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ABSTRACT

A complete model of helium-like line and continuum emission has been incorporated into the plasma simulation code Cloudy. All elements between He and Zn are treated, any number of levels can be considered, and radiative and collisional processes are included. This includes photoionization from all levels, line transfer, including continuum pumping and destruction by background opacities, scattering, and collisional processes. The model is calculated self-consistently along with the ionization and thermal structure of the surrounding nebula. The result is a complete line and continuum spectrum of the plasma. Here we focus on the ions of the He I sequence and reconsider the standard helium-like X-ray diagnostics. We first consider semianalytical predictions and compare these with previous work in the low-density, optically thin limit. We then perform numerical calculations of helium-like X-ray emission (such as is observed in some regions of Seyfert galaxies) and predict line ratios as a function of ionizing flux, hydrogen density, and column density. In particular, we demonstrate that, in photoionized plasmas, the $R$ ratio, a density indicator in a collisional plasma, depends on the ionization fraction and is strongly affected by optical depth for large column densities. We also introduce the notion that the $R$ ratio is a measure of the incident continuum at UV wavelengths. The $G$ ratio, which is temperature sensitive in a collisional plasma, is also discussed and shown to be strongly affected by continuum pumping and optical depth as well. These distinguish a photoionized plasma from the more commonly studied collisional case.

Subject headings: atomic processes — methods: numerical — plasmas — X-rays: galaxies

1. INTRODUCTION

X-ray emission lines from high-excitation ions offer a different view of active galactic nucleus (AGN) emission-line regions than do the strong UV and optical lines that are usually studied. While emission lines such as H I Lyα, He I λ5876, and C IV λ1549 come from gas with electron temperature $T_e \sim 10^4$ K, the emission lines which are detected in X-ray spectra can come from gas that is much hotter and more highly ionized, and which presumably lies closer in to the ionizing continuum source. Such X-ray emission lines are often discussed in connection with observations of X-ray and UV warm absorbers (e.g., Kraemer et al. 2005; Netzer et al. 2003; George et al. 1995). Emission lines from helium-like ions are important in this regard, because the ratios of their X-ray lines provide temperature and density diagnostics that can be measured from intermediate-resolution X-ray spectra. Several of these lines have now been detected in a number of nearby AGNs, including Mrk 279 (Costantini et al. 2005; Kaast et al. 2004), NGC 5548 (Steinbrugge et al. 2005), NGC 3783 (Netzer et al. 2003; Kaspi et al. 2002), NGC 4051 (Collinge et al. 2001), NGC 7469 (Blustin et al. 2003), and NGC 4151 (Kraemer et al. 2005).

The X-ray forbidden ($f$) and intercombination ($i$) lines connect the triplet states to the singlet ground state, while the resonance ($r$) line is due to transitions into the ground state from $2p^1P$ (see the schematic energy-level diagram in Fig. 1). Diagnostic line ratios for obtaining temperature, $G(T_e) = (I_f + I_i)/I_r$, and density, $R(n_e) = I_i/I_r$, from particular X-ray line ratios in plasmas have been discussed by a number of authors (Bautista & Kallman 2000; Porquet & Dubau 2000; Porquet et al. 2001; Pradhan & Shull 1981; Pradhan 1985; Blumenthal et al. 1972; and many others). These were introduced by Gabriel & Jordan (1969, 1973) and were originally developed for coronal plasmas, not where photoionization recombination is dominant. Recently, authors have discussed the influence of radiative transfer effects on the standard X-ray diagnostics (see Coupé et al. 2004; Godet et al. 2004; Bianchi & Matt 2002).

The theoretical models of the He I isoelectronic sequence presented here have been developed as part of the plasma simulation code Cloudy (last described by Ferland et al. 1998). The model helium atom has been discussed by Porter et al. (2005, 2007) and Bauman et al. (2005). Where the sources and methods used in the model ions differ from the previously discussed sources and methods of the model helium atom, those differences are given in Appendix A.

Here we consider the standard X-ray diagnostics from both semianalytical and numerical perspectives. In § 2, we derive semianalytical expressions of line ratios in the limit where the lines are formed by recombination and calculate the line ratios for helium-like oxygen and iron at appropriate temperatures. We compare our results with those of other workers and discuss how radiative transfer and collisions will affect the emission. In § 3, we include all relevant physics in a numerical model to calculate theoretical line ratios as functions of column density. This illustrates the effect of increasing optical depth. We also calculate helium-like emission as a function of ionizing flux and hydrogen density. We present the predicted line ratios for oxygen and discuss how our results affect the interpretation of existing and future measurements of the emission lines from AGNs. We also discuss the effects of continuum pumping. We conclude in § 4.

2. SEMIANALYTICAL CALCULATIONS

Gabriel & Jordan (1969, 1973) showed how ratios of intensities of various lines involving transitions between the $n = 2$ and $n = 1$ levels of helium-like ions could be used to measure temperature and density in a collisionally ionized plasma. In such a gas, the electron kinetic energy is roughly equal to the ionization potentials of the species that are present. Collisional excitation of the $n = 2$ levels from ground is the main process that produces the X-ray lines.

