lot of people could take Tylenol or Motrin, something like that, stay off the real hard stuff, and real strong stuff. Maybe it would give them a better chance for a better life. Pain medication ain’t good for nobody. Not if they get on it bad enough.

Participant 10: I try to avoid them, even if I go to the doctor because I know I have an addictive personality, and that scares me, and I don’t want to go there…

All of these participants evidenced resiliency by actively avoiding opioids. Some feared that they will fall victim to addiction, so they chose to not take any opioids, while some, like Participant 9, expressed frustration about why individuals choose to use opioids rather than managing their pain with other, non-pharmacologic, methods. This frustration demonstrated that while some people can actively avoid opioid misuse and addiction, there may be little understanding of how addiction occurs and is maintained within portions of these underserved communities.

Systemic Changes and Frustrations
Unlike Study 1, there was a new theme identified in the phone interviews. The last overarching theme in Study 2 was how participants synthesize why the opioid epidemic has caused systemic restrictions in communities impacted by the opioid crisis. Five of the 26 participants’ responses expressed systemic changes and frustrations. This theme emphasizes how their lives have been negatively impacted by government or larger social systems in ways that are out of their control. For instance, some participants expressed the following:
Participant 1: *I really wish that health insurance was a lot easier to get, and it was a lot cheaper and easier to get prescriptions instead of how it is done now because of drug addicts who have ruined it. It used to be you could go to a doctor, and they could prescribe medicine or a clinic which could prescribe you pain medicine. Today they have special pain clinics, and you have to pay an exorbitant fee for pain medication, and then it lasts a month, and then you gotta go back again and pay and so it’s made things a lot harder.*

Participant 2: *They took me off of opioids, and the FDA blocked me from any prescription meds period. Cause of some desk jockey in Washington DC, I can’t even get aspirin if I go to the hospital. I can’t even get anything for pain because I’m blocked, and that’s because of the opioid crisis in the state …which is out of hand because of idiots who go to doctors and get prescriptions and sell them on the streets. So it’s affected me that way because I don’t get any pain medication, so I get to suffer 24/7…That’s what happens to people in pain when government decides you don’t get prescription medicine for your pain because other people abused it. That’s how I feel.*

Both of these participants describe how governmental and industrial systems, including clinics and insurance agencies, have changed as a result of the opioid epidemic. Some individuals that may have been using responsibly are becoming frustrated with these systemwide changes that they perceived have negatively impacted them.

**DISCUSSION SUPPLEMENT**

Although there is a risk of opioid misuse, it is clear from participants’ perspective that prescribed use of opioids is critical to their own and the family members’ quality of life. Among our telephone sample, this frustration was made clearer with the additional theme of systemic changes and frustrations. This theme discussed frustrations with the barriers to accessing prescribed pain medication and how those barriers were caused by changes in the governmental or larger systemic levels. One possible solution to the frustrations around policy changes and perceived limitation to prescriptions is to enhance medical provider sensitivity and knowledge about patient pain and how prescribed pain medications improve the quality of many patients’ lives. More specifically, providers can enhance patient-centered decision-making by both educating patients and family members about treatment and risk behaviors and training providers to communicate more effectively about pain treatment expectations.
and alternative options to pain coping (e.g., increased exercise, mindfulness relaxation techniques).

The next theme we identified is the negative impact of opioid usage, namely, risks and harms associated with the misuse and abuse of opioids. Some of our participants talked about increased crime and a depressed economy, both perceived to be associated with opioid misuse. This sentiment has been reflected in the previous qualitative literature on the impact of opioids. However, our findings highlighted indirect harmful effects on families and family relationships. For example, one of our participants highlighted how losing contact and trust with their family was one of the more devastating aspects of their addiction. Challenges of reentering society have been highlighted in past literature, including entering the workforce and finding a new identity. However, what was less emphasized is the frequent loss of supportive social networks such as parental support and contact with children. Also, some participants in our study discussed the negative impact on children, such as living without a washer and dryer, a potential reference to child neglect. Neglectful family environments can have long-term mental and physical health outcomes. Across this theme, our participants perceive the negative impact of opioids across multiple levels of society. When developing and implementing intervention combating the far-reaching negative impacts of the opioid crisis, we must think systemically. We must target individuals who are in recovery, those seeking recovery or currently using, and those who have been indirectly exposed to the rippling effects of opioid addiction. We must target families to systemically heal ruptured relational bonds, prevent generational trauma caused by addiction, and improve social support for individuals in recovery or seeking treatment. We must target communities as a whole by building or rebuilding healthcare, economic, and safety net infrastructures so that communities can be stronger in the future to withstand the impact of a crisis like the opioid epidemic.
REFERENCES SUPPLEMENT