These results do not carry over to the photoionized plasmas considered here, however. In this second case, the ionization and...
some transitions are not shown. Relative energies are not drawn to scale. The energy order is different for different ions of the sequence.
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Ions can radiatively decay from the metastable 2s 3S level to the ground state via two-photon and magnetic-dipole transitions. The magnetic-dipole decay dominates for all Z, and we accordingly ignore the two-photon decay in the semianalytical discussion. The population \( n_{2s^1S} \) of the 2s 3S level is given by

\[
\frac{n_{2s^1S}(Z, T)}{n_e n_{Z^+}^{\infty}} = \frac{\alpha_{2s^1S}^G(Z, T)}{A_{2s^1S-1s^1S}^G(Z)} \text{cm}^3. \tag{5}
\]

Equations (1)–(5) are valid when triplet-singlet exchange collisions (which change the spin of one of the electrons) can be neglected. To first order, exchange collisions add an additional term in each equation. Equation (5), for example, would be modified as follows:

\[
\frac{n_{2s^1S}(Z, T)}{n_e n_{Z^+}^{\infty}} = \frac{\alpha_{2s^1S}^G(Z, T)}{A_{2s^1S-1s^1S}^G(Z)} \sum_{n, L} n_e q_{2s^1S-1s^1S}(Z, T) L \text{cm}^3, \tag{6}
\]

where \( q_{2s^1S-1s^1S}(Z, T) \) is the collision rate coefficient (in units of \( \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \)) from 2s 3S to the singlet term \( nL \). We define \( q_{2s^1S-1s^1S}(Z, T) = \sum_{n, L} q_{2s^1S-1s^1S}(Z, T) \). Collisions are negligible if \( n_e q_{2s^1S-1s^1S}(Z, T) \) is small relative to \( A_{2s^1S-1s^1S}(Z) \). Collisions are negligible if \( n_e q_{2s^1S-1s^1S}(Z, T) \) is small relative to \( A_{2s^1S-1s^1S}(Z) \). Collisions are negligible if \( n_e q_{2s^1S-1s^1S}(Z, T) \) is small relative to \( A_{2s^1S-1s^1S}(Z) \).

Because the transition probabilities (approximately \( \propto Z^{10} \)) increase with increasing Z, while the collisional rate coefficients decrease with increasing transition energies (approximately \( \propto Z^2 \)), the critical density will be even larger for heavier ions of the sequence. We restrict our semianalytical calculations to lesser densities and neglect exchange collisions.

### 2.2. Emissivities

The total emission, \( 4\pi j_{\lambda}/n_e n_{Z^+}^{\infty} \), of a recombination line with wavelength \( \lambda \) is

\[
\frac{4\pi j_{\lambda}}{n_e n_{Z^+}^{\infty}} = \frac{\hbar c}{\lambda} \frac{n_e n_{Z^+}^{\infty}}{A_{\lambda}(Z)} \text{ergs cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}, \tag{7}
\]

where \( A_{\lambda}(Z) \) is the transition probability of the transition and \( Z^+ \) is the parent (hydrogenic) ion. The dimensionless ratios of the forbidden and resonant emissivities to the intercombination emissivity are

\[
R = \frac{j_{\lambda}(Z, T)}{j_{\lambda}(Z, T)} = \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_f} \left[ \frac{A_{2s^1S-1s^1S}(Z)}{A_{2p^1P-1s^1S}(Z)} \frac{n_{2s^1S}(Z, T)}{n_{2p^1P}(Z, T)} \right], \tag{8}
\]

\[
L = \frac{j_{\lambda}(Z, T)}{j_{\lambda}(Z, T)} = \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_f} \left[ \frac{A_{2p^1P-1s^1S}(Z)}{A_{2p^1P-1s^1S}(Z)} \frac{n_{2p^1P}(Z, T)}{n_{2p^1P}(Z, T)} \right], \tag{9}
\]

where \( \lambda_i, \lambda_f \), and \( \lambda_r \) are the wavelengths of the intercombination, forbidden, and resonance transitions, respectively, and we have given the label “L” to the ratio of resonance to intercombination emission. The \( G \) ratio, defined as the sum of the intercombination and forbidden emission divided by the resonance emission, is given by

\[
G = \frac{j_{\lambda}(Z, T) + j_{\lambda}(Z, T)}{j_{\lambda}(Z, T)} = \frac{R + 1}{L}. \tag{10}
\]

#### 2.3. Comparison with Previous Work

The results we obtain from the above analyses are in good agreement with previous work. At a temperature of 500,000 K, typical of a plasma in which recombination onto helium-like oxygen is important, we find from equation (8) the \( O \) vii ratio \( R = j_f/j_i = 4.2 \). At the same temperature, Figure 8 of Porquet & Dubau (2000) indicates that \( R \approx 4.3 \) in the low-density limit, while Figure 3 of Bautista & Kallman (2000) suggests that \( R \approx 4.2 \). The value calculated here agrees very well with those two values. We find from equation (10) the ratio \( G = (j_f + j_i)/j_i = 5.0 \). Porquet & Dubau find (as taken from their Fig. 7) \( G \approx 4.8 \) when the hydrogen-like ionization stage of oxygen is at its peak. Figure 4 of Bautista & Kallman reports \( G \approx 5.0 \) at the conditions considered here. Again, the present value agrees very well with values found by other workers.

For the case of iron, at a temperature of 10\(^7\) K, we find \( G = 4.2 \) and \( R = 0.68 \). The results from the Bautista & Kallman (2000) work are \( G = 4.7 \) and \( R = 0.71 \) (M. A. Bautista 2007, private communication). These results are also in good agreement with our results.

#### 2.4. Optical Depth Effects

Introducing escape probabilities, which modify transition probabilities to yield effective transition probabilities, the emission per unit volume is given by

\[
4\pi I_{\lambda} = \frac{\hbar c}{\lambda} n_u(Z, T) A_{\lambda}(Z) \text{ergs cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}. \tag{11}
\]

(See Elitzur 1992 for a discussion of escape probabilities.) Small optical depth corresponds to an \( \epsilon \) of unity, and \( \epsilon \approx \tau^{-1} \) when \( \tau \) is large. The optical depth of a line increases as the column density of the ion increases, but also depends on the wavelength and transition probability of the line. The effect of optical depth on line ratios is dependent on which line becomes optically thick first (or which escape probability becomes less than unity first) as column density increases. It is important to note, however, that in addition to the explicit escape probability dependence in equation (11), there is also an implicit dependence in the population \( n_u(Z, T) \).\(^2\) For an upper level with only one significant decay mode, these escape probabilities cancel in the low-density limit [see, for example, \( n_{2s^1S}(Z, T) \) given in eq. (5)], and the line is said to be effectively optically thin. For an upper level with more than one significant decay mode, the escape probabilities do not cancel out, so line emission from these levels is affected by optical depth. For example, the ratio of the forbidden to \( \lambda_1 \) intensities (equal to the \( R \) ratio where the \( \lambda_1 \) line can be neglected, as with \( O \) vii) varies as

\[
f \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_f} \frac{A_{2p^1P-1s^1S}(Z)}{A_{2p^1P-1s^1S}(Z)} \frac{n_{2p^1P}(Z, T)}{n_{2p^1P}(Z, T)} = \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_f} \frac{A_{2p^1P-1s^1S}(Z)}{A_{2p^1P-1s^1S}(Z)} \frac{n_{2p^1P}(Z, T)}{n_{2p^1P}(Z, T)}, \tag{12}
\]

\(^2\) Actually, effective recombinations can also be affected by line optical depths. This is included in the numerical calculations below, but is a complication beyond the scope of these semianalytical calculations.
where \( \epsilon_i \) and \( \epsilon_{UV3} \) are the escape probabilities of the \( i \) and UV3 lines, respectively. See Appendix B for a demonstration that the intercombination line can become optically thick.

The intercombination rate dominates in equation (12) for all \( Z > 6 \). The intercombination line also becomes optically thick well before the UV3 line. (Optical depth in the UV3 lines is also discussed in Appendix B.) For oxygen at 500,000 K, the \( R \) ratio begins to be affected by optical depth at about \( N(O^+^+) = 10^{22} \, \text{cm}^{-2} \). When this happens, as we see below, \( R \) will surpass the classical, canonical value as the denominator of the ratio is suppressed. For iron, the intercombination lines will not be affected by optical depth at all (this is also discussed below). The fact that the intercombination line can become optically thick was mentioned in the intercombination line can be derived from the individual components of the intercombination doublet as follows:

\[
\epsilon_i = \frac{0.0011}{I_i/I_i^0} = f(N).
\]

A similar but different expression holds for other ions of the sequence. For iron, the \( i \) rate is so dominant that it cancels out completely in equation (13), and the ratio is

\[
\frac{I_i(26, T)}{I_i(26, T)^0} = \frac{5}{3} \left[ \frac{1}{0.24 \epsilon_{UV3} + 1} \right].
\]

The ratio therefore ranges only slightly, varying from about 4/3 for optically thin media to 5/3 for optically thick media. With sufficiently high signal-to-noise observations, the optical depth of the UV3 lines can be derived from X-ray observations of the intercombination lines. It is important to note that this analysis is largely independent of density because collisions will only begin to affect the relative populations of the separate 2 \( P \) levels for densities greater than \( n_e = 10^{14} \, \text{cm}^{-3} \) for oxygen (at 500,000 K) and \( n_e = 10^{16} \, \text{cm}^{-3} \) for iron (at 10 K).

Resolving the individual components of the intercombination doublet may be difficult. The line separation of the doublet corresponds to a velocity range from only about 20 km s\(^{-1}\) for carbon to almost 700 km s\(^{-1}\) for iron. If the intrinsic line broadening is small, the intercombination line can be detected in systems with significantly less broadening. The individual components could be resolved with a spectrometer having a resolving power of at least 12,000 for carbon and 400 for iron. Current and planned X-ray satellite observatories might be able to resolve the individual components for ions as light as magnesium and almost certainly for iron as heavy as iron. Unfortunately, optical depth in the intercombination line is less likely for heavy ions because they are generally less abundant, and in practice, the method outlined here may be untenable. The best candidates for resolving the individual components of an optically thick intercombination doublet are probably Mg \( xi \), Si \( xiii \), and S \( xv \).

3. NUMERICAL CALCULATIONS

3.1. Summary

Having established our semianalytical results in the low-density, optically thin limit, we now consider numerical calculations. In this section, we present the results of Cloudy models of helium-like X-ray emission for a wide range of physical conditions. These models span the range of emitters that may be found in high-ionization regions of AGNs. The emergent spectrum is calculated self-consistently with the ionization and thermal structure of the line-emitting region. This includes collisional processes, line transfer, background opacities, continuum pumping, and dielectronic recombination.

We first consider line ratios for a particular model as a function of hydrogen column density. Then we vary the ionizing flux and volume density of the original model and present line ratios as
contour plots. Finally, we illustrate the effects of continuum pumping by adding an additional incident continuum source.

### 3.2. Line Ratios as a Function of Column Density

We calculate a constant-density plane-parallel slab with the Korista et al. (1997) ionizing continuum incident with hydrogen-ionizing flux $\Phi(H) = 10^{18}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and hydrogen density $n_H = 10^7$ cm$^{-3}$, corresponding to log $U(H) \approx 0.5$. These parameters were chosen because helium-like oxygen emission peaks near these conditions for a small column density. Solar abundances are assumed (Grevesse & Sauval 1998; Allende Prieto et al. 2001, 2002; Holweger 2001).

In Figure 2, we plot the O vii $R$, $L$, and $G$ ratios as a function of column density. The $R$ ratio increases by a factor of 2 at large column densities. This is due to an increase in the optical depth of the intercombination line (see eq. (12)) and suggests that caution should be used in deriving electron density from the $R$ ratio in conditions where the column density is large and the intercombination line may be optically thick. For low column densities, $R$ agrees well with the semianalytical value calculated above. The $L$ ratio ($L/I_r$), however, falls by a factor of nearly 70 over the same range of column densities. The reason is that the resonance line becomes optically thick much faster than the intercombination line. The ratio $L/I_r$ is 23 times the semianalytical value at low column densities. This is because continuum pumping is dramatically enhancing the resonance line relative to the semianalytical value. For small column densities, photoexcitation is important.

![Figure 2](image)

**Fig. 2.** $R = I_r/I_i$, $L = L/I_i$, and $G = (I_r + I_i)/I_i$ as functions of hydrogen column density. See text for the details of the model.

![Figure 3](image)

**Fig. 3.** Ionization fraction of oxygen in the Ovi (left panel) and Ovii (right panel) stages. Contours are labeled as the log of the fraction. The column density is $N_H = 10^{18}$ cm$^{-2}$ in both panels. The case with $N_H = 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$ is very similar except that the lower $10^{-15}$ contour is shifted up nearly a decade for Ovi and about half a decade for Ovii. Wavy features in this and the following contour plots are artifacts in the plotting program due to a sparse grid.

![Figure 4](image)

**Fig. 4.** Ratio $R = I_r/I_i$ as a function of hydrogen density and ionizing flux. Left panel: Small column density ($N_H = 10^{18}$ cm$^{-2}$). Right panel: Large column density ($N_H = 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$). The bold diagonal lines in each panel show constant ionization parameter. See text for the details of the model.

![Figure 5](image)

**Fig. 5.** Same as Fig. 4, except the ratio is $G = (I_r + I_i)/I_i$. Note that the contours have a linear scale in the left panel and a logarithmic scale in the right panel. When the ionization fractions (Fig. 3) peak, the $G$ ratio is sensitive to ionization parameter and column density.
and the plasma is in case C conditions (see Ferland 1999 and Baker et al. 1938). For large column densities, the plasma exhibits case B behavior (Baker & Menzel 1938). The temperature-sensitive ratio \( G = (I_r + I_s)/I_r \) varies by more than 2 orders of magnitude when the column density increases from \( N_H = 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) to \( N_H = 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2} \). This trend suggests that the column density must be constrained before using \( G \) as an ionization indicator. In the low column density limit, the \( G \) ratio is smaller than the semianalytical value by a factor of \( \approx 25 \), a finding consistent with the resonance line being enhanced by continuum pumping, as discussed above.

3.3. Line Ratios as a Function of Ionizing Flux and Volume Density

Next, we calculate a grid of simulations using the same continuum shape and composition, but varying both the flux of hydrogen-ionizing photons and the hydrogen volume density. We consider two hydrogen column densities, \( N_H = 10^{18} \) and \( 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), to show this dependence. In Figures 4–5, we plot a number of \( \text{O vii} \) intensity ratios as a function of hydrogen density and ionizing flux. Note that in a triangular region in the bottom right corner of each contour plot, to the right of \( \log n_H = 6 \) and below \( \log \Phi(H) = 24 \), oxygen is not ionized enough to produce significant helium-like emission.

In Figure 3, we plot the ionization fractions of \( \text{O}^{6+} \) and \( \text{O}^{7+} \). The plots indicate that in the upper left corner of the plotted parameter space, both stages are negligible (because oxygen is almost entirely stripped of electrons). Moving down and toward the right, the hydrogenic ionization state begins to dominate, followed by the helium-like state. In the bottom right corner, as noted above, oxygen is too neutral to produce significant helium-like emission.

In Figure 4, we plot the ratio \( R = I_r/I_s \) for both column densities mentioned above. Note that, in both panels, for a given ratio and ionizing flux, there is not a unique density. Values of \( R \) span several orders of magnitude for the entire density range plotted. This figure, combined with Figure 2, demonstrates that the \( R \) ratio is not a simple density diagnostic. Two constant ionization parameter lines are overplotted in each panel in Figure 4. One can clearly see that for a given value of \( R \), a change in ionization parameter leads to an almost identical change in the derived density. Considering the \( R \) ratio as a function of ionization parameter causes the appearance of a density dependence in the ratio, but that dependence is almost entirely due to the definition of the ionization parameter and not to the physics involved in the \( R \) ratio. The \( R \) ratio is roughly constant at low flux, inversely proportional to the flux for several orders of magnitude of the flux, and then constant at very high fluxes. In the large column density case (right panel), the ratio is roughly a factor of 2 greater than the corresponding values in the small column density case in the lower left corner of the parameter space. Values of the \( \text{O vii} \) \( R \) ratio larger than 4 have been observed but were previously unexplained by theory (see § 2.4).

The ratio \( G = (I_r + I_s)/I_r \), a temperature indicator in a collisional plasma, is plotted in Figure 5. In a photoionized plasma, the ratio is a function of ionization fraction (shown in Fig. 3) and column density. The ratio depends on both ionization parameter and column density. This fact can be seen in the right panel of Figure 5, where the column density is large and the \( G \) ratio differs greatly from the small column density case in the left panel.

3.4. Effects of Continuum Pumping

The results presented above are strongly dependent on continuum pumping. This is why the gas reaches case C conditions at

---

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical Symbol</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>( \text{UV3}_0 ) (2p(^2)P(_0)−2s(^3)S)</th>
<th>( \text{UV3}_1 ) (2p(^2)P(_1)−2s(^3)S)</th>
<th>( \text{UV3}_2 ) (2p(^2)P(_2)−2s(^3)S)</th>
<th>( \text{UV1} ) (2p(^2)P(_2)−2s(^3)P(_1))</th>
<th>( \text{i} ) (2p(^2)P(_3)−1s(^1)S)</th>
<th>( \text{f} ) (2s(^3)S−2s(^1)S)</th>
<th>( \text{r} ) (2p(^2)P(_3)−1s(^1)S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2277.2</td>
<td>2277.8</td>
<td>2270.8</td>
<td>3526.7</td>
<td>40.731</td>
<td>41.472</td>
<td>40.731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1907.6</td>
<td>1907.3</td>
<td>1896.7</td>
<td>2896.4</td>
<td>29.084</td>
<td>29.534</td>
<td>29.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1639.9</td>
<td>1638.3</td>
<td>1623.6</td>
<td>2449.0</td>
<td>21.807</td>
<td>22.101</td>
<td>21.807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1417.2</td>
<td>1414.2</td>
<td>1395.5</td>
<td>2136.1</td>
<td>19.084</td>
<td>19.534</td>
<td>19.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1277.7</td>
<td>1272.8</td>
<td>1248.3</td>
<td>1856.0</td>
<td>15.807</td>
<td>16.101</td>
<td>15.807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1149.2</td>
<td>1142.3</td>
<td>1111.8</td>
<td>1646.9</td>
<td>13.807</td>
<td>14.101</td>
<td>13.807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1043.3</td>
<td>1034.3</td>
<td>997.46</td>
<td>1474.2</td>
<td>9.2312</td>
<td>9.3433</td>
<td>9.2312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>954.33</td>
<td>943.16</td>
<td>899.67</td>
<td>1327.7</td>
<td>7.8070</td>
<td>7.8721</td>
<td>7.8070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>878.65</td>
<td>865.14</td>
<td>814.69</td>
<td>1200.7</td>
<td>6.6883</td>
<td>6.7404</td>
<td>6.6883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>756.31</td>
<td>738.32</td>
<td>673.40</td>
<td>991.95</td>
<td>5.0665</td>
<td>5.1015</td>
<td>5.0665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>661.56</td>
<td>639.55</td>
<td>559.97</td>
<td>823.25</td>
<td>3.9691</td>
<td>3.9939</td>
<td>3.9691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>585.93</td>
<td>560.74</td>
<td>466.90</td>
<td>687.95</td>
<td>3.1928</td>
<td>3.2111</td>
<td>3.1928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>428.23</td>
<td>400.30</td>
<td>271.16</td>
<td>382.76</td>
<td>1.8595</td>
<td>1.8682</td>
<td>1.8595</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
small column densities (see Fig. 2). Here we further illustrate the effects of continuum pumping by considering a second continuum component.

Some of the above results at high flux remain counterintuitive, in particular that the $R$ ratio in Figure 4 should trace ionizing flux (i.e., is parallel to the density axis). This is caused by direct continuum pumping of the UV3 $l$ lines. A simple calculation illustrates the effect.

We add a 10,000 K blackbody to the original Korista et al. (1997) continuum used above [again with hydrogen-ionizing flux $\Phi(H) = 10^{18}$ photon cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, hydrogen density $n_H = 10^7$ cm$^{-3}$, and hydrogen column density $N_H = 10^{18}$ cm$^{-2}$]. The flux of the blackbody is varied. In Figure 6, we plot the Korista continuum and the soft blackbody with several values of flux. We understand that the net continuum shown in Figure 6 is not representative of any real continuum; this exercise is simply meant to demonstrate the effect of the continuum at $\approx 1640$ Å, the wavelength of the UV3 $l$ transitions (Table 1), on the X-ray line ratios. In Figure 7, we plot the $R$ ratio as a function of the flux of the second continuum component, holding everything else constant. The ratio changes by several orders of magnitude. The blackbody only contributes to the net continuum for energies less than about 10–30 eV. This is much less than the 165 eV needed to ionize ions in the $n = 2$ shell of O$^+$ and the 560 eV needed to ionize ions in the ground state. The blackbody continuum is, however, hard enough to directly pump the UV3 $l$ lines. This pumping changes the relative populations of the $2s^2 3S$ and $2p^3 P_l$ levels, which in turn changes the $R$ ratio.

For large values of the flux of the blackbody, the ratio goes to a well-defined limit as the relative populations of the $2s^2 3S$ and $2p^3 P_l$ levels become populated according to statistical weights. In this limit, $n_{2s^2 3S} \approx n_{2p^3 P_1}$, and since $\lambda_f \approx \lambda_i$, equation (8) reduces to

$$R = \frac{A_{2s^2 3S \rightarrow 1s^2 1S}}{A_{2p^3 P_1 \rightarrow 1s^2 1S}} = \frac{1.0 \times 10^3 s^{-1}}{5.5 \times 10^8 s^{-1}} = 1.8 \times 10^{-6}. \quad (18)$$

This limit is also being approached in the extreme upper portion of Figure 4, in calculations with very high ionizing flux, for similar reasons.

We also plot the $G$ ratio in Figure 7. Pumping in the singlet levels by the continuum at UV1 is much less effective than in the triplet case because UV1 line strength is many orders of magnitude less than the resonance line strength, especially considering the fact that the resonance line is already being pumped in the low flux limit. Because the resonance intensity (the $G$-ratio denominator) is not strongly affected by the UV1 pumping, and because the UV3 $l$ pumping decreases one term of the $G$-ratio numerator while increasing the other, the $G$ ratio is much less affected than the $R$ ratio. The $G$ ratio will finally go to a high-flux limit at fluxes roughly 3 orders of magnitude higher than those plotted in Figure 7.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The $R$ ratio measures the flux in the continuum in a photoionized plasma. It is a good density indicator only for a known ionization fraction. The ratio is strongly dependent on continuum pumping of the UV3 $l$ lines between $n = 2$ levels. Because of this dependence, X-ray observations can be used to deduce the UV radiation field striking the gas where the lines form. This is important for AGNs, since several components of the continuum are beamed, and the continuum we observe may not reflect the continuum striking the clouds.

Optical depth in the intercombination line causes the high observed values of the $R$ ratio. This was previously unexplained. Caution must be taken in using $R$ as a density indicator in conditions where the intercombination line may be optically thick.

While the $G$ ratio does track ionization fraction well, the column density must be constrained before using $G$ as an ionization indication and should not be used as a temperature indicator at all in a photoionized plasma.

The resonance line is directly pumped by the incident continuum for small column densities. This affects the $L$ and $G$ ratios by large amounts.

Absorption of UV3 $l$ lines may occur in some environments with large column densities.

For some helium-like species, the optical depth of UV3 $l$ lines (or column density of the ion) may be determined from X-ray observations of that ion’s intercombination lines, provided the intercombination doublet can be resolved. The column density could be deduced from this.

We thank Jack Baldwin and Andy Fabian for helpful discussions, and the referee, Manuel Bautista, for an insightful review. We also thank NSF for support through AST 06-07028 and NASA for support through NNG05GG04G.

APPENDIX A

DATA FOR HELIUM-LIKE IONS

Here we discuss the sources and methods of our theoretical models. Where details are omitted, the model ion is treated in the same way as the model helium atom (Porter et al. 2005; Bauman et al. 2005).
A1. ENERGIES

For level energies up to and including \( n = 5 \), we use the energies from version 5.0 of Chianti (Dere et al. 1997; Landi et al. 2006). Where Chianti does not provide an energy, we calculate energies using fits to quantum defects and equation (1) of Bauman et al. (2005), modified to include the multiplicative factor \( \left(Z - 1\right)^2 \) in the numerator. This multiplicative factor represents the approximate scaling with \( Z \) of ionization energy of an ion in the ground state. The one is subtracted from the nuclear charge because the charge “seen” by an excited electron is partially screened by the charge of the second electron (in the ground state). In this formalism, the quantum defect is nearly independent of \( Z \).

A2. COLLISIONAL DATA

For electron impact collisions from ground to \( n = 2 \) levels and between the \( n = 2 \) levels, we use simple fits to the effective collision strengths of Zhang & Sampson (1987). The most accurate data for these transitions (for oxygen) are from Delahaye & Pradhan (2002), who say their results generally agree well with the Zhang & Sampson results. For Stark \( (I \leftrightarrow I) \) mixing collisions, we use the method of Seaton (1962) for \( I \leq 2 \) and Vrinceanu & Flannery (2001) for greater \( I \). We apply the method of virtual quanta (Jackson 1999) to calculate \( l \)-mixing collision rates due to proton and singly ionized helium impact. (These collisions are treated in the same way as in the case of helium, described in Porter et al. [2005], where they are discussed in some detail.) For \( n \)-changing collisions, we use the method of Vriens & Smeets (1980), equations (14)–(16). We apply the method of virtual quanta to the Vriens & Smeets method in order to calculate \( n \)-changing collision rates due to proton and singly ionized helium impact. (It is worth noting, however, that electron impact excitation usually completely dominates over proton impact for \( \Delta n > 0 \) transitions.) Collisional de-excitation is included via detailed balancing. For collisional ionization, we take the minimum positive result from the hydrogenic methods of Allen (1973) and Sampson & Zhang (1988). Proton impact excitations are negligible for oxygen but increasingly important for increasing nuclear charge (i.e., our rates generally agree with the trend reported by Blaha [1971]). As a basic test of our results in a collisional plasma, we note that our \( O \) vii \( \lambda \)-ratio curve at 500,000 K has very nearly the same density dependence as is seen in Figure 3 of Bautista & Kallman (2000) and Figure 8 of Porquet & Dubau (2000).

A3. RECOMBINATION COEFFICIENTS

We add the results of equation (15) of Seaton (1959) for \( 101 \leq n \leq 1000 \) to the direct recombination into the highest collapsed level at \( n = 100 \). The recombination coefficients into the terms with \( n \leq 100 \) are calculated using the Milne relation. For \( n \leq 10 \), we use fits to TOPbase (Fernley et al. 1987; Cunto et al. 1993) photoionization cross sections for the least hydrogenic \( S \) terms. We use hydrogenic cross sections for the rest. Photoionization from all levels is included, as is induced recombination via detailed balancing.

State-specific dielectronic recombination coefficients for \( n \leq 8 \) and \( l \leq 4 \) are interpolated from Badnell (2006). Rates to levels with \( n > 8 \) are assumed to follow a \( n^{-3} \) law, while rates to levels with \( l > 4 \) are neglected. We make the simple approximation that all doubly excited levels have the same energy, equal to the energy of the \( n = 2 \) shell of the \( \lambda \)-like stage, so that satellite line intensities are proportional to the state-specific dielectronic recombination coefficients. We intend to improve this treatment in future work, but the current approximation is sufficient for photoionized plasma calculations. We note that Bautista & Kallman (2000) warn that satellite lines lie close in wavelength to the forbidden and intercombination lines and will enhance their apparent intensities in low- and medium-resolution spectra.

A4. TRANSITION PROBABILITIES

A4.1. Allowed Transitions

We use the transition probabilities of Johnson et al. (2002) where available. For \( n = 2 \) to \( n = 2 \) transitions not available in Johnson et al., we use simple fits to data from the NIST Atomic Spectra Database, version 3.0.3, for \( 2p^3P_s^P-2s^3S \), and TOPbase for \( 2p^1P-2s^3S \). We also use TOPbase for same-\( n \) transitions with \( n > 2 \). Then, for transitions with either initial or final \( l > 2 \), we use the hydrogenic formula given by Drake (1996). Next, transitions to ground, \( 2s^1S, 2s^3S, 3s^3S, \) or \( 4s^3S \) are calculated via extrapolation of Johnson et al. values to higher initial \( n \). All other allowed transitions are calculated through the use of Drake’s semiclassical quantum-defect routine.

A4.2. Forbidden Transitions

The transition probabilities for the transitions \( 2s^3S-1s^1S \) are calculated from a fit to Lin et al. (1977) values. Two-photon transition probabilities are taken from Derevianko & Johnson (1997). The distribution of photon energies are from W. R. Johnson (2002, private communication). The sources of intercombination transition probabilities are as follows: upper level \( 2p^1P_s \), fits to Johnson et al. (2002) for \( Z \leq 18 \) and Lin et al. (1977) otherwise; and upper level \( 2p^3P_s \), fits to Lin et al. (1977). Transition probabilities for transitions \( np^3P-n's^1S \) and \( np^1P-n's^3S \) with \( n \neq n' \) are taken from fits to (or extrapolations of) Johnson et al. values. Finally, transition probabilities for the transition \( 2p^1P-2s^3S \) are taken from fits to values in Savukov et al. (2003).

\(^3\) See http://physics.nist.gov/PhysRefData/ASD/index.html.
APPENDIX B

ABSORPTION OF INTERCOMBINATION AND UV3j LINES

It is not generally known that absorption of the intercombination and UV3j lines of some helium-like ions is possible in some environments. Here we present arguments regarding this question and derive column densities necessary to detect the absorption of these lines.

The line-absorption coefficient of a line with lower and upper levels \( l \) and \( u \) and central frequency \( \nu_0 \), neglecting radiative damping, is

\[
\kappa_\nu = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} e^2}{m_e c} \frac{\nu_{lu}}{\Delta \nu_\nu} \exp \left( -\frac{\left( \nu - \nu_0 \right)^2}{\Delta \nu_\nu^2} \right) \frac{1}{\text{cm}^2}, \tag{B1}
\]

where \( e \) is the electronic charge, \( m_e \) is the electronic mass, \( c \) is the speed of light, \( \nu_{lu} \) is the absorption oscillator strength of the line, and \( \Delta \nu_\nu \) is the Doppler width (in Hz; which consists of thermal and turbulent components added in quadrature). Equation (B1) reduces, at line center, to

\[
\kappa_0 = 0.0150 \frac{\nu_{lu}(Z)}{\Delta \nu_\nu} \frac{1}{\text{cm}^2}. \tag{B2}
\]

The optical depth at line center is

\[
\tau_0 = \int \kappa_0 n_l(Z, T) \, dl \quad \text{(dimensionless)}, \tag{B3}
\]

where \( n_l(Z, T) \) is the population of level \( l \), and the integral is over the length of the absorbing region.

For the \( 2p \; ^3P_j-2s \; ^3S \) UV3j transitions of helium-like ions (with wavelengths given in Table 1), we substitute equation (5) into equation (B3) and, assuming an isothermal absorbing region, obtain

\[
\tau_0 \approx \kappa_0 \frac{\sigma_{2s \; ^3S}^{\text{eff}}(Z, T)}{A_{2s \; ^3S-1s \; ^1S}^{\text{eff}}(Z)} \int n_e n_Z^+ \, dl. \tag{B4}
\]

If we further assume that the electron density does not change too much over the length of the absorbing region, then

\[
\tau_0 \approx \kappa_0 \frac{\sigma_{2s \; ^3S}^{\text{eff}}(Z, T)}{A_{2s \; ^3S-1s \; ^1S}^{\text{eff}}(Z)} n_e N_{Z^+}, \tag{B5}
\]

where \( N_{Z^+} \) is the column density (\( \text{cm}^{-2} \)) of the hydrogen-like ion.

For oxygen at 500,000 K, we find \( \sigma_{2s \; ^3S}^{\text{eff}}(8, 10^{5.7} \text{ K}) \approx 1.1 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \) and, neglecting turbulence, \( \Delta \nu_\nu = 1.4 \times 10^{11} \text{ Hz} \), so that \( \tau_0 \approx 5.7 \times 10^{-30} n_e N_{O^+} \), for the strongest line of the triplet. For appreciable absorption, letting \( \tau_0 = 0.1 \), we need \( n_e N_{O^+} \geq 2 \times 10^{28} \text{ cm}^{-3} \). At \( n_e = 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), one would need \( N_{O^+} \approx 2 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) to detect absorption. This column density is 1–2 orders of magnitude larger than is typically reported, but may exist in some environments. A larger volume density would allow for a smaller column density. Absorption is thus likely to be detectable in some environments. The likelihood of detection decreases, however, with increasing nuclear charge for two reasons. First, the transition probability in the denominator of equation (B5) increases much faster so that the rates (so that the intercombination line would be about \( 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-3} \)). Absorption is thus likely to be detectable in some environments, which has been stated by Godet et al. (2004), and may have been detected (see Kinkhabwala et al. 2002). Including turbulence will reduce the optical depth and increase the necessary optical depth to observe absorption (although the effects will be strongly dependent on line profile). The likelihood of detection decreases with increasing nuclear charge, however, because the intercombination rate increasingly dominates the UV3j rates (so that the intercombination lines effectively become the only decay mode from \( 2p \; ^3P )\), and because abundances tend to decrease dramatically for elements heavier than oxygen.
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